# Java PriorityQueue Class

# Anders Jacobsen, Dima Karaush May 6, 2021

#### Abstract

Java's PriorityQueue class have a bottleneck when you need to update values in the queue. Depending on the use and implementation, Java's PriorityQueue can add serious performance issues when accessing the data using the poll() method. When updating a value in the queue, performance can be improved by more than 50% compared to Java's PriorityQueue implementation. Implementing your own version might remove this bottleneck from your software.

## 1 Introduction

Why did we choose this subject This article will discuss Java's "Build-in" class PriorityQueue. The interest for this topic has grown from an implmentation of a weighted graph in Java, which we found had a possible shortcomming for our use-case. The shortcomming was that to update a value in the queue, we had to use a linear search (loop) through the queue to update a value within. That is fine on a small scale, but what if need to draw a graph of all the cities in the world? We believe that this has space for optimization and that is why this article explores this subject.

We are going to use a previously developed Timer class. How will we work with the subject

## 2 Scope

What will be in this article What will not be included in this article

## 3 Problem

### 3.1 Background

#### 3.2 Problem Statement

The questions we will try to solve Problemfomulering

## 4 Analysis

### 4.1 Benchmark Method

This subsection will cover how we prepared and executed our benchmarks. It will also touch the subject of what we are timing in the two implementations of a PriorityQueue. We've followed Peter Sestofts approach to microbenchmarking in Java [1], we've used a combination of Mark5 and Mark3 benchmarks with a few twists here and there. To make benchmarks, a Timer class is nessesary. We've designed the simplest version possible to avoid interference from calculations in the Timer class.

```
public class Timer {
    private long start;

public void start() {
    start = System.nanoTime();
}

public long step() {
    return System.nanoTime() - start;
}
}
```

Listing 1: Simple Timer class implementation

This implementation enables us to process the times as nano seconds after the benchmarks and also to easily restart the timer.

In addition to the Timer class we have also implemented a TimerTracker class. This class only consists of two lists that can contain the warmup and real benchmark times. Also a method for writing the optained times to a  $CSV^1$  file. The CSV files will be used to explore the data later.

```
private static void benchmarkPriorityQueue(int
warmupIterations, int iterations, TimeTracker
tracker) {
    // Printing removed for simplicity
    // Warmup
    for (int i = 0; i < warmupIterations; i++) {
        tracker.addWarmupTime(pQueueRun());
    }
    // Benchmark
    for (int i = 0; i < iterations; i++) {
        tracker.addTime(pQueueRun());
    }
}</pre>
```

Listing 2: Benchmark iterations

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Comma Seperated Values Filestructure

Measurements in the benchmark is done only on the time it takes to update a value in the PriorityQueue. Again we follow Peter Sestofts microbenchmarking techniques. In listing 2 we run a number of warmup iterations before running the actual benchmark. This is to fight the battle agains Java's JIT<sup>2</sup> compiler as described in Peter Sestofts article. In the listing the method pQueueRun() is running the benchmark, this will be descriped in section 4.2. The tracker. addTime(long time) simply adds a time to a list that will later be written to a CSV file.

### 4.2 Benchmark of Java's priorityQueue

To understand the example that we are using we have to take a look at how we use our queue.

```
PriorityQueue < Node > pQueue = new PriorityQueue <>(
QUEUE_LENGTH, nodeComp);

// Filling the queue with Nodes
for (int i = 0; i < QUEUE_LENGTH; i++) {
    pQueue.add(new Node(i, i + 1, i));
}</pre>
```

Listing 3: Populating the queue

We create a simple priorityQueue that takes nodes as an object. Then we add some nodes that fill up the queue, the nodes do not have any special values. But we do add a unique values so that we are able to distinguish the nodes.

When were looking at updating a node in Java's priority queue. There is no method to retrieve the wanted node from the list. Therefore we use a Iterator to list through every node in our list until we find a match.

```
Iterator < Node > it = pQueue.iterator();

while (it.hasNext()) {
    n = it.next();
    if (count == QUEUE_LENGTH - 1) {
        n.verticeTo = 80085;
        time = timer.step();
        break;
    }
    count++;
}
```

Listing 4: Finding the node

Notice that we are listing through the queue in a linear way. This is the reason that we are updating the last object in the queue, to simulate a worst case scenario.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Just In Time

## 4.3 Update Method

### 4.4 Benchmark of updateable PriorityQueue

The way we benchmarked our implementation of the PriorityQueue can be seen on listing 5.

```
private static long pQueueRun() {
    // Initialization removed for simplicity

timer.start();
    Node n = queue.retrieve(new Node(500, 1000,
    QUEUE_LENGTH-1));
    n.verticeTo = 101;
    time = timer.step();

return time;
}
```

Listing 5: Benchmark implmentation on our PriorityQueue

As seen on the listing we have removed the initializing for simplicity in the exapmle. So keep in mind that a new queue and timer are initialized every iteration in the benchmark. In the example it visible that we use our retrieve () method to get a specific node from the queue. We then mutate a value on the node, and stop the timer. Afterwards the time is returned. Later in the benchmark, the returned time is being saved in the TimerTracker witch writes it to a CSV file.

We have explored the data from the CSV file using a Python Notebook. This gave us the following inside.

| 8-8                   |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| OS                    | Microsoft Windows 10 Pro   |
| OS Version            | 10.0.19042 N/A Build 19042   |
| System Type           | x64-based PC   |
| Processor(s)          | Intel® Core™ i7-10700KF Processor,<br>16M Cache, up to 5.10 GHz                  |
| BIOS Version          | American Megatrends Inc. 1.10, 21-05-2020  |
| Total Physical Memory | 32.688 MB  |
| Disc(s)               | Force Series <sup>™</sup> MP510 980GB M.2 SSD (up to 3480MB/sec sequencial read) |

## 4.5 Comparisson of PriorityQueues

## 5 Conclusion

Answer our questions and possibly introduction

# References

[1] Peter Sestoft. Microbenchmarks in java and c-sharp. IT University of Copenhagen, Denmark, pages 2–16, 2015-09-16.