

# Analysis of electric power and energy systems

Lecture 3: The transmission line and power flow analysis part 1.

Bertrand Cornélusse  
[bertrand.cornelusse@uliege.be](mailto:bertrand.cornelusse@uliege.be)

# What will we learn today?

- The transmission line
- An introduction to power flow analysis

You will be able to do exercises 4.3, 4.4, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9, 4.10, 4.11, 4.12, Lab4 (power-flow in python), 5.1, 5.2, 5.5, 5.6 from the Ned Mohan's book.

# The transmission line




## How do transmission lines work



Watch later




Share



## Definition

- An (overhead) transmission line is a set of 3 bundles of conductors corresponding to the three phases of the system.
- Commonly used voltages range from 70 kV to 380 kV in Belgium (more where distances are larger).
- Minimum distances between conductors depend of the voltage level, and thus electrical properties also depend on the voltage level.
- Cables are more and more used. They have different properties but we will not enter in the details in this course.

# A part of ELIA's network



LIGNES AERIENNES		BOVENGRONDSE LIJNEN	
Tension d'exploitation 380kV 220kV 150kV 110kV 70kV		Uitbatingsspanning 380kV 220kV 150kV 110kV 70kV	
Nombre de ternes prévus installés 1 1 2 1 2 2 > 2 (avec numéro de référence dans le tableau des compositions)		Aantal draadstellen voorzien uitgerust 1 1 2 1 2 2 > 2 (met referentijsnummer in de samenstellingstabel)	
en construction ou en projet 2 <sup>e</sup> terna en construction ou en projet		in aanbouw of in ontwerp 2 <sup>e</sup> draadstel in aanbouw of in ontwerp	
lignes à 2 ternes de tensions différentes tension d'exploitation inférieure à la tension de construction ligne appartenant à un tiers (1)		lijn met 2 draadstellen van verschillende spanningen uitbatingsspanning lager dan de constructiespanning lijn eigendom van een derde (1)	
Tableau des compositions des lignes à plus de 2 ternes:		Samenstellingstabel van de lijnen met meer dan 2 draadstellen:	
1 2 x 150 + 1 x 70 (2 x 150)	7 3 x 380 (4 x 380)	13 1 x 70 (4 x 150)	
2 4 x 150	8 3 x 70	14 1 x 220 + 2 x 70	
3 1 x 150 + 2 x 70 (2 x 150)	9 2 x 150 (4 x 150)	15 3 x 150 (4 x 150)	
4 1 x 150 + 3 x 70 (4 x 150)	10 1 x 150 + 1 x 70 (2 x 150 + 1 x 70)	16 3 x 150 + 1 x 70 (4 x 150)	
5 2 x 150 + 2 x 70 (4 x 150)	11 4 x 70	17 3 x 220	
6 2 x 150 (2 x 380 + 2 x 150)	12 3 x 150	18 1 x 380 + 2 x 150 (2 x 380 + 2 x 150)	


  

CABLES SOUTERRAINS		ONDERGRONDSE KABELS	
380kV 220kV 150kV 110kV 70kV HVDC		380kV 220kV 150kV 110kV 70kV HVDC	
en construction ou en projet		in aanbouw of in ontwerp	
cables parallèles cable appartenant à un tiers (1)		parallele kabels kabel eigendom van een derde (1)	

Source: <https://www.elia.be/fr/infrastructure-et-projets/nos-infrastructures>

# Transmission line parameters

A chunk of line can be represented as



where

- $R$  represents the series resistance, as small as possible to minimize  $RI^2$  (influence of the frequency and skin effect)
- the series inductance  $L$  models the magnetic coupling between phases
- the shunt capacitance  $C$  models the capacitive coupling between phases
- a shunt conductance  $G$  can be added to model e.g. the leakage current through insulators


**TABLE 4.1** Approximate Transmission Line Parameters with Bundled Conductors at 60 Hz

Nominal Voltage	$R$ ( $\Omega/\text{km}$ )	$\omega L$ ( $\Omega/\text{km}$ )	$\omega C$ ( $\mu\text{F}/\text{km}$ )
230 kV	0.06	0.5	3.4
345 kV	0.04	0.38	4.6
500 kV	0.03	0.33	5.3
765 kV	0.01	0.34	5.0



## Distributed parameter representation in sinusoidal steady state

On a per phase basis:



How do voltage and current evolve as a function of the position on the line?

