

## Microsatellite Instability and Tumor Mutational Burden Testing

<b>Policy Number:</b> AHS – M2178	<b>Prior Policy Name and Number, as applicable:</b> Not applicable
<b>Initial Effective Date:</b> June 01, 2023	<b>Current Effective Date:</b> March 01, 2025
<b>Line(s) of Business:</b> HMO; PPO; QUEST Integration	<b>Precertification:</b> Refer to the <a href="#">GTM Utilization Review Matrix</a>

### I. Policy Description

Tumor mutational burden (TMB) is the genetic characteristic of non-inherited mutations within tumor tissue, often reported as the total number of DNA mutations per one million bases (megabase). Original studies calculated TMB based on whole-exome sequencing and reported TMB as the number of mutations that exist within the exome. However, TMB testing has expanded to targeted gene sequencing panels that do not cover the entire exome. TMB may serve as a biomarker to identify patients likely to have a favorable response to immunotherapy, as high TMB levels correlate with objective response rates to immunotherapy in several different cancer types.

Microsatellites are short, repetitive segments of DNA that are highly prone to mutation. Microsatellite instability (MSI) in tumor DNA is defined as the presence of alternate sized repetitive DNA sequences that are not present in the corresponding germline DNA. Tumors with high microsatellite instability (MSI-H) are more immunogenic and may therefore respond to drugs that activate the immune system.

### II. Indications and/or Limitations of Coverage

Application of coverage criteria is dependent upon an individual's benefit coverage at the time of the request. Specifications pertaining to Medicare and Medicaid can be found in Section VII of this policy document.

- 1) Tumor mutational burden (TMB) testing (Note 1) for solid tumors (Note 3) done on tissue or liquid biopsy (Note 2) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** for individuals considered for immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) targeted therapy.
- 2) Microsatellite Instability (MSI) (tissue or liquid biopsy (Note 3)) testing for all solid tumors **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** for individuals being considered for ICI targeted therapy.

*The following does not meet coverage criteria due to a lack of available published scientific literature confirming that the test(s) is/are required and beneficial for the diagnosis and treatment of a patient's illness.*

- 3) TMB and/or MSI testing for all other tumors and in any other situations not listed above **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA.**

Note 1: NGS panels designed to provide a TMB score are allowed with no restrictions on the number of genes being tested, so long as the panel is designed for TMB assessment.

Note 2: TMB/MSI testing by liquid biopsy are considered acceptable WHEN tissue-based testing is infeasible (i.e., quantity not sufficient for tissue-based test or invasive biopsy is medically contraindicated).

Note 3: Table of all solid tumors that will be allowed for TMB or MSI testing.

Condition	TMB/MSI Targeted Therapy Indications
Bone cancers (metastatic chondrosarcoma, chordoma, Ewing sarcoma, and osteosarcoma, NOT Giant Cell Tumor of Bone)	Recommended to consider TMB or MSI testing to inform treatment  Pembrolizumab: Preferred regimen in MSI-H/dMMR and useful in certain situations in TMB-H tumors. Recommended for patients with unresectable or metastatic tumors who have progressed following prior treatment and who have no satisfactory alternative treatment options
Breast cancer	Pembrolizumab: Treatment of patients with either unresectable or metastatic, MSI-H, or TMB-H breast tumors that have progressed following prior treatment and with no satisfactory alternative treatment options  Dostarlimab-gxly: Indicated for adult patients with MSI-H/dMMR unresectable or metastatic tumors or for TMB-H tumors that have progressed following prior treatment and with no satisfactory alternative treatment options
Cervical cancers (squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adenosquamous carcinoma)	MSI testing is recommended for recurrent, progressive, or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adenosquamous carcinoma. TMB testing should be considered for Stage IVB or distant metastases  Pembrolizumab: Preferred second-line treatment option for MSI-H cervical tumors, may be useful in TMB-H tumors as a systemic, second-line cervical cancer therapy in recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adenosquamous carcinoma

