Parsing expression grammar

Zaki Mughal

University of Houston:
CougarCS

2013 Mar 28

Parsing expression grammars can be used to match text.

Parsing expression grammars can be used to match text.

So can **regular expressions**.

Parsing expression grammars can be used to match text.

So can **regular expressions**.

Why learn both?

Regular expressions can't match:

Regular expressions can't match:

$1 + 2 * (1 + 4)$

Regular expressions can't match:

$1 + 2 * (1 + 4)$

```
<html>
  <title> HTML </title>
  <body>
    is also <b> nested </b>
  </body>
</html>
```

Regular expressions can't match:

$1 + 2 * (1 + 4)$

```
<html>
  <title> HTML </title>
  <body>
    is also <b> nested </b>
  </body>
</html>
```

```
#include<string.h>
int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  if ( argc >= 3
       && strcmp(argv[1], argv[2]) == 0 ) {
    return 1;
  }
  return 0;
}
```

We need a grammar.

Grammars are recursive.

Here's part of a grammar:

```
1   ClassBody:
2       { { ClassBodyDeclaration } }
3
4   ClassBodyDeclaration:
5       ;
6       {Modifier} MemberDecl
7       [static] Block
8
9   MemberDecl:
10      MethodOrFieldDecl
11      void Identifier VoidMethodDeclaratorRest
12      Identifier ConstructorDeclaratorRest
13      GenericMethodOrConstructorDecl
14      ClassDeclaration
15      InterfaceDeclaration
16
17  Block:
18      { BlockStatements }
19
20  BlockStatements:
21      { BlockStatement }
22
23  BlockStatement:
24      LocalVariableDeclarationStatement
25      ClassOrInterfaceDeclaration
26      [Identifier :] Statement
```

This is from the Java Language Specification

A single production rule:

```
1  ClassBodyDeclaration:          (non-terminal)
```

A single production rule:

```
1  ClassBodyDeclaration:          (non-terminal)
2      ;                          (alternative 1)
```

A single production rule:

```
1  ClassBodyDeclaration:          (non-terminal)
2      ;                          (alternative 1)
3
4      {Modifier} MemberDecl      (alternative 2)
```

A single production rule:

```
1  ClassBodyDeclaration:          (non-terminal)
2      ;                          (alternative 1)
3
4      {Modifier} MemberDecl      (alternative 2)
5
6
7
8      [static] Block             (alternative 3)
9
10
```

A single production rule:

```
1  ClassBodyDeclaration:          non-terminal matches:
2      ;                          matches a literal ; or
3
4      {Modifier} MemberDecl      0 or more Modifier non-terminal
5                                 followed by a MemberDecl
6                                 non-terminal or
7
8      [static] Block             optional (0 or 1) terminal
9                                 (the token static)
10                                followed by a Block non-terminal
```

But there's a problem

But there's a problem

when you have alternatives

But there's a problem

when you have alternatives

you have ambiguity

But there's a problem

when you have alternatives

you have ambiguity

Which alternative to follow —
multiple trees

Let's eat Grandma!

Let's eat Grandma!

uh. . .

Let's eat Grandma!

uh. . .

Let's eat, Grandma!

Let's eat Grandma!

uh. . .

Let's eat, Grandma!

wipes brow

- Ambiguity happens often with (context-free) grammars[1].

[1] These are part of the Chomsky hierarchy along with regular expressions.

- Ambiguity happens often with (context-free) grammars[1].
- Alternatives: leftmost, rightmost

[1] These are part of the Chomsky hierarchy along with regular expressions.

- PEG is simpler.

- PEG is simpler.
- It follows the first alternative that matches.

Let's write a calculator!

- Operation: +- */ (with precedence)
- parentheses group operations
- variable assignment (a – z)

Future:

- longer variable names
- negative sign (-1)
- decimal numbers (1.25)
- functions (ln), constants (π)
- implicit multiplication ($2a$)

- The Packrat Parsing and Parsing Expression Grammars Page
- peg/leg (C)
- pyparsing (Python)
- Pegex (Perl)