18 The Exponential Family and Statistical Applications

The Exponential family is a practically convenient and widely used unified family of distributions on finite dimensional Euclidean spaces parametrized by a finite dimensional parameter vector. Specialized to the case of the real line, the Exponential family contains as special cases most of the standard discrete and continuous distributions that we use for practical modelling, such as the normal, Poisson, Binomial, exponential, Gamma, multivariate normal, etc. The reason for the special status of the Exponential family is that a number of important and useful calculations in statistics can be done all at one stroke within the framework of the Exponential family. This generality contributes to both convenience and larger scale understanding. The Exponential family is the usual testing ground for the large spectrum of results in parametric statistical theory that require notions of *regularity* or *Cramér-Rao regularity*. In addition, the unified calculations in the Exponential family have an element of mathematical neatness. Distributions in the Exponential family have been used in classical statistics for decades. However, it has recently obtained additional importance due to its use and appeal to the machine learning community. A fundamental treatment of the general Exponential family is provided in this chapter. Classic expositions are available in Barndorff-Nielsen (1978), Brown (1986), and Lehmann and Casella (1998). An excellent recent treatment is available in Bickel and Doksum (2006).

18.1 One Parameter Exponential Family

Exponential families can have any finite number of parameters. For instance, as we will see, a normal distribution with a known mean is in the one parameter Exponential family, while a normal distribution with both parameters unknown is in the two parameter Exponential family. A bivariate normal distribution with all parameters unknown is in the five parameter Exponential family. As another example, if we take a normal distribution in which the mean and the variance are functionally related, e.g., the $N(\mu, \mu^2)$ distribution, then the distribution will be neither in the one parameter nor in the two parameter Exponential family, but in a family called a *curved Exponential family.* We start with the one parameter regular Exponential family.

18.1.1 Definition and First Examples

We start with an illustrative example that brings out some of the most important properties of distributions in an Exponential family.

Example 18.1. (Normal Distribution with a Known Mean). Suppose $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$. Then the density of X is

$$f(x \,|\, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}}.$$

This density is parametrized by a single parameter σ. Writing

$$\eta(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}, T(x) = x^2, \psi(\sigma) = \log \sigma, h(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}},$$

we can represent the density in the form

$$f(x \,|\, \sigma) = e^{\eta(\sigma)T(x) - \psi(\sigma)} h(x),$$

for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}_+$.

Next, suppose that we have an iid sample $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$. Then the joint density of $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n$ is

$$f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \,|\, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma^n (2\pi)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2\sigma^2}} I_{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in \mathcal{R}}.$$

Now writing

$$\eta(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}, T(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2, \psi(\sigma) = n \log \sigma,$$

and

$$h(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} I_{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in \mathcal{R}},$$

once again we can represent the joint density in the same general form

$$f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \,|\, \sigma) = e^{\eta(\sigma) T(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) - \psi(\sigma)} h(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n).$$

We notice that in this representation of the joint density $f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \,|\, \sigma)$, the statistic $T(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$ is still a one dimensional statistic, namely, $T(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$. Using the fact that the sum of squares of n independent standard normal variables is a chi square variable with n degrees of freedom, we have that the density of $T(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$ is

$$f_T(t \,|\, \sigma) = \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2\sigma^2}} t^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}{\sigma^n 2^{n/2} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} I_{t>0}.$$

This time, writing

$$\eta(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}, S(t) = t, \psi(\sigma) = n \log \sigma, h(t) = \frac{1}{2^{n/2} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} I_{t>0},$$

once again we are able to write even the density of $T(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ in that same general form

$$f_T(t \,|\, \sigma) = e^{\eta(\sigma) S(t) - \psi(\sigma)} h(t).$$

Clearly, something very interesting is going on. We started with a basic density in a specific form, namely, $f(x \,|\, \sigma) = e^{\eta(\sigma) T(x) - \psi(\sigma)} h(x)$, and then we found that the joint density and the density of the relevant one dimensional statistic $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ in that joint density, are once again densities of exactly that same general form. It turns out that all of these phenomena are true of the entire family of densities which can be written in that general form, which is the one parameter Exponential family. Let us formally define it and we will then extend the definition to distributions with more than one parameter.

Definition 18.1. Let $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)$ be a d-dimensional random vector with a distribution $P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.

Suppose $X_1, \cdots, X_d$ are jointly continuous. The family of distributions $\{P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ is said to belong to the *one parameter Exponential family* if the density of $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)$ may be represented in the form

$$f(x \,|\, \theta) = e^{\eta(\theta) T(x) - \psi(\theta)} h(x),$$

for <mark>some real valued functions $T(x), \psi(\theta)$ and $h(x) \geq 0$.</mark>

If $X_1, \cdots, X_d$ are jointly discrete, then $\{P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ is said to belong to the one parameter Exponential family if the joint pmf $p(x \,|\theta) = P_\theta(X_1 = x_1, \cdots, X_d = x_d)$ may be written in the form

$$p(x \,|\theta) = e^{\eta(\theta)T(x) - \psi(\theta)} h(x),$$

for some real valued functions $T(x), \psi(\theta)$ and $h(x) \geq 0$.

Note that the functions η, T and h are not unique. For example, in the product ηT, we can multiply T by some constant c and divide η by it. Similarly, we can play with constants in the function h.

Definition 18.2. Suppose $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)$ has a distribution $P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta$, belonging to the one parameter Exponential family. Then the statistic <mark>$T(X)$ is called *the natural sufficient statistic*</mark> for the family $\{P_\theta\}$.

The notion of a sufficient statistic is a fundamental one in statistical theory and its applications. Sufficiency was introduced into the statistical literature by Sir Ronald A. Fisher (Fisher (1922)). Sufficiency attempts to formalize the notion of *no loss of information*. A sufficient statistic is supposed to contain by itself all of the information about the unknown parameters of the underlying distribution that the entire sample could have provided. In that sense, there is nothing to lose by restricting attention to just a sufficient statistic in one's inference process. However, the form of a sufficient statistic is very much dependent on the choice of a particular distribution P_θ for modelling the observable X. Still, reduction to sufficiency in widely used models usually makes just simple common sense. We will come back to the issue of sufficiency once again later in this chapter.

We will now see examples of a few more common distributions that belong to the one parameter Exponential family.

Example 18.2. (Binomial Distribution). Let $X \sim Bin(n, p)$, with $n \geq 1$ considered as known, and $0 < p < 1$ a parameter. We represent the pmf of X in the one parameter Exponential family form.

$$f(x \,|p) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} I_{\{x \in \{0,1,\cdots,n\}\}} = \binom{n}{x} \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^x (1-p)^n I_{\{x \in \{0,1,\cdots,n\}\}}$$

$$= \binom{n}{x} e^{x \log \frac{p}{1-p} + n \log(1-p)} I_{\{x \in \{0,1,\cdots,n\}\}}.$$

Writing $\eta(p) = \log \frac{p}{1-p}, T(x) = x, \psi(p) = -n \log(1-p)$, and $h(x) = \binom{n}{x} I_{\{x \in \{0,1,\cdots,n\}\}}$, we have represented the pmf $f(x \,|p)$ in the one parameter Exponential family form, as long as $p \in (0, 1)$. For $p = 0$ or 1, the distribution becomes a one point distribution. Consequently, the family of distributions $\{f(x \,|p), 0 < p < 1\}$ forms a one parameter Exponential family, but if either of the boundary values $p = 0, 1$ is included, the family is not in the Exponential family.

Example 18.3. (Normal Distribution with a Known Variance). Suppose $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where σ is considered known, and $\mu \in \mathcal{R}$ a parameter. Then,

$$f(x \,|\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} + \mu x - \frac{\mu^2}{2}} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}},$$

which can be written in the one parameter Exponential family form by witing $\eta(\mu) = \mu, T(x) = x, \psi(\mu) = \frac{\mu^2}{2}$, and $h(x) = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}}$. So, the family of distributions $\{f(x \mid \mu), \mu \in \mathcal{R}\}$ forms a one parameter Exponential family.

Example 18.4. (Errors in Variables). Suppose U, V, W are independent normal variables, with U and V being $N(\mu, 1)$ and W being $N(0, 1)$. Let $X_1 = U + W$ and $X_2 = V + W$. In other words, a common error of measurement W contaminates both U and V.

Let $X = (X_1, X_2)$. Then X has a bivariate normal distribution with means μ, μ, variances $2, 2$, and a correlation parameter $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, the density of X is

$$f(x \mid \mu) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}\pi} e^{-\frac{2}{3}\left[\frac{(x_1 - \mu)^2}{2} + \frac{(x_2 - \mu)^2}{2} - 2(x_1 - \mu)(x_2 - \mu)\right]} I_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{R}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}\pi} e^{\left[\frac{2}{3}\mu(x_1 + x_2) - \frac{2}{3}\mu^2\right]} e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4x_1 x_2}{3}} I_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{R}}.$$

This is in the form of a one parameter Exponential family with the natural sufficient statistic $T(X) = T(X_1, X_2) = X_1 + X_2$.

Example 18.5. (Gamma Distribution). Suppose X has the Gamma density $\frac{e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda}} x^{\alpha - 1}}{\lambda^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} I_{x > 0}$. As such, it has two parameters λ, α. If we assume that α is known, then we may write the density in the one parameter Exponential family form:

$$f(x \mid \lambda) = e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda} - \alpha \log \lambda} \frac{x^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} I_{x > 0},$$

and recognize it as a density in the Exponential family with $\eta(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda}, T(x) = x, \psi(\lambda) = \alpha \log \lambda, h(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} I_{x > 0}$.

