

Statement

Definition : true or false but not both

Compound Statement :

"but"	"However"	"	means $p \text{ and } q$
"neither"	"nor"	"	means $p \text{ and } \sim q$
$x \leq a$			means $x < a \text{ or } x = a$
$a \leq x \leq b$			means $a \leq x \text{ and } x \leq b$

Conjunction (AND) : $T \wedge T = T$

Disjunction (OR) : $F \vee F = F$

Inclusive OR (OR) : $\sim(p \vee q) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge \sim(p \wedge q)$

* $\sim(p \wedge q) \vee \sim(q \wedge p) \equiv \sim(p \wedge q)$

Testing Logically Equivalent

(真假判定表)		$p \wedge q$	$q \wedge p$
p	q		
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F
F	T	F	F
F	F	F	F

$p \wedge q$ and $q \wedge p$ always have the same truth values, so they are logically equivalent

Conditional Statement : $T \rightarrow F = F$

$p \rightarrow q$

p is hypothesis/antecedent
 q is conclusion/consequent

De Morgan's Law (德摩根律)

$$\sim(p \wedge q) \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q$$

$$\begin{aligned} -1 < x &\text{ and } x \leq 4. \\ -1 \not< x &\text{ or } x \not\leq 4, \\ -1 \geq x &\text{ or } x > 4. \end{aligned}$$

Tautologies & Contradictions

tautology : always true

contradiction : always false

Theorem 2.1.1 Logical Equivalences

Given any statement variables p, q , and r , a tautology t and a contradiction c , the following logical equivalences hold.

- | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. Commutative laws: | $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$ | $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$ |
| 2. Associative laws: | $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$ | $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$ |
| 3. Distributive laws: | $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$ | $p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ |
| 4. Identity laws: | $p \wedge t \equiv p$ | $p \vee c \equiv p$ |
| 5. Negation laws: | $p \vee \sim p \equiv t$ | $p \wedge \sim p \equiv c$ |
| 6. Double negative law: | $\sim(\sim p) \equiv p$ | |
| 7. Idempotent laws: | $p \wedge p \equiv p$ | $p \vee p \equiv p$ |
| 8. Universal bound laws: | $p \vee t \equiv t$ | $p \wedge c \equiv c$ |
| 9. De Morgan's laws: | $\sim(p \wedge q) \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q$ | $\sim(p \vee q) \equiv \sim p \wedge \sim q$ |
| 10. Absorption laws: | $p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$ | $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$ |
| 11. Negations of t and c : | $\sim t \equiv c$ | $\sim c \equiv t$ |

Logical Equivalents Involving \rightarrow

$$p \vee q \rightarrow r \equiv (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$$

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \sim p \vee q. \quad ***$$

Only If & Biconditional :

$$\begin{aligned} T \leftrightarrow T &= T \\ F \leftrightarrow F &= T \\ (\text{ilogus } T \text{ min } F) \end{aligned}$$

p only if q means if $\sim q$ then $\sim p$
if p then q

$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv$ when it is tautology

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$$

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (\sim p \vee q) \wedge (\sim q \vee p)$$

Order of logical operators

$$\sim \rightarrow \wedge \rightarrow \vee \rightarrow \rightarrow \leftrightarrow$$

Necessary Condition & Sufficient Condition

r is a sufficient condition for s means if r then s
 r is a necessary condition for s means if $\sim r$ then $\sim s$

r is not a sufficient condition for s means $\sim r$ is a sufficient condition for $\sim s$

r is not a necessary condition for s means $\sim r$ is a necessary condition for $\sim s$

r is a necessary and sufficient condition for s means r if, and only if, s
 r unless s means if $\sim s$ then r
unless for $\sim s$

Negation of conditional statement

$$\sim(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \sim q$$

Contrapositive : $p \rightarrow q$ is $\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$

* conditional statement \equiv contrapositive

Converse : $p \rightarrow q$ is $q \rightarrow p$

Inverse : $p \rightarrow q$ is $\sim p \rightarrow \sim q$

Converse & Inverse

- * conditional statement $\not\equiv$ converse
- * conditional statement $\not\equiv$ inverse
- * converse \equiv inverse

