

APPENDIX

A. Proof of Lemma 1

In the proof, we first consider the case over a total of T iterations, and then divide the DP constraint equally among each iteration. The proof is similar to that of [1]. We denote $\mathbf{y}_i = [\mathbf{y}_i^1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_i^T]$ as the T successive received signals from IoMT device i , and $m_i^t = \sqrt{(h_i \sqrt{\tau_i p_i^t})^2 + N_0}$ is the standard deviation of the effective noise in \mathbf{y}_i^t . According to the definition of DP loss, for the i -th device, the privacy loss after T iterations can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}'}(\mathbf{y}_i) &= \ln \left(\prod_{t=1}^T \frac{P[\mathbf{y}_i^t | \mathbf{y}_i^{t-1}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_i^1, \mathcal{D}_i]}{P[\mathbf{y}_i^t | \mathbf{y}_i^{t-1}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_i^1, \mathcal{D}'_i]} \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \ln \left(\frac{P[\mathbf{y}_i^t | \mathbf{y}_i^{t-1}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_i^1, \mathcal{D}_i]}{P[\mathbf{y}_i^t | \mathbf{y}_i^{t-1}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_i^1, \mathcal{D}'_i]} \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \ln \left(\frac{\exp \left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{y}_i^t - h_i^t \frac{\sqrt{\theta_i p_i^t}}{\Lambda} \nabla F_k(\mathbf{w}^t; \mathcal{D}_i)\|^2}{2(m_i^t)^2} \right)}{\exp \left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{y}_i^t - h_i^t \frac{\sqrt{\theta_i p_i^t}}{\Lambda} \nabla F_k(\mathbf{w}^t; \mathcal{D}'_i)\|^2}{2(m_i^t)^2} \right)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \ln \left(\frac{\exp \left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{r}_i^t\|^2}{2(m_i^t)^2} \right)}{\exp \left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{r}_i^t + \mathbf{v}_i^t\|^2}{2(m_i^t)^2} \right)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{r}_i^t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (m_i^t)^2 \mathbf{I})$ represents the effective noise, and we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_i^t &= h_i^t \frac{\sqrt{\theta_i p_i^t}}{\Lambda} \left[\sum_{(\mathbf{u}, v) \in \mathcal{D}_i} \nabla f(\mathbf{w}^t; \mathbf{u}, v) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{(\mathbf{u}, v) \in \mathcal{D}'_i} \nabla f(\mathbf{w}^t; \mathbf{u}, v) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\mathbf{v}_i^t\| = \Delta_i^t$. Following the conclusion in [2], we can then bound privacy violation probability

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left(\left| \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{2(\mathbf{r}_i^t)^\top \mathbf{v}_i^t + \|\mathbf{v}_i^t\|^2}{2(m_i^t)^2} \right| > \epsilon \right) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \Pr \left(\left| \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{(\mathbf{r}_i^t)^\top \mathbf{v}_i^t}{(m_i^t)^2} \right| > \epsilon - \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_i^t\|^2}{2(m_i^t)^2} \right) \\ &= 2 \Pr \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \frac{(\mathbf{r}_i^t)^\top \mathbf{v}_i^t}{(m_i^t)^2} > \epsilon - \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_i^t\|^2}{2(m_i^t)^2} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} 2 \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{\Delta_i^t}{m_i^t} \right)^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \left[\epsilon - \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\Delta_i^t}{m_i^t} \right)^2 \right]} \\ &\quad \times \exp \left(-\frac{\left[\epsilon - \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\Delta_i^t}{m_i^t} \right)^2 \right]^2}{2 \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{\Delta_i^t}{m_i^t} \right)^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where (a) is obtained by using $\Pr(X < -\epsilon - b) \leq \Pr(X < -\epsilon + b)$ for an arbitrary $b \geq 0$, and (b) comes from the

distribution

$$\begin{aligned} X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) : \Pr(X > s) &= \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_s^\infty \exp \left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_s^\infty \frac{x}{s} \exp \left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) dx \\ &= \frac{\sigma}{s \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{s^2}{2\sigma^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $q = \frac{\epsilon - \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_i^t / m_i^t)^2}{\sqrt{2 \sum_{t=1}^T (\Delta_i^t / m_i^t)^2}}$, the DP condition is implied by the inequality

$$\Pr(|\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}'}(\mathbf{y}_i)| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{q \sqrt{\pi}} e^{-q^2} < \delta.$$

Finally, defining the function $C(x) = \sqrt{\pi} x e^{x^2}$ and utilizing its monotonicity yields the result

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} h_i^t \sqrt{\tau_i} \Lambda}{m_k^{(t)}} \right)^2 &\leq \left(\sqrt{\epsilon + [C^{-1}(1/\delta)]^2} - C^{-1}(1/\delta) \right)^2 \\ &\triangleq \mathcal{R}_{\text{dp}}(\epsilon, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the subsequent analyses, we divide the DP constraints equally into each iteration, thus obtaining the desired result

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} h_i \sqrt{\tau_i} \Lambda}{\sqrt{(h_i \sqrt{\tau_i p_i})^2 + N_0}} \right)^2 \leq \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\text{dp}}(\epsilon, \delta)}{T}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Liu and O. Simeone, "Privacy for free: Wireless federated learning via uncoded transmission with adaptive power control," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 170–185, 2020.
- [2] C. Dwork, A. Roth *et al.*, "The algorithmic foundations of differential privacy," *Foundations and Trends® in Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 9, no. 3–4, pp. 211–407, 2014.