

Coroutines and Structured Concurrency in Practice

DMITRY PROKOPTSEV





Challenges when onboarding coroutines

A lot of existing code mostly callback-based

A custom-built I/O event loop

predates Asio by a decade

fine-tuned for specific use cases

Somewhat conservative audience real benefit needs to be demonstrated to justify switching

Callbacks vs coroutines

```
Local variable
// read length
                                                   // read length
auto len = make unique<int32 t>();
                                                   int32_t len;
async read(socket,
                                                   co await async read(s,
  buffer(len.get(), \int32_t)),
  [len = move(len)](auto ec, size_t len1){
  if (!ec len1 == sizeof(int32_t)) {
                                                       buffer(&len, sizeof(len)),
                                                       use awaitable);
      auto buf = make_unitue<char[]>(*len);
                                                   // read data
      async read(socket,
        buffer(buf.get(),
                          *len),
                                                   auto buf = make unique<char[]>(len);
        [buf = `move(buk), len = *len]
                                                   co_await async_read(s,
        (auto ec, size t len2) {
                                                       buffer(buf.get(), len),
          if (!ec && len2 = len)
                                                       use_awaitable);
                 .handle da
              ...handle error.
                                                   // ...handle data...
                       Manual lifetime management
        ...handle error...
```

Callbacks vs coroutines

```
// read length
auto len = make unique<int32 t>();
async read(socket,
  buffer(len.get(), sizeof(int32_t)),
[len = move(len)](auto ec, size_t len1){
    if (!ec && len1 == sizeof(int\overline{3}2 t)) {
       // read data
      auto buf = make_unique<char[]>(*len);
      async read(socket,
         buffer(buf.get(), *len),
         [buf =`move(bul) len = *len]
         (auto ec, stre t len2) {
           if (!ec && len2 == len)
                 ...handle data
                                         Use after
                ...handle error...
                                        move
         ...handle error...
```

```
// read length
int32_t len;
co_await async_read(s,
    buffer(&len, sizeof(len)),
    use_awaitable);

// read data
auto buf = make_unique<char[]>(len);
co_await async_read(s,
    buffer(buf.get(), len),
    use_awaitable);

// ...handle data...
```

Callbacks vs coroutines

```
// read length
auto len = make unique<int32 t>();
async read(socket,
  buffer(len.get(), sizeof(int32_t)),
[len = move(len)](auto ec, size_t len1){
    if (!ec && len1 == sizeof(int\overline{3}2_t)) {
       // read data
       auto buf = make unique<char[]>(*len);
       async read(socket,
         buffer(buf.get(), *len),
         [buf = move(buf), len = *len]
         (auto ec, size t len2) {
           if (!ec && len2 == len) {
                 ...handle data...
           } else {
                 ...handle error...
          ...handle error...
```

Errors raised from here as C++

```
// read length
int32_t len: exceptions
co_await async_read(s,
    buffer(&len, sizeof(len)),
    use_awaitable);

// read data
auto buf = make_unique<char[]>(len);
co_await async_read(s,
    buffer(buf.get(), len),
    use_awaitable);

// ...handle data...
```

Manual error handling

Coroutines may simplify things

Easier reasoning

Easier object lifetime management

• Easier error propagation

But we need some structure

Structured concurrency

And why we care

A typical async framework

```
class Task { ... }
    represents a unit of background work
```

Task::join()

explicit call to suspend the current task until another task completes, and returns its result propagates uncaught exceptions

Task::detach() allows the task to run alongside the rest of the program

Detached tasks considered harmful

No way to figure out task lifetime

=> no automatic object lifetime management

Detached tasks considered harmful

Detached tasks considered harmful

```
// and also don't do this
void slightly_better(tcp::socket& s) {
    auto buf = make shared<char[]>(1024);
    asio::co_spawn(
        ex,
        [&s, buf]() -> asio::awaitable {
            try {
                co await s.read some(s,
                                      asio::buffer(buf.get(), 1024),
                                      asio::use_awaitable);
            } catch (std::exception& e) {
                // ...um?..
        asio::detached);
```

Can we fix things by just removing detach()?

