



metajelo: A metadata package for journals to support external linked objects

Lars Vilhuber¹ Carl Lagoze²

¹Cornell University

²University of Michigan

2019 April 25

Reproducibility of research

Reproducibility and replicability of scientific findings has been given great scrutiny in recent years

(Camerer et al. 2016; Collaboration 2015; Fanelli 2018; Klein et al. 2014) .

Historically, it has been difficult to find the materials required to conduct reproducibility or replication exercises

(Dewald, Thursby, and Anderson 1986; McCullough, McGeary, and Harrison 2006; McCullough and Vinod 2003) .

Reproducibility of research

Journals are supporting the endeavor with “Data [and Code] Availability Policies” [DAP]

with variable success rates (Höffler 2017a; Stodden, Guo, and Ma 2013; Stodden, Seiler, and Ma 2018; Stodden et al. 2016) .


Not a panacea

Despite DAPs, researchers often find that studies do not reproduce (Chang and Li 2017, 2015; Höffler 2017b; Stodden, Seiler, and Ma 2018)

Replication rates

Our own study

Moderate replication success



Restricted-access Data

Confidential Government Data

- ▶ U.S. Census Bureau
- ▶ Statistisk sentralbyrå (Statistics Norway)
- ▶ many others

Confidential sub-national data

Just in the US:

- ▶ North Carolina Education Data
- ▶ Ohio Earnings and Education Statistics
- ▶ Oregon Health Data
- ▶ etc.

Requirements for Reproducibility

What do we need?

- ▶ Description of the analysis (possibly as code)
- ▶ Access to the data

Is restricted-access data compatible with reproducibility?

Answer: yes

- ▶ Theoretical access to confidential data:
 - ▶ More than **1000** users (not just Germans from Germany) have been granted access to German confidential labor market data (Müller and Möller 2019)
 - ▶ More than **1500** users currently have access to confidential French data (<https://casd.edu>)
 - ▶ More than **700** researchers were active at the end of 2017 in the US Federal Statistical Research Data Centers (U.S. Census Bureau, 2018)

Is restricted-access data compatible with reproducibility?

Answer: yes

- ▶ Replications actually do occur with restricted-access data
For instance, see exchange between Olivier (2016) and Chemin and Wasmer (2017) regarding Chemin and Wasmer (2009), using French (Réseau Quetelet) data

Access Conditions

Is access **easy**?

- ▶ No

Is access **fast**?

- ▶ No

Can **others** access the data?

- ▶ **Yes!**

Is there a **process** for granting access?

- ▶ **Yes!**

No data, OK - surely we have metadata on all those things?

No.

Lack of reliable metadata

There is a

pervasive lack of consistent, reliable metadata

on the materials provided to journals, and in particular those provided through third-party locations.

Example 1: AEA journal

**AMERICAN
ECONOMIC
ASSOCIATION**

Membership About AEA Log I

Journals Annual Meeting Careers Resources EconLit EconSpark 

Home > Journals > American Economic Journal: Applied Economics > January 2019 > Early Childhood Education by Television: Lessons from Sesame Street

Journals

American Economic Review

AER: Insights

AEJ: Applied Economics

About AEJ: Applied

Forthcoming Articles

Issues

Submissions

Early Childhood Education by Television: Lessons from Sesame Street

Melissa S. Kearney
Phillip B. Levine

AMERICAN ECONOMIC JOURNAL: APPLIED ECONOMICS
VOL. 11, NO. 1, JANUARY 2019
(pp. 318-50)

Download Full Text PDF
(Complimentary)

Example 1: AEA journal

AEA JOURNAL POLICIES

[Policies, Copyright, and Permissions](#)

[Advertise in AEA Journals](#)

[JSTOR access for AEA members](#)

[Athens Subscriber Login](#)

Choose Format:



Additional Materials

[Data Set \(565.50 MB\)](#)

[Author Disclosure Statement\(s\) \(88.84 KB\)](#)

IFI Classification

Source code

```
<ul id='additionalMaterials'>  
  <li>  
    <a href="/doi/10.1257/app.20170300.data">  
      Data Set (565.50 MB)  
    </a>  
  </li>  
</ul>
```