If we assume that λ is known, once again, by writing the density as

$$f(x \mid \alpha) = e^{\alpha \log x - \alpha(\log \lambda) - \log \Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda}} I_{x > 0},$$

we recognize it as a density in the Exponential family with $\eta(\alpha) = \alpha, T(x) = \log x, \psi(\alpha) = \alpha(\log \lambda) + \log \Gamma(\alpha), h(x) = e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda}} I_{x > 0}$.

Example 18.6. (An Unusual Gamma Distribution). Suppose we have a Gamma density in which the mean is known, say, $E(X) = 1$. This means that $\alpha \lambda = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Parametrizing the density with α, we have

$$f(x \mid \alpha) = e^{-\alpha x + \alpha \log x} \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{x} I_{x > 0}$$

$$= e^{\alpha \left[\log x - x\right] - \left[\log \Gamma(\alpha) - \alpha \log \alpha\right]} \frac{1}{x} I_{x > 0},$$

which is once again in the one parameter Exponential family form with $\eta(\alpha) = \alpha, T(x) = \log x - x, \psi(\alpha) = \log \Gamma(\alpha) - \alpha \log \alpha, h(x) = \frac{1}{x} I_{x > 0}$.

Example 18.7. (A Normal Distribution Truncated to a Set). Suppose a certain random variable W has a normal distribution with mean μ and variance one. We saw in Example 18.3

501

that this is in the one parameter Exponential family. Suppose now that the variable W can be physically observed only when its value is inside some set A. For instance, if $W > 2$, then our measuring instruments cannot tell what the value of W is. In such a case, the variable X that is truly observed has a normal distribution truncated to the set A. For simplicity, take A to be $A = [a, b]$, an interval. Then, the density of X is

$$f(x \mid \mu) = \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}[\Phi(b-\mu) - \Phi(a-\mu)]} I_{a \leq x \leq b}.$$

This can be written as

$$f(x \mid \mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\mu x - \frac{\mu^2}{2} - \log\left[\Phi(b-\mu) - \Phi(a-\mu)\right]} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} I_{a \leq x \leq b},$$

and we recognize this to be in the Exponential family form with $\eta(\mu) = \mu, T(x) = x, \psi(\mu) = \frac{\mu^2}{2} + \log[\Phi(b-\mu) - \Phi(a-\mu)]$, and $h(x) = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} I_{a \leq x \leq b}$. Thus, the distribution of W truncated to $A = [a, b]$ is still in the one parameter Exponential family. This phenomenon is in fact more general.

Example 18.8. (Some Distributions not in the Exponential Family). It is clear from the definition of a one parameter Exponential family that if a certain family of distributions $\{P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ belongs to the one parameter Exponential family, then each P_θ has exactly the same support. Precisely, for any fixed θ, $P_\theta(A) > 0$ if and only if $\int_A h(x)dx > 0$, and in the discrete case, $P_\theta(A) > 0$ if and only if $A \cap \mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset$, where $\mathcal{X}$ is the countable set $\mathcal{X} = \{x : h(x) > 0\}$. As a consequence of this common support fact, the so called *irregular distributions* whose support depends on the parameter cannot be members of the Exponential family. Examples would be the family of $U[0, \theta], U[-\theta, \theta]$ distributions, etc. Likewise, the *shifted Exponential density* $f(x \mid \theta) = e^{\theta - x} I_{x > \theta}$ cannot be in the Exponential family.

Some other common distributions are also not in the Exponential family, but for other reasons. An important example is the family of Cauchy distributions given by the location parameter form $f(x \mid \mu) = \frac{1}{\pi[1+(x-\mu)^2]} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}}$. Suppose that it is. Then, we can find functions $\eta(\mu), T(x)$ such that for all x, μ,

$$e^{\eta(\mu)T(x)} = \frac{1}{1 + (x - \mu)^2} \Rightarrow \eta(\mu)T(x) = -\log(1 + (x - \mu)^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \eta(0)T(x) = -\log(1 + x^2) \Rightarrow T(x) = -c\log(1 + x^2)$$

for some constant c.

Plugging this back, we get, for all x, μ,

$$-c\eta(\mu)\log(1 + x^2) = -\log(1 + (x - \mu)^2) \Rightarrow \eta(\mu) = \frac{1}{c}\frac{\log(1 + (x - \mu)^2)}{\log(1 + x^2)}.$$

This means that $\frac{\log(1+(x-\mu)^2)}{\log(1+x^2)}$ must be a constant function of x, which is a contradiction. The choice of $\mu = 0$ as the special value of μ is not important.

18.2 The Canonical Form and Basic Properties

Suppose $\{P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ is a family belonging to the one parameter Exponential family, with density (or pmf) of the form $f(x \mid \theta) = e^{\eta(\theta)T(x) - \psi(\theta)}h(x)$. If $\eta(\theta)$ is a one-to-one function of θ, then we can

drop θ altogether, and parametrize the distribution in terms of η itself. If we do that, we get a reparametrized density g in the form $e^{\eta T(x) - \psi^*(\eta)} h(x)$. By a slight abuse of notation, we will again use the notation f for g and ψ for ψ^*.

Definition 18.3. Let $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)$ have a distribution $P_\eta, \eta \in \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$. The family of distributions $\{P_\eta, \eta \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to belong to the *canonical one parameter Exponential family* if the density (pmf) of P_η may be written in the form

$$f(x\,|\eta) = e^{\eta T(x) - \psi(\eta)} h(x),$$

where

$$\eta \in \mathcal{T} = \{\eta : e^{\psi(\eta)} = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{\eta T(x)} h(x) dx < \infty\},$$

in the continuous case, and

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\eta : e^{\psi(\eta)} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} e^{\eta T(x)} h(x) < \infty\},$$

in the discrete case, with $\mathcal{X}$ being the countable set on which $h(x) > 0$.

For a distribution in the canonical one parameter Exponential family, the parameter η is called the *natural parameter*, and $\mathcal{T}$ is called *the natural parameter space.* Note that $\mathcal{T}$ describes the largest set of values of η for which the density (pmf) can be defined. In a particular application, we may have extraneous knowledge that η belongs to some proper subset of $\mathcal{T}$. Thus, $\{P_\eta\}$ with $\eta \in \mathcal{T}$ is called the *full canonical one parameter Exponential family*. We generally refer to the full family, unless otherwise stated.

The canonical Exponential family is called *regular* if $\mathcal{T}$ is an open set in $\mathcal{R}$, and it is called *nonsingular* if $\text{Var}_\eta(T(X)) > 0$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{T}^0$, the interior of the natural parameter space $\mathcal{T}$.

It is analytically convenient to work with an Exponential family distribution in its canonical form. Once a result has been derived for the canonical form, if desired we can rewrite the answer in terms of the original parameter θ. Doing this retransformation at the end is algebraically and notationally simpler than carrying the original function $\eta(\theta)$ and often its higher derivatives with us throughout a calculation. Most of our formulae and theorems below will be given for the canonical form.

Example 18.9. (Binomial Distribution in Canonical Form). Let $X \sim Bin(n, p)$ with the pmf $\binom{n}{x} p^x (1 - p)^{n-x} I_{x \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}}$. In Example 18.2, we represented this pmf in the Exponential family form

$$f(x\,|p) = e^{x \log \frac{p}{1-p} - n\log(1-p)} \binom{n}{x} I_{x \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}}.$$

If we write $\log \frac{p}{1-p} = \eta$, then $\frac{p}{1-p} = e^\eta$, and hence, $p = \frac{e^\eta}{1+e^\eta}$, and $1 - p = \frac{1}{1+e^\eta}$. Therefore, the canonical Exponential family form of the binomial distribution is

$$f(x\,|\eta) = e^{\eta x - n \log(1+e^\eta)} \binom{n}{x} I_{x \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}},$$

and the natural parameter space is $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{R}$.

18.2.1 Convexity Properties

Written in its canonical form, a density (pmf) in an Exponential family has some convexity properties. These convexity properties are useful in manipulating with moments and other functionals of $T(X)$, the natural sufficient statistic appearing in the expression for the density of the distribution.

Theorem 18.1. The natural parameter space $\mathcal{T}$ is convex, and $\psi(\eta)$ is a convex function on $\mathcal{T}$.

Proof: We consider the continuous case only, as the discrete case admits basically the same proof. Let η_1, η_2 be two members of $\mathcal{T}$, and let $0 < \alpha < 1$. We need to show that $\alpha\eta_1 + (1-\alpha)\eta_2$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}$, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{(\alpha\eta_1 + (1-\alpha)\eta_2)T(x)} h(x) dx < \infty.$$

But,

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{(\alpha\eta_1 + (1-\alpha)\eta_2)T(x)} h(x) dx = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{\alpha\eta_1 T(x)} \times e^{(1-\alpha)\eta_2 T(x)} h(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{R}^d} \left(e^{\eta_1 T(x)} \right)^\alpha \left(e^{\eta_2 T(x)} \right)^{1-\alpha} h(x) dx$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{\eta_1 T(x)} h(x) dx \right)^\alpha \left(\int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{\eta_2 T(x)} h(x) dx \right)^{1-\alpha}$$

(by Holder's inequality)

$$< \infty,$$

because, by hypothesis, $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{T}$, and hence, $\int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{\eta_1 T(x)} h(x) dx$, and $\int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{\eta_2 T(x)} h(x) dx$ are both finite.

