

A Causal Cosmological Framework for Siamese Universes

This essay proposes a simple and reasoned way to tell a story that, in truth, we have been building between the lines for some time: the idea that two mirror universes share a common substrate of vacuum and that, when they become minimally desynchronized in phase, they turn that smooth base into a medium capable of generating structure, matter and complexity. It is a causal narrative that does not aim to compete with standard physics, but to offer a conceptual framework in which many scattered pieces —imperfect baryogenesis, observed anisotropies, CPT symmetries, vacuum models and horizon dynamics— find a common thread.

Here we avoid unnecessary technicalities. The ambition is both modest and profound: to tell the process in a comprehensible, almost visual way, like a story of two universes that are born synchronized, share the same basal ocean, and become fertile when a small phase desynchronization transforms that ocean into a “swirlable” medium where stars, collapses and black holes can arise. This mechanism, simple at its core, opens the door to a different understanding of the origin of the matter–antimatter asymmetry, the formation of structure, and the relationship between the two universes.

What follows is that causal journey: from the shared vacuum to the observational consequences we can detect in the sky today.

The Shared Basal Ocean

Every cosmological framework needs a starting point. In most scientific stories, that origin is a singularity or an extremely dense and hot state. Here, however, we adopt an image closer to intuition: before differences exist, even before two distinct universes exist, there is a shared substrate. It is not matter, nor field, nor energy in the usual sense, but a structure of vacuum: a deep sheet that both universes occupy simultaneously.

We call this structure the basal ocean or, by analogy with early quantum physics, the Siamese Dirac Sea. It is not a literal physical object, but a way of describing an organized vacuum, a state in which all possibilities are present yet none have manifested. It is a perfectly symmetric and silent medium: no turbulence, no asymmetries, no internal tension. A flat ocean.

In that initial state, the two universes are perfect mirrors. Their phases coincide, their dynamical configurations match, and no perturbation distinguishes one branch from the other. If we could observe them from outside (were that possible), we would see two superimposed projections evolving in unison upon the same substrate.

This mirror state has a profound consequence: it is sterile. A perfectly flat ocean generates no waves, no vortices, no density peaks. Perfectly symmetric initial conditions lead to a perfectly

symmetric evolution that, paradoxically, never gives rise to interesting structure. Nothing protrudes, nothing sinks, nothing breaks the monotony.

Cosmological fertility —the emergence of matter, stars, overdensities and black holes— requires this ocean to cease being perfect. And that change occurs the moment the system loses its synchrony.

Phase Desynchronization and the Birth of Structure

A perfectly flat ocean generates nothing: no currents, no eddies, no density islands. For structure to arise, a small imbalance is needed —a mismatch that introduces relief where there was only smoothness. In the Siamese framework, that imbalance takes a very specific form: a phase desynchronization between the two mirror universes.

This desynchronization —which need not be large; an infinitesimal deviation suffices— acts as an initial tremor that breaks the perfect superposition. The two branches no longer advance in parallel; their patterns stop matching exactly. And what was once a static ocean begins to admit ripples, modulations and roughness.

The word “roughness” is not accidental. Just as a slight breeze is enough to transform a lake into a trembling surface, a tiny phase difference turns the Siamese Dirac Sea into a swirlable medium. Eddies do not appear immediately, but the ocean becomes capable of producing them. Symmetry ceases to be absolute, and that is precisely what enables dynamics.

In physical language, this roughness manifests as density variations —local fluctuations that no longer cancel out between the two branches. What one universe gains, the other no longer compensates exactly. This lack of perfect cancellation is the seed of everything that follows: accumulation of matter, formation of energetic valleys and peaks, and ultimately the symmetry breaking that gives rise to the excess of matter over antimatter.

Phase desynchronization does not directly create matter. What it does is create the right scenario for matter to arise inevitably. Once the ocean ceases to be smooth, the system can no longer avoid reorganizing itself. As in John Conway’s Game of Life, a minimal irregularity is enough for complexity to appear. The board stops being trivial the moment even a microscopic difference exists.