Testing an Argument Form for Validity

If all premise true but conclusion false then invalid

If all premise true but conclusion true then valid

			premises				conclusion	
p	q	r	$\sim r$	$q \vee \sim r$	$p \wedge r$	$p \rightarrow q \vee \sim r$	$q \rightarrow p \wedge r$	$p \rightarrow r$
T	T	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	F	T	F	
T	F	T	F	F	T	F	T	
T	F	F	T	T	F	T	T	F
F	T	T	F	T	F	T	F	
F	T	F	T	T	F	T	F	
F	F	T	F	F	F	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	F	T	T	T

This row shows that an argument of this form can have true premises and a false conclusion. Hence this form of argument is invalid.

This row shows that an argument of this form can have true premises and a false conclusion. Hence this form of argument is invalid.

Converse Error

$$\begin{array}{c} p \rightarrow q \\ q \\ \therefore p \end{array}$$

Inverse Error

$$\begin{array}{l} p \rightarrow q \\ \sim p \\ \therefore \sim q \end{array}$$

Knight and Knaves

Knight : always tell the truth
Knave : always tell the lie

Modus Ponens	$p \rightarrow q$ p $\therefore q$	Elimination	a. $p \vee q$ $\sim q$ $\therefore p$	b. $p \vee q$ $\sim p$ $\therefore q$
Modus Tollens	$p \rightarrow q$ $\sim q$ $\therefore \sim p$	Transitivity	$p \rightarrow q$ $q \rightarrow r$ $\therefore p \rightarrow r$	
Generalization	a. p $\therefore p \vee q$	b. q $\therefore p \vee q$	Proof by Division into Cases	$p \vee q$ $p \rightarrow r$
Specialization	a. $p \wedge q$ $\therefore p$	b. $p \wedge q$ $\therefore q$		$q \rightarrow r$ $\therefore r$
Conjunction	p q $\therefore p \wedge q$		Contradiction Rule	$\sim p \rightarrow c$ $\therefore p$

* ឧប្បជ្ជានេរណ៍ដីជាសម្រាប់បង្កើតអំពីការបង្កើតប្រចាំឆ្នាំ

The Universal Quantifier : \forall

Formal form:

“ $\forall x$, if _____ then _____”
 $\forall x$, if x is a square then x is a rectangle.
“ \forall _____ x , _____”
 \forall squares x , x is a rectangle.

Informal form: If every, any, each, all links in coming

Universal condition statement :

- Formal** : $\forall \text{_____}, \text{if } \text{_____} \text{ then } \text{_____}.$
 - Informal** : If a real number is greater than 2 then its square is greater than 4.

The Existential Quantifier : \exists

Formal Form :

$\exists n \text{ such that } \underline{\quad} \wedge \underline{\quad}.$
 $\exists \underline{\quad} n \text{ such that } \underline{\quad}.$

Informal form: If there exists, there is, at least one, for some universal

Universal Quantifier

Quantifier A, B \rightarrow B quantifier A

Traski's World

a	b			
		c	d	
	e	f		
g	h	i		k

1 “ $\forall x \in D, Q(x)$.”
2

- 1 : quantifiers
2 : predicate

$Q \rightarrow$ predicate symbol
 $(x) \rightarrow$ predicate variable

Note!
method of exhaustion : check every element

Universal Modus Ponens

Formal Version

$\forall x, \text{ if } P(x) \text{ then } Q(x).$

$P(a) \text{ for a particular } a.$

$\therefore Q(a).$

Informal Version

If x makes $P(x)$ true, then x makes $Q(x)$ true.

a makes $P(x)$ true.

$\therefore a$ makes $Q(x)$ true.

Universal Modus Tollens

Formal Version

$\forall x, \text{ if } P(x) \text{ then } Q(x).$

$\sim Q(a), \text{ for a particular } a.$

$\therefore \sim P(a).$

Informal Version

If x makes $P(x)$ true, then x makes $Q(x)$ true.

a does not make $Q(x)$ true.