Note that in this argument, we have actually proved the inequality

$$e^{\psi(\alpha\eta_1 + (1-\alpha)\eta_2)} \leq e^{\alpha\psi(\eta_1) + (1-\alpha)\psi(\eta_2)}.$$

But this is the same as saying

$$\psi(\alpha\eta_1 + (1-\alpha)\eta_2) \leq \alpha\psi(\eta_1) + (1-\alpha)\psi(\eta_2),$$

i.e., $\psi(\eta)$ is a convex function on $\mathcal{T}$. ♣

18.2.2 Moments and Moment Generating Function

The next result is a very special fact about the canonical Exponential family, and is the source of a large number of closed form formulas valid for the entire canonical Exponential family. The fact itself is actually a fact in mathematical analysis. Due to the special form of Exponential family densities, the fact in analysis translates to results for the Exponential family, an instance of interplay between mathematics and statistics and probability.

Theorem 18.2. (a) The function $e^{\psi(\eta)}$ is infinitely differentiable at every $\eta \in \mathcal{T}^0$. Furthermore, in the continuous case, $e^{\psi(\eta)} = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{\eta T(x)} h(x) dx$ can be differentiated any number of times inside the integral sign, and in the discrete case, $e^{\psi(\eta)} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} e^{\eta T(x)} h(x)$ can be differentiated any number of times inside the sum.

(b) In the continuous case, for any $k \geq 1$,

$$\frac{d^k}{d\eta^k} e^{\psi(\eta)} = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d} [T(x)]^k e^{\eta T(x)} h(x) dx,$$

504

and in the discrete case,

$$\frac{d^k}{d\eta^k}e^{\psi(\eta)} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}}[T(x)]^k e^{\eta T(x)}h(x).$$

Proof: Take $k = 1$. Then, by the definition of derivative of a function, $\frac{d}{d\eta}e^{\psi(\eta)}$ exists if and only if $\lim_{\delta \to 0}\left[\frac{e^{\psi(\eta+\delta)}-e^{\psi(\eta)}}{\delta}\right]$ exists. But,

$$\frac{e^{\psi(\eta+\delta)} - e^{\psi(\eta)}}{\delta} = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d}\frac{e^{(\eta+\delta)T(x)} - e^{\eta T(x)}}{\delta}h(x)dx,$$

and by an application of the Dominated convergence theorem (see Chapter 7), $\lim_{\delta \to 0}\int_{\mathcal{R}^d}\frac{e^{(\eta+\delta)T(x)}-e^{\eta T(x)}}{\delta}h(x)dx$ exists, and the limit can be carried inside the integral, to give

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0}\int_{\mathcal{R}^d}\frac{e^{(\eta+\delta)T(x)} - e^{\eta T(x)}}{\delta}h(x)dx = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d}\lim_{\delta \to 0}\frac{e^{(\eta+\delta)T(x)} - e^{\eta T(x)}}{\delta}h(x)dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{R}^d}\frac{d}{d\eta}e^{\eta T(x)}h(x)dx = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d}T(x)e^{\eta T(x)}h(x)dx.$$

Now use induction on k by using the Dominated convergence theorem again. ♣

This compact formula for an arbitrary derivative of $e^{\psi(\eta)}$ leads to the following important moment formulas.

Theorem 18.3. At any $\eta \in \mathcal{T}^0$,

$$(a)\ E_\eta[T(X)] = \psi'(\eta);\ \ \text{Var}_\eta[T(X)] = \psi''(\eta);$$

(b) The coefficients of skewness and kurtosis of $T(X)$ equal

$$\beta(\eta) = \frac{\psi^{(3)}(\eta)}{[\psi''(\eta)]^{3/2}};\ \text{and } \gamma(\eta) = \frac{\psi^{(4)}(\eta)}{[\psi''(\eta)]^2};$$

(c) At any t such that $\eta + t \in \mathcal{T}$, the mgf of $T(X)$ exists and equals

$$M_\eta(t) = e^{\psi(\eta+t)-\psi(\eta)}.$$

Proof: Again, we take just the continuous case. Consider the result of the previous theorem that for any $k \geq 1$, $\frac{d^k}{d\eta^k}e^{\psi(\eta)} = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d}[T(x)]^k e^{\eta T(x)}h(x)dx$. Using this for $k = 1$, we get

$$\psi'(\eta)e^{\psi(\eta)} = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d}T(x)e^{\eta T(x)}h(x)dx \Rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{R}^d}T(x)e^{\eta T(x)-\psi(\eta)}h(x)dx = \psi'(\eta),$$

which gives the result $E_\eta[T(X)] = \psi'(\eta)$.

Similarly,

$$\frac{d^2}{d\eta^2}e^{\psi(\eta)} = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d}[T(x)]^2 e^{\eta T(x)}h(x)dx \Rightarrow [\psi''(\eta) + \{\psi'(\eta)\}^2]e^{\psi(\eta)} = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d}[T(x)]^2 e^{\eta T(x)}h(x)dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi''(\eta) + \{\psi'(\eta)\}^2 = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d}[T(x)]^2 e^{\eta T(x)-\psi(\eta)}h(x)dx,$$

which gives $E_\eta[T(X)]^2 = \psi''(\eta) + \{\psi'(\eta)\}^2$. Combine this with the already obtained result that $E_\eta[T(X)] = \psi'(\eta)$, and we get $\text{Var}_\eta[T(X)] = E_\eta[T(X)]^2 - (E_\eta[T(X)])^2 = \psi''(\eta)$.
The coefficient of skewness is defined as $\beta_\eta = \frac{E[T(X)-ET(X)]^3}{(\text{Var}T(X))^{3/2}}$. To obtain $E[T(X) - ET(X)]^3 = $

$E[T(X)]^3 - 3E[T(X)]^2 E[T(X)] + 2[ET(X)]^3$, use the identity $\frac{d^3}{d\eta^3} e^{\psi(\eta)} = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d} [T(x)]^3 e^{\eta T(x)} h(x) dx$. Then use the fact that the third derivative of $e^{\psi(\eta)}$ is $e^{\psi(\eta)} \left[\psi^{(3)}(\eta) + 3\psi'(\eta)\psi''(\eta) + \{\psi'(\eta)\}^3 \right]$. As we did in our proofs for the mean and the variance above, transfer $e^{\psi(\eta)}$ into the integral on the right hand side and then simplify. This will give $E[T(X) - ET(X)]^3 = \psi^{(3)}(\eta)$, and the skewness formula follows. The formula for kurtosis is proved by the same argument, using $k = 4$ in the derivative identity $\frac{d^k}{d\eta^k} e^{\psi(\eta)} = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d} [T(x)]^k e^{\eta T(x)} h(x) dx$.

Finally, for the mgf formula,

$$M_\eta(t) = E_\eta[e^{tT(X)}] = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{tT(X)} e^{\eta T(x) - \psi(\eta)} h(x) dx = e^{-\psi(\eta)} \int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{(t+\eta)T(x)} h(x) dx$$

$$= e^{-\psi(\eta)} e^{\psi(t+\eta)} \int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{(t+\eta)T(x) - \psi(t+\eta)} h(x) dx = e^{-\psi(\eta)} e^{\psi(t+\eta)} \times 1$$

$$= e^{\psi(t+\eta) - \psi(\eta)}.$$

An important consequence of the mean and the variance formulas is the following monotonicity ♣ result.

Corollary 18.1. For a nonsingular canonical Exponential family, $E_\eta[T(X)]$ is strictly increasing in η on $\mathcal{T}^0$.

Proof: From part (a) of Theorem 18.3, the variance of $T(X)$ is the derivative of the expectation of $T(X)$, and by nonsingularity, the variance is strictly positive. This implies that the expectation is strictly increasing.

As a consequence of this strict monotonicity of the mean of $T(X)$ in the natural parameter, non-singular canonical Exponential families may be reparametrized by using the mean of T itself as the parameter. This is useful for some purposes.

Example 18.10. (Binomial Distribution). From Example 18.9, in the canonical representation of the binomial distribution, $\psi(\eta) = n \log(1 + e^\eta)$. By direct differentiation,

$$\psi'(\eta) = \frac{ne^\eta}{1 + e^\eta}; \ \psi''(\eta) = \frac{ne^\eta}{(1 + e^\eta)^2};$$

$$\psi^{(3)}(\eta) = \frac{-ne^\eta(e^\eta - 1)}{(1 + e^\eta)^3}; \ \psi^{(4)}(\eta) = \frac{ne^\eta(e^{2\eta} - 4e^\eta + 1)}{(1 + e^\eta)^4}.$$

Now recall from Example 18.9 that the success probability p and the natural parameter η are related as $p = \frac{e^\eta}{1+e^\eta}$. Using this, and our general formulas from Theorem 18.3, we can rewrite the mean, variance, skewness, and kurtosis of X as

$$E(X) = np; \ \text{Var}(X) = np(1-p); \ \beta_p = \frac{1 - 2p}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}}; \ \gamma_p = \frac{\frac{1}{p(1-p)} - 6}{n}.$$

For completeness, it is useful to have the mean and the variance formula in an original parametrization, and they are stated below. The proof follows from an application of Theorem 18.3 and the chain rule.

Theorem 18.4. Let $\{P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ be a family of distributions in the one parameter Exponential family with density (pmf)

$$f(x \mid \theta) = e^{\eta(\theta)T(x) - \psi(\theta)} h(x).$$

Then, at any θ at which $\eta'(\theta) \neq 0$,

$$E_\theta[T(X)] = \frac{\psi'(\theta)}{\eta'(\theta)}; \ \ \mathrm{Var}_\theta(T(X)) = \frac{\psi''(\theta)}{[\eta'(\theta)]^2} - \frac{\psi'(\theta)\eta''(\theta)}{[\eta'(\theta)]^3}.$$

18.2.3 Closure Properties

The Exponential family satisfies a number of important closure properties. For instance, if a d-dimensional random vector $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)$ has a distribution in the Exponential family, then the conditional distribution of any subvector given the rest is also in the Exponential family. There are a number of such closure properties, of which we will discuss only four.

