

Extracting Rating Dimensions from Hidden Topics in Text Reviews: a Better Recommendation System

Xinzhe Yang, Xuwen Shen

October 29, 2017

1 Research Context

The same rating from different users usually stands for different meanings. Individual users may assign different weights to such aspects when determining their overall score. For example, a spendthrift hotel reviewer might assign a low weight to "price" but a high weight to "service", thus explaining why their overall rating differs from a miserly reviewer who is only interested in price. There exists hidden information in reviews that leads to the final rating. By extracting the information, we can get where a restaurant shines and what it needs to improve. If we have k topics in reviews then we extract k (the same number as the number of topics in Yelp reviews) dimensions in rating for each topic respectively and then use the k dimensional rating to compute the recommendation score for an individual.

The importance of customer reviews has been proven in terms of giving insights to businesses and also providing customers personalized services. LDA has been widely used to analyze the latent meanings in reviews and study customer behaviors.

However, few of previous researches have been studying both the topic models of a specific user and those of a specific restaurant with rating break-downs.

Currently, Yelp has a recommendation that does not take the user's preference and potential matching with restaurants into account. Our recommendation will benefit customers by providing a more personalized user experience.

2 Research Objective

The ultimate goal for the project is to create a recommendation system which recommends restaurants to a specific user given the user's preference and the restaurants' rating with respect to the user's preference. To achieve the goal, the most important part is to extract dimensions for both overall rating for restaurants and user preference using information from reviews. Information we care about includes what a specific user cares about, food or view, price or service and what factors lead to the overall rating for a specific business.

3 Method

The $n \times p$ matrix W in the above figure represents the rating score of each topic for each restaurant. Each row represents a restaurant and in that row, each column represents the rating score of a specific topic.

The $p \times 1$ matrix M on the right represents the user's preference for each topic. Multiplying the two matrices gives the personalized score of each restaurant for each user.

First of all, we use the following formula to train a model to predict the score of topics. The loss function is essentially the least square summation of recommended score minus real rating score

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix} (y_1 \quad \cdots \quad y_n)^T$$

x_{ij} represents the the rate i th topic in j th restaurant.

y_i represents the degree to which the user cares about the i th topic.

$$f(\mathcal{T}|\Theta, \Phi, \kappa, z) = \sum_{r_{u,i} \in \mathcal{T}} \underbrace{(rec(u, i) - r_{u,i})^2}_{\text{rating error}} - \underbrace{\mu l(\mathcal{T}|\theta, \phi, z)}_{\text{corpus likelihood}}.$$

4 Research Road Map

4.1 Experiment Design

Our objective is to learn hidden dimensions of behind a overall rating for a specific restaurant by combining latent rating dimensions, such as the topic learned by topic modeling methods like LDA. First we run topic model to get topics from reviews written by a user and topics from reviews on a restaurant. Then we combine topics we learned from the user and the restaurants as topic factors. Notice that the user preference and topics of restaurants is the same number, as well as topic factors. Finally, we learn the hidden dimension of rating by minimizing the mean squared error function defined by difference between the overall rating calculated by scores of hidden dimensions and the real rating of a review from one user for a restaurant.

In order to do that, we collected all the reviews from one user to get topics from the text as well as the probability of the topics. In effect, the probability of the topic in all the reviews from each user can be the "preference" of the user. After we got the topics of the user, we subsequently used the same model on all the reviews for one specific restaurant. However, the results we get from LDA lack the adjectives that can distinct the positive or negative quality of the topic. So our next goal is to learn the positive or negative quality of topics.

After achieving the goal of finding the distinct quality of topics, we can then learn the hidden dimension of rating based on the topic and the overall rating.

4.2 Experiment Validation

For each user, predict the rating score for the restaurants that the user has already visited before and compare with the actual rating score.

4.3 Research Timeline

Oct 21 - Oct 28: Use the methods from previous studies to extract topics from reviews of a specific business with quantified score from 1.0-5.0 for each topic

Oct 28 - Nov 4: Extract hidden topics from each user's reviews and generate a preference list for each user. Build the recommendation system by figuring out the matrix sizes and coefficients

Nov 4 - Nov 11: Perform evaluation on the recommendation system by using the trained the model to predict the score of restaurants that users have already visited

Nov 12 - Nov 19: Explain why the method works well/bad. Try a different method and compare.

Nov 20 - Nov 27: Improve existing models.

Nov 28 - Dem 1: Finish writing of the official paper and presentation.

4.4 Resources

We use the datasets of yelp reviews, business and user to extract topics from reviews for popular restaurants (restaurants with most reviews) active users (users with most reviews).

References

- [1] Wang, Chong, and David M. Blei. *"Collaborative topic modeling for recommending scientific articles."* *Proceedings of the 17th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. ACM, 2011. APA Guo, Yue, Stuart J. Barnes, and Qiong Jia. *"Mining meaning from online ratings and reviews: Tourist satisfaction analysis using latent dirichlet allocation."* *Tourism Management* 59 (2017): 467-483..
- [2] Linshi, Jack. *"Personalizing Yelp star ratings: A semantic topic modeling approach."* Yale University (2014)..
- [3] *Multi-label text classification with a mixture model trained by EM.*
- [4] *Hierarchical Topic Models and the Nested Chinese Restaurant Process.*