Each task must be join()ed at some point Likely in destructor?

Error propagation still problematic

Destructors are not supposed to throw

```
void reportLiveness();
Task serve(tcp::socket s);
Task mainTask() {
    Task liveness = []() -> Task {
       for (;;) {
                                                   If this throws
            reportLiveness();
           co_await sleepFor(1_s);
    }();
                                               the exception gets reraised here
    for (;;) {
       tcp::socket = co_await accept();
        co await serve(std::move(s));
    // implicit liveness.~Task() call
```

```
void reportLiveness();
Task serve(tcp::socket s);
Task mainTask() {
    Task liveness = []() -> Task {
        for (;;) {
                                                    If this throws
            reportLiveness();
            co_await sleepFor(1_s);
    }();
                                                we'll never find out
    for (;;) {
       tcp::socket = co_await accept();
        co await serve(std::move(s));
    std::unreachable();
    // implicit liveness.~Task() call
```

```
void reportLiveness();
Task serve(tcp::socket s);
Task mainTask() {
    Task liveness = []() -> Task
                                                 Executes in background
            reportLiveness();
            co_await sleepFor(1_s);
    for (;;) {
       tcp::socket = co_await accept();
        co await serve(std::move(s));
    std::unreachable();
    // implicit liveness.~Task() call
```

The ground rule:
No such thing as background execution

A task can only run when it's being awaited by another task

A task can only run when it's being awaited by another task

That awaiting task is a caller

Once the caller resumes, the callee is done any resources it may have used can be freed

Any unhandled exception will get re-raised

Sketching an API

```
Task<void> greet() {
    cout << "going to greet "</pre>
          << "the world\n";
    co_await sleep_for(1s);
    cout << "Hello world!\n";</pre>
Task<void> greetTwice() {
    cout << "spawning tasks\n";</pre>
    auto task1 = greet();
    auto task2 = greet();
    cout << "awaiting tasks\n";</pre>
    co await task1;
    co_await task2;
```

```
spawning tasks
awaiting tasks
going to greet the world
     <1 second pause>
Hello world!
going to greet the world
      <another 1 second pause>
Hello world!
```

Running things concurrently

```
going to greet the world
going to greet the world
    <1 second pause>
Hello world!
Hello world!
```

allOf() combiner

• Upon co_await, starts all children

- Once all children complete,
 returns std::tuple<> of their results
- If any child raises an exception, cancels anything still running and re-raises

anyOf() combiner

- Upon co_await, starts all children
- Once any of them completes, cancels the others
- Once cancellation completes,
 returns std::variant<> of their results
- If any child raises an exception,
 cancels anything still running and re-raises

Attaching a timeout to a long-running operation

```
Task<void> longRunning();
```

Attaching a timeout to a long-running operation

```
Task<void> longRunning(chrono::milliseconds timeout);
```

Attaching a timeout to a long-running operation

Making an operation externally cancellable

```
Task<void> longRunning();
Event* evt = nullptr;
Task<void> cancellable() {
    Event e;
    evt = &e;
    co_await anyOf(longRunning(), e);
void cancel() { evt->trigger(); }
```

anyOf() use cases: clean shutdown

anyOf() use cases: racing

```
Task<ip::addr> resolveOn(const string& name,
                         const string& dnsServer);
Task<ip::addr> resolve(const string& name) {
    auto v = co await anyOf(
        resolveOn(name, "8.8.8.8"),
        [&]() -> Task<ip::addr> {
            co await sleepFor(100ms);
            co return co await resolveOn(name, "1.1.1.1");
        });
   co return visit(identity{}, v);
```

Structured concurrency


Tasks naturally form a "call tree"

- coroutines
- leaf awaitables (sleeping, I/O, etc)
- combiners (allOf(), anyOf())

