

Introduction to Mathematical Thinking

Ziyue Li

June 30, 2020

1

It's false. Since when $n \geq 3$, $5n \geq 15 > 12$, we only need to consider when $n = 1$ or $n = 2$, because of $n \in N$. If $n = 2$, then $3m + 10 = 12$, or $3m = 2$, $m = \frac{2}{3}$, which is not a nature number. If $n = 1$, then $3m + 5 = 12$, or $3m = 7$, $m = \frac{7}{3}$ which is not a nature number, too. Therefore, it's false.

2

It's true. Let arbitrary five consecutive integers be $n - 2, n - 1, n, n + 1, n + 2$, we have $(n - 2) + (n - 1) + n + (n + 1) + (n + 2) = 5n$ by algebra, which is divisible by 5. So it's true.

3

It's true. $n^2 + n + 1 = n(n + 1) + 1$ by algebra. Noticed that $n(n + 1)$ is always even, for if n is odd, then $n + 1$ is even, and if n is even, then $n + 1$ is odd, so $n(n + 1)$ is even. Therefore, $n(n + 1) + 1$ is odd, and $n^2 + n + 1$ is odd as well. It's true.

4

A arbitrary nature number is one of the forms of $4n, 4n + 1, 4n + 2$ or $4n + 3$ by the Division Theorem. Since $4n + 2$ and $4n$ are always even. So odd nature number can only belong to $4n + 1$ or $4n + 3$. And $4n + 1$ and $4n + 3$ are also odd number. These prove the result.

5

The integer n can be expressed as one of $3k, 3k + 1, 3k + 2$ by the Division Theorem, where k is an integer. If $n = 3k$, n is divisible by 3. If $n = 3k + 1$, $n + 2 = 3k + 3 = 3(k + 1)$, which is divisible by 3. If $n = 3k + 2$, $n + 4 = 3k + 6 = 3(k + 2)$, which is divisible by 3. These prove the result.

6

Consider a possible triple in the form of $n, n+2, n+4$. We require either of them to be a prime, so we have to let $n \geq 2$. From the above question (Question 5), we know at least one element in the triple is divisible by 3. So if $n \geq 4$, the triple is not a prime triple. If $n = 3$, 3,5,7 is a valid prime triple. If $n = 2$, 2,4,6 is not valid, as 4 and 6 are not primes. In conclusion, the only prime triple is 3,5,7.

7

If $n = 1$, the left side is 2, and the right side is $2^{1+1} - 2 = 2^2 - 2 = 4 - 2 = 2$, which is equal. Assume it's true for $n = k$, which means $2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^k = 2^{k+1} - 2$, we next prove it's true for $n = k+1$, that is, $2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^{k+1} = 2^{k+2} - 2$. Since $2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^{k+1} = 2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^k + 2^{k+1}$ (seperate the last term from the formula)
 $= 2^{k+1} - 2 + 2^{k+1}$ (use the assumption that it's true for $n = k$)
 $= 2^{k+2} - 2$ (by algebra)
 so it is true for $n = k+1$. These above prove the result.

8

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ tends to limit L as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists an N s.t. if $n \geq N$, then $|a_n - L| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{M}$. So we have $|Ma_n - ML| \leq M \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{M} = \epsilon$, which means that $\{Ma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ tends to limit ML as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which proves the result.

9

Let $A_n = (0, \frac{1}{n})$, which satisfies that $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$. As $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \subset (0, 1)$, so if there are elements in the intersect, then they must belong to $(0, 1)$. However, for all $x \in (0, 1)$, we can find a natural number n s.t. $\frac{1}{n} < x$, which means $x \notin A_n$, and not in the intersect as well. Therefore, $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$

10

Let $A_n = [0, \frac{1}{n})$, which satisfies that $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$. As $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \subset [0, 1)$, so if there are elements in the intersect, then they must belong to $[0, 1)$. Obviously, the element 0 is in the intersect. However, for all $x \in (0, 1)$, we can find a natural number n s.t. $\frac{1}{n} < x$, which means $x \notin A_n$, and not in the intersect as well. Therefore, $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{0\}$, which consists of a single real number 0.