

# Summary

MA Lei

October 17, 2012

## 1 Objectives

For LCDM, interacting models, and CPL, calculate

- $\xi$  range for varying EoS while fixing  $\Omega m_0$
- $\xi$  range for varying  $\Omega m_0$  or  $r$ , while fixing  $\omega$
- Does  $\xi > 0$  means energy transfer to dark energy in this method?

## 2 Background

Deceleration parameter reads

$$q(z) = -1 + \frac{1+z}{H} \frac{dH}{dz} \quad (1)$$

For interaction models, the Friedmann equations,

$$\dot{\rho}_c + 3H\rho_c = Q_c \quad (2a)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_d + 3H(1+w)\rho_d = -Q_c \quad (2b)$$

$Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$  Background equations,

$$\Omega m = \Omega m_0 (1+z)^{3-\xi} \quad (3a)$$

$$\Omega d = (\Omega d_0 + \frac{\xi}{3w+\xi} \Omega m_0) (1+z)^{3(1+w)} + \frac{-\xi}{\xi+3w} \Omega m = \Omega \bar{d}_0 (1+z)^3 + \frac{-\xi}{\xi+3w} \Omega m \quad (3b)$$

$Q_c = \xi H \rho_d$

$$\Omega m = (\Omega m_0 + \frac{\xi}{\xi+3w} \Omega d_0) (1+z)^3 + \frac{-\xi}{\xi+3w} \Omega d = \omega \bar{m}_0 (1+z)^3 + \frac{-\xi}{\xi+3w} \Omega d \quad (4a)$$

$$\Omega d = \Omega d_0 (1+z)^{3(1+w)+\xi} \quad (4b)$$

Eqn 3 and eqn 4 shows that the coupling constant has two effects,

1. Change the amplitude of the evolution of matter or dark energy energy density.
2. Transfer energy between DE and DM.


## 2.1 Some definitions

1. For short

$$r = \frac{\Omega_{m0}}{\Omega_{d0}}$$

**CPL** EoS is

$$w = w_0 + w_1 \frac{z}{1+z}$$



**Figure 1:** CPL classification

**Classification** Figure 1 shows how to category the dark energy models in CPL parametrization.

### 3 Data & Method

#### 3.1 Data

**ΛCDM Parameters** From WMAP,  $\Omega_m0 = 0.265$

**Constraints**  $\Omega_m0 = 0.247(+0.013, -0.013)$ ; Transition redshift  $0.426(+0.082, -0.050)$ .(arXiv:1205.4688, arXiv:astro-ph/0611572).

In  $(\Omega_m0, \text{Transition redshift})$  plane, allowed region is a rectangle centred at  $(0.274, 0.426)$  with two diagonal points  $(0.261, 0.376)$  and  $(0.287, 0.508)$ .

**CPL**  $\Omega_m0 = 0.269(+0.017, -0.008)$ ,  $w0 = -0.97(+0.12, -0.07)$ ,  $w1 = 0.03(+0.26, -0.75)$

### 4 Results

Check the files in files folder.

#### 4.1 $Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$

Results table

$Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$ , constant $\xi$ , constant $w = -1$ : Results for $\xi$			
$\Omega_m0/\Omega_d0 \backslash \text{Transition}$	$z_t = 0.376$	$z_t = 0.426$	$z_t = 0.508$
$r = 0.358$	-1.25282	-0.965436	-0.617444
$r = 0.378$	-1.15011	-0.875189	-0.542347
$r = 0.398$	-1.05453	-0.791252	-0.472561

**Figure 2:** ICC Result table

Figure 3 shows that


$\rho_c$ -Dec-1 The universe decelerates faster at the early stage for smaller interaction constant  $\xi$  even they have the same matter fraction.

$\rho_c$ -Dec-2 For the same  $\xi$ , the deceleration converge ( $q = (1 - \xi)/2$  with  $3w + \xi < 0$ ) at early time.


Figure 4 shows

$\rho_c$ -Trans-1 Transition happens earlier when matter fraction is smaller. Matter is against DE's pressure.

$\rho_c$ -Trans-2 Transition is later when  $\xi$  is smaller. Energy transfers to DE when  $\xi$  is negative, then why later transition? <sup>1</sup>




**Figure 3:** Deceleration parameter




**Figure 4:** Transition redshift.

$\xi$ results for $Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$ (Fitting data: Data From, 2)			
w	Center	Lower	Upper
-1.183	-0.881565	-1.29687	-0.443589
-1.087	-0.88948	-1.29859	-0.459135
-0.991	-0.875238	-1.27522	-0.456176

$Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$ , constant w: Coupling Constant  $\sim$  EoS



**Figure 5:** Interacting coefficient for  $Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$



**Figure 6:** Interacting coefficient for  $Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$

Figure 5 and figure 6 (vertical lines are  $w = -1 \pm 0.05$ ) show the value of  $\xi$  for different EoS.