First, if $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)$ has a distribution in the Exponential family, then the natural sufficient statistic $T(X)$ also has a distribution in the Exponential family. Verification of this in the greatest generality cannot be done without using measure theory. However, we can easily demonstrate this in some particular cases. Consider the continuous case with $d = 1$ and suppose $T(X)$ is a differentiable one-to-one function of X. Then, by the Jacobian formula (see Chapter 1), $T(X)$ has the density

$$f_T(t \mid \eta) = e^{\eta t - \psi(\eta)} \frac{h(T^{-1}(t))}{|T'(T^{-1}(t))|}.$$

This is once again in the one parameter Exponential family form, with the natural sufficient statistic as T itself, and the ψ function unchanged. The h function has changed to a new function $h^*(t) = \frac{h(T^{-1}(t))}{|T'(T^{-1}(t))|}$.

Similarly, in the discrete case, the pmf of $T(X)$ will be given by

$$P_\eta(T(X) = t) = \sum_{x:\, T(x)=t} e^{\eta T(x) - \psi(\eta)} h(x) = e^{\eta t - \psi(\eta)} h^*(t),$$

where $h^*(t) = \sum_{x:\, T(x)=t} h(x)$.

Next, suppose $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)$ has a density (pmf) $f(x \mid \eta)$ in the Exponential family and $Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_n$ are n iid observations from this density $f(x \mid \eta)$. Note that each individual Y_i is a d-dimensional vector. The joint density of $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_n)$ is

$$f(y \mid \eta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i \mid \eta) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\eta T(y_i) - \psi(\eta)} h(y_i)$$

$$= e^{\eta \sum_{i=1}^n T(y_i) - n\psi(\eta)} \prod_{i=1}^n h(y_i).$$

We recognize this to be in the one parameter Exponential family form again, with the natural sufficient statistic as $\sum_{i=1}^n T(Y_i)$, the new ψ function as $n\psi$, and the new h function as $\prod_{i=1}^n h(y_i)$. The joint density $\prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i \mid \eta)$ is known as *the likelihood function* in statistics (see Chapter 3). So, likelihood functions obtained from an iid sample from a distribution in the one parameter Exponential family are also members of the one parameter Exponential family.

The closure properties outlined in the above are formally stated in the next theorem.

Theorem 18.5. Suppose $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)$ has a distribution belonging to the one parameter Exponential family with the natural sufficient statistic $T(X)$.

(a) $T = T(X)$ also has a distribution belonging to the one parameter Exponential family.

(b) Let $Y = AX + u$ be a nonsingular linear transformation of X. Then Y also has a distribution belonging to the one parameter Exponential family.

(c) Let $\mathcal{I}_0$ be any proper subset of $\mathcal{I} = \{1, 2, \cdots, d\}$. Then the joint conditional distribution of $X_i, i \in \mathcal{I}_0$ given $X_j, j \in \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{I}_0$ also belongs to the one parameter Exponential family.

(d) For given $n \geq 1$, suppose $Y_1, \cdots, Y_n$ are iid with the same distribution as X. Then the joint distribution of $(Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)$ also belongs to the one parameter Exponential family.

18.3 Multiparameter Exponential Family

Similar to the case of distributions with only one parameter, several common distributions with multiple parameters also belong to a general multiparameter Exponential family. An example is the normal distribution on $\mathcal{R}$ with both parameters unknown. Another example is a multivariate normal distribution. Analytic techniques and properties of multiparameter Exponential families are very similar to those of the one parameter Exponential family. Because of that reason, most of our presentation in this section dwells on examples.

Definition 18.4. Let $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)$ have a distribution $P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta \subseteq \mathcal{R}^k$. The family of distributions $\{P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ is said to belong to the k-parameter Exponential family if its density (pmf) may be represented in the form

$$f(x \,|\, \theta) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i(\theta) T_i(x) - \psi(\theta)} h(x).$$

Again, obviously, the choice of the relevant functions η_i, T_i, h is not unique. As in the one parameter case, the vector of statistics $(T_1, \cdots, T_k)$ is called the natural sufficient statistic, and if we reparametrize by using $\eta_i = \eta_i(\theta), i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$, the family is called the k-parameter canonical Exponential family.

There is an implicit assumption in this definition that the number of *freely varying* θ's is the same as the number of freely varying η's, and that these are both equal to the specific k in the context. The formal way to say this is to assume the following:

Assumption The dimension of Θ as well as the dimension of the image of Θ under the map $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_k) \longrightarrow (\eta_1(\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_k), \eta_2(\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_k), \cdots, \eta_k(\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_k))$ are equal to k.

There are some important examples where this assumption does not hold. They will not be counted as members of a k-parameter Exponential family. The name curved Exponential family is commonly used for them, and this will be discussed in the last section.

The terms *canonical form, natural parameter, and natural parameter space* will mean the same things as in the one parameter case. Thus, if we parametrize the distributions by using $\eta_1, \eta_2, \cdots, \eta_k$ as the k parameters, then the vector $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \cdots, \eta_k)$ is called the natural parameter vector, the parametrization $f(x \,|\, \eta) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i T_i(x) - \psi(\eta)} h(x)$ is called the canonical form, and the set of all vectors η for which $f(x \,|\, \eta)$ is a valid density (pmf) is called the natural parameter space. The main theorems for the case $k = 1$ hold for a general k.

Theorem 18.6. The results of Theorem 18.1 and 18.5 hold for the k-parameter Exponential family.

The proofs are almost verbatim the same. The moment formulas differ somewhat due to the presence of more than one parameter in the current context.

Theorem 18.7. Suppose $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)$ has a distribution $P\eta, \eta \in \mathcal{T}$, belonging to the canonical k-parameter Exponential family, with a density (pmf)

$$f(x \,|\eta) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i T_i(x) - \psi(\eta)} h(x),$$

where

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\eta \in \mathcal{R}^k : \int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i T_i(x)} h(x) dx < \infty\}$$

(and the integral being replaced by a sum in the discrete case).
(a) At any $\eta \in \mathcal{T}^0$,

$$e^{\psi(\eta)} = \int_{\mathcal{R}^d} e^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i T_i(x)} h(x) dx$$

is infinitely partially differentiable with respect to each η_i, and the partial derivatives of any order can be obtained by differentiating inside the integral sign.

$$(b)\ E_\eta[T_i(X)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} \psi(\eta); \ \ \text{Cov}_\eta(T_i(X), T_j(X)) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta_i \partial \eta_j} \psi(\eta), 1 \le i, j \le k.$$

(c) If η, t are such that $\eta, \eta + t \in \mathcal{T}$, then the joint mgf of $(T_1(X), \cdots, T_k(X))$ exists and equals

$$M_\eta(t) = e^{\psi(\eta + t) - \psi(\eta)}.$$

An important new terminology is that of a *full rank*.

Definition 18.5. A family of distributions $\{P\eta, \eta \in \mathcal{T}\}$ belonging to the canonical k-parameter Exponential family is called full rank if at every $\eta \in \mathcal{T}^0$, the $k \times k$ covariance matrix $\left(\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta_i \partial \eta_j} \psi(\eta) \right) \right)$ is nonsingular.

Definition 18.6. (Fisher Information Matrix). Suppose a family of distributions in the canonical k-parameter Exponential family is nonsingular. Then, for $\eta \in \mathcal{T}^0$, the matrix $\left(\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta_i \partial \eta_j} \psi(\eta) \right) \right)$ is called the Fisher information matrix (at η).

The Fisher information matrix is of paramount importance in parametric statistical theory and lies at the heart of finite and large sample optimality theory in statistical inference problems for general regular parametric families.

We will now see some examples of distributions in k-parameter Exponential families where $k > 1$.

Example 18.11. (Two Parameter Normal Distribution). Suppose $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, and we consider both μ, σ to be parameters. If we denote $(\mu, \sigma) = (\theta_1, \theta_2) = \theta$, then parametrized by θ, the density of X is

$$f(x \,|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\theta_2} e^{-\frac{(x - \theta_1)^2}{2\theta_2^2}} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\theta_2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\theta_2^2} + \frac{\theta_1 x}{\theta_2^2} - \frac{\theta_1^2}{2\theta_2^2}} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}}.$$

This is in the two parameter Exponential family with

$$\eta_1(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2\theta_2^2}, \eta_2(\theta) = \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2^2}, T_1(x) = x^2, T_2(x) = x,$$

$$\psi(\theta) = \frac{\theta_1^2}{2\theta_2^2} + \log \theta_2, h(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}}.$$

509

The parameter space in the θ parametrization is

$$\Theta = (-\infty, \infty) \otimes (0, \infty).$$

If we want the canonical form, we let $\eta_1 = -\frac{1}{2\theta_2^2}, \eta_2 = \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2^2}$, and $\psi(\eta) = -\frac{\eta_2^2}{4\eta_1} - \frac{1}{2}\log(-\eta_1)$. The natural parameter space for (η_1, η_2) is $(-\infty, 0) \otimes (-\infty, \infty)$.

