

Modifying Gravity - f(R) Gravity Outline

MA Lei

April 6, 2012

1 References & Conventions

Reference: [arXiv:astro-ph/0611321](#); [arXiv:1002.3868](#); [arXiv:astro-ph/9910176](#)

Conventions

- $' = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$
- $f \equiv f(R)$
- $f_R \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial R}$
- $\mathcal{H} = a'/a$
- $ds^2 = a(\tau)^2(d\tau^2 + \gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j)$ is the line element used throughout this note.
- $R = \frac{6a''}{a^3}$ is the scalar curvature.

2 Main Equations

2.1 Jordan frame

Start from action

$$\left| \quad S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [R + f(R)] + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_{(M)}(x_i, g_{\mu\nu}) \right. \quad (1)$$

Variation with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ of this action gives ¹

$$\left| \quad (1 + f_R)R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(R + f) + (g_{\mu\nu}\square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu)f_R = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu} \right. \quad (2)$$

Or

$$\left| \quad (1 + f_R)G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(f_R R - f) + (g_{\mu\nu}\square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu)f_R = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu} \right. \quad (3)$$

¹The standard procedure is really complicate. Ohanian et al has a somewhat easier method in his book. The used a special set of coordinates. $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon h_{\mu\nu}$, then things become easier. Palatini method should be done with another action.

Background Background equations are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1 + r_R)\mathcal{H}^2 + \frac{a^2}{6}f - \frac{a''}{a}f_R + \mathcal{H}f'_R = \frac{\kappa^2}{3}a^2\rho \\ \frac{a''}{a} - (1 + f_R)\mathcal{H}^2 + a^2\frac{f}{6} + \mathcal{H}f'_R + \frac{1}{2}f''_R = -\frac{\kappa^2}{6}a^2(\rho + 3P) \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

Unknown variables: f/f_R , \mathcal{H} , ρ . f should be given in a certain model.

Perturbations Metric

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_{00} = -a^2(1 + 2AY) \\ g_{0i} = -a^2BY_i \\ g_{ij} = a^2(\gamma_{ij} + 2H_LY\gamma_{ij} + 2H_TY_{ij}) \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$$

H_T is the anisotropic distortion of each constant time hypersurface. H_L is the trace part. E-M tensor

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} T^0_0 = -\rho(1 + \delta Y) \\ T^0_i = (\rho + p)(v - B)Y_i \\ T^i_0 = -(\rho + p)vY^i \\ T^i_j = [p\delta^i_j + \delta p\delta^i_jY + \frac{3}{2}(\rho + p)\sigma Y^i_j] = p[\gamma^i_j + \pi_L\delta^i_j + \pi_TY^i_j] \end{array} \right. \quad (6)$$

v is the potential of velocity, $v^i \equiv u^i/u^0 = vY^i$. Subscripts T means tranverse, or simply traceless part. Subscripts L means Longitudinal, or trace part.

Conservation equations (calculated from $T_{(\lambda)}^{\nu}{}_{\mu;\nu}$). However we can define some kind of density and pressure and include these in the conservatioon equation. AND this won't affect my work since I don't really use this equation here. The conservation equation are derived in the way I stated here.)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta' + (1 + w)(kv + 3H'_L) + 3\mathcal{H}(\frac{\delta p}{\delta \rho} - w)\delta = 0 \\ (v' - B) + \mathcal{H}(1 - 3w)(v - B) + \frac{w'}{1+w}(v - B) - \frac{\delta p/\delta \rho}{1+w}k^2\delta - k^2A + \frac{2}{3}k^2\sigma = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (7)$$

Conservation equations themselves are not enough.

We have the defination of $\delta R/Y$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\delta R}{Y} = \frac{2}{a^2} \left[-6\frac{a''}{a}A - 3\mathcal{H}A' + k^2A + kB' + 3k\mathcal{H}B + 9\mathcal{H}H'_L + 3H''_L + 2k^2(H_L + \frac{H_T}{3}) \right] \end{array} \right. \quad (8)$$

Using the standard procedure given by Kodama et al, we can find the perturbation equations. In *Cosmologia Notebook* - 2012-02, Page 18.