Condition	TMB/MSI Targeted Therapy Indications
Colon cancer	<p>MSI/MMR testing advised in all newly diagnosed patients, as a workup in pedunculated or sessile colon polyps (adenoma), in colon cancer appropriate for resection (non-metastatic), in documented metachronous metastases by CT, MRI, and/or biopsy, and in suspected or proven metastatic synchronous adenocarcinoma (any T, any N, M1) if not already done. Stage II MSI-H patients may have a good prognosis and do not benefit from 5-FU adjuvant therapy. MMR/MSI testing should be done during initial workup to help with the diagnosis of Lusch syndrome and inform treatment decision-making if adjuvant therapy is later indicated</p> <p>Nivolumab ± ipilimumab or pembrolizumab (preferred): Recommended when followed by synchronous or staged colectomy and resection and/or local therapy of metastatic disease and in unresectable synchronous liver and/or lung metastases where MSI-H patients have received a previous adjuvant FOLFOX/CAPEOX within the past 12 months and in MSI-H patients that are and are not appropriate for intensive therapy</p> <p>Nivolumab ± ipilimumab, dostarlimab-gxly, or pembrolizumab (preferred): As a continuum of care for advanced or metastatic disease, in MSI-H patients that received previous oxaliplatin based therapy without irinotecan, MSI-H patients with previous irinotecan-based therapy without oxaliplatin, MSI-H patients that received previous treatment with both oxaliplatin and irinotecan, and MSI-H patients that received previous therapy without either irinotecan or oxaliplatin</p>
Esophageal and Esophagogastric junction (EGJ) cancers	<p>MSI/MMR recommended for locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic esophageal and EGJ cancers in patients who are candidates for treatment with PD-1 inhibitors</p> <p>Pembrolizumab: Treatment based on TMB-H, useful in certain circumstances as a second-line or subsequent therapy for unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic disease where local therapy is not indicated. Indicated for MSI-H tumors as a form of systemic therapy for non-nasopharyngeal cancers, including lip, oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx, glottic larynx, supraglottic larynx, ethmoid sinus, and maxillary sinus</p> <p>Pembrolizumab + nivolumab: Based on testing for MSI, MMR, PD-L1, or TMB-H</p> <p>Dostarlimab-gxly: Indicated for MSI-H/dMMR tumors for systemic therapy for metastatic or locally advanced cancer where local therapy is not indicated</p>
Gastric cancers	<p>Universal testing, no matter the specimen type, for MSI or MMR is recommended in all newly diagnosed patients and in unresectable locally advanced, locally recurrent, or metastatic disease if not previously performed</p> <p>Pembrolizumab: Recommended as a second-line or subsequent therapy in locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic disease where local therapy is not indicated in MSI-H or TMB-H tumors</p> <p>Dostarlimab-gxly: Recommended for MSI-H or dMMR tumors</p>

Condition	TMB/MSI Targeted Therapy Indications
Head and Neck Cancers	Pembrolizumab: Treatment based on MSI-H or TMB-H, useful in certain circumstances as a second-line or subsequent therapy for unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic disease where local therapy is not indicated
Hepatobiliary cancers (biliary tract tumors, gallbladder cancer, intrahepatic or extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma tumors)	<p>In gallbladder cancer, the NCCN recommends MSI testing in unresectable tumors as a postoperative workup and as primary treatment when there is an incidental finding on pathologic review, when there is a mass on imaging, and when a patient presents with jaundice or metastatic disease. MSI/MMR testing is recommended when an intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma or extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma tumor is unresectable or metastatic, with TMB also recommended in extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma</p> <p>Pembrolizumab: Subsequent-line (following disease progression and with no prior treatment with an ICI) treatment option for patients that have TMB-H or MSI-H/dMMR biliary tract tumors. Preferred regimen in unresectable and metastatic disease of MSI-H/dMMR tumors. Also recommended for patients with or without MSI-H tumors who have not been previously treated with a checkpoint inhibitor</p> <p>Dostalinab-gxly: useful in certain circumstances for MSI-H/dMMR hepatocellular carcinoma tumors</p>
Neuroendocrine/Adrenal cancers (Grade 3 neuroendocrine tumors, adrenocortical carcinoma, and for poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma/large or small cell)	<p>TMB and MSI testing should be considered for adrenocortical carcinoma and poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma/large or small cell and TMB testing should be considered for well-differentiated Grade 3 neuroendocrine tumors. TMB and MSI testing are an appropriate evaluation when the tumor type is poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma, large or small cell carcinoma (other than the lung), or unknown primary (poorly differentiated).</p> <p>Pembrolizumab: Recommended for TMB-H, Grade 3, well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors that are locally advanced/metastatic disease with favorable biology that have progressed following prior treatment and that have no satisfactory alternative options. Also recommended as a systemic therapy option in TMB-H or MSI-H tumors that are locally advanced, metastatic disease with unfavorable biology.</p>
Occult primary cancers (cancer of unknown primary [CUP])	<p>Suspected metastatic malignancy should undergo TMB testing during the workup of the tumor</p> <p>Pembrolizumab: recommended for occult primary tumors that are MSI-H or TMB-H</p>