Example 18.12. (Two Parameter Gamma). It was seen in Example 18.5 that if we fix one of the two parameters of a Gamma distribution, then it becomes a member of the one parameter Exponential family. We show in this example that the general Gamma distribution is a member of the two parameter Exponential family. To show this, just observe that with $\theta = (\alpha, \lambda) = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$,

$$f(x\,|\,\theta) = e^{-\frac{x}{\theta_2} + \theta_1 \log x - \theta_1 \log \theta_2 - \log \Gamma(\theta_1)} \frac{1}{x} I_{x>0}.$$

This is in the two parameter Exponential family with $\eta_1(\theta) = -\frac{1}{\theta_2}, \eta_2(\theta) = \theta_1, T_1(x) = x, T_2(x) = \log x, \psi(\theta) = \theta_1 \log \theta_2 + \log \Gamma(\theta_1)$, and $h(x) = \frac{1}{x} I_{x>0}$. The parameter space in the θ-parametrization is $(0, \infty) \otimes (0, \infty)$. For the canonical form, use $\eta_1 = -\frac{1}{\theta_2}, \eta_2 = \theta_1$, and so, the natural parameter space is $(-\infty, 0) \otimes (0, \infty)$. The natural sufficient statistic is $(X, \log X)$.

Example 18.13. (The General Multivariate Normal Distribution). Suppose $X \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$, where μ is arbitrary and Σ is positive definite (and of course, symmetric). Writing $\theta = (\mu, \Sigma)$, we can think of θ as a subset in an Euclidean space of dimension

$$k = d + d + \frac{d^2 - d}{2} = d + \frac{d(d+1)}{2} = \frac{d(d+3)}{2}.$$

The density of X is

$$f(x\,|\,\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}|\Sigma|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)'\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}^d}.$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}|\Sigma|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x'\Sigma^{-1}x + \mu'\Sigma^{-1}x - \frac{1}{2}\mu'\Sigma^{-1}\mu} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}^d}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}|\Sigma|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum\sum_{i,j}\sigma^{ij}x_i x_j + \sum_i(\sum_k \sigma^{ki}\mu_k)x_i - \frac{1}{2}\mu'\Sigma^{-1}\mu} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}^d}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}|\Sigma|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \sigma^{ii}x_i^2 - \sum\sum_{i<j}\sigma^{ij}x_i x_j + \sum_i(\sum_k \sigma^{ki}\mu_k)x_i - \frac{1}{2}\mu'\Sigma^{-1}\mu} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}^d}.$$

We have thus represented the density of X in the k-parameter Exponential family form with the k-dimensional natural sufficient statistic

$$T(X) = (X_1, \cdots, X_d, X_1^2, \cdots, X_d^2, X_1 X_2, \cdots, X_{d-1}X_d),$$

and the natural parameters defined by

$$\sum_k \sigma^{k1}\mu_k, \cdots, \sum_k \sigma^{kd}\mu_k, -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{11}, \cdots, -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{dd}, -\sigma^{12}, \cdots, -\sigma^{d-1,d}.$$

Example 18.14. (Multinomial Distribution). Consider the $k+1$ cell multinomial distribution with cell probabilities $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_k, p_{k+1} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^k p_i$. Writing $\theta = (p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_k)$, the joint pmf of $X = (X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_k)$, the cell frequencies of the first k cells, is

$$f(x\,|\,\theta) = \frac{n!}{(\prod_{i=1}^k x_i!)(n - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i)!} \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{x_i} (1 - \sum_{i=1}^k p_i)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i} I_{x_1, \cdots, x_k \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \leq n}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{(\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_i!)(n - \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i)!} e^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\log p_i) x_i - \log(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i)(\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i) + n \log(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i)} I_{x_1, \cdots, x_k \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i \leq n}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{(\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_i!)(n - \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i)!} e^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\log \frac{p_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i}) x_i + n \log(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i)} I_{x_1, \cdots, x_k \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i \leq n}.$$

This is in the k-parameter Exponential family form with the natural sufficient statistic and natural parameters

$$T(X) = (X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_k), \ \eta_i = \log \frac{p_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i}, 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Example 18.15. (Two Parameter Inverse Gaussian Distribution). It was shown in Theorem 11.5 that for the simple symmetric random walk on $\mathcal{R}$, the time of the rth return to zero ν_r satisfies the weak convergence result

$$P(\frac{\nu_r}{r^2} \leq x) \rightarrow 2[1 - \Phi(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}})], x > 0,$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The density of this limiting CDF is $f(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2x}} x^{-3/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} I_{x>0}$. This is a special *inverse Gaussian distribution*. The general inverse Gaussian distribution has the density

$$f(x \,|\, \theta_1, \theta_2) = \left(\frac{\theta_2}{\pi x^3} \right)^{1/2} e^{-\theta_1 x - \frac{\theta_2}{x} + 2\sqrt{\theta_1 \theta_2}} I_{x>0};$$

the parameter space for $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$ is $[0, \infty) \otimes (0, \infty)$. Note that the special inverse Gaussian density ascribed to above corresponds to $\theta_1 = 0, \theta_2 = \frac{1}{2}$. The general inverse Gaussian density $f(x \,|\, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ is the density of the first time that a Wiener proces (starting at zero) hits the straight line with the equation $y = \sqrt{2\theta_2} - \sqrt{2\theta_1} t, t > 0$.

It is clear from the formula for $f(x \,|\, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ that it is a member of the two parameter Exponential family with the natural sufficient statistic $T(X) = (X, \frac{1}{X})$ and the natural parameter space $\mathcal{T} = (-\infty, 0] \otimes (-\infty, 0)$. Note that the natural parameter space is not open.

18.4 ∗ Sufficiency and Completeness

Exponential families under mild conditions on the parameter space Θ have the property that if a function $g(T)$ of the natural sufficient statistic $T = T(X)$ has zero expected value under each $\theta \in \Theta$, then $g(T)$ itself must be essentially identically equal to zero. A family of distributions that has this property is called a *complete family*. The completeness property, particularly in conjunction with the property of sufficiency, has had a historically important role in statistical inference. Lehmann (1959), Lehmann and Casella (1998) and Brown (1986) give many applications. However, our motivation for studying the completeness of a full rank Exponential family is primarily for presenting a well known theorem in statistics, which actually is also a very effective and efficient tool for probabilists. This theorem, known as *Basu's theorem* (Basu (1955)), is an efficient tool for probabilists in minimizing clumsy distributional calculations. Completeness is required in order to state Basu's theorem.

Definition 18.7. A family of distributions $\{P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ on some sample space $\mathcal{X}$ is called complete if $E_{P_\theta}[g(X)] = 0$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$ implies that $P_\theta(g(X) = 0) = 1$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$.

It is useful to first see an example of a family which is *not complete*.

Example 18.16. Suppose $X \sim Bin(2, p)$, and the parameter p is $\frac{1}{4}$ or $\frac{3}{4}$. In the notation of the definition of completeness, Θ is the two point set $\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\}$. Consider the function g defined by

$$g(0) = g(2) = 3, g(1) = -5.$$

Then,

$$E_p[g(X)] = g(0)(1-p)^2 + 2g(1)p(1-p) + g(2)p^2$$
$$= 16p^2 - 16p + 3 = 0, \text{ if } p = \frac{1}{4} \text{ or } \frac{3}{4}.$$

Therefore, we have exhibited a function g which violates the condition for completeness of this family of distributions.

Thus, completeness of a family of distributions is not universally true. The problem with the two point parameter set in the above example is that it is too small. If the parameter space is more rich, the family of Binomial distributions for any fixed n is in fact complete. In fact, any distribution in the general k-parameter Exponential family as a whole is a complete family, provided the set of parameter values is not too thin. Here is a general theorem.

Theorem 18.8. Suppose a family of distributions $\mathcal{F} = \{P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ belongs to a k-parameter Exponential family, and that the set Θ to which the parameter θ is known to belong has a nonempty interior. Then the family $\mathcal{F}$ is complete.

The proof of this requires the use of properties of functions which are *analytic* on a domain in $\mathcal{C}^k$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is the complex plane. We will not prove the theorem here; see Brown (1986) (pp 43) for a proof. The nonempty interior assumption is protecting us from the set Θ being too small.

Example 18.17. Suppose $X \sim Bin(n, p)$, where n is fixed, and the set of possible values for p contains an interval (however small). Then, in the terminology of the theorem above, Θ has a nonempty interior. Therefore, such a family of Binomial distributions is indeed complete. The only function $g(X)$ that satisfies $E_p[g(X)] = 0$, for all p in a set Θ that contains in it an interval, is the zero function $g(x) = 0$ for all $x = 0, 1, \cdots, n$. Contrast this with Example 18.16.

We require one more definition before we can state Basu's theorem.

Definition 18.8. Suppose X has a distribution P_θ belonging to a family $\mathcal{F} = \{P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$. A statistic $S(X)$ is called $\mathcal{F}$-*ancillary* (or, simply ancillary), if for any set $A, P_\theta(S(X) \in A)$ does not depend on $\theta \in \Theta$, i.e., if $S(X)$ has the same distribution under each $P_\theta \in \mathcal{F}$.

Example 18.18. Suppose X_1, X_2 are iid $N(\mu, 1)$, and μ belongs to some subset Θ of the real line. Let $S(X_1, X_2) = X_1 - X_2$. then, under any $P_\mu, S(X_1, X_2) \sim N(0, 2)$, a fixed distribution that does not depend on μ. Thus, $S(X_1, X_2) = X_1 - X_2$ is ancillary, whatever be the set of values of μ.