Example 1: AEA journal

AEJ: Applied Economics

- ▶ **Supplementary data** as ZIP file
- ▶ **Accessibility method**: download (obvious)
- ▶ **Accessibility conditions** or **license**: none stated (but in fact, **Copyright with “all rights reserved”!**)
- ▶ **Persistence**: assumed to be “permanent” because on journal website


Example 2: Nature journal


[nature](#) > [nature human behaviour](#) > [letters](#) > [article](#)


MENU ▾


nature
human behaviour

a natureresearch journal

 Search


 E-alert


 Submit

 Login

Letter | Published: 28 January 2019

War increases religiosity

Joseph Henrich , Michal Bauer, Alessandra Cassar, Julie Chytilová & Benjamin Grant Purzycki

Nature Human Behaviour (2019) | [Download Citation](#) 

Abstract

Does the experience of war increase people's religiosity? Much evidence supports the idea that particular religious beliefs and ritual

0 Citations

198 Altmetric

[Article metrics >>](#)

Sections

Figures

References

Abstract

Additional information

Code availability

Data availability

References

Example 2: Nature journal

MENU ▾

War increases religiosity

Log in | OpenAthens | Shibboleth

Search

Mail

Share

User

Code availability

All code files for a complete reproduction of the analyses herein are available at: <https://github.com/bgpurzycki/Religion-and-Violence>.

Data availability

All data and analytical scripts are available at:
<https://github.com/bgpurzycki/Religion-and-Violence>.

Sections

Figures

References

Abstract

Additional information

Code availability

Data availability

References

nature
machine intelligence

A human take on
AI and robotics



Source code

```
<section aria-labelledby="data-availability">
  <div class="..." id="data-availability-section">
    <h2 class="..." id="data-availability">Data avail
    <div class="..." id="data-availability-content">
      <p>All data and analytical scripts are available
      <a href="https://github.com/bgpurzycki/Religion-
        https://github.com/bgpurzycki/Religion-and-Viole
      </p>
    </div>
  </div>
</section>
```

Example 2: Nature journal

Nature Human Behavior

- ▶ **Supplementary data** as (manually!) linked Github archive
- ▶ **Accessibility method**: unknown, but download presumed
- ▶ **Accessibility conditions** or **license**: none stated on journal website, and in fact, none stated on Github site.
Therefore: **Copyright with “all rights reserved”!**
- ▶ **Persistence**: none stated, but use of Github is troublesome, as deletion is nearly instantaneous (at whim of author) and permanent

Current Metadata is Problematic

For **easily** accessible data

- ▶ Unstructured
- ▶ Opaque
- ▶ Leads to imperfect, unreliable, failing replications

Current Metadata is Problematic

For **difficult to access** data

- ▶ Highly unstructured (*prose*) or inexistant
- ▶ Opaque
- ▶ Leads to imperfect, unreliable, failing replications

Mission of journals: Better transparency

metajelo

metadata (package) for
journals to support
external
linked
objects

Basic motivation

Publication-oriented

Provide journals with the metadata needed to assess the robustness and reliability of supporting materials.

Minimal information

Requests no more information than (in theory) is currently being requested from authors.

- ▶ Name
- ▶ Location
- ▶ Accessibility (conditions, license)
- ▶ Persistence

Basic motivation

Extensive re-use

Leverage existing metadata *schemas* and *infrastructure* as much as possible

- ▶ Re-use of *DataCite* and *re3data* metadata elements
- ▶ Overlapping elements map into Dublin Core, CrossRef, DDI

Structure of metajelo

Each package is a linkage record

- ▶ conceptually models a linkage between a publication and its supplementary materials
- ▶ A record has an **identity** (Digital Object Identifier (DOI)), a **date created**, a **last modified date**, and the **identity (DOI) of the research objects** (papers) that are associated with the supplementary products
- ▶ Then an unlimited number of `supplementaryProducts`

Structure of metajelo

Each supplementaryProducts has

- ▶ an identifier,
- ▶ a description of its **type**,
- ▶ linkages to full metadata available elsewhere that fully describes the product.
- ▶ an associated location block (institutional archive)
- ▶ the set of possible policies (**access**, **license**, **preservation**), with a boolean designation flagging the relevant policy for the particular object
- ▶ Each policy instance structured to allow for verbatim answers if necessary

Detailed Structure

Full annotated schema

github.com/labordynamicsinstitute/metajelo

About that *infrastructure* . . .

