

SCI238 Assignment 4

Cosmo Zhao (20761282)

March 28, 2023

Question 1

a

To determine the mass at these five points, we use the equation $M_R = v_{circ}^2 R / G$. We also convert the unit to SI by:

$$1kpc = 1 \times 10^3 pc = 3.086 \times 10^{19}m \quad 1km/s = 1 \times 10^3m/s$$

Then the unit is given by:

$$\frac{(m/s)^2 \cdot m}{N \cdot m^2/kg^2} = \frac{(m/s)^2 \cdot m}{kg \cdot m/s^2 \cdot m^2/kg^2} = kg$$

For the first point:

$$\begin{aligned} M_R &= \frac{v_r^2 R}{G} \\ &= \frac{(200 \times 10^3)^2 \times 5.00 \times 3.086 \times 10^{19}}{6.67 \times 10^{-11}} \\ &= 9.253373313 \times 10^{40} kg \end{aligned}$$

For the second point:

$$\begin{aligned} M_R &= \frac{v_r^2 R}{G} \\ &= \frac{(253 \times 10^3)^2 \times 10.0 \times 3.086 \times 10^{19}}{6.67 \times 10^{-11}} \\ &= 2.961495862 \times 10^{41} kg \end{aligned}$$

For the third point:

$$\begin{aligned} M_R &= \frac{v_r^2 R}{G} \\ &= \frac{(261 \times 10^3)^2 \times 15.0 \times 3.086 \times 10^{19}}{6.67 \times 10^{-11}} \\ &= 4.727617826 \times 10^{41} kg \end{aligned}$$

For the fourth point:

$$\begin{aligned} M_R &= \frac{v_r^2 R}{G} \\ &= \frac{(259 \times 10^3)^2 \times 20.0 \times 3.086 \times 10^{19}}{6.67 \times 10^{-11}} \\ &= 6.207255352 \times 10^{41} kg \end{aligned}$$

For the fifth point:

$$\begin{aligned} M_R &= \frac{v_r^2 R}{G} \\ &= \frac{(268 \times 10^3)^2 \times 25.0 \times 3.086 \times 10^{19}}{6.67 \times 10^{-11}} \\ &= 8.307678561 \times 10^{41} kg \end{aligned}$$

So at these five points, the estimated masses are about: $9.25 \times 10^{40} kg$, $2.96 \times 10^{41} kg$, $4.73 \times 10^{41} kg$, $6.21 \times 10^{41} kg$, $8.31 \times 10^{41} kg$, respectively.

b

The two stars have a constant distance apart from each other, thus we can conclude that their orbits are a circle around their common center of mass. Therefore, their semi-major axis can be calculated using the distance from them to the solar system:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{1}{2} \times 5.0 \text{ arcsec} \times 2.062650 \times 10^6 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{5.0}{206265} \times 2.062650 \times 10^6 \\ &= 25 \text{ A.U.} \end{aligned}$$

c

The stars move 90 degrees for 60 years, so we can calculate the period as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \frac{60}{\frac{90 \text{ deg}}{360 \text{ deg}}} \\ &= 240 \text{ years} \end{aligned}$$

Since the stars have identical brightness and colours, they have identical mass, and we can then apply Kepler's third law:

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1 + m_2)P^2 &= (a_1 + a_2)^3 \\ \iff (2m)P^2 &= (2a)^3 \\ \iff (2m) &= \frac{(2a)^3}{P^2} \\ \iff m &= 4 \times \frac{25^3}{240^2} \\ \iff m &= 4 \times \frac{15625}{57600} \\ \iff m &= 4 \times 0.2712673611 \\ \iff m &= 1.085069444 M_\odot \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the mass of each star is about 1 solar mass (we lost one significant figure due to square/cubic operation).

Question 2

a

The distance can be calculated from the brightness formula

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \frac{L}{4\pi d^2} \\ \iff d &= \sqrt[2]{\frac{L}{4\pi b}} \end{aligned}$$

For lower luminosity G2V stars, the luminosity value is $3.0 \times 10^{26} \text{ W}$, and we use the brightness $3.58 \times 10^{-12} \text{ W/m}^2$. Insert those values, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \sqrt[2]{\frac{3.0 \times 10^{26}}{4\pi \times 3.58 \times 10^{-12}}} \\ &= \sqrt[2]{6.668503202 \times 10^{36}} \\ &= 2.582344517 \times 10^{18} \text{ m} \end{aligned}$$

So the distance to this star will be around $2.58 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}$ if it is one of the lower luminosity G2V stars.

b

We use the same formula, but the luminosity value is $4.8 \times 10^{26} \text{ W}$ for higher luminosity G2V stars.

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \sqrt[2]{\frac{4.8 \times 10^{26}}{4\pi \times 3.58 \times 10^{-12}}} \\ &= \sqrt[2]{1.066960512 \times 10^{37}} \\ &= 3.26643615 \times 10^{18} \text{ m} \end{aligned}$$

So the distance to this star will be around $3.27 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}$ if it is one of the higher luminosity G2V stars.

c

The "best estimate" can be calculated by the average of the higher and lower values, which is

$$\begin{aligned} d_{avg} &= \frac{2.582344517 \times 10^{18} + 3.26643615 \times 10^{18}}{2} \\ &= 2.924390334 \times 10^{18} \text{ m} \end{aligned}$$

And the uncertainty is:

$$\begin{aligned} &((2.924390334 \times 10^{18} - 2.582344517 \times 10^{18}) + (3.26643615 \times 10^{18} - 2.924390334 \times 10^{18}))/2 \\ &= 3.420458165 \times 10^{17} \text{ m} \end{aligned}$$

We calculate the relative uncertainty:

$$\frac{3.420458165 \times 10^{17}}{2.924390334 \times 10^{18}} = 11.69631196\%$$

So the uncertainty in distance is about $3.4 \times 10^{17} \text{ m}$, or about 12% relatively.

d

The uncertainty for brightness is

$$\begin{aligned} &((3.58 \times 10^{-12} - (3.58 - 0.02) \times 10^{-12}) - ((3.58 + 0.02) \times 10^{-12} - 3.58 \times 10^{-12}))/2 \\ &= 2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ W/m}^2 \end{aligned}$$

We calculate the relative uncertainty for luminosity:

$$\frac{\underline{2} \times 10^{-14}}{3.\underline{5}\underline{8} \times 10^{-12}} = 0.\underline{5}5865922\%$$

The difference between them is about:

$$\frac{11.\underline{6}9631196\%}{0.\underline{5}5865922\%} = \underline{2}0.93639833$$

The distance uncertainty and the uncertainty in brightness measurement are not the same. In fact, the difference is pretty large. The distance uncertainty is about $\underline{2} \times 10^1$ times larger than the uncertainty in brightness measurement.