In this sense, phase desynchronization is the cosmological spark. It is not an external agent nor an added force: it is simply the loss of perfect equilibrium, the minimal distance between two universes that are no longer identical copies. That distance becomes structure. That structure becomes landscape. And in that landscape, matter finds a place to be born.

The Cosmological Board and the Game of Life

Before entering the transition toward matter, it is worth clarifying a parallel that illuminates the heart of the Siamese framework: Conway’s Game of Life. Not because the universe is a cellular automaton, but because the fundamental idea of the model captures precisely the logic that operates in a desynchronized Siamese vacuum.

In the Game of Life, a perfectly uniform board is condemned to sterility. Nothing arises from it except static emptiness. For patterns to appear —oscillators, gliders, architectures that evolve— initial irregularities capable of being amplified through each iteration are essential.

In our framework, the basal ocean shared by the two mirror universes behaves analogously. As long as the phase between both branches is identical, the board is empty: no structure, no density, no cosmological life. But even a tiny phase desynchronization ($\Delta\phi \neq 0$) is enough for the vacuum landscape to cease being trivial and begin generating patterns.

This comparison does not intend to reduce cosmology to a game, but to highlight a universal property: complexity does not arise from perfect symmetry, but from its minimal breaking. In the Game of Life, those initial irregularities act as the small rules that transform an apparently simple board into something alive: they determine which patterns survive, which disappear, and which evolve into unexpected forms. In the Siamese framework, something similar occurs: tiny phase irregularities act as implicit rules that rewrite the vacuum's dynamics and turn a uniform sheet into a landscape capable of generating structure. As in Conway's automaton, the Siamese universe becomes fertile when the board ceases to be homogeneous.

This parallel helps us understand why phase desynchronization is not a mathematical detail but the engine of all subsequent structure. Without $\Delta\phi$, the universe would be a dead board. With $\Delta\phi$, the vacuum's dynamics acquire the ability to generate patterns that gravity will later amplify.

From Roughness to Matter: Imperfect Baryogenesis

Once the basal ocean ceases to be perfectly symmetric, the system enters a new regime: the possibility that matter and antimatter are not produced in identical amounts. In a strictly mirror universe, every particle would have its antiparticle in exact proportion and the final result would be a cosmos without persistent matter. But when the phase is no longer aligned, that symmetry is no longer perfect and a slight imbalance appears.

That imbalance is what we call imperfect baryogenesis. It is not a punctual mechanism nor an explicit violation of known laws, but a natural consequence of the landscape that arises when the two universes no longer maintain the same phase. Some regions of the basal ocean accumulate more energy than their mirror counterparts, and that difference alters the rate of baryon production and annihilation.

Put simply: if two mirror universes cease to be perfectly synchronized, their shared vacuum begins to tilt just enough so that when particles and antiparticles are produced, the balance no longer returns exactly to zero. The result is a small surplus of matter —just enough for atoms, stars and galaxies to exist.

In our framework, imperfect baryogenesis is not an isolated phenomenon but the early manifestation of a roughness that had already begun forming since the initial desynchronization. Like a whirlpool that begins as a slight perturbation before becoming visible, the baryonic excess arises as a subtle imbalance in the way the Siamese universes share their vacuum.

This excess, though tiny, has enormous consequences. In an ocean that begins to turn swirlable, the leftover matter acts like the first grain of sand in a pearl: a point of concentration around which

gravitational dynamics can gather more and more energy. Without that minimal imbalance, the universe would remain a homogeneous and silent sea. With it, the sea begins to fill with waves and patterns.

Thus, imperfect baryogenesis not only explains why matter exists, but why the universe becomes fertile in structure. It is the first step toward a cosmos where local accumulations can grow, where gravity can act as architect, and where vortices —extreme overdensities— can eventually appear.

The Origin of the Desynchronization: Why Two Universes Cannot Stay Aligned

To fully understand how a Siamese universe moves from a sterile state to a fertile one, we must answer a fundamental question: why do two universes that are born synchronized cease to be? The answer is not unique, and it is better to present several reasonable possibilities, as long as they fit within what we have built in our previous work.