- As  $R$  is small, let's assume  $R$  is considered as **lumped**.
- $\frac{d\bar{V}(x)}{dx} = j\omega L \bar{I}(x)$
- $\frac{d\bar{I}(x)}{dx} = j\omega C \bar{V}(x)$

Hence

$$\frac{d^2 \bar{V}(x)}{dx^2} + \beta^2 \bar{V}(x) = 0$$

- which yields  $\bar{V}(x) = \bar{V}_1 e^{\beta j x} + \bar{V}_2 e^{-\beta j x}$
- and by derivation  $\bar{I}(x) = (\bar{V}_1 e^{\beta j x} - \bar{V}_2 e^{-\beta j x}) / Z_c$

Whith


- $\beta = \omega \sqrt{LC}$  the propagation constant
- $Z_c = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}}$  the surge impedance

Boundary conditions at  $x = 0$  allow to determine constants  $\bar{V}_1$  and  $\bar{V}_2$ , and finally

$$\bar{V}(x) = \bar{V}_R \cos(\beta x) + j Z_c \bar{I}_R \sin(\beta x)$$

## Surge impedance loading

If the line is assumed lossless and we close it with  $Z_c$ , assuming  $\bar{V}_R = V_R \angle 0$ :




then the voltage **magnitude is constant** over the line:  $\bar{V}(x) = V_R e^{j\beta x}$ , and only the **angle increases with  $x$** . Similar conclusion for  $\bar{I}(x)$ .

Why? The reactive power consumed by the line is the same as the reactive power produced, everywhere.

## Illustration in Python

See [Python notebook](#).



SIL, 230 kV line params

$Z_c$  depends on the line characteristics/geometry, hence is function of the voltage level mainly (distances between conductors, etc.)

The surge impedance loading is the power drawn by the load  $Z_c$ , which depends on the voltage level  $V_{LL}$

$$SIL = \frac{V_{LL}^2}{Z_c}$$

Example: for  $500kV$ ,  $SIL \approx 1020MW$


# Line loadability

The SIL gives an idea of the loadability of a line depending on its length:

- short line,  $l < 100km$ 
  - load limit =  $3 \times SIL$
  - thermal limit
- Medium length line,  $100km < l < 300km$ 
  - load limit =  $1.5$  to  $3 \times SIL$
  - voltage drop  $< 5\%$
- Long line,  $l > 300km$ 
  - load limit  $\approx 1 \times SIL$
  - for system stability, the angle difference between line ends should stay  $< 40^\circ$ , see future lesson

## Lumped transmission line model in steady state aka **the $\pi$ model**

If  $l$  is relatively small ( $< 300km$ ), we can **approximate** the line with lumped parameters:



with, by manipulation of the previous equations and assuming  $\beta l$  small,


- $Z_{series} = Rl + j\omega Ll$
- $\frac{Y_{shunt}}{2} = j\frac{\omega Cl}{2}$

(remember that  $R$ ,  $L$  and  $C$  are per km values).

This  $\pi$  model is symmetrical by design.

## Illustration in Python

See [Python notebook](#).



Loading at  $Z_c/2$ , 230 kV line params. Dots correspond to the  $\pi$ -model, continuous line to the distributed parameter representation.





Ampacimon



Watch later



Share



# Power flow analysis

# What is a power flow analysis?

Power flow (or load flow) analysis is about determining the **electrical state of a system**, when information about power generated or consumed is available at nodes of the network, and considering that the voltage level is regulated at some buses.

This type of analysis is commonly used by power companies for planning and operation purposes.

- If voltage magnitude and angles were measured at all buses,
  - then it would boil down to solving a set of simple linear equations.
- In a similar way, mesh or nodal analysis could be used if we had a full model of the system,
  - even without all voltage measurements.
- But here the situation is different, because we mainly have access to **power** measurements.
  - The system is no more linear.

## Power flow problem statement


Determine **the voltage at every bus**, assuming we have a power system composed of transmission lines connecting the following bus types:

- **PQ buses** are typically loads where active and reactive power are measured
  - it can also be generation where voltage is not regulated (e.g. renewable generation)
- **PV buses** where the active power and the voltage are specified
  - these are typically generators
- one **slack bus** that sets the reference for the voltage magnitudes and angles (it is usually at 1 pu)
  - P and Q can take any value to reach the power balance in the system.

Branch currents and losses can be determined from the voltages (magnitudes and phases).

Note: as we will see, PV buses must be switched to PQ buses in case they reach a limit of their capability curve.

## A first tiny example



Buses:

- Bus 1 is the slack, with  $V = 1$  pu
- Bus 2 is a PV bus, with  $V$  regulated at 1.05 pu and drawing  $P = 2$  pu
- Bus 3 is a PQ bus, consumes  $P = 5$  pu and  $Q = 1$  pu.

Lines:

- $X = 0.376$  Ohm/km (at 60 Hz)
- $R = 0.037$  Ohm/km
- Shunt susceptances are ignored ( $4.5e-6$  S/km)

Voltage base (3-phase): 345 kV, Power base (3-phase): 100 MVA

## Result of the tiny example using pandapower

[Link to the Python notebook](#)

	vm_puva_degree		p_mw	q_mvar
0	1.00	0.00	-308.38	81.61
1	1.05	-2.07	-200.00	-266.74
2	0.98	-8.79	500.00	100.00

Are there losses?