Condition	TMB/MSI Targeted Therapy Indications
Ovarian cancers (epithelial ovarian, including less common ovarian cancers, low-grade serous carcinoma fallopian tube, primary peritoneal cancers)	<p>TMB testing as a clinically indicated tumor molecular analysis in addition to NGS for BRCA1/2 mutations and other somatic mutations. TMB and MSI recommended to identify potential benefit from targeted therapeutics for patients with recurrent disease and rising CA-125 (regardless of prior chemotherapy status) in epithelial ovarian cancer/fallopian tube cancer/primary peritoneal cancer and for low-grade serous carcinoma</p> <p>Pembrolizumab: Recommended as a recurrence therapy for epithelial ovarian (including less common ovarian cancers)/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal cancers when the tumors are MSI-H, dMMR solid, or TMB-H and have no satisfactory alternative treatment options and as a palliative recurrence therapy if there is MSI-H/dMMR or TMB-H for malignant germ cell/sex cord-stromal tumors</p>
Pancreatic cancer (adenocarcinoma)	<p>MSI testing is recommended in locally advanced disease when adenocarcinoma is confirmed, in metastatic disease when tumor tissue is available, and in tumors with recurrence after resection</p> <p>Pembrolizumab: Recommended as a first-line therapy in metastatic disease with good or poor performance status (PS) only for MSI-H or dMMR tumors and useful as a subsequent therapy in certain situations only for MSI-H/dMMR tumors for locally advanced/metastatic disease and as a therapy for recurrent disease with both good and poor PS</p>
Penile cancer	<p>Pembrolizumab: Recommended as a subsequent-line systemic therapy for metastatic/recurrent disease in MSI-H/dMMR tumors that have progressed following prior treatment and that have no satisfactory alternative treatment options</p>
Prostate cancers (castration-resistant prostate cancer[CRPC], adenocarcinoma)	<p>TMB testing should be considered when CRPC imaging studies are positive for metastases. Tumor testing recommended in MSI-H or dMMR among patients with metastatic CRPC and may be considered in patients with regional or castration-naïve metastatic prostate cancer. TMB may be considered in patients with metastatic CRPC</p> <p>Pembrolizumab: Recommended for treatment in MSI-H, dMMR, or TMB-H adenocarcinoma tumors</p>

Condition	TMB/MSI Targeted Therapy Indications
Rectal cancers	<p>MSI/MMR testing recommended as an initial workup in pedunculated polyps or sessile polyps (adenoma) with invasive cancer, rectal cancer appropriate for resection, and in suspected or proven metastatic synchronous adenocarcinoma (any T, any N, M1) if not previously done</p> <p>Nivolumab ± ipilimumab or pembrolizumab (preferred): Recommended as a neoadjuvant treatment for dMMR/MSI-H only resectable synchronous liver and/or lung only metastases when there is a clear circumferential resection margin (CRM) (by MRI) or involved CRM (by MRI), as a primary treatment in unresectable synchronous liver only and/or lung only metastases or medically inoperable tumors, and as a primary treatment in dMMR/MSI-H only unresectable metachronous metastases with previous adjuvant FOLFOX/CAPEOX within the past 12 months. Recommended as a continuum of care as a systemic therapy for advanced or metastatic disease in MSI-H patients either appropriate or not appropriate for intensive therapy</p> <p>Nivolumab ± ipilimumab, dostarlimab-gxly, or pembrolizumab (preferred): Recommended for MSI-H patients with previous oxaliplatin based therapy without irinotecan, MSI-H patients with previous irinotecan-based therapy without oxaliplatin, MSI-H patients with previous treatment with both oxaliplatin and irinotecan, MSI-H patients with previous treatment without irinotecan or oxaliplatin, and in MSI-patients as a subsequent therapy following FOLFOX or CAPEOX ± bevacizumab in patients that did not receive irinotecan or oxaliplatin</p>
Small bowel cancer (adenocarcinoma)	<p>MSI testing is recommended as a workup when the tumor is in the duodenum or the jejunum/ileum, as well as in metastatic and/or recurrent tumors such as metastatic adenocarcinoma and universal MMR or MSI testing is recommended in all patients newly diagnosed with small bowel adenocarcinoma</p> <p>Nivolumab ± ipilimumab or pembrolizumab: Recommended as an initial or a subsequent therapy in dMMR/MSI-H patients with advanced or metastatic disease who are both appropriate or not appropriate for intensive therapy</p> <p>Nivolumab ± ipilimumab, dostarlimab-gxly, or pembrolizumab: Recommended as an initial therapy for patients with prior oxaliplatin exposure in the adjuvant setting or contraindication</p>
Testicular cancers (nonseminoma, metastatic germ cell tumors)	<p>TMB and MSI testing are recommended as a third-line therapy in nonseminoma if there is progression after high-dose chemotherapy or other third-line therapies</p> <p>Pembrolizumab: May be useful in certain circumstances as a third-line chemotherapy regimen for metastatic germ cell tumors when the tumor has a TMB-H or MSI-H status, regardless of prior high-dose chemotherapy status</p>