Example 18.19. Suppose X_1, X_2 are iid $U[0, \theta]$, and θ belongs to some subset Θ of $(0, \infty)$. Let $S(X_1, X_2) = \frac{X_1}{X_2}$. We can write $S(X_1, X_2)$ as

$$S(X_1, X_2) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \frac{\theta U_1}{\theta U_2} = \frac{U_1}{U_2},$$

where U_1, U_2 are iid $U[0, 1]$. Thus, under any $P_\theta, S(X_1, X_2)$ is distributed as the ratio of two independent $U[0, 1]$ variables. This is a fixed distribution that does not depend on θ. Thus, $S(X_1, X_2) = \frac{X_1}{X_2}$ is ancillary, whatever be the set of values of θ.

Example 18.20. Suppose X_1, X_2, X_n are iid $N(\mu, 1)$, and μ belongs to some subset Θ of the real line. Let $S(X_1, \cdots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \overline{X})^2$. We can write $S(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ as

$$S(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \overset{\mathcal{L}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\mu + Z_i - [\mu + \overline{Z}])^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(Z_i - \overline{Z})^2,$$

where $Z_1, \cdots, Z_n$ are iid $N(0, 1)$. Thus, under any $P_\mu, S(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ has a fixed distribution, namely the distribution of $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(Z_i - \overline{Z})^2$ (actually, this is a χ^2_{n-1} distribution; see Chapter 5). Thus, $S(X_1, \cdots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \overline{X})^2$ is ancillary, whatever be the set of values of μ.

Theorem 18.9. (Basu's Theorem for the Exponential Family) In any k-parameter Exponential family $\mathcal{F}$, with a parameter space Θ that has a nonempty interior, the natural sufficient statistic of the family $T(X)$ and any $\mathcal{F}$-ancillary statistic $S(X)$ are independently distributed under each $\theta \in \Theta$.

We will see applications of this result following the next section.

18.4.1 ∗ Neyman-Fisher Factorization and Basu's Theorem

There is a more general version of Basu's theorem that applies to arbitrary parametric families of distributions. The intuition is the same as it was in the case of an Exponential family, namely, a *sufficient statistic*, which contains all the information, and an *ancillary statistic*, which contains no information, must be independent. For this, we need to define what a sufficient statistic means for a general parametric family. Here is Fisher's original definition (Fisher (1922)).

Definition 18.9. Let $n \geq 1$ be given, and suppose $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ has a joint distribution $P_{\theta,n}$ belonging to some family

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{P_{\theta,n} : \theta \in \Theta\}.$$

A statistic $T(X) = T(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ taking values in some Euclidean space is called *sufficient* for the family $\mathcal{F}_n$ if the joint conditional distribution of $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ given $T(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is the same under each $\theta \in \Theta$.

Thus, we can interpret the sufficient statistic $T(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ in the following way: once we know the value of T, the set of individual data values $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ have nothing more to convey about θ. We can think of sufficiency as data reduction at no cost; we can save only T and discard the individual data values, without losing any information. However, what is sufficient depends, often crucially, on the functional form of the distributions $P_{\theta,n}$. Thus, sufficiency is useful for data reduction subject to loyalty to the chosen functional form of $P_{\theta,n}$.

Fortunately, there is an easily applicable universal recipe for automatically identifying a sufficient statistic for a given family $\mathcal{F}_n$. This is the *factorization theorem.*

Theorem 18.10. (Neyman-Fisher Factorization Theorem) Let $f(x_1, \cdots, x_n | \theta)$ be the joint density function (joint pmf) corresponding to the distribution $P_{\theta,n}$. Then, a statistic $T = T(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is sufficient for the family $\mathcal{F}_n$ if and only if for any $\theta \in \Theta, f(x_1, \cdots, x_n | \theta)$ can be factorized in the form

$$f(x_1, \cdots, x_n | \theta) = g(\theta, T(X_1, \cdots, X_n))h(x_1, \cdots, x_n).$$

See Bickel and Doksum (2006) for a proof.

The intuition of the factorization theorem is that the only way that the parameter θ is tied to the

Therefore, we should only care to know what T is, but not the individual values $X_1, \cdots, X_n$.

Here is one example on using the factorization theorem.

Example 18.21. (Sufficient statistic for a Uniform distribution). Suppose $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ are iid and distributed as $U[0, \theta]$ for some $\theta > 0$. Then, the likelihood function is

$$f(x_1, \cdots, x_n | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\theta} I_{\theta \geq x_i} = (\frac{1}{\theta})^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} I_{\theta \geq x_i}$$

$$= (\frac{1}{\theta})^n I_{\theta \geq x_{(n)}},$$

where $x_{(n)} = \max(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$. If we let

$$T(X_1, \cdots, X_n) = X_{(n)}, g(\theta, t) = (\frac{1}{\theta})^n I_{\theta \geq t}, h(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \equiv 1,$$

then, by the factorization theorem, the sample maximum $X_{(n)}$ is sufficient for the $U[0, \theta]$ family. The result does make some intuitive sense.

Here is now the general version of Basu's theorem.

Theorem 18.11. (General Basu Theorem) Let $\mathcal{F}_n = \{P_{\theta, n} : \theta \in \Theta\}$ be a family of distributions. Suppose $T(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is sufficient for $\mathcal{F}_n$, and $S(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is ancillary under $\mathcal{F}_n$. Then T and S are independently distributed under each $P_{\theta, n} \in \mathcal{F}_n$.

See Basu (1955) for a proof.

18.4.2 ∗ Applications of Basu's Theorem to Probability

We had previously commented that the sufficient statistic by itself captures all of the information about θ that the full knowledge of X could have provided. On the other hand, an ancillary statistic cannot provide any information about θ, because its distribution does not even involve θ. Basu's theorem says that a statistic which provides all the information, and another that provides no information, must be independent, provided the additional nonempty interior condition holds, in order to ensure completeness of the family $\mathcal{F}$. Thus, the concepts of information, sufficiency, ancillarity, completeness, and independence come together in Basu's theorem. However, our main interest is to simply use Basu's theorem as a convenient tool to quickly arrive at some results that are purely results in the domain of probability. Here are a few such examples.

Example 18.22. (Independence of Mean and Variance for a Normal Sample). Suppose $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n$ are iid $N(\eta, \tau^2)$ for some η, τ. It was stated in Chapter 4 that the sample mean $\overline{X}$ and the sample variance s^2 are independently distributed for any n, and whatever be η and τ. We will now prove it. For this, first we establish the claim that if the result holds for $\eta = 0, \tau = 1$, then it holds for all η, τ. Indeed, fix any η, τ, and write $X_i = \eta + \tau Z_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, where $Z_1, \cdots, Z_n$ are iid $N(0, 1)$. Now,

$$(\overline{X}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2) \overset{\mathcal{L}}{=} (\eta + \tau \overline{Z}, \tau^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \overline{Z})^2).$$

514

Therefore, $\overline{X}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i - \overline{X})^2$ are independently distributed under (η, τ) if and only if $\overline{Z}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(Z_i - \overline{Z})^2$ are independently distributed. This is a step in getting rid of the parameters η, τ from consideration.

But, now, we will import a parameter! Embed the $N(0,1)$ distribution into a larger family of $\{N(\mu, 1), \mu \in \mathcal{R}\}$ distributions. Consider now a fictitious sample $Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_n$ from $P_\mu = N(\mu, 1)$. The joint density of $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_n)$ is a one parameter Exponential family density with the natural sufficient statistic $T(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$. By Example 18.20, $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(Y_i - \overline{Y})^2$ is ancillary. Since the parameter space for μ obviously has a nonempty interior, all the conditions of Basu's theorem are satisfied, and therefore, under *each* μ, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(Y_i - \overline{Y})^2$ are independently distributed. In particular, they are independently distributed under $\mu = 0$, i.e., when the samples are iid $N(0,1)$, which is what we needed to prove.

Example 18.23. (An Exponential Distribution Result). Suppose $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n$ are iid Exponential random variables with mean λ. Then, by transforming $(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$ to $(\frac{X_1}{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}, \cdots, \frac{X_{n-1}}{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}, X_1 + \cdots + X_n)$, one can show by carrying out the necessary Jacobian calculation (see Chapter 4), that $(\frac{X_1}{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}, \cdots, \frac{X_{n-1}}{X_1 + \cdots + X_n})$ is independent of $X_1 + \cdots + X_n$. We can show this without doing any calculations by using Basu's theorem.

For this, once again, by writing $X_i = \lambda Z_i, 1 \le i \le n$, where the Z_i are iid standard Exponentials, first observe that $(\frac{X_1}{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}, \cdots, \frac{X_{n-1}}{X_1 + \cdots + X_n})$ is a (vector) ancillary statistic. Next observe that the joint density of $X = (X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$ is a one parameter Exponential family, with the natural sufficient statistic $T(X) = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$. Since the parameter space $(0, \infty)$ obviously contains a nonempty interior, by Basu's theorem, under each λ, $(\frac{X_1}{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}, \cdots, \frac{X_{n-1}}{X_1 + \cdots + X_n})$ and $X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ are independently distributed.

Example 18.24. (A Covariance Calculation). Suppose $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ are iid $N(0,1)$, and let $\overline{X}$ and M_n denote the mean and the median of the sample set $X_1, \cdots, X_n$. By using our old trick of importing a mean parameter μ, we first observe that the difference statistic $\overline{X} - M_n$ is ancillary. On the other hand, the joint density of $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is of course a one parameter Exponential family with the natural sufficient statistic $T(X) = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$. By Basu's theorem, $X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ and $\overline{X} - M_n$ are independent under each μ, which implies

$$\text{Cov}(X_1 + \cdots + X_n, \overline{X} - M_n) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Cov}(\overline{X}, \overline{X} - M_n) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Cov}(\overline{X}, M_n) = \text{Cov}(\overline{X}, \overline{X}) = \text{Var}(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{n}.$$

We have achieved this result without doing any calculations at all. A direct attack on this problem will require handling the joint distribution of $(\overline{X}, M_n)$.