## Result of the tiny example using pandapower

[Link to the Python notebook](#)

	vm_puva_degree		p_mw	q_mvar
0	1.00	0.00	-308.38	81.61
1	1.05	-2.07	-200.00	-266.74
2	0.98	-8.79	500.00	100.00

Are there losses?

Results for the lines:

	p_from_mw	q_from_mvar	p_to_mw	q_to_mvar	p_loss	q_loss	p_from_kai	q_to_ka
0	68.99	-110.87	-68.20	118.95	0.80	8.08	0.22	0.22
1	268.20	147.79	-264.23	-107.49	3.97	40.30	0.49	0.49
2	-235.77	7.49	239.38	29.26	3.62	36.75	0.40	0.40

# The power flow equations

- Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the set of buses of the network
- Some buses are interconnected by transmission lines, given by their  $\pi$  models
- Let  $Y_{kG}$  be the sum of admittances connected between node  $k$  and the ground:
  - the shunt admittances of the lines incident to  $k$ , and the admittances of the devices connected at node  $k$  if any.
- For two nodes  $k$  and  $m$ , let  $Z_{km}$  be the series impedance of the line connecting them and  $Y_{km} = Z_{km}^{-1}$  ( $Y_{km} = 0$  if there is no line)

The current injection at node  $k$  is

$$\bar{I}_k = Y_{kG}\bar{V}_k + \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N} \setminus k} (\bar{V}_k - \bar{V}_m)Y_{km}$$



This last equation can be rewritten as

$$\bar{I}_k = \left( Y_{kG} + \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N} \setminus k} Y_{km} \right) \bar{V}_k - \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N} \setminus k} Y_{km} \bar{V}_m$$

which highlights the possibility to write in matrix form

$$\bar{\mathbf{I}} = \mathbf{Y} \bar{\mathbf{V}}$$

with  $\bar{\mathbf{I}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{V}}$  the vectors of bus current injections and bus voltages, respectively.

The **admittance matrix**  $\mathbf{Y}$  can be determined by inspection:

- Element  $y_{kk}$  is the sum of the admittances incident to bus  $k$
- Element  $y_{km}, m \neq k$ , is the opposite of the sum of the admittances connecting bus  $k$  to bus  $m$

But remember that we have power measurements only (and voltage magnitudes at a few buses). So we can derive

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P} + j\mathbf{Q} &= \bar{\mathbf{V}} \circ \bar{\mathbf{I}}^* \\ &= \bar{\mathbf{V}} \circ \mathbf{Y}^* \bar{\mathbf{V}}^*\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  are the vectors of active and reactive power injections, respectively, and  $\circ$  denotes the elementwise product.

If we develop this relation for a node  $k$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}P_k &= G_{kk} V_k^2 + V_k \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N} \setminus k} V_m (G_{km} \cos \theta_{km} + B_{km} \sin \theta_{km}) = p_k(\bar{\mathbf{V}}) \\ Q_k &= -B_{kk} V_k^2 + V_k \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N} \setminus k} V_m (G_{km} \sin \theta_{km} - B_{km} \cos \theta_{km}) = q_k(\bar{\mathbf{V}})\end{aligned}$$

with

- $Y_{km} = G_{km} + jB_{km}$
- $Y_{kk} = G_{kk} + jB_{kk}$  is the sum of the admittances from bus  $k$  to ground
- $\theta_{km} = \theta_k - \theta_m$  the phase difference between voltages at nodes  $k$  and  $m$

## Number of equations and unknowns

If there are  $n$  buses in total, among which  $n_{PQ}$  PQ buses,  $n_{PV}$  PV buses and one slack bus, hence

$$n = n_{PQ} + n_{PV} + 1,$$

then

- $\mathbf{P}$  is known for  $n_{PQ} + n_{PV}$  buses (all but the slack)
- Elements of  $\mathbf{Q}$  are known for the  $n_{PQ}$  PQ buses
- Voltage magnitude is known at PV buses and at the slack bus
- Voltage angle is known at the slack bus.

In total, there are  $2n$  equations for  $2n$  unknowns:  $n - 1$  voltage angles,  $n_{PQ}$  voltage magnitudes,  $n_{PV} + 1$  reactive powers, and 1 active power.

# Power flow solution method

Let

- $\mathbf{P}^0$  be the active powers specified at the  $\mathcal{N}_{PQ} \cup \mathcal{N}_{PV}$  buses
- $\mathbf{Q}^0$  be the reactive powers specified at the  $\mathcal{N}_{PQ}$  buses.