Also we can transform them into what they are in Synchronous Gauge. In *Cosmologia Notebook* - 2012-02, Page 18, 19.

2.2 From Jordan Frame to Einstein Frame

Why this transformation arXiv:astro-ph/9910176 mentioned "Jordan frame formulation of a scalar-tensor theory is not viable because the energy density of the gravitational scalar field present in the theory is not bounded from below", thus violating the weak energy condition³. So I would

²Why the same with SGR? Actually I thought the conservation law should be something from the identity that $G_{ab}{}^{;a} = 0$. This DOESN'T lead to the conclution that $T_{ab}{}^{;a} = 0$

³Weak energy condition: for timelike vector field U^α , $\rho = T_{\alpha\beta}U^\alpha U^\beta \geq 0$

like to work in Einstein frame though Einstein frame also has problems such as a violation of equivalence priciple⁴.

Action in Jordan frame is given by

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [R + f(R)] + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_{(M)}(x_i, g_{\mu\nu}) \end{array} \right. \quad (9)$$

Apply a gauge transformation

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}, \end{array} \right. \quad (10)$$

we get the action in Einstein frame.

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \tilde{R} + \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} [-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} (\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \phi) (\tilde{\nabla}_\nu \phi) - V(\phi)] \\ + \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} e^{-2\beta\kappa\phi} \mathcal{L}_{(M)}(x_i, e^{-\beta\kappa\phi} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}) \end{array} \right. \quad (11)$$

[Definations of $V(\phi)$, β , ϕ , $e^{-2\omega}$, $\Omega^2 \equiv e^{2\omega(x^\alpha)}$, in *Cosmologia Notebook - 2012-02*, Page 23.]

Here I write down the simplified potential⁵ $V(\phi) = \frac{Rf_R - f}{2\kappa^2(1+f_R)^2}$. Given a explicit model, this will be determined and may posses order 2 of ϕ .

Then variation gives field equation

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \phi \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{g}^{\alpha\gamma} \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha \phi \tilde{\nabla}_\gamma \phi) \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} - V(\phi) \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \end{array} \right. \quad (12)$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} = (\tilde{\rho} + \tilde{P}) \tilde{U}_\mu \tilde{U}_\nu + \tilde{p} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \\ \tilde{U}_\mu \equiv e^{\beta\kappa\phi/2} U_\mu \\ \tilde{\rho} = e^{-2\beta\kappa\phi} \rho \\ \tilde{p} \equiv e^{-2\beta\kappa\phi} p \end{array} \right. \quad (13)$$

Trace of field equation,

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} G = \kappa^2 T + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \phi \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \phi + 2 \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \phi \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \phi - 4V(\phi) \end{array} \right. \quad (14)$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} V_\phi \equiv \frac{dV}{d\phi} \end{array} \right. \quad (15)$$

2.3 Einstein Frame

Background Background equations are

(From conservation equation and Field equation? I didn't derive them myself.)

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \phi'' + 2\tilde{\mathcal{H}}\phi' + \tilde{a}^2 V_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \beta \tilde{a}^2 (\tilde{\rho} - 3\tilde{p}) \\ \tilde{\rho}' + 3\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\tilde{\rho} + \tilde{p}) = -\frac{1}{2} \kappa \beta \phi' (\tilde{\rho} - 3\tilde{p}) \end{array} \right. \quad (16)$$

Field equations are

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \frac{1}{3} \kappa^2 (\frac{1}{2} \phi'^2 + \tilde{a}^2 V(\phi) + \tilde{a}^2 \tilde{\rho}_c + \tilde{a}^2 \rho_\gamma) \\ \phi'' + 2\tilde{\mathcal{H}}\phi' + \tilde{a}^2 V_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \beta \tilde{a}^2 \tilde{\rho}_c \\ \tilde{\rho}_c \equiv \tilde{\rho}_c^* e^{-\kappa\beta\phi/2} \\ \tilde{\rho}_c^* = \tilde{\rho}_c^{*0} / \tilde{a}^3 \end{array} \right. \quad (17)$$

⁴equality of inertial mass and gravitational mass is equivalent to the assertion that the acceleration imparted to a body by a gravitational field is independent of the nature of the body, $m_I \cdot a = Grav \cdot m_G$.