Condition	TMB/MSI Targeted Therapy Indications
Thyroid cancer (anaplastic thyroid carcinoma)	TMB and MSI are recommended as diagnostic molecular testing for actionable mutations. In Stage IVC, as part of an aggressive therapy approach, MSI and TMB testing are recommended as molecular testing. MSI/dMMR should also be performed for actionable mutations in metastatic disease if these tests have not already been performed
Thyroid cancers (papillary carcinoma, follicular carcinoma, and Hürthle cell carcinoma)	TMB or MSI are recommended for advanced, progressive, or threatening disease when tumor is locally recurrent, advanced, and/or metastatic disease that is not amenable to RAI therapy  Pembrolizumab: Patients with TMB-H status and both bone metastases and CNS metastases
Thyroid cancer (medullary carcinoma)	Pembrolizumab: Patients with TMB-H tumors may benefit from treatment when a locoregional tumor is unresectable and asymptomatic, symptomatic, or progressive
Uterine cancers (endometrial carcinoma, uterine sarcoma)	TMB and MSI testing should be included for predicting rare pan-tumor targeted therapy opportunities in uterine sarcoma  Pembrolizumab: In TMB-H or MSI-H/dMMR tumors, this is the preferred regimen as a biomarker-directed systemic therapy for endometrial carcinoma and is considered useful in certain circumstances for uterine sarcoma
Vulvar cancer (squamous cell carcinoma)	MSI and TMB testing may be considered for treatment planning purposes in patients with recurrent, progressive, or metastatic disease  Pembrolizumab: TMB to be used as a biomarker for second-line treatment with this drug

MSI-H (MSI-high; MSI-positive); NCCN defines TMB-H as a TMB value of  $\geq 10$  mutations/megabase

### III. Table of Terminology

Term	Definition
5-FU	5-fluorouracil
ALK	Anaplastic lymphoma kinase
ANTI-PD1	Anti-programmed cell death 1
BRAF	B-Raf Proto-Oncogene, Serine/Threonine Kinase
BRCA1	Breast cancer 1
BRCA2	Breast cancer 2
bTMB	Blood tumor mutational burden
CA-125	Cancer antigen 125
CAPEOX	Capecitabine and oxaliplatin
Cf	Cell-free
cfDNA	Circulating cell-free deoxyribonucleic acid
CGP	Comprehensive genomic profiling
CLIA '88	Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988



CMS	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid
CNA	Copy number alteration
CNS	Central nervous system
CNV	Copy number variation
CPS	Combined positive score
CRM	Circumferential resection margin
CRPC	Castration-resistant prostate cancer
CT	Computerized tomography
ctDNA	Circulating tumor deoxyribonucleic acid
CTLA-4	Cytotoxic t-lymphocyte-associated protein 4
CUP	Cancer of unknown primary
DCB	Durable clinical benefit
dMMR	Deoxyribonucleic acid mismatch repair
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DRUP	Drug rediscovery protocol
<i>EGFR</i>	<i>Epidermal growth factor receptor</i>
EGJ	Esophagogastric junction
HER	Electronic health records
ESMO	European Society for Medical Oncology
F1CDx	FoundationOne CDx™
<i>FBXW7</i>	<i>F-box and WD40 repeat domain containing-7</i>
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FFPE	Formalin-fixed paraffin embedded
FOLFOX	Leucovorin calcium, fluorouracil, oxaliplatin
HLA	Human leukocyte antigen
ICI	Immune checkpoint inhibitor
IHC	Immunohistochemistry
INDELS	Insertion and deletions
iRECIST	RECIST 1.1 for immune-based therapeutics
LCD	Local coverage determination
LDT	Laboratory-developed test
LOH	Loss of heterozygosity
mCRC	Metastatic colorectal cancer
MLH1	MutL homolog 1
MMR	Mismatch repair
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
MSH2	MutS homolog 2
MSH6	MutS homolog 6
MSI	Microsatellite instability
MSI-H	High microsatellite instability
MSI-L	Low microsatellite instability
MSK	Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center
MSS	Microsatellite stable
NCCN	National Comprehensive Cancer Network
NCD	National coverage determination
NCI	National Cancer Institute



NDB	No durable benefit
NET	Neuroendocrine tumor
NGS	Next generation sequencing
NSCLC	Non-small-cell lung carcinoma
NTRK	Neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase
ORR	Objective response rate
OS	Overall survival
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PD-1	Programmed cell death protein
PD-L1	Programmed cell death protein 1
PFS	Progression-free survival
PMS2	Mismatch repair endonuclease PMS2
POLD1	Deoxyribonucleic acid polymerase delta catalytic subunit
POLE1	Deoxyribonucleic acid polymerase epsilon catalytic subunit isoform b
PS	Performance status
R <sup>2</sup>	Correlation
RAI	Radioactive iodine
RAS	<i>Retrovirus-associated deoxyribonucleic acid sequences</i>
RECIST	Response evaluation criteria in solid tumors
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
ROS1	<i>Receptor tyrosine kinase</i>
SBA	Small bowel adenocarcinoma
SCL	Small-cell lung cancer
SMAD4	<i>SMAD family member 4</i>
SNV	Single nucleotide variant
TF	Tumor fraction
TMB	Tumor mutational burden
TMB-H	Tumor mutational burden - high
TML	Tumor mutational load
TRAE	Treatment-related adverse events
TSO500	Trusight oncology 500
tTMB	Tumor tissue
WES	Whole-exome sequencing
WTS	Whole transcriptome sequencing

#### IV. Scientific Background

Tumor mutational burden (TMB) is an emerging biomarker associated with predicting the response to immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs). ICIs are therapies that have made significant progress in helping to treat certain advanced cancers. ICIs work by releasing the brakes on the immune system's antitumor response. ICI therapy has proven most effective on tumor types with a high TMB, whereby a high TMB value indicates better treatment outcomes.

