## Saving Mr Chimp 拯救大猩猩先生

Vocabulary: Judicial case 法庭案例

Should animals be **entitled** to the same rights as people? The question is not so **outlandish**. A **judge** in the US once suggested that chimpanzees had the right to **habeas corpus**. Judge Barbara Jaffe was **ruling** on the case of Leo and Hercules. These two primates have their own lawyers **arguing** that they should be moved from a university lab to an **animal sanctuary**. She did change her mind after a while.

Animals have always intrigued us. Some people find them very intelligent and capable of friendship, jealousy and longing. That's enough to give them rights, isn't it? Not for people like Professor Carl Cohen of the University of Michigan. He says that rights are a concept special to the human **moral code**. And animals don't know anything about right and wrong. The academic points out: "Animals do not **commit crimes**; animals are not attacked for their moral views."

In any case, humans have become more sensitive to animal suffering throughout the years. In 1999, New Zealand **granted basic rights** to five great ape species. Their use in research, testing or teaching was banned in a move seen as the greatest **legal** success in animal rights history.

In 2002, in an **unprecedented** move in the European Union, Germany granted some rights to animals in its **constitution**.

The **ambiguous** relationship between people and animals can be perceived in language. In English the pronoun used for an animal is 'it'. But many people refer to their pets as 'he' or 'she'. It makes them more of an equal to us.

What do you think? Should animals have rights similar to humans?

## Find the answers from the given text

Who might be moving from a lab to a sanctuary?
2. What do animals lack, according to Professor Carl Cohen?
3. What can't apes be used for in New Zealand?
4. Which pronouns can you use for animals in English?
5. Which noun means 'feeling pain or distress'?

## **Choose the correct answer**

1.	When Joanne got divorced the	e judge said she w	as to half of her
	husband's assets.		
	granted entitled giv	ven taken	
2.	Men and women are	before the law	V.
	sensitive relative e	qual similar	
3.	I must point that I	not everything you	u say is true.
	at to on out	]	
4.	The judge told Mr Ross: "If you	u the	crime, you have to accept the
	punishment."		
	make commit act	take	
5.	Lady Gaga wears	_ clothes. She has	s even worn an outfit made of meat
	outside out of bounds	outlandish	out of order

## Vocabulary

Should animals be **entitled** to the same rights as people? The question is not so **outlandish**. A **judge** in the US once suggested that chimpanzees had the right to **habeas corpus**. Judge Barbara Jaffe was **ruling** on the case of Leo and Hercules. These two primates have their own lawyers **arguing** that they should be moved from a university lab to an **animal sanctuary**. She did change her mind after a while.

- to be entitled 有資格的,有資格享受的
  - →補充
- outlandish adj. 奇異古怪的
  - →補充
- a judge n. 一位法官
  - →補充
- habeas corpus n. 人身保護樣
  - →補充
- to rule v. 裁決
  - →補充
- to argue v. 爭辯
  - →補充
- an animal sanctuary n. 一個動物庇護所、動物保護區
  - →補充

Animals have always intrigued us. Some people find them very intelligent and capable of friendship, jealousy and longing. That's enough to give them rights, isn't it? Not for people like Professor Carl Cohen of the University of Michigan. He says that rights are a concept special to the human **moral code**. And animals don't know anything about right and wrong. The academic points out: "Animals do not **commit crimes**; animals are not attacked for their moral views."

In any case, humans have become more sensitive to animal suffering throughout the years. In 1999, New Zealand **granted basic rights** to five great ape species. Their use in research, testing or teaching was banned in a move seen as the greatest **legal** success in animal rights history.

- a moral code n. 一項道德規範
  - →補充
- to commit crimes v. 犯罪
  - →補充
- to grant basic rights v. 授予基本權力
  - →補充
- legal adj. 法律(上)的
  - →補充

In 2002, in an **unprecedented** move in the European Union, Germany granted some rights to animals in its **constitution**.

The **ambiguous** relationship between people and animals can be perceived in language. In English the pronoun used for an animal is 'it'. But many people refer to their pets as 'he' or 'she'. It makes them more of an equal to us.

What do you think? Should animals have rights similar to humans?

- unprecedented adj. 前所未有的,沒有先例的
  - →補充
- constitution n. 憲法,大法
  - →補充
- ambiguous adj. 模糊的,不明確的
  - →補充