Results from this figure:

(EoS is -1 within  $5w=-1$  (-1.279,-0.457) center:-0.878 ;  $w=-1.05$  (-1.293,-0.461) center:-0.887 ;  $w=-0.95$  (-1.255,-0.447) center:-0.860 ;

$\rho_c\text{-}\mathbf{xivs}_{w-1}$  NOT monotonic. The result of  $\xi$  is greatly affected by  $\Omega m0$ . In correspondence with another

$\rho_c\text{-}\mathbf{xivs}_{w-2}$  For different  $\Omega m0$ ,  $\xi$  values deviate greatly from each other at small  $w$ .

Figures 7 show how do we constrain  $\xi$  and how do EoS change our constrain results with the transition fixed.

$\rho_c\text{-}\mathbf{xivs}_{\Omega m0-1}$  The smaller, the more difference among  $\xi$  values of different EoS. Reason for this is less matter has less effect on the evolution thus the property of dark energy determines more about the transition.

$\rho_c\text{-}\mathbf{xivs}_{\Omega m0-2}$  Second figure shows

- + System with smaller  $w$  needs smaller coupling to achieve the same transition time, as expected.
- + So we give the result that  $w \in (-0.58406, -0.3334)$  if we constrain  $\Omega m0 = 0.2603$  and transition redshift 0.426.

## 4.2 $Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$ , CPL

For a flat universe, choose the parameters  $w0=-1.02, w1=0.6$ , the region for interaction constant  $\xi$  should be (-1.04,-0.21) with a center at -0.64, derived from the (transition redshift,  $\Omega m0$ ) plane, while a result of (-1.01, -0.23) with a center at -0.63, derived from (transition redshift,  $\Omega m0$ ).

First let's have a look at the deceleration parameter.

Figure 8 is right similar to constant EoS situation.

Figures 9 show


$\rho_c\text{-}\mathbf{ICCP}\mathbf{L}\text{-}\mathbf{TVS}_{\Omega m0-1}$  Coupling acts on these model similar to constant EoS model.

$\rho_c\text{-}\mathbf{ICCP}\mathbf{L}\text{-}\mathbf{TVS}_{\Omega m0-2}$  The change in  $w1$  has a similar effect with the change of  $\xi$ . Larger  $w1$  corresponds to smaller  $\xi$ . The reason for this is both negative  $\xi$  and larger  $w1$  enhances the energy density of dark energy (check using the CPL EoS).


The dots in figure 10 are the data set of  $\xi = 0$ . If we need  $\xi < 0$ , i.e., energy transfers from dark matter to dark energy, the allowed parameter space is the striped area.

For $\Omega_{m0} \in 0.274 (1 \pm 0.05)$			
Table of $\xi$ for different $\Omega_{m0}$ -Transition combination			
$\Omega_{m0}$ -Transition	0.426	0.376	0.508
0.2603	-0.994339	-1.28571	-0.641508
0.274	-0.877755	-1.15303	-0.544482
0.2877	-0.767582	-1.02756	-0.452892

$Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$ , constant  $w$ : Coupling Constant  $\sim \Omega_{m0}$




$Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$ , constant  $w$ : Coupling Constant  $\sim \Omega_{m0}$




**Figure 7:** Interacting coefficient changing with  $\Omega_{m0}$  for  $Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$







**Figure 8:** Deceleration parameters for ICCPL ( $Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$  with CPL parametrized EoS)



**Figure 9:** The effect of EoS parameters on Transition and  $\Omega_{m0}$



**Figure 10:** Lower bound in the  $w_1$   $w_0$  parameter space



**Figure 11:** The EoS




Figure 12: Transition vs  $\Omega m_0$




Figure 13: Transition vs  $\Omega m_0$





Figure 14: Transition vs  $\Omega m_0$



**Figure 15:**  $\xi$  vs  $\Omega m0$

#### 4.2.1 Quintom

(Figures 11, 12, ??, 13, 14)

The left figure in 12 indicates a possible stationary point.<sup>2</sup>

Comparing figure 14, figure 13 and figure 12, it seems that all lines cross point (0.66, 0), the reason of which, however, is because  $w0 = -1$  and a small nearly zero  $w1$  means a similar evolution with  $Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$  with a constant EoS.<sup>3</sup>

(Other results are shown on the complete results files.)

0

#### 4.2.2 Quintessence

(Figures ??, ?? and ??.)