To find  $\bar{\mathbf{V}}$ , we must solve

$$\begin{aligned} P_k^0 - p_k(\bar{\mathbf{V}}) &= 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{N}_{PQ} \cup \mathcal{N}_{PV} \\ Q_k^0 - q_k(\bar{\mathbf{V}}) &= 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{N}_{PQ} \end{aligned}$$


which is a set of  $2n_{PQ} + n_{PV}$  non-linear equations.

The most widespread method to solve this system is the [Newton-Raphson method](#).

## Newton-Raphson example in 1D

- Let's assume we want to solve  $c - f(x) = 0$  with  $f$  a non-linear function.
- We start with a first guess for  $x$ ,  $x^{(0)}$ , at iteration  $i = 0$
- Then, while  $|c - f(x^{(i)})| > \epsilon$ :
  - $x^{(i+1)} = x^{(i)} + \frac{c - f(x^{(i)})}{f'(x^{(i)})}$
  - $i \leftarrow i + 1$

For  $c = 4$  and  $f(x) = x^3$  ([Link to the Python notebook](#)):



The **convergence is quadratic** if we start with  $x(0)$  "close" to the solution.

## Newton-Raphson for the power flow problem

We apply exactly the same idea to our problem, except that we are in dimension  $2n_{PQ} + n_{PV}$ .

Hence we must compute partial derivatives to compute the update steps:

$$\bar{\mathbf{V}}_x^{(i+1)} = \bar{\mathbf{V}}_x^{(i)} + \underbrace{\left[ \mathbf{J}(\bar{\mathbf{V}}^{(i)}) \right]^{-1} (\mathbf{F}^0 - \mathbf{f}(\bar{\mathbf{V}}^{(i)}))}_{\Delta \bar{\mathbf{V}}_x}$$

where

- $\mathbf{F}^0$  gathers the measured active powers at buses in  $\mathcal{N}_{PQ} \cup \mathcal{N}_{PV}$  and reactive powers at buses  $\mathcal{N}_{PQ}$
- $\mathbf{f}(\bar{\mathbf{V}})$  gathers the active and power flow equations at the corresponding buses
- $\bar{\mathbf{V}}_x$  is the subvector of  $\bar{\mathbf{V}}$  that gathers the unknown voltage magnitudes and angles at the corresponding buses
- $\mathbf{J}(\bar{\mathbf{V}})$  is the jacobian of  $\mathbf{f}$ , of size  $(2n_{PQ} + n_{PV}) \times (2n_{PQ} + n_{PV})$

## Remarks

- In practice, instead of computing the inverse of the Jacobian, we solve the system

$$\mathbf{J}(\bar{\mathbf{V}}^{(i)})\Delta\bar{\mathbf{V}}_x = \mathbf{F}^0 - \mathbf{f}(\bar{\mathbf{V}}^{(i)})$$

to get the update step

- The Jacobian is often sparse, since a bus is connected to a few neighbors; it is very important to take into account the sparsity properties in practical implementations
- The Jacobian is not necessarily updated at every iteration, especially close to convergence

## Fast decoupled power flow

Remember that

- active power flow is mostly a function of voltage angles
- reactive power flow is mostly a function of voltage magnitudes

If we apply these ideas strictly, we can subdivide the problem in two much simpler subproblems:

- one problem for angles, based on the active power measurements and the sub-Jacobian containing the partial derivatives of the active power flow equations w.r.t. angles
- one problem for magnitudes, based on the reactive power measurements and the sub-Jacobian containing the partial derivatives of the reactive power flow equations w.r.t. magnitudes

This procedure, through the sub-Jacobian that are computed, also provide information useful for [sensitivity analysis](#).



## DC power flow

"Direct Current" power flow is a further simplification:

- it is assumed that the impact of the reactance of lines is much bigger than the impact of their resistance, and shunt conductances are neglected
- voltage magnitudes are assumed equal to  $1pu$
- angle differences are small
- active power losses are neglected, reactive power flows as well

$$P_k = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N} \setminus k} B_{km} \theta_{km}$$

for every bus but the slack bus, which sets the angle difference, and collects the algebraic sum of all other injected powers.


In matrix form, with  $\mathbf{Y}$  the admittance matrix defined before:

$$\mathbf{P} = \Im(\mathbf{Y})\theta$$

This is useful for fast simulations, or when including a power flow model in an optimization problem, e.g. [day-ahead market coupling](#).

## SCADA systems: a note about measurement and communication

SCADA means "supervisory control and data acquisition". This video defines a number of terms that are commonly used.



# References

- Mohan, Ned. Electric power systems: a first course. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- Course notes of ELEC0014 by Pr. Thierry Van Cutsem.
- L. Thurner, A. Scheidler, F. Schäfer et al, pandapower - an Open Source Python Tool for Convenient Modeling, Analysis and Optimization of Electric Power Systems, in IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 6510-6521, Nov. 2018.