Below are four possible mechanisms for the emergence of the cosmological phase difference ($\Delta\phi$). They are not mutually exclusive; they can work together or in varying degrees. Presenting them provides a more robust and honest framework, showing that the desfase is not a magical resource but a natural consequence of the dynamics.

1. Fluctuations of the Shared Vacuum

Here the universes do not begin desynchronized. The desynchronization arises because they share the same basal vacuum, the Siamese Dirac Sea. That vacuum contains unavoidable quantum fluctuations —Casimir-like or zero-point energy— that do not distinguish between branches.

Like two pendulums hanging from the same ceiling, any vibration in the support eventually separates their rhythms. The phase difference is therefore a statistical consequence of sharing the same substrate.

2. Instability of Perfect Symmetry

Many physical systems show that perfect symmetry is actually an unstable state. It occurs in electroweak breaking, in magnetic domain formation, and in many nonlinear systems. Applied to cosmology, the idea is simple: a perfectly mirror state cannot sustain itself indefinitely.

The slightest perturbation —even a virtual one— pushes the system away from that extremely fine equilibrium. The phase difference appears as an inevitable outcome.

3. Partial Coupling Between Universes

Another possibility is that the Siamese universes are partially coupled through their CPT boundary or shared holographic structure. Two imperfectly coupled oscillators can begin in synchrony, but finite coupling causes their phases to drift over time.

In this model, desynchronization does not appear immediately but grows slowly, like two identical clocks that end up reporting different hours after millions of cycles.

4. Dynamical Evolution Amplifies Microscopic Differences

Finally, the phase difference can be an emergent property of evolution. Even if the two branches start perfectly aligned, each responds slightly differently to local quantum fluctuations and to the development of its own internal dynamics.

Two guitars tuned identically begin sounding in unison, but after playing a few notes, they start to drift. Evolution is the amplifier of the desynchronization.

A Preferred Interpretation in Our Framework

Although all four mechanisms are compatible with our previous ideas, the one most consistent with our body of work is the first: desynchronization as a consequence of sharing a basal quantum vacuum. It is clean, introduces no additional assumptions, and fits the fundamental role we attribute to the Siamese ocean.

With this, the origin of the phase difference is reasonably explained without altering the conceptual structure we have constructed.

From the Matter Excess to Structure: Massive Stars and Collapse

With imperfect baryogenesis underway, the basal ocean is no longer only swirlable: it begins to show its first actual vortices. The existence of a small matter excess means that certain regions of the shared vacuum accumulate energy unevenly. And where there is inequality, gravity enters the stage as an amplifier.

Gravity does not create differences, but exaggerates them. Where density is slightly higher, attraction becomes slightly stronger. That difference, however tiny, is enough for one point of the ocean to begin attracting more matter than its surroundings. What began as a microscopic bump becomes a preferred site for collapse.

From here on, the cinematic sequence is familiar but acquires a new shade: massive stars are not mere thermodynamic accidents, but the first vertices of the roughness generated by phase desynchronization. Where roughness is greater, matter accumulation surpasses the threshold needed to ignite nuclear reactions and stabilize a massive star.

These stars —brief in life, colossal in impact— act as cosmic agitators. They transform energy, redistribute elements, expel shockwaves and prepare the field for the next act: gravitational collapse. When their fuel is exhausted, the balance between internal pressure and gravity breaks, and what was a blazing sphere becomes a funnel in spacetime.

Here a decisive phenomenon emerges: the birth of black holes. In this causal framework, their appearance is not mysterious or accidental: it is the natural consequence of an ocean that, after losing perfect synchrony, has allowed matter to exist, gather and finally collapse.

Black holes represent the maturity of the vortex. Where the basal ocean experienced more intense roughness, the vortex deepened to the point of no return. Extreme gravity sealed the structure,

enclosing it within a horizon that marks the boundary between what can and cannot return to the rest of the sea.

The importance of this point cannot be overstated. In a universe without phase desynchronization, black holes would never appear, because the roughness needed to generate massive stars would never emerge. They are the late signature of an early imbalance. Each black hole is a gravitational reminder that the basal ocean ceased to be flat and became fertile.