There is a features in the right figure of ??. The difference between lines become smaller when  $\Omega m0$  is small and at about 0.35. Reason for this is when  $\Omega m0$  is smaller, the evolution is mainly determined by  $\Omega d$  (<sup>4</sup>)

#### 4.2.3 Phantom

(Figures ??, ??, ??)

### 4.3 $Q_c = \xi H \rho_d$

(Figures ??, ??, ??, ??)

<sup>1</sup>Reasons below. All solutions of equation 2 have the same value at  $z = 0$ , i.e. now. Equation 2a tells us a positive  $\xi$  leads to smaller energy density of dark matter at early time of the universe, thus dark energy takes over quickly if the transition happens before today. (For more details, calculation are shown in supplement\_08-10.pdf file.)

<sup>2</sup>Only possible because I can only partially prove there is a nearly stationary. This is on my *Cosmologia Notebook*.

<sup>3</sup>The lines do not intercept with each other at the same point actually.

<sup>4</sup>In the solutions of  $\rho_c$  and  $\rho_d$ , it is clear that  $\Omega m0$  determines how much will  $w1$  affect because the term  $e^{3w1 \frac{1}{1+z}}$  is small since  $w1$  and  $1/(1+z)$  are small and  $\Omega m0$  is the amplitude of the other term in which  $w1$  is in charge. Check *Cosmologia Notebook*.

$Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$ , CPL, Quintessence: EoS ~ Redshift





Figure 16: The EoS

$Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$ ,  $\xi = -0.1$ , CPL, Quintessence: Transition Redshift ~  $\Omega m_0$



$Q_c = \xi H \rho_c$ ,  $\xi = -0.1$ , CPL, CPL, Quintessence: Transition Redshift ~  $\Omega m_0$







Figure 17: Transition vs  $\Omega m_0$




**Figure 18:**  $\xi$  vs  $\Omega_{m0}$



**Figure 19:** The EoS




**Figure 20:** Transition vs  $\Omega_{m0}$




**Figure 21:**  $\xi$  vs  $\Omega m_0$






**Figure 22:** Deceleration parameter




**Figure 23:** Transition vs  $\Omega_{m0}$ . For a flat universe, choose the parameters  $w_0=-1.02, w_1=0.6$ , the region for iteration constant  $\xi$  should be  $(-1.04, -0.21)$  with a center at  $-0.64$ , derived from the (transition redshift,  $\Omega_{m0}$ ) plane, while a result of  $(-1.01, -0.23)$  with a center at  $-0.63$ , derived from (transition redshift,  $\Omega_{m0}/\Omega_{d0}$ ) plane.




**Figure 24:**  $\xi$  VS  $w$

$Q_c = \xi H \rho_d, \text{Constant } w. \text{ (Data used: Data From, 2)}$			
w	Center	Lower	Upper
-1.183	-0.864289	-1.22984	-0.449552
-1.087	-0.820486	-1.15946	-0.437339
-0.991	-0.753634	-1.06346	-0.405262

$Q_c = \xi H \rho_d, \text{constant } w: \text{Coupling Constant} \sim \text{EoS}$




**Figure 25:**  $\xi$  VS  $w$



**Figure 26:**  $\xi$  VS  $\Omega_{m0}$

$$Q_c = \xi H \rho_d, \text{ CPL: Deceleration} \sim \text{Redshift}$$



**Figure 27:** Deceleration parameter

## 4.4 I2CCPL

(Figures ??, ??)

Figure ?? shows the all the deceleration are the same at very early time.

### 4.4.1 Quintom

(Figures ??, ??)

### 4.4.2 Quintessence

(Figures ??, ??)

### 4.4.3 Phantom

(Figures ??, ??)

There is always a almost-stationary point.




Figure 28: Transition VS  $\Omega m0$




Figure 29: Transition VS  $\Omega m0$






Figure 30:  $\xi$  VS  $\Omega m0$

$Q_c = \xi H \rho_d$ ,  $\xi = -0.1$ , CPL, Quintessence: Transition Redshift  $\sim \Omega m0$




$Q_c = \xi H \rho_d$ ,  $\xi = -0.1$ , CPL, CPL, Quintessence: Transition Redshift  $\sim \Omega m0$




**Figure 31:** Transition VS  $\Omega m0$


$Q_c = \xi H \rho_d$ ,  $z_t = 0.426$ , CPL-Quintessence:  $\xi \sim \Omega m0$



$Q_c = \xi H \rho_d$ ,  $z_t = 0.426$ , CPL-Quintessence:  $\xi \sim \Omega m0$




**Figure 32:**  $\xi$  VS  $\Omega m0$



**Figure 33:** Transition VS  $\Omega_{m0}$





**Figure 34:** Transition VS  $\Omega_{m0}$