Clinical biomarkers are widely used for making personalized and actionable decisions for cancer treatment. Many mutations result in production of numerous altered peptides—the more mutations present, the larger the number of altered peptides is produced. A subset of these peptides is expressed and processed by the major histocompatibility complex, resulting in neoantigens. These neoantigens

can be recognized by the immune system to generate an antitumor response. The recognition of these neoantigens is thought to be largely stochastic and a higher number of DNA mutations means a higher number of candidate peptides being produced, resulting in a greater likelihood of these neoantigens being recognized by the immune system.

This phenomenon is observed in patients with melanoma, non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), small cell lung cancer, bladder cancer, colorectal cancer, microsatellite instability cancers, and pan-tumors who are on inhibitors of programmed cell death protein (PD-1) and the ligand for programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-L1). High TMB has also been associated with improved outcomes in patients on a combination of PD-1/PD-L1 and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4) inhibitors.

Originally, TMB was measured with whole-exome sequencing (WES). In theory, WES is the best measure of TMB, as it covers every coding sequence within the tumor, ensuring that every mutation contributes to the TMB score. Recently, studies have shown that TMB measured using targeted panels which analyze mutations across specific genes (rather than the whole exome) correlates well with TMB measured by WES. WES method has limited clinical utility as it is considered costly, has a high turnaround time (a roughly six to eight week sequencing period), and is difficult for many clinical laboratories to use for routine patient care. Hence, targeted next generation sequencing (NGS)-based panels that focus analysis on a subset of genes implicated in cancer biology offer several advantages for TMB estimation.

There are FDA-approved products for calculating TMB, including the FoundationOne CDx™ (F1CDx) assay and the FoundationOne Liquid CDx assay (Foundation Medicine Inc.), as well as an additional FDA 510(k) authorized assay, MSK-IMPACT (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center). These tests, referred to collectively as comprehensive genomic profiling (CGP), can identify all types of "molecular alterations (i.e., single nucleotide variants, small and large insertion-deletion alterations, copy number alterations, and structural variants) in cancer-related genes, as well as genomic signatures such as microsatellite instability (MSI), loss of heterozygosity [LOH], and TMB". Studies show that TMB calculated from CGP has high concordance with TMB measured from WES. On June 16, 2020, the FDA approved pembrolizumab for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with a TMB value of greater than 10 mutations per megabase as determined by the FoundationOne CDx™ assay.

Microsatellites are short, repetitive segments of DNA that are highly prone to mutation. The presence of alternate sized repetitive DNA sequences that are not present in the corresponding germline DNA is defined as microsatellite instability (MSI). When a tumor has a high degree of microsatellite instability (MSI-H), it is more immunogenic and thus may respond to drugs that activate the immune system, such as ICIs..

The National Cancer Institute (NCI) recommends two mononucleotide repeats (BAT-25 and BAT-26) and three dinucleotide repeats (D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250) as the standard sites/markers in panels for MSI testing. Per the NCI guidelines, when a tumor shows instability in two or more of the five markers, it is defined as MSI-H. However, this definition may vary by source and markers used. When only a single marker shows instability, tumors may be classified as low-frequency MSI (MSI-L) and tumors lacking any instability are often classified as microsatellite stable (MSS).

Microsatellite instability has been identified in many cancer types, with the highest prevalence in uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, colon adenocarcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, and rectal adenocarcinoma. In studies by Bonneville et al. (2017) and Zhao et al. (2019), MSI was undetectable (or at the borderline of detection) in 12 out of 39 cancer types.

## ***Proprietary Testing***

### ***Caris MI Tumor Seek***

Caris Life Sciences has developed MI Tumor Seek™, an NGS-based tumor profiling service that combines WES analysis of DNA for mutations, copy number alterations, insertions/deletions, and genomic signatures (MSI, TMB, and LOH) with Whole Transcriptome Sequencing (WTS) analysis for RNA fusions and variant transcripts. WES covers about 22,000 genes with 200-500x depth of coverage, while WTS covers about 22,000 genes with 60 million reads/sample. DNA and RNA are isolated from formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue “with a minimum of 20% malignant origin for DNA and 10% malignant origin for RNA. Needle biopsy is also acceptable (4-6 cores)”.

### ***FoundationOne CDx™***

FoundationOne CDx™ is a single-site assay that is “a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels) and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens.” It is intended for use by health care professionals as a companion diagnostic to identify patients with solid malignant neoplasms who may benefit from treatment with targeted ICIs.