From this moment onward, cosmic structure is not only possible: it is inevitable.

Black Holes as Deep Vortices and Traces of the Siamese Desynchronization

At this stage, the full causal framework is almost unfolded. The basal ocean becomes swirlable due to the phase difference; roughness gives rise to matter, matter allows massive stars, and these open the path to gravitational collapse. What emerges at the end of this chain —the black hole— is not an isolated event but the most extreme and mature expression of the process that began long before.

In this essay we have frequently used the metaphor of the ocean and its vortices. A black hole is precisely that: a deep and stable vortex in the cosmological substrate. It does not merely deform the surface; it reorganizes the entire structure of the medium. Its presence indicates that symmetry has already broken, that roughness has amplified, and that gravity has taken that irregularity to its ultimate consequence.

From this perspective, every black hole is a gravitational signature of the Siamese phase difference. In a perfectly synchronized universe, there would be no densities high enough to form massive stars, and without massive stars there would be no collapses. The very existence of black holes shows that the basal ocean ceased to be flat and evolved into deep patterns.

Indeed, in our framework, even hypothetical late primordial black holes —those that might arise not during the first fraction of a second but after imperfect baryogenesis— can be interpreted as direct resonances of the phase difference. Wherever $\Delta\varphi$ -induced roughness is greater, a vortex may form earlier or under unexpected conditions.

Thus black holes are not merely astrophysical objects: they are markers of the process that turned a symmetrical vacuum into a cosmos with structure. They represent the visible culmination of a mechanism that began in the silence of the basal ocean.

Physical Anchor: Symmetries, Quantum Vacuum, and Boundary Conditions

To raise the physical rigor of the Siamese framework, it is worth situating explicitly some well-established concepts in cosmology and theoretical physics. Not to turn this essay into a technical treatise, but to show that its narrative structure rests on recognizable ideas.

CPT Symmetry as the Base

The hypothesis that each mirror universe evolves in opposite time directions is consistent with CPT symmetry, one of the few symmetries considered exact in particle physics. In this context, the two universes can be interpreted as complementary solutions of the same set of fundamental equations: one with time arrow $+t$ and the other with $-t$.

The initial synchrony between the branches is then understood as a CPT-symmetric boundary condition. The phase difference ($\Delta\phi$) represents a slight effective breaking of that synchrony, not a violation of the fundamental symmetry.

The Quantum Vacuum as an Active Structure

In quantum field theory, the vacuum is not the absence of everything but a state with fluctuations, zero modes, and correlations defined by field structure. Identifying the “basal ocean” with a modernized Dirac-Sea-like analog means assuming that the shared initial vacuum has:

- nonlocal correlations,
- modes traversing both mirror branches,
- and fluctuations capable of inducing small phase differences.

None of this contradicts standard physics; it simply extends the idea of a shared vacuum to a binary scenario.

Natural Instability of Perfect Symmetry

Modern physics knows many mechanisms of spontaneous symmetry breaking: from the Higgs mechanism to the magnetization of a material. In all of them, the perfectly symmetric state is possible but highly unstable or improbable. This supports the idea that two perfectly synchronized mirror branches would tend to separate in phase.

Coupling and Decoherence Between Branches

If the two branches share boundary conditions —such as a CPT horizon or a common holographic surface— their initial coupling can be understood as similar to that of two correlated quantum systems. Decoherence induced by interaction with the field landscape is a natural mechanism to generate phase separation over time.

Observational Consequences: When the Phase Difference Becomes Visible

The cosmological framework presented here is not an exercise of imagination detached from data, but a hypothesis that finds echoes in observable phenomena. Several contemporary cosmological signals can be interpreted as traces —not definitive proofs, but suggestive clues— of the Siamese phase difference.

Among them:

- **Azimuthal modulation in FRB distribution**, detected in our rotational sweep analyses, hinting at a preferred axis coherent with the Siamese CPT axis.

