## 4 Model checking

they also express if the test statistic was higher or lower than expected. *p*-values near 0 indicate that the test statistic was larger in magnitude under the posterior compared to the prior unexpectedly often.

Several posterior predictive checks have been performed to assess how well the model describes the observed data. These tests take the form of comparing statistics evaluated on samples from the prior and posterior distributions for each additive component. The statistics are derived from

Table 2 displays cumulative probability and p-value estimates for these quantities. Cumulative probabilities near 0/1 indicate that the test statistic was lower/higher under the posterior compared to the prior unexpectedly often i.e. they contain the same information as a p-value for a two-tailed test and

autocorrelation function (ACF) estimates, periodograms and quantile-quantile (qq) plots.

	ACF		Periodogram		QQ	
#	min	min loc	max	max loc	max	min
1	0.487	0.513	0.676	0.533	0.166	0.834
2	0.607	0.429	0.527	0.321	0.456	0.988
3	0.196	0.698	0.687	0.309	0.773	0.647
4	0.213	0.278	0.923	0.682	0.375	0.507

checking plots for each component are presented below.

Table 2: Model checking statistics for each component. Cumulative probabilities for minimum of autocorrelation function (ACF) and its location. Cumulative probabilities for maximum of periodogram and its location. *p*-values for maximum and minimum deviations of QQ-plot from straight line.

line.

No statistically significant discrepancies between the data and model have been detected but model