Example 18.25. (An Expectation Calculation). Suppose $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ are iid $U[0,1]$, and let $X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}$ denote the smallest and the largest order statistic of $X_1, \cdots, X_n$. Import a parameter $\theta > 0$, and consider the family of $U[0, \theta]$ distributions. We have shown that the largest order statistic $X_{(n)}$ is sufficient; it is also complete. On the other hand, the quotient $\frac{X_{(1)}}{X_{(n)}}$ is ancillary. To see this, again, write $(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} (\theta U_1, \cdots, \theta U_n)$, where $U_1, \cdots, U_n$ are iid $U[0,1]$. As a consequence, $\frac{X_{(1)}}{X_{(n)}} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \frac{U_{(1)}}{U_{(n)}}$. So, $\frac{X_{(1)}}{X_{(n)}}$ is ancillary. By the general version of Basu's theorem which works for any family of distributions (not just an Exponential family), it follows that $X_{(n)}$ and

$\frac{X_{(1)}}{X_{(n)}}$ are independently distributed under each θ. Hence,

$$E[X_{(1)}] = E\left[\frac{X_{(1)}}{X_{(n)}}X_{(n)}\right] = E\left[\frac{X_{(1)}}{X_{(n)}}\right]E[X_{(n)}]$$

$$\Rightarrow E\left[\frac{X_{(1)}}{X_{(n)}}\right] = \frac{E[X_{(1)}]}{E[X_{(n)}]} = \frac{\frac{\theta}{n+1}}{\frac{n\theta}{n+1}} = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Once again, we can get this result by using Basu's theorem without doing any integrations or calculations at all.

Example 18.26. (A Weak Convergence Result Using Basu's Theorem). Suppose $X_1, X_2, \cdots$ are iid random vectors distributed as a uniform in the d-dimensional unit ball. For $n \geq 1$, let $d_n = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} ||X_i||$, and $D_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} ||X_i||$. Thus, d_n measures the distance to the closest data point from the center of the ball, and D_n measures the distance to the farthest data point. We find the limiting distribution of $\rho_n = \frac{d_n}{D_n}$. Although this can be done by using other means, we will do so by an application of Basu's theorem.

Toward this, note that for $0 \leq u \leq 1$,

$$P(d_n > u) = (1 - u^d)^n; \ \ P(D_n > u) = 1 - u^{nd}.$$

As a consequence, for any $k \geq 1$,

$$E[D_n]^k = \int_0^1 ku^{k-1}(1 - u^{nd})du = \frac{nd}{nd + k},$$

and,

$$E[d_n]^k = \int_0^1 ku^{k-1}(1 - u^d)^n du = \frac{n!\Gamma(\frac{k}{d} + 1)}{\Gamma(n + \frac{k}{d} + 1)}.$$

Now, embed the uniform distribution in the unit ball into the family of uniform distributions in balls of radius θ and centered at the origin. Then, D_n is complete and sufficient (akin to Example 18.24), and ρ_n is ancillary. Therefore, once again, by the general version of Basu's theorem, D_n and ρ_n are independently distributed under each $\theta > 0$, and so, in particular under $\theta = 1$. Thus, for any $k \geq 1$,

$$E[d_n]^k = E[D_n\rho_n]^k = E[D_n]^k E[\rho_n]^k$$

$$\Rightarrow E[\rho_n]^k = \frac{E[d_n]^k}{E[D_n]^k} = \frac{n!\Gamma(\frac{k}{d} + 1)}{\Gamma(n + \frac{k}{d} + 1)}\frac{nd + k}{nd}$$

$$\sim \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{d} + 1)e^{-n}n^{n+1/2}}{e^{-n-k/d}(n + \frac{k}{d})^{n+\frac{k}{d}+1/2}}$$

(by using Stirling's approximation)

$$\sim \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{d} + 1)}{n^{\frac{k}{d}}}.$$

Thus, for each $k \geq 1$,

$$E\left[n^{1/d}\rho_n\right]^k \to \Gamma(\frac{k}{d} + 1) = E[V]^{k/d} = E[V^{1/d}]^k,$$

where V is a standard Exponential random variable. This implies, because $V^{1/d}$ is uniquely determined by its moment sequence, that

$$n^{1/d}\rho_n \overset{\mathcal{L}}{\Rightarrow} V^{1/d},$$

as $n \to \infty$.

18.5 Curved Exponential Family

There are some important examples in which the density (pmf) has the basic Exponential family form $f(x \mid \theta) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i(\theta) T_i(X) - \psi(\theta)} h(x),$ but the assumption that the dimensions of Θ, and that of the range space of $(\eta_1(\theta), \cdots, \eta_k(\theta))$ are the same is violated. More precisely, the dimension of Θ is some positive integer q strictly less than k. Let us start with an example.

Example 18.27. Suppose $X \sim N(\mu, \mu^2), \mu \neq 0$. Writing $\mu = \theta$, the density of X is

$$f(x \mid \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}|\theta|} e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta^2}(x-\theta)^2} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\theta^2} + \frac{x}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2} - \log|\theta|} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}}.$$

Writing $\eta_1(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2\theta^2}, \eta_2(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}, T_1(x) = x^2, T_2(x) = x, \psi(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} + \log|\theta|$, and $h(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} I_{x \in \mathcal{R}}$, this is in the form $f(x \mid \theta) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i(\theta) T_i(x) - \psi(\theta)} h(x)$, with $k = 2$, although $\theta \in \mathcal{R}$, which is only one dimensional. The two functions $\eta_1(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2\theta^2}$ and $\eta_2(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}$ are related to each other by the identity $\eta_1 = -\frac{\eta_2^2}{2}$, so that a plot of (η_1, η_2) in the plane would be a curve, not a straight line. Distributions of this kind go by the name of *curved Exponential family*. The dimension of the natural sufficient statistic is more than the dimension of Θ for such distributions.

Definition 18.10. Let $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)$ have a distribution $P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta \subseteq \mathcal{R}^q$. Suppose P_θ has a density (pmf) of the form

$$f(x \mid \theta) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i(\theta) T_i(x) - \psi(\theta)} h(x),$$

where $k > q$. Then, the family $\{P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ is called a *curved Exponential family.*

Example 18.28. (A Specific Bivariate Normal). Suppose $X = (X_1, X_2)$ has a bivariate normal distribution with zero means, standard deviations equal to one, and a correlation parameter $\rho, -1 < \rho < 1$. The density of X is

$$f(x \mid \rho) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}\left[x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2\rho x_1 x_2\right]} I_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{R}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} e^{-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2(1-\rho^2)} + \frac{\rho}{1-\rho^2} x_1 x_2} I_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{R}}.$$

Therefore, here we have a curved Exponential family with $q = 1, k = 2, \eta_1(\rho) = -\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}, \eta_2(\rho) = \frac{\rho}{1-\rho^2}, T_1(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2, T_2(x) = x_1 x_2, \psi(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}\log(1 - \rho^2)$, and $h(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} I_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{R}}$.

Example 18.29. (Poissons with Random Covariates). Suppose given $Z_i = z_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, X_i$ are independent $Poi(\lambda z_i)$ variables, and $Z_1, Z_2, \cdots, Z_n$ have some joint pmf $p(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n)$. It is implicitly assumed that each $Z_i > 0$ with probability one. Then, the joint pmf of $(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n, Z_1, Z_2, \cdots, Z_n)$ is

$$f(x_1, \cdots, x_n, z_1, \cdots, z_n \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e^{-\lambda z_i}(\lambda z_i)^{x_i}}{x_i!} p(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) I_{x_1, \cdots, x_n \in \mathcal{N}_0} I_{z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n \in \mathcal{N}_1}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i + (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i) \log \lambda} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{z_i^{x_i}}{x_i!} p(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) I_{x_1, \cdots, x_n \in \mathcal{N}_0} I_{z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n \in \mathcal{N}_1},$$

where $\mathcal{N}_0$ is the set of nonnegative integers, and $\mathcal{N}_1$ is the set of positive integers. This is in the curved Exponential family with

$$q = 1, k = 2, \eta_1(\lambda) = -\lambda, \eta_2(\lambda) = \log \lambda, T_1(x, z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i, T_2(x, z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i,$$

and

$$h(x, z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{z_i^{x_i}}{x_i!} p(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) I_{x_1, \cdots, x_n \in \mathcal{N}_0} I_{z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n \in \mathcal{N}_1}.$$

If we consider the covariates as fixed, the joint distribution of $(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$ becomes a regular one parameter Exponential family.

18.6   Exercises

Exercise 18.1. Show that the geometric distribution belongs to the one parameter Exponential family if $0 < p < 1$, and write it in the canonical form and by using the mean parametrization.

Exercise 18.2. (Poisson Distribution). Show that the Poisson distribution belongs to the one parameter Exponential family if $\lambda > 0$. Write it in the canonical form and by using the mean parametrization.

Exercise 18.3. (Negative Binomial Distribution). Show that the negative binomial distribution with parameters r and p belongs to the one parameter Exponential family if r is considered fixed and $0 < p < 1$. Write it in the canonical form and by using the mean parametrization.

Exercise 18.4. * **(Generalized Negative Binomial Distribution).** Show that the generalized negative binomial distribution with the pmf $f(x \,|p) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+x)}{\Gamma(\alpha)x!} p^\alpha (1 - p)^x, x = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ belongs to the one parameter Exponential family if $\alpha > 0$ is considered fixed and $0 < p < 1$.
Show that the two parameter generalized negative binomial distribution with the pmf $f(x \,|\alpha, p) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+x)}{\Gamma(\alpha)x!} p^\alpha (1 - p)^x, x = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ does not belong to the two parameter Exponential family.