$\therefore a$ does not make $P(x)$ true.

Converse Error (Quantified Form)

Formal Version

$\forall x, \text{ if } P(x) \text{ then } Q(x).$

$Q(a) \text{ for a particular } a.$

$\therefore P(a). \leftarrow \text{invalid conclusion}$

Informal Version

If x makes $P(x)$ true, then x makes $Q(x)$ true.

a makes $Q(x)$ true.

$\therefore a$ makes $P(x)$ true. $\leftarrow \text{invalid conclusion}$

Inverse Error (Quantified Form)

Formal Version

$\forall x, \text{ if } P(x) \text{ then } Q(x).$

$\sim P(a), \text{ for a particular } a.$

$\therefore \sim Q(a). \leftarrow \text{invalid conclusion}$

Informal Version

If x makes $P(x)$ true, then x makes $Q(x)$ true.

a does not make $P(x)$ true.

$\therefore a$ does not make $Q(x)$ true. $\leftarrow \text{invalid conclusion}$

Universal Transitivity

Formal Version

$\forall x P(x) \rightarrow Q(x).$

$\forall x Q(x) \rightarrow R(x).$

$\therefore \forall x P(x) \rightarrow R(x).$

Informal Version

Any x that makes $P(x)$ true makes $Q(x)$ true.

Any x that makes $Q(x)$ true makes $R(x)$ true.

\therefore Any x that makes $P(x)$ true makes $R(x)$ true.

Direct Prove & Counter Example

n is even $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ an integer k such that $n = 2k$.

n is odd $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ an integer k such that $n = 2k + 1$.

n is prime $\Leftrightarrow \forall$ positive integers r and s , if $n = rs$ then either $r = 1$ and $s = n$ or $r = n$ and $s = 1$.

n is composite $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ positive integers r and s such that $n = rs$ and $1 < r < n$ and $1 < s < n$.

r is rational $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ integers a and b such that $r = \frac{a}{b}$ and $b \neq 0$.

Zero Product Property

If neither of two real numbers is zero, then their product is also not zero.

Theorem 4.2.1

Every integer is a rational number.

Theorem 4.2.2

The sum of any two rational numbers is rational.

Corollary 4.2.3

The double of a rational number is rational.

Definition: A number c is called a **root** of a polynomial $p(x)$ if, and only if, $p(c) = 0$.

• Definition

If n and d are integers and $d \neq 0$ then

n is **divisible by d** if, and only if, n equals d times some integer.

Instead of “ n is divisible by d ,” we can say that

- n is a **multiple of d** , or
- d is a **factor of n** , or
- d is a **divisor of n** , or
- d **divides n** .

The notation $d | n$ is read “ d divides n .” Symbolically, if n and d are integers and $d \neq 0$:

$d | n \Leftrightarrow \exists$ an integer k such that $n = dk$.

Proof:

Theorem: The sum of any even integer and any odd integer is odd.

Proof: Suppose m is any even integer and n is (a). By definition of even, $m = 2r$ for some (b), and by definition of odd, $n = 2s + 1$ for some integer s . By substitution and algebra,

$$m + n = \underline{(c)} = 2(r + s) + 1.$$

Since r and s are both integers, so is their sum $r + s$. Hence $m + n$ has the form twice some integer plus one, and so (d) by definition of odd.

Counterexample : ՞Յա՞ն Վ խիս

Method of Direct Proof

1. Express the statement to be proved in the form “ $\forall x \in D$, if $P(x)$ then $Q(x)$.” (This step is often done mentally.)
2. Start the proof by supposing x is a particular but arbitrarily chosen element of D for which the hypothesis $P(x)$ is true. (This step is often abbreviated “Suppose $x \in D$ and $P(x)$.”)
3. Show that the conclusion $Q(x)$ is true by using definitions, previously established results, and the rules for logical inference.

Definition: An integer n is called a **perfect square** if, and only if, $n = k^2$ for some integer k .