Every task in the tree has another suspended task waiting for its completion

Exceptions propagate up the tree

Cancellation propagates down the tree





Giving it a shot: a simple TCP echo server


```
Task<void> serve(tcp::socket s) {
    std::array<char> buf(1024);
    try {
        for (;;) {
            size_t len = co_await s.async_read_some(
                asio::buffer(buf), asio::use awaitable);
            co_await async_write(s, asio::buffer(buf, len),
                                 asio::use awaitable);
    } catch (std::exception&) { /*connection closed or I/O error*/ }
Task<void> listen(asio::io context& io context, tcp::acceptor& acc) {
    for (;;) {
        tcp::socket s = co_await acc.async_accept(io_context,
                                                  asio::use awaitable);
```


Giving it a shot: a simple echo server

```
Task<void> serve(tcp::socket s) {
    std::array<char> buf(1024);
    try {
        for (;;) {
            size_t len = co_await s.async_read_some(
                asio::buffer(buf), use awaitable);
            co await async write(s, asio::buffer(buf, len),
                                 asio::use awaitable);
    } catch (std::exception&) { /*connection closed or I/O error*/ }
Task<void> listen(asio::io context& io context, tcp::acceptor& acc) {
    tcp::socket s = co_await acc.async_accept(io_context,
                                              asio::use awaitable);
    co_await anyOf(serve(std::move(s)), accept(acc));
```









```
Task<void> serve(tcp::socket);
Task<void> listen(asio::io context& io context, tcp::acceptor& acc) {
   DynamicAllOf tasks;
   for (;;) {
       tcp::socket s =
           co_await acc.async_accept(
               io_context, use_awaitable);
       tasks.add(serve(move(s)));
                                    This runs unsupervised
                                    => ground rule violated
```

```
Task<void> serve(tcp::socket);
Task<void> listen(asio::io_context& io_context, tcp::acceptor& acc) {
    co await dynamicAllOf(
             [&](DynamicAllOf& tasks) -> Task<void> {
                 for (;;) {
                     tcp::socket s =
                         co_await acc.async_accept(
                             io_context, use_awaitable);
                     tasks.add( &serve, move(s) );
             });
```

```
Task<void> serve(tcp::socket);
Task<void> listen(asio::io_context& io_context, tcp::acceptor& acc) {
                                                                               listen()
    co_await dynamicAllOf(
             [&](DynamicAllOf& tasks) -> Task<void> {
                                                                               dynamic
                 for (;;) {
                                                                                allOf
                      tcp::socket s =
                          co_await acc.async_accept(
                              io_context, use_awaitable);
                                                                                 listen()
                      tasks.add(|&serve, move(s)|);
                                                                  serve()
                                                                                 lambda
             });
                                                                                accept()
```

```
listen()
co_await dynamicAllOf(
         [&](DynamicAllOf& tasks) -> Task<void> {
                                                                            dynamic
             for (;;) {
                                                                             allOf
                  tcp::socket s =
                      co_await acc.async_accept(
                          io_context, use_awaitable);
                                                                              listen()
                  tasks.add( &serve, move(s) );
                                                               serve()
                                                                              lambda
         });
                                                                              accept()
```

```
co_await dynamicAllOf(
    [&](DynamicAllOf& tasks) -> Task<void> {
```

We have a *named node* in our task tree

Maybe add a bunch of functions there?

```
add()
size()
maybe cancel()?
```

No longer a simple allOf()

We have created a fundamental primitive of structured concurrency

- nursery in Python trio
- task group in Python asyncio
- task scope in Rust
- coroutine scope in Kotlin
- async_scope in nvidia/stdexec

We also call it a *nursery*

(mnemonics: a place where your children are)

```
Task<void> serve(tcp::socket);
Task<void> listen(asio::io_context& io_context, tcp::acceptor& acc) {
    co_await openNursery(
             [&](Nursery& nursery) -> Task<void> {
                 for (;;) {
                     tcp::socket s =
                         co_await acc.async_accept(
                             io_context, use_awaitable);
                     n.start(&serve, move(s));
             });
```

Nurseries

Entering async world

```
Task<void> serve(tcp::socket);
Task<void> listen(asio::io_context& io_context, tcp::acceptor& acc);
int main() {
    asio::io context io context(/*threads = */ 1);
    tcp::acceptor acceptor(io context,
                           tcp::endpoint(tcp::v4, /*port = */ 12345));
    asio::signal_set signals(io_context, SIGINT, SIGTERM);
    run(io, anyOf(listen(io_context, acceptor),
                  signals.async await(use awaitable));
    return 0;
```

Properties of nurseries and combiners

Act as nodes in the task tree

Wait until all children complete

Propagate any exceptions from any children back to the parent

Cancel any children still running before completing

No task is ever left behind

Bending the rules

What if you need to spawn a coroutine which will outlive the spawner?