Shortcoming of existing metadata

Why not directly collect the information from *infrastructure*

Much information lacking from current infrastructure

Paper goes into detail about the **failures of the current infrastructure** to provide information even when the objects are registered by knowledgeable institutions, and despite the ability to do so **within existing metadata schemas**.

How to generate a `metajelo` package?

Enable authors

Develop an application to allow users to generate a `metajelo` package

- ▶ Leveraging infrastructure where possible
- ▶ Querying the user where necessary

Portability

Once created, a `metajelo` package should be of use at multiple journals: saved locally

How to generate a `metajelo` package?

Encourage data providers

All information can be provided by data providers in a static format

- ▶ Generate once, deposit on website
- ▶ (optional) leverage to display suggested data citations

This is where YOU come in



Mapping DDI to metajelo

DDI 2.5

metajelo 0.7

/codeBook/stmtDscr[1]/citation[1]/

titlStmt[1]/titl[1]

basicMetadata[1]/Title[1]

prodStmt[1]/producer[1]

basicMetadata[1]/Creator[1]

distStmt[1]/distDate[1]

basicMetadata[1]/PublicationYear[1]

rspStmt[1]/AuthEnty[1..n]

location[1]/institutionName[1]

All metajelo under

/record/supplementaryProducts[1]/supplementaryProduct[1]/

Mapping DDI to metajelo

DDI 2.5

metajelo 0.7

/codeBook/docDscr[1]/citation[1]/

titlStmnt[1]/IDNo[1]

resourceMetadataSource[1]

@relationType="HasMetadata"

All metajelo under

/record/supplementaryProducts[1]/supplementaryProduct[1]/

How to **use** a `metajelo` package?

Enable journals

The information should be leverable with minimal modifications to current journal infrastructure

- ▶ Simplest: attach `metajelo` package, leverage CSS and JS to display contents
- ▶ More robust: ingest in journal management system


Short-term implementation can be made, without preventing future robust implementation

Sketch of a user-facing app

1/28/2019

metafile user app | Evernote Web

```
metajelo user app
```




<https://www.evernote.com/Home.action#v=42f5ee07-d278-4949-985-f7a1c0142be6&rs=415&ss=1&sh=5&sd=5&x=meta%3Aid>

17

1/28/2019


metajelo user app | Evernote Web





<https://www.evernote.com/Home.action?n=425ae37-d278-4949-9895-d7a1c0142be5&x=4115&ss=1&sh=5&sd=5&x=metaidof>


20


Sketch of a website

 Abstract


 References

 Online appendix


 Supplementary materials

 Notes



Supplementary materials

- Code and Data 

*Besley, Timothy, and Hannes Mueller. 2018. "Replication data for: Predation, Protection, and Productivity: A Firm-Level Perspective." *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 10 (2): 184-221. DOI: [10.1257/mac.20160120.data](#)*

 - ☒ Data [is freely accessible](#) at [10.1257/mac.20160120.data](#) under [CC BY-NC 4.0](#).
 - ☒ Code verified under [AEA guidelines 2.0](#)
- Data 

Statistics Norway. 2015. "Firm-level statistics 1975-2013 [dataset]" Norwegian Data Archive [curator], v2. DOI: [10.7654/nda::7643A::34](#)

 -  Data [restricted-access](#) ([has residency requirement](#), [has citizenship requirement](#)), accessible [at Norwegian Data Archive in Oslo, Norway](#), under [Norwegian Data Access license](#).
 -  Code [could not be verified](#) due to [access restrictions](#).

Lars Vilhuber, Carl Lagoze

metajelo

40 / 44

Sketch of a website

- Code and Data

Besley, Timothy, and Hannes Mueller. 2018. "Replication data for: Predation, Protection, and Perspective." *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 10 (2): 184-221. DOI: [10.1257/mac.20160120](https://doi.org/10.1257/mac.20160120)

cite! ▼

- ☒ Data is freely accessible at [10.1257/mac.20160120.data](https://doi.org/10.1257/mac.20160120.data) under [CC BY-NC 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

Next steps

Community input

We want to hear from a broad community about utility, extensions, etc.