Exercise 18.5. (Normal with Equal Mean and Variance). Show that the $N(\mu, \mu)$ distribution belongs to the one parameter Exponential family if $\mu > 0$. Write it in the canonical form and by using the mean parametrization.

Exercise 18.6. * **(Hardy-Weinberg Law).** Suppose genotypes at a single locus with two alleles are present in a population according to the relative frequencies $p^2, 2pq,$ and q^2, where $q = 1-p$, and p is the relative frequency of the dominant allele. Show that the joint distribution of the frequencies of the three genotypes in a random sample of n individuals from this population belongs to a one parameter Exponential family if $0 < p < 1$. Write it in the canonical form and by using the mean parametrization.

Exercise 18.7. (Beta Distribution). Show that the two parameter Beta distribution belongs to the two parameter Exponential family if the parameters $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Write it in the canonical form and by using the mean parametrization.
Show that symmetric Beta distributions belong to the one parameter Exponential family if the single parameter $\alpha > 0$.

Exercise 18.8. * **(Poisson Skewness and Kurtosis).** Find the skewness and kurtosis of a Poisson distribution by using Theorem 18.3.

Exercise 18.9. * **(Gamma Skewness and Kurtosis).** Find the skewness and kurtosis of a Gamma distribution, considering α as fixed, by using Theorem 18.3.

Exercise 18.10. * **(Distributions with Zero Skewness).** Show that the only distributions in a canonical one parameter Exponential family such that the natural sufficient statistic has a zero skewness are the normal distributions with a fixed variance.

Exercise 18.11. * **(Identifiability of the Distribution).** Show that distributions in the non-singular canonical one parameter Exponential family are identifiable, i.e., $P_{\eta_1} = P_{\eta_2}$ only if $\eta_1 = \eta_2$.

Exercise 18.12. * **(Infinite Differentiability of Mean Functionals).** Suppose $P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta$ is a one parameter Exponential family and $\phi(x)$ is a general function. Show that at any $\theta \in \Theta^0$ at which $E_\theta[|\phi(X)|] < \infty, \mu_\phi(\theta) = E_\theta[\phi(X)]$ is infinitely differentiable, and can be differentiated any number of times inside the integral (sum).

Exercise 18.13. * **(Normalizing Constant Determines the Distribution).** Consider a canonical one parameter Exponential family density (pmf) $f(x\,|\theta) = e^{\eta x - \psi(\eta)} h(x)$. Assume that the natural parameter space $\mathcal{T}$ has a nonempty interior. Show that $\psi(\eta)$ determines $h(x)$.

Exercise 18.14. Calculate the mgf of a $(k+1)$ cell multinomial distribution by using Theorem 18.7.

Exercise 18.15. * **(Multinomial Covariances).** Calculate the covariances in a multinomial distribution by using Theorem 18.7.

Exercise 18.16. * **(Dirichlet Distribution).** Show that the Dirichlet distribution defined in Chapter 4, with parameter vector $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{n+1}), \alpha_i > 0$ for all i, is an $(n+1)$-parameter Exponential family.

Exercise 18.17. * **(Normal Linear Model).** Suppose given an $n \times p$ nonrandom matrix X, a parameter vector $\beta \in \mathcal{R}^p$, and a variance parameter $\sigma^2 > 0$, $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_n) \sim N_n(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$, where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Show that the distribution of Y belongs to a full rank multiparameter Exponential family.

Exercise 18.18. (Fisher Information Matrix). For each of the following distributions, calculate the Fisher Information Matrix:
(a) Two parameter Beta distribution;
(b) Two parameter Gamma distribution;
(c) Two parameter inverse Gaussian distribution;
(d) Two parameter normal distribution.

Exercise 18.19. * **(Normal with an Integer Mean).** Suppose $X \sim N(\mu, 1)$, where $\mu \in \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$. Is this a regular one parameter Exponential family?

Exercise 18.20. * **(Normal with an Irrational Mean).** Suppose $X \sim N(\mu, 1)$, where μ is known to be an irrational number. Is this a regular one parameter Exponential family?

Exercise 18.21. * (**Normal with an Integer Mean**). Suppose $X \sim N(\mu, 1)$, where $\mu \in \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$. Exhibit a function $g(X) \not\equiv 0$ such that $E_\mu[g(X)] = 0$ for all μ.

Exercise 18.22. (**Application of Basu's Theorem**). Suppose $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ is an iid sample from a standard normal distribution, and suppose $X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}$ are the smallest and the largest order statistics of $X_1, \cdots, X_n$, and s^2 is the sample variance. Prove, by applying Basu's theorem to a suitable two parameter Exponential family, that $E[\frac{X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}}{s}] = 2\frac{E[X_{(n)}]}{E(s)}$.

Exercise 18.23. (**Mahalanobis's D^2 and Basu's Theorem**). Suppose $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ is an iid sample from a d-dimensional normal distribution $N_d(0, \Sigma)$, where Σ is positive definite. Suppose S is the sample covariance matrix (see Chapter 5) and $\overline{X}$ the sample mean vector. The statistic $D_n^2 = n\overline{X}' S^{-1} \overline{X}$ is called the *Mahalanobis D^2- statistic*. Find $E(D_n^2)$ by using Basu's theorem. Hint: Look at Example 18.13, and Theorem 5.10.

Exercise 18.24. (**Application of Basu's Theorem**). Suppose $X_i, 1 \le i \le n$ are iid $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$, $Y_i, 1 \le i \le n$ are iid $N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$, where $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{R}$, and $\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2 > 0$. Let $\overline{X}, s_1^2$ denote the mean and the variance of $X_1, \cdots, X_n$, and $\overline{Y}, s_2^2$ denote the mean and the variance of $Y_1, \cdots, Y_n$. Let also r denote the sample correlation coefficient based on the pairs $(X_i, Y_i), 1 \le i \le n$. Prove that $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, s_1^2, s_2^2, r$ are mutually independent under all $\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$.

Exercise 18.25. (**Mixtures of Normal**). Show that the mixture distribution $.5N(\mu, 1) + .5N(\mu, 2)$ does not belong to the one parameter Exponential family. Generalize this result to more general mixtures of normal distributions.

Exercise 18.26. (**Double Exponential Distribution**). (a) Show that the double exponential distribution with a known σ value and an unknown mean does not belong to the one parameter Exponential family, but the double exponential distribution with a known mean and an unknown σ belongs to the one parameter Exponential family.
(b) Show that the two parameter double exponential distribution does not belong to the two parameter Exponential family.

Exercise 18.27. * (**A Curved Exponential Family**). Suppose $X \sim Bin(n, p), Y \sim Bin(m, p^2)$, and that X, Y are independent. Show that the distribution of (X, Y) is a curved Exponential family.

Exercise 18.28. (**Equicorrelation Multivariate Normal**). Suppose $(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$ are jointly multivariate normal with general means μ_i, variances all one, and a common pairwise correlation ρ. Show that the distribution of $(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$ is a curved Exponential family.

Exercise 18.29. (**Poissons with Covariates**). Suppose $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n$ are independent Poissons with $E(X_i) = \lambda e^{\beta z_i}, \lambda > 0, -\infty < \beta < \infty$. The covariates $z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n$ are considered fixed. Show that the distribution of $(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$ is a curved Exponential family.

Exercise 18.30. (**Incomplete Sufficient Statistic**). Suppose $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ are iid $N(\mu, \mu^2), \mu \ne 0$. Let $T(X_1, \cdots, X_n) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2)$. Find a function $g(T)$ such that $E_\mu[g(T)] = 0$ for all μ, but $P_\mu(g(T) = 0) < 1$ for any μ.

Exercise 18.31. * **(Quadratic Exponential Family).** Suppose the natural sufficient statistic $T(X)$ in some canonical one parameter Exponential family is X itself. By using the formula in Theorem 18.3 for the mean and the variance of the natural sufficient statistic in a canonical one parameter Exponential family, characterize all the functions $\psi(\eta)$ for which the variance of $T(X) = X$ is a quadratic function of the mean of $T(X)$, i.e., $\text{Var}_\eta(X) \equiv a[E_\eta(X)]^2 + bE_\eta(X) + c$ for some constants a, b, c.

Exercise 18.32. (Quadratic Exponential Family). Exhibit explicit examples of canonical one parameter Exponential families which are quadratic Exponential families.

Hint: There are six of them, and some of them are common distributions, but not all. See Morris (1982), Brown (1986).

18.7   References

Barndorff-Nielsen, O. (1978). Information and Exponential Families in Statistical Theory, Wiley, New York.

Basu, D. (1955). On statistics independent of a complete sufficient statistic, Sankhyā, 15, 377-380.

Bickel, P. J. and Doksum, K. (2006). Mathematical Statistics, Basic Ideas and Selected Topics, Vol I, Prentice Hall, Saddle River, NJ.

Brown, L. D. (1986). Fundamentals of Statistical Exponential Families, IMS, Lecture Notes and Monographs Series, Hayward, CA.

Fisher, R. A. (1922). On the mathematical foundations of theoretical statistics, Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. London, Ser. A, 222, 309-368.

Lehmann, E. L. (1959). Testing Statistical Hypotheses, Wiley, New York.

Lehmann, E. L. and Casella, G. (1998). Theory of Point Estimation, Springer, New York.

Morris, C. (1982). Natural exponential families with quadratic variance functions, Ann. Statist., 10, 65-80.