What if the spawner is not even a coroutine?

Pass the spawner a reference to a nursery

Bending the rules

```
void beginListen(Nursery& n, io_context& io, uint16_t port) {
    n.start([&]() -> Task<void> {
        tcp::acceptor acc(io, tcp::endpoint(tcp::v4(), port));
        for (;;) {
            auto s = co_await acc.async_accept(io, use_awaitable);
            n.start(&serve, move(s));
        }
    });
}
```

Bending the rules – to a degree

Every task still has a caller

- the caller is not what called us it's what we're going to return control to
- the caller will get any unhandled exceptions

Some room for dangling references

passing nurseries around is an advanced technique that attracts extra scrutiny

Task lifetime is still bounded by nursery liftetime

Function behavior is deduced from its signature

Bending the rules — to a degree

Function behavior is deduced from its signature

void func()

Task<void> func()

cannot spawn any child coroutines

can spawn coroutines,

but will join them before returning

void func(Nursery&)
Task<void> func(Nursery&)

can (and likely will) spawn coroutines that might outlive it (beware!)

```
class ProcessSupervisor {
public:
    void start(const string& cmdline);

private:
    // suspends until the process completes
    Task<void> runProcess(const string& cmdline);
};
```

```
class ProcessSupervisor {
 Nursery* nursery = nullptr;
public:
  void start(const string& cmdline) {
    nursery->start(runProcess(cmdline));
private:
  // suspends until the process completes
 Task<void> runProcess(const string& cmdline);
};
```

```
class ProcessSupervisor {
   Nursery* nursery = nullptr;

How to initialize ProcessSupervisor::nursery?

An obvious approach:
```

accept it as an argument in class constructor

An obvious (and wrong) approach: accept it as an argument in class constructor

```
Task<void> workWithSupervisor() {
   WITH_NURSERY(n) {
     ProcessSupervisor ps(n);
     ps.start("/bin/true");
     // ...stuff...
}
supervisor goes out of scope here
   but tasks spawned in the nursery continue running
```

Good old two-phase initialization to the rescue

```
class ProcessSupervisor {
                                   Task<void>
                                   workWithSupervisor()
 Nursery* nursery = nullptr;
public:
                                     ProcessSupervisor s;
  Task<void> run() {
                                     co await anyOf(
                                       s.run(),
    WITH NURSERY(n) {
                                       [&]() -> Task<> {
      nursery = &n;
                                         s.start("true");
                                         // ...stuff...
      co await SuspendForever{};
                                       });
    };
```

Awaitable interface

And implementing your own awaitables

Awaitable interface

- void await_suspend(std::coroutine_handle<> h)
 Initiate the asynchronous operation, and arrange
 h.resume() to be called when it completes
- auto await_resume()
 Fetch the result of the operation, or (re)throw an exception.
- bool await_ready() const noexcept
 Performance optimization

```
class DNSQuery {
    ares_channel_t* channel;
    const char* name;
    struct sockaddr_in result;
    int status;
    std::coroutine_handle<> parent;

public:
    void await_ready() const noexcept { return false; }
    void await_suspend(std::coroutine_handle<> h);
    struct sockaddr_in await_resume();
};
```

```
void DNSQuery::await suspend(std::coroutine handle<> h) {
   parent = h;
    ares_getaddrinfo(
       channel, name, /*service=*/ nullptr, /*hints=*/ nullptr,
        [](void* arg, int status, int /*timeouts*/,
    struct ares_addrinfo* ai)
           auto self = static cast<DNSQuery*>(arg);
            // Copy result back into the awaitable object
            self->status = status;
           if (ai) {
               // Resume the parent
            self->parent.resume();
       }, this);
```