⁵The decomposition of $\phi(\tau) + \delta\phi(\vec{x}, \tau)$ is used and assume the background ϕ only evolves with time τ .

Since for radiation $\tilde{p} = \frac{\tilde{p}^6}{3}$, from conservation equations

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}' - \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2(\phi'^2 + \tilde{\rho}_c + \frac{4}{3}\tilde{\rho}_\gamma) \end{array} \right. \quad (18)$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\rho}'_\gamma + 4\tilde{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{\rho}_\gamma = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (19)$$

The actual equation for matter is

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\rho}'_c + 3\tilde{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{\rho}_c = -Const \cdot \beta\kappa\phi'\tilde{\rho}_c \end{array} \right. \quad (20)$$

Unkown variables: ϕ , $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, $\tilde{\rho}_c$, $\tilde{\rho}_\gamma$, \tilde{p} . These two equations are just some of the complete equation system. We have to use Field equations to form a complete system.

[Defination of δ_c and θ_c , $\delta_c = \frac{\delta\tilde{\rho}_c^*}{\tilde{\rho}_c^*}$. In *Cosmologia Notebook - 2012-02*, Page 24.] The scalar field is decomposed into $\phi(t) + \delta\phi(\vec{x}, t)$.

Perturbations Perturbation equations are

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\delta}_c'' + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{\delta}_c' - \frac{3}{2}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(2\tilde{\Omega}_\gamma\tilde{\delta}_\gamma + \tilde{\Omega}_c(\tilde{\delta}_c - \frac{1}{2}\kappa\beta\delta\phi) + 2\kappa^2\phi'\delta\phi' - \kappa^2V_\phi\delta\phi) = 0 \\ \delta\phi'' + 2\tilde{\mathcal{H}}\delta\phi' + k^2\delta\phi + \tilde{a}^2V_{,\phi\phi}\delta\phi - \phi'\tilde{\delta}_c' - \frac{3}{2}\frac{\beta}{\kappa}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^2\tilde{\Omega}_c(\tilde{\delta}_c - \frac{1}{2}\kappa\beta\delta\phi) = 0 \\ \tilde{\delta}_\gamma'' + \frac{1}{3}k^2\tilde{\delta}_\gamma - \frac{1}{3}\tilde{\delta}_c'' = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (21)$$

And then it is possible to solve these equations since there are only 3 variables unkown, $\tilde{\delta}_c$, $\tilde{\delta}_\gamma$, $\tilde{\delta}\phi$.

Then we can discuss the equations in matter dominated era. In *Cosmologia Notebook - 2012-02*, Page 26. (It is about the attractor point.)

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\delta}_c'' + \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{\tau}\tilde{\delta}_c' - 3\frac{1}{\tau^2}(\tilde{\delta}_c - \frac{1}{2}\kappa\beta\delta\phi) + \frac{2\kappa}{\beta}\frac{1}{\tau}\delta\phi' = 0 \\ \delta\phi'' + 2\tilde{\mathcal{H}}\delta\phi' + k^2\delta\phi - \frac{1}{\beta\kappa}\frac{1}{\tau}\tilde{\delta}_c' - \frac{3\beta}{\kappa}\frac{1}{\tau^2}(\tilde{\delta}_c - \frac{1}{2}\kappa\beta\delta\phi) = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (22)$$

3 Perturbation Theory in Jordan Frame

⁶This is interesting because we have such transformations: $\tilde{\rho} \equiv e^{-2\beta\kappa\phi}\rho$ and $\tilde{p} \equiv e^{-2\beta\kappa\phi}p$. (Also the velocity transformation is $\tilde{U}_\mu \equiv e^{\beta\kappa\phi/2}U_\mu$ thus we can define a consitent E-M tensor which has the same form as in Jordan frame in Einstein frame.)