Development of apps

We have started development of both **user-facing apps** and **journal-oriented toolkit**

Implementation

This is part of a broader strategy to improve transparency and reproducibility at the American Economic Association and in economics in general

Thank you

Merci





Camerer, Colin F., et al. 2016. "Evaluating Replicability of Laboratory Experiments in Economics". *Science*: aaf0918. doi:10.1126/science.aaf0918.



Chang, Andrew C., and Phillip Li. 2017. "A Preanalysis Plan to Replicate Sixty Economics Research Papers That Worked Half of the Time". *American Economic Review* 107 (5): 60–64. doi:10.1257/aer.p20171034.



—. 2015. *Is Economics Research Replicable? Sixty Published Papers from Thirteen Journals Say "Usually Not"*. Finance and Economics Discussion Series 2015-83. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (U.S.) <https://www.federalreserve.gov/econresdata/feds/2015/files/2015083pap.pdf>.



Chemin, Matthieu, and Etienne Wasmer. 2017. "Erratum". *Journal of Labor Economics* 35 (4): 1149–1152. doi:10.1086/693983.



—. 2009. "Using Alsace-Moselle Local Laws to Build a Difference-in-Differences Estimation Strategy of the Employment Effects of the 35-Hour Workweek Regulation in France". *Journal of Labor Economics* 27 (4): 487–524. doi:10.1086/605426.



Collaboration, Open Science. 2015. "Estimating the Reproducibility of Psychological Science". *Science* 349 (6251): aac4716–aac4716. doi:10.1126/science.aac4716.



Dewald, William G, Jerry G Thursby, and Richard G Anderson. 1986. "Replication in Empirical Economics: The Journal of Money, Credit and Banking Project". *American Economic Review* 76 (4): 587–603.



Fanelli, Daniele. 2018. "Opinion: Is Science Really Facing a Reproducibility Crisis, and Do We Need It To?" *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115 (11): 2628–2631. doi:10.1073/pnas.1708272114.



Höfler, Jan H. 2017a. "Replication and Economics Journal Policies". *American Economic Review* 107 (5): 52–55. doi:10.1257/aer.p20171032.



—. 2017b. "ReplicationWiki: Improving Transparency in Social Sciences Research". *D-Lib Magazine* 23 (3/4). doi:10.1045/march2017-hoeffler.



Klein, Richard A., et al. 2014. "Investigating Variation in Replicability: A "Many Labs" Replication Project". *Social Psychology* 45 (3): 142–152. doi:10.1027/1864-9335/a000178.



McCullough, Bruce D., Kerry Anne McGeary, and Teresa D. Harrison. 2006. "Lessons from the JMCB Archive". *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 38 (4): 1093–1107.
<http://ideas.repec.org/a/mcb/jmoncb/v38y2006i4p1093-1107.html>.



McCullough, Bruce D., and Hrishikesh D. Vinod. 2003. "Econometrics and Software: Comments". *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 17 (1): 223–224. <http://EconPapers.repec.org/RePEc:aea:jecper:v:17:y:2003:i:1:p:223-224>.



Müller, Dana, and Joachim Möller. 2019. "Giving the International Scientific Community Access to German Labor Market Data: A Success Story". In *Data-Driven Policy Impact Evaluation: How Access to Microdata is Transforming Policy Design*, ed. by Nuno Crato and Paolo Paruolo, 101–117. Springer International Publishing. ISBN: 978-3-319-78461-8.
 doi:10.1007/978-3-319-78461-8_7.



Olivier, Godechot. 2016. *L'Alsace-Moselle peut-elle décider des 35 heures ?* Notes et documents 2016-04. OSC.



Stodden, Victoria, Peixuan Guo, and Zhaokun Ma. 2013. "Toward Reproducible Computational Research: An Empirical Analysis of Data and Code Policy Adoption by Journals". Ed. by Dmitri Zaykin. *PLoS ONE* 8 (6): e67111.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067111.



Stodden, Victoria, Jennifer Seiler, and Zhaokun Ma. 2018. "An Empirical Analysis of Journal Policy Effectiveness for Computational Reproducibility". *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*: 201708290.
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1708290115.



Stodden, Victoria, et al. 2016. "Enhancing reproducibility for computational methods". *Science* 354 (6317): 1240–1241.
 doi:10.1126/science.aah6168.