Task cancellation

Cancellation properties

Implicit: no if (cancelled) return scattered throughout code

Fast: no C++ exceptions involved

Asynchronous

Some async operations are (or can be made) immediately cancellable

Some lack cancellation support in its API

Some operations inherently cannot be cancelled synchronously

Some operations inherently cannot be cancelled synchronously

a running subprocess

```
you can SIGKILL it, but you still need to wait() for its completion
```

Some operations inherently cannot be cancelled synchronously

io_uring or overlapped I/O

Cancellation happens asynchronously

Callback will be called, delivering STATUS_CANCELED or completion result

Some operations inherently cannot be cancelled synchronously

If we only support synchronous cancellation, we are opting out from ever supporting any of these

Rust developers learned that the hard way

Sketching cancellation procedure

- Forward the request to any child awaitables
- Wait until they confirm the cancellation (or complete normally)
- Notify the parent and destroy the coroutine

std::coroutine_handle<void>::destroy()

Propagating cancellation

We need cooperation from the awaitable

```
bool await_ready() const noexcept;
void await_suspend(std::coroutine_handle<> h);
auto await_resume();
```

Propagating cancellation

We need cooperation from the awaitable

```
bool await_ready() const noexcept;
void await_suspend(std::coroutine_handle<> h);
auto await_resume();

void await_cancel(std::coroutine_handle<> h) noexcept;

Requests cancellation of the in-progress asynchronous operation.
Upon confirmation, should invoke h.resume().

Handle will match passed to await_suspend(),
so void await_cancel(auto) {} is a legit implementation.
```

We need access to the awaitable

A suspended coroutine knows neither the awaitable nor its type

We need to somehow stash it before suspending

```
Promise::await_transform() to the rescue
```

Any awaitable is passed through await_transform()

We can use it to stash a type-erased pointer to the awaitee

```
class Promise {
  void* awaitee = nullptr;
  void (*cancelFn )(void*,
                    coroutine_handle<>)
      = nullptr;
  template<class T>
  auto await transform(T&& t) {
    return Interceptor<T>{this, &t};
  template<class T> struct Interceptor {
    Promise* p;
    T* t;
    auto await suspend(coroutine handle<> h) {
      // Stash a type-erased reference
      // to the awaitee
      p->awaitee = t;
      p->cancelFn = +[](void* ptr,
                         coroutine handle<> h){
        reinterpret cast<T*>(ptr)
            ->await cancel(h);
      };
      return t->await suspend(h);
```

```
decltype(auto) await resume() {
     p->awaitee = nullptr;
      p->cancelFn = nullptr;
      return forward<T>(*t).await resume();
    bool await ready() const noexcept {
      return t->await ready();
  };
 void cancel() {
   if (awaitee ) {
      cancelFn (
        awaitee ,
        coroutine_handle<Promise>::
            from promise(*this));
};
```

Destroying the coroutine

coroutine_handle::destroy() can only be called
on a suspended coroutine

Once the awaitee resume()d the coroutine handle, the coroutine is no longer suspended

Awaitables cannot do anything else to the coroutine handle

A coroutine frame

```
struct coroutine frame {
    // resume index
    // promise object
   // ...parameters...
    // ...local variables...
    // ...temporary objects...
    // other bookkeeping
};
```

A coroutine frame

```
struct coroutine frame {
    void (*resume fn)(coroutine frame*);
    void (*destroy fn)(coroutine frame*);
    // resume index
    // promise object
   // ...parameters...
    // ...local variables...
    // ...temporary objects...
   // other bookkeeping
```

A coroutine frame

```
struct coroutine_frame {
    void (*resume_fn)(coroutine_frame*);
    void (*destroy_fn)(coroutine_frame*);
    // ...
};
```

A coroutine frame

```
struct coroutine frame {
    void (*resume fn)(coroutine frame*);
   void (*destroy fn)(coroutine_frame*);
   // ...
void coroutine handle<void>::resume() {
   auto f =
      reinterpret cast<coroutine frame>(address());
  f->resume fn(f);
```