- **Partial alignment between FRB/QSO structure and CMB anisotropy axes**, suggesting that the phase difference may have geometric effects on cosmological scales.
- **Mild EB/TB polarization asymmetries**, compatible with a not-perfectly-isotropic background.
- **The stability of the azimuthal angle $\phi_0 \approx 135^\circ$** , which seems to emerge repeatedly across catalogs and filters.

Together, these signals point to a universe that is not perfectly symmetric but swirled, with a persistent directional imprint. None of this would be possible in a cosmos without desynchronization.

Geometric Coupling and Quantum Correlation Between Siamese Universes

Academic presentation

In a more formal treatment, the existence of two branches of the universe linked by a CPT boundary condition suggests that their dynamics are not fully independent. Although each branch evolves according to its own Friedmann equations, a **minimal geometric coupling** between the two metrics may exist. This coupling is often modeled as a smooth correction of the form $f(\alpha, a_+, a_-)$ —a function that quantifies how the expansion of one branch can exert a slight influence on the other—where a_+ and a_- are the scale factors of each universe and α controls the strength of the coupling.

Such a coupling does not violate CPT symmetry; it simply introduces weak feedback between the branches, manifesting as a small modulation of the expansion or of the **effective phase**. In this framework, phase desynchronization ($\Delta\phi$) can be interpreted not only as a statistical or emergent effect, but also as the **dynamical outcome** of a coupling that, though tiny, suffices to amplify microscopic differences.

Furthermore, if both branches share a holographic boundary or a correlated vacuum state, it is natural to consider the presence of **non-trivial quantum correlations**, akin to those invoked in the ER=EPR paradigm. Under this idea, entangled states can induce effective geometric structures that connect the two branches at the level of initial conditions, without requiring direct interaction in spacetime. These correlations do not transmit classical information, but they can shape **phase coherence**.

Taken together, the cosmological phase offset acquires a richer interpretation: it arises from the combined action of vacuum fluctuations, the intrinsic instability of perfect symmetry, dynamical decoherence, and weak geometric coupling. This view is consistent with standard quantum field theory in curved spacetime and with recent holographic proposals.

The Arrow of Time in Siamese Universes

A subtle but essential aspect of the Siamese framework is the direction of time. Although at the geometric level the two branches can be represented as inverted solutions—one advancing toward $+t$ and the other toward $-t$ in the CPT formulation—**within each universe the arrow of time is unidirectional and never experienced as reversal**. The inversion is external, mathematical and relational, not internal.

For any observer inside their universe, time moves forward: entropy grows, structure forms, and causality holds. The apparent temporal inversion is explained because each branch occupies an

opposite orientation within the space of solutions, not because it lives reversed processes. It is, essentially, the difference between seeing an object and seeing its reflection: the image is inverted, but the internal physics of each side remains self-consistent.

This distinction reconciles CPT symmetry with the internal experience of time without requiring physical inversions of processes. Each universe advances, but they do so in opposite directions within the global framework.

Conclusion — The Vacuum Becomes Fertile

The causal story we have traced —from the shared basal ocean to modern observational signals— reveals a simple yet profound idea: cosmological complexity does not arise from isolated mechanisms but from a coherent sequence initiated by minimal desynchronization. Two universes that are born mirror-like, sharing the same Dirac Sea, become fertile when they fall out of phase.

That tiny rupture turns symmetry into roughness, roughness into matter, matter into structure, and structure into deep vortices. Everything we see —galaxies, black holes, anisotropies— is merely the consequence of that initial difference.

If this vision is correct, the universe is not a place that merely exists: it is a place that **emerges**. And it emerges not in spite of its deviations, but **because of them**. Creation is not a punctual act but a property of the vacuum when it ceases to be perfect.

This essay does not aim to present a closed theory, but to offer a narrative and conceptual framework unifying intuitions, calculations and observations developed in our previous work. It is, in essence, a story: the story of how a shared vacuum becomes dynamic, how symmetry transforms into cosmological life, and how two universes born together learned to diverge.

“The Game of Life is not about biology; it is about how complexity emerges from simplicity.”

John Conway