### ***FoundationOne Liquid CDx***

The FoundationOne Liquid CDx assay uses circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) that is isolated from plasma from patients with solid malignant neoplasms. The assay uses a “single DNA extraction method to obtain cfDNA from plasma from whole blood. Extracted cfDNA undergoes whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of 324 cancer-related genes. All coding exons of 309 genes are targeted; select intronic or non-coding regions are targeted in BRCA1 and BRCA2 ... Hybrid-capture selected libraries are sequenced with deep coverage using the NovaSeq® 6000 platform. Sequence data are processed using a custom analysis pipeline designed to detect genomic alterations, including base substitutions and indels in 311 genes, and copy number variants and genomic rearrangements in BRCA1 and BRCA2. A subset of targeted regions in 75 genes is baited for increased sensitivity”.

### ***Guardant360 TissueNext***

Guardant Health offers Guardant360® CDx, a liquid biopsy that provides comprehensive genomic results from a simple blood draw. This test “uses targeted high throughput hybridization-based capture technology for detection of single nucleotide variants (SNVs), insertions and deletions (indels) in 55 genes, copy number amplifications (CNAs) in two (2) genes, and fusions in four (4) genes. Guardant360® CDx utilizes circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) from plasma of peripheral whole blood.” It is an FDA approved liquid biopsy that can be used for tumor mutation profiling across all solid cancers and as a companion diagnostic to identify NSCLC patients who may benefit from Tagrisso® (osimertinib), RYBREVANT™ (amivantamab-vmjw), or LUMAKRAS™ (sotorasib). To compliment Guardant360 CDx, Guardant Health also offers Guardant360 TissueNext. Guardant360 TissueNext assesses TMB either from an FFPE tumor specimen or from a blood sample initially processed with Guardant360 CDx. If an actionable biomarker is found in a Guardant360 CDx sample, it is then processed with the Guardant360 TissueNext assay.

### ***MSK-IMPACT***

The MSK-IMPACT assay is a single-site assay performed at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSK) and is designed to compare two samples from a single patient—a normal specimen and a specimen from a solid malignant neoplasm—allowing the assay to detect tumor gene alterations in a broad multi gene panel (FDA, 2017a). MSK-IMPACT analyzes 505 genes chosen for their critical role in the development and behavior of tumors. To “take full advantage of MSK-IMPACT, MSK doctors and researchers developed a knowledge base called OncoKB. This system includes information about the clinical and biological effects of more than 4,000 genomic changes. That information is based on public databases, scientific literature, and clinical guidelines”. The assay is intended to provide information on both somatic mutations and microsatellite instability and is not conclusive or prescriptive for labeled use of any specific therapeutic product.

#### *QIAseq TMB*

Qiagen offers QIAseq Tumor Mutational Burden Panels. These new panels collectively create a comprehensive profile of TMB and MSI status by “achieving high analytical sensitivity, with lower false and negative rates, while still maintaining >95% correlation with whole exome datasets.” The QIAseq TMB Panel covers 486 genes and can be boosted to add 27 MSI markers, with sample preparation coming from FFPE of solid tumors, patient’s plasma/serum, fresh or frozen tissue, or from cell lines in a research setting. This assay has been shown to correlate well with WES and established TMB panels. It uses enzymatic fragmentation for easy workflow, employs robust analysis modules, incorporates unique molecular indices to correct for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and sequencing errors, and provides unprecedented insight.

#### *TruSight Oncology 500*

Illumina offers the TruSight Oncology 500 (formerly Illumina TSO500), a NGS assay that enables in-house CGP of tumor samples, supports the identification of relevant DNA and RNA variants implicated in various solid tumor types, and accurately measure current immune-oncology biomarkers: MSI and TMB. This assay assesses both DNA (523 genes) and RNA (55 genes) for assessment of all variant types in addition to MSI and TMB. The TruSight Oncology 500 assay is intended for multiple solid tumor types and detects CNVs, gene fusions, insertions-deletions, single nucleotide variants, and transcript variants. It provides immuno-oncology biomarker coverage, including biomarkers of TMB and MSI, and is inclusive of human leukocyte antigen (HLA) regions, DNA polymerase epsilon catalytic subunit isoform b (POLE1), and DNA polymerase delta catalytic subunit (POLD1).

#### ***Analytical Validity***

Wu et al. (2019) noted that targeted NGS panels often use correlation ( $R^2$ ) between panel- and WES-based TMB to validate TMB estimation, which can be distorted by cases where a tumor within a certain cancer type has a relatively ultra-high TMB. Thus, the authors proposed using accuracy (the proportion of cases correctly identified as TMB-high or TMB-low using panel based TMB) as a more robust indicator of panel performance, suggesting that accuracy and cancer type individualization are key factors to consider when designing panels for TMB estimation. The authors examined the TMB estimation from five available NGS panels for TMB determination (F1CDx, MSK-IMPACT, Illumina TSO500 [now TruSight Oncology 500], OncoPrint TML, QIAseq TMB) to compare correlation to accuracy. F1CDx seemed to accurately assess TMB ( $R^2 \geq 0.75$ ) in at least 24 out of 33 cancer types. However, when the cutoff point for high TMB was defined as the top 20% in each cancer type, the accuracy within these 24 cancer types ranged largely (56-99%), with only seven cancer types having satisfactory accuracy. These results indicate that F1CDx-based TMB estimation is only reliable in certain cancer types (cervical squamous-cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma, colon adenocarcinoma, head and neck squamous cell

carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, skin cutaneous melanoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma). In the other 17 cancer types, correlation overestimated TMB status misclassifying a considerable number of TMB-low patients as TMB-high. This overestimation by correlation occurs because correlation is vulnerable to distortion by the common presence of cases with relatively ultra-high TMB within each cancer type. When removing cases with these relatively ultra-high TMB (top 5% within a particular cancer type), a dramatic decline in correlation between F1CDx- and WES-based TMB was observed in half of the 24 cancer types. In contrast, removing the top 5% of cases in each cancer type did not, in general, affect the accuracy within these 24 cancer types. Accuracy was also superior to correlation in the other four NGS panels examined. The authors conclude that the five available NGS panels can assess TMB accurately only in the seven cancer types aforementioned and note that for relatively ultra-high TMB, correlation is an unreliable evaluation of panel based TMB estimation performance in most cancer types. Instead, they find that accuracy is a superior index in this situation. They conclude that individualized panels by cancer type might be a better strategy to guarantee the most robust TMB estimation and provide better power in detecting the predictive function of TMB in these cancer types.

J. Willis et al. (2019) aimed to evaluate the validity of cell-free (cf) DNA to detect microsatellite instability (MSI). The authors validated the Guardant360 MSI detection with 1145 cf-DNA samples and further investigated the landscape of cf-DNA based MSI across 28459 plasma samples. Circulating tumor (ct, used as a proxy for cf-DNA) MSI assessment was compared to current standard of care tissue testing (a combination of immunohistochemistry, PCR, and NGS). The study included 949 patients that were considered unique and evaluable. The ctDNA method detected MSI-high values (82% accuracy) in 71 of 82 patients. A total of 863 of 867 patients reported as MSI-stable (99.5% accuracy) for a total of 98.4% accuracy amongst all MSI-reported groups. The positive predictive value was found to be 95%. Finally, the authors examined the clinical outcomes of 16 patients with MSI-H gastric cancer that were treated with immunotherapy. The authors found that 10 of 16 patients achieved “complete or partial remission with sustained clinical benefit” after being treated with immunotherapy.

Georgiadis et al. (2019) aimed to validate a noninvasive approach for detection of MSI and tumor mutational burden (TMB). The authors used “a hybrid-capture–based 98-kb pan-cancer gene panel, including targeted microsatellite regions” as well as a “novel peak-finding algorithm...established to identify rare MSI frameshift alleles in cell-free DNA.” The authors evaluated 163 healthy patients with this assay, identifying a specificity of 99.4% (one false positive, which was considered a lower bound by the authors). The authors also evaluated 23 MSI-H cases (from patients with metastatic cancers) and six MSI-stable cases with the algorithm. Using cf-DNA, the algorithm detected MSI in 18 of the 23 MSI-H samples (78.3%) and correctly identified all six of the MSI-stable cases. Further, this approach was also applied to TMB (using a cutoff of 51 mutations/Mbp), of which the algorithm correctly detected 10 of the 15 samples identified as TMB-High (67%).

Woodhouse et al. (2020) evaluated the analytical performance of FoundationOne Liquid CDx assay to detect genomic alterations in cancer patients. The assay was evaluated across more than 30 different cancer types in over 300 genes and greater than 30,000 gene variants. “Results demonstrated a 95% limit of detection of 0.40% variant allele fraction for select substitutions and insertions/deletions, 0.37% variant allele fraction for select rearrangements, 21.7% tumor fraction (TF) for copy number amplifications, and 30.4% TF for copy number losses. The false positive variant rate was 0.013% (approximately 1 in 8,000). Reproducibility of variant calling was 99.59%” (Woodhouse et al., 2020). In comparison to in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry, FoundationOne had an overall 96.3% positive percent agreement and >99.9% negative percent agreement. “These study results demonstrate



that FoundationOne Liquid CDx accurately and reproducibly detects the major types of genomic alterations in addition to complex biomarkers such as microsatellite instability, blood tumor mutational burden, and tumor fraction".

Using data from the phase III MYSTIC trial, Si et al. (2021) validated the use of blood TMB (bTMB) using circulating cell-free tumor DNA (ctDNA) through comparisons with TMB measurements from tumor tissue (tTMB). Patients with metastatic NSCLC were treated either with first-line durvalumab (anti-PD-L1 antibody) ± tremelimumab (anticytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen-4 antibody) or chemotherapy, then bTMB and tTMB were evaluated using the GuardantOMNI and the FoundationOne CDx assay, respectively. To identify the optimal bTMB cutoff, the authors used a Cox proportional hazards model and minimal p value cross-validation. In the majority of patients in the MYSTIC study, somatic mutations were detected in ctDNA extracted from plasma samples, which allowed for the subsequent calculation of bTMB. The authors found that the success rate for obtaining valid TMB scores was higher for bTMB (81%) than for tTMB (63%) and that with minimal p value cross-validation analysis, they suggest a bTMB  $\geq 20$  mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) as the optimal cutoff for clinical benefit with durvalumab + tremelimumab. Overall, their study demonstrated that use of bTMB from plasma samples was feasible and provided accurate and reproducible TMB detection using the GuardantOMNI ctDNA platform. They also state that using "the new bTMB algorithm and an optimal bTMB cutoff of  $\geq 20$  mut/Mb, high bTMB was predictive of clinical benefit with durvalumab + tremelimumab versus chemotherapy."