A phony coroutine frame

```
struct CoroutineHeader {
 void (*resume_fn)(CoroutineHeader*);
  static coroutine handle<> toHandle() {
    return coroutine_handle<>::from_address(this);
class Promise: private CoroutineHeader {
  auto realHandle() {
    return coroutine_handle<Promise>::from_promise(*this);
  auto proxyHandle() { return CoroutineHeader::toHandle(); }
 void onResume();
public:
 Promise() {
   this->resume fn = [](void* self) {
      static_cast<Promise*>(self)->onResume();
   };
};
```

A phony coroutine frame

```
class Promise: private CoroutineHeader {
  auto realHandle()

auto proxyHandle()
```

A phony coroutine frame

```
class Promise: private CoroutineHeader {
  auto realHandle();
  auto proxyHandle();
 template<class T> struct Interceptor {
   Promise* p;
   T* t;
    auto await_suspend(coroutine_handle<>) {
     // populate awaitee and cancelFn
      return t->await suspend(p->proxyHandle());
 void onResume() {
    if (cancelling ) {
      realHandle().destroy();
    } else {
      realHandle().resume();
};
```

Notes on implementing awaitables

```
Paired functions: constructor/destructor and await_suspend()/await_cancel()

await_cancel() reverses await_suspend(), destructor reverses constructor
```

Ideally an awaitable object should be dormant until await_suspend()
Otherwise, need to account for completion before await_suspend()
Also need to account for early cancellation
And breaks structured concurrency invariants

Resource management

RAII even more important than before

```
// don't do this
Task<void> bad() {
   int fd = ::open("/etc/passwd", O_RDONLY);
   std::array<char, 65536> buf;
   ssize_t len = ::read(fd, buf.data(), buf.size());
   co_await publishOnFacebook(buf.data(), len);
   ::close(f);
}
```

RAII even more important than before

```
// don't do this either
Task<void> also_bad() {
    int fd = ::open("/etc/passwd", O RDONLY);
   try {
        std::array<char, 65536> buf;
        ssize t len = ::read(fd, buf.data(), buf.size());
        co await publishOnFacebook(buf.data(), len);
        ::close(f);
                           Third option:
    catch (...) {
        ::close(f);
                           We might get cancelled here
        throw;
                           without any exceptions involved
```

RAll even more important than before

```
// don't do this either
Task<void> also_bad() {
   int fd = ::open("/etc/passwd", O_RDONLY);

   std::array<char, 65536> buf;
   ssize_t len = ::read(fd, buf.data(), buf.size());
   co_await publishOnFacebook(buf.data(), len);
   ::close(f);
}
```

RAII even more important than before

```
// maybe do this
Task<void> better() {
    int fd = ::open("/etc/passwd", O_RDONLY);
    auto _ = gsl::finally([fd]{ ::close(fd); });
    std::array<char, 65536> buf;
    ssize_t len = ::read(fd, buf.data(), buf.size());
    co_await publishOnFacebook(buf.data(), len);
}
// better yet, use std::ifstream
```

Asynchronous resource cleanup

```
struct AsyncFile {
    static Task<AsyncFile> open(fs::path);
    Task<void> close();
    Task<ssize t> readInto(span<byte> buffer);
};
Task<void> func() {
   auto f = co_await AsyncFile::open("/etc/passwd");
    // ...work with file...
    std::arary<char, 1024> buf;
    ssize t len = co await f.readInto(buf);
   // bad idea
   co await f.close();
```

Asynchronous resource cleanup

```
struct AsyncFile {
    static Task<AsyncFile> open(fs::path);
    Task<void> close();
    Task<ssize t> readInto(span<byte> buffer);
};
Task<void> func() {
    auto f = co_await AsyncFile::open("/etc/passwd");
    // a better idea, but does not work
   auto _ = gsl::finally([&f]{ co_await f.close(); });
   // ...work with file...
    std::arary<char, 1024> buf;
    ssize_t len = co_await f.readInto(buf);
```

