# Computational proposal for sparse matrix

#### avrahami.ben

#### March 2020

### 1 Introduction

Given a population size N, Client requires two data structures:

- a true sparse matrix (we will call  $S_0$ )
- a linear combination of  $S_0$ 's (we will call  $S_1$ )

### 2 $S_0$ desired operations

- 1. matrix:  $S_0$  should be able to store and access positive real elements mapped by  $(0..N-1)^2$
- 2. space efficient: Even though the previous requirement should require  $O(N^2)$  space, we expect that  $S_0$  will only store O(N) non-zero elements at any time, should it should be optimized to use only O(N) space.
- 3. set:  $S_0$  will be mutable, and we should be able to set a cell in it with a positive real value.

### 3 $S_1$ desired operations

- 1. linear combinations:  $S_1$  should be constructable using a set of  $S_0$ 's and positive real coefficients.
- 2. random access:  $S_1$  should be able to access a linear combination of its  $S_0$  elements at any coordinate.
- 3. space efficient: As with  $S_0$  we expect  $S_1$  to only store O(N) non-zero elements, and should only require O(N) space.
- 4. total sum: we will need access to the sums of all elements of  $S_1$  (this value is then divided by N, but the client can to that).
- 5. scalar multiplication:  $S_1$  must support multiplication by a real positive scalar, effectively multiplying all its element by the scalar.

6. probability of any: given a real  $v_{1\times N}$  s.t.  $\forall_j v[j] \in [0,1],$  we want to calculate

$$1 - \prod_{j \in (0..N-1)} (1 - S_1[i,j] * v[j])$$
 for all  $i < N$ .

- 7. multiply sub-matrix row by scalar: given a row r, an  $S_0$  component matrix, and a real scalar s, we want to multiply all the values of row r in  $S_0$  by s, and reflect the results in  $S_1$ . It is unclear whether this operation should be reversible, and whether s will ever differ from 0.
- 8. multiply sub-matrix column by scalar: given a column c, an  $S_0$  component matrix, and a real scalar s, we want to multiply all the values of column c in  $S_0$  by s, and reflect the results in  $S_1$ . It is unclear whether this operation should be reversible, and whether s will ever differ from s.

working assumption We will assume there exists  $T \ll N$  s.t. in every row in  $S_1$  and  $S_0$ , there are no more than T non-zero elements.

### 4 Implementation Proposal: nested dictionaries

### **4.1** $S_0$

 $S_0$  will be implemented as an array of sorted maps, mapping each row number to a row that stores all its cells in a sorted map. Each matrix will store its total sum. Each matrix will also store, per column, a set of all its non-zero indices (the average size of these sets will be less than T).

**example-** the matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

will be represented as:

$$data = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \mapsto 1 \\ 3 \mapsto 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \mapsto 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \mapsto 1 \\ 1 \mapsto 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 \mapsto 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \mapsto 1 \\ 1 \mapsto 1 \\ 3 \mapsto 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$columns = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \{1\}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \{3\}, \end{bmatrix}$$
$$total = 13$$

**sorted map implementation** the most basic implementation for sorted maps is a binary tree, but more optimal implementations can be swapped-in down the line.

**space**  $S_0$  will require at most O(T\*N) space

**setting** setting a value is trivial and will require O(log(T)) time

**batch setting** setting can be optimized for many values in a single row, setting  $M > \frac{T}{\log(T) - 1}$  new values to a single row will only require O(M + T) time (instead of  $O(\log(T) * M)$ )

multiply row by scalar in-place  $S_0$  matrices will support multiplying the values of a row in place by a scalar. Trivial (irreversable) implementation O(T).

multiply column by scalar in-place  $S_0$  matrices will support multiplying the values of a column in place by a scalar. Trivial (irreversible) implementation O(log(T) \* T).

### **4.2** $S_1$

 $S_1$  will store all its component  $S_0$  matrices, along with the coefficient for each. Upon construction, it will also create and store an additional  $S_0$  matrix, filled

with the actual values of  $S_1$  for quick access.

**invariant** we will assume that all modifications to the underlying  $S_0$  components will occur through  $S_1$ .

**construction** for an  $S_1$  with K component matrices, construction requires O(K \* T \* N) time.

**space**  $S_1$  will only require as much space as its component matrices, as well as an additional O(T\*N) space for the cached matrix.

total sum trivially accessible from the cached matrix.

**scalar multiplication** this will require to simply multiply all the coefficients of the component matrices and to reconstruct the cached matrix.

probability of any: see later section.

multiply sub-matrix row by scalar: this will be done by multiplying the component row in-place and recalculating the cached matrix only along that row (total time O(T)).

multiply sub-matrix column by scalar: this will be done by multiplying the component column in-place and recalculating the cached matrix only along that column (total time  $O(\log(T)*N)$ ).

## 5 Implementing probability of any

On its face, the "probability of any" problem

$$POA(S_1, v, r) = 1 - \prod_{j \in (0..N-1)} (1 - S_1[r, j] * v[j])$$
(1)

is one that is quite difficult to solve both computationally and mathematically.

assumption on v We will assume that v is sparse. Assume there exists  $K \ll N$  s.t. there are no more than K non-zero elements in v.

mathematical solutions Both Tzvi and Tal suggested solutions that operate on the entire  $S_1$  matrix in batch. These would be ideal in cases of a full matrix (like in numpy), but on sparese matrices, batch operations are slower, and require more space (simply re-calculating all the additional data will require an extra O(N\*T) space and time whenever the matrix changes.

**computational solution** I propose a computational solution that utilizes the assumption that both  $S_1$  and V are sparse. Runs in O(T+K) time per row.

```
Algorithm 1: computational POA algorithm
   Result: POA(S_1, V, r)
 1 I ←All non-zero indices of S_1[r,:], queued and sorted;
 2 J \leftarrow \text{All non-zero indices of } V, queued and sorted;
\mathbf{3} result ← 1;
 4 while I is not empty and J is not empty:
       i \leftarrow I[0];
\mathbf{5}
6
       j \leftarrow J[0];
       if i < j:
7
           remove I[0] from I
8
       elif j < i:
9
           remove J[0] from J
10
       else:
11
           # i = j
           result \leftarrow result * (1 - S_1[r, i] * V[j]);
12
           remove I[0] from I;
13
           remove J[0] from J;
14
15 return 1 - result
```

by skipping over all zero-values, we reduce POA to O(T+K) operations. Getting the nonzero indices of V is easy with numpy.flatnonzero (this value can even be set-aside for other r's), and for  $S_1[r,:]$ , extracting the non-zero indices is trivial.