### ***Clinical Validity and Utility***

Overman et al. (2017) examined MSI-H/dMMR colorectal cancer patient response to nivolumab, a PD-1 ICI. In this phase two trial, the authors assessed adult patients with histologically confirmed recurrent or mCRC locally assessed as dMMR/MSI-H who had progressed either while still on or after, or who had been intolerant of, at least one prior line of treatment, including fluoropyrimidine and oxaliplatin or irinotecan. The primary endpoint of this study was investigator-assessed ORR. This study ran from March 12, 2014 to March 16, 2016 and included 74 patients, most of whom (54.1%), had received more than three prior therapies. Twelve months following initiation of nivolumab treatment, 31.1% (23) of the patients had an investigator-assessed ORR, which 68.9% of the patients had disease control for more than 12 weeks. The median duration of response was not reached, as all responders were alive and eight of them had responses greater than 12 months. Twenty-three of the patients died during the study, though none of the deaths were considered treatment related. The authors concluded that nivolumab provided durable responses and disease control in dMMR/MSI-H patients that had previously received other forms of treatment for their colorectal cancer and they also note that nivolumab is a relevant treatment option for these patients.

Hellmann, Ciuleanu, et al. (2018) conducted an open-label, multipart, phase 3 trial to examine progression-free survival in non-small-cell lung cancer patients with a high TMB (TMB-H) that were being treated with nivolumab plus ipilimumab or with chemotherapy. TMB was determined by the FoundationOne CDx™ assay. Here, they found that progression-free survival in TMB-H patients was significantly longer with nivolumab plus ipilimumab (42.6%) compared with chemotherapy (13.2%). This discovery was found to be accurate irrespective of PD-L1 expression level and validated that a treatment of nivolumab and ipilimumab for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) elicited a favorable outcome for select patients, as well as determining that tumor mutational burden was an important biomarker for patient selection when it comes to drug therapy.

To examine the association between TMB and clinical response to ICIs in select cancer types, Samstein et al. (2019) analyzed the clinical and genomic data of 1,662 advanced cancer patients treated with ICI compared to 5,371 patients not treated with ICI. Tumors from these patients underwent targeted NGS with MSK-IMPACT. The authors found that among all patients, higher somatic TMB (highest 20% in each cancer type, consistent with many MSI-high colorectal tumors receiving ICI treatment) was associated with better overall survival. However, the TMB cutoffs associated with improved survival varied markedly between the different cancer types studied, suggesting that while TMB is associated with improved survival in patients receiving ICI across a variety of cancer types, there may not be a single, universal definition of high TMB.

Ready et al. (2019) conducted an open-label phase II trial to evaluate the efficacy and safety of nivolumab plus low-dose ipilimumab as first-line treatment of advanced/metastatic NSCLC and assessed the treatment efficacy associated with PD-L1 expression and TMB. For this trial, “the primary end point was objective response rate (ORR) in patients with 1% or more and less than 1% tumor PD-L1 expression. Efficacy on the basis of TMB (FoundationOne CDx™ assay) was a secondary end point.” They found that regardless of PD-L1 expression, ORRs were higher in TMB-H patients versus patients with tumors below the threshold for TMB-H status and progression-free survival was longer in patients with TMB-H. They concluded that “nivolumab plus low-dose ipilimumab was effective and tolerable as a first-line treatment of advanced/metastatic NSCLC” and a high TMB status was associated with both improved response and prolonged progression-free survival irrespective of PD-L1 status. This identifies TMB-H as a potentially relevant cutoff in the assessment of TMB as a biomarker for first line nivolumab plus ipilimumab.

A comprehensive study by Singal et al. (2019) examined the electronic health records (EHR) of 4064 individuals with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) from 275 different oncology practices to explore the associations between tumor genomics and patient characteristics with clinical outcome. The authors note that 21.4% of these individuals had a mutation in *EGFR*, *ALK*, or *ROS1* and that patients with driver mutations who received targeted therapies had significantly improved overall survival times than individuals who did not receive targeted therapies (median of 18.6 versus 11.4 months, respectively). Moreover, a TMB of 20 or higher was associated with improved overall survival for patients on PD-L1-targeted therapy compared to patients with a TMB less than 20. TMBs measure the quality of a mutation in a tumor, suggesting whether a patient will benefit from immunology-based cancer therapies or not. The authors concluded that similar associations from previous research were replicated “between clinical