Asynchronous resource cleanup


```
struct AsyncFile {
    static Task<AsyncFile> open(fs::path);
    Task<void> close();
    Task<ssize t> readInto(span<byte> buffer);
};
Task<void> func() {
    auto f = co await AsyncFile::open("/etc/passwd");
    co_await anyOf([&]() -> Task<> {
        // ...work with file...
        std::arary<char, 1024> buf;
        ssize_t len = co_await f.readInto(buf);
   }, untilCancelledAnd(f.close()));
```

Bridging to legacy code

Bridging to legacy code

Most code is likely old-style

Coroutine part needs to cooperate



Coroutines to callbacks

```
void beginThing(std::function<void()> cb);
Task<void> workWithThing() {
    co_await beginThing(/*???*/);
}
```

Coroutines to callbacks: wrap to the awaitable

```
void beginThing(std::function<void()> cb);
class Thing {
public:
    bool await_ready() const noexcept { return false; }
    void await_suspend(std::coroutine_handle<> h)
        beginThing([h]{ h.resume(); });
    void await_resume() {}
};
Task<void> workWithThing() {
    co await Thing{};
```

```
struct ILegacyReader {
    virtual void read(span<byte> dst, function<void(ssize_t)> cb) = 0;
};

class OurReader: public ILegacyReader {
public:
    void read(span<byte> dst, function<void(ssize_t)> cb) override;

private:
    Task<size_t> doRead(span<byte> dst);
};
```

```
class OurReader: public ILegacyReader {
public:
    void read(span<byte> dst, function<void(ssize_t)> cb) override;
private:
    Task<size_t> doRead(span<byte> dst);
};
```

```
class OurReader: public ILegacyReader {
    Nursery* nursery = /*...*/;
public:
    void read(span<byte> dst, function<void(ssize_t)> cb) override {
        nursery->start([=]() -> Task {
            size_t ret = co_await doRead(dst);
            cb(ret);
        });
private:
    Task<size_t> doRead(span<byte> dst);
};
```

```
class OurReader: public ILegacyReader {
   Nursery* nursery = /*...*/;
public:
   void read(span<byte> dst, function<void(ssize_t)> cb) override {
        nursery->start([=]() -> Task {
            try {
                size_t ret = co_await doRead(dst);
                cb(ret);
            } catch (std::exception&) {
                cb(-1);
private:
    Task<size_t> doRead(span<byte> dst);
};
```

```
class OurReader: public ILegacyReader {
    Nursery* nursery = nullptr;
public:
    void read(span<byte> dst, function<void(ssize_t)> cb) override;
    Task<void> run() {
        WITH NURSERY(n) {
            nursery = &n;
            co_await SuspendForever{};
        };
private:
    Task<size_t> doRead(span<byte> dst);
};
```

Unsafe nurseries

```
class OurReader: public ILegacyReader {
    UnsafeNursery nursery;
public:
    void read(span<byte> dst, function<void(ssize_t)> cb) override {
        nursery.start([=]() -> Task {
            try {
                size_t ret = co_await doRead(dst);
                cb(ret);
            } catch (std::exception&) {
                cb(-1);
private:
    Task<size_t> doRead(span<byte> dst);
};
```

Unsafe nurseries: back to slide one

Unhandled exceptions have nowhere to go
terminate() if we got any

Destructor must not leave running coroutines behind make one attempt to cancel any tasks still alive if that did not help, terminate()

Unsafe nurseries: back to slide one

Unhandled exceptions have nowhere to go
terminate() if we got any

Destructor must not leave running coroutines behind make one attempt to cancel any tasks still alive if that did not help, terminate()

Bridging different paradigms is toilsome anyway

Our own experience

First version merged in Dec 2021

Used in several I/O-bound services

Our own experience

About 40-50% code size reduction

Easier control flow

Uniform handling of cancellation and timeouts

There is a learning curve

There are performance implications

Our own experience

About 40-50% code size reduction

Easier control flow

Uniform handling of cancellation and timeouts

There is a learning curve

There are performance implications

github.com/hudson-trading/corral

Thank you!

Questions?

github.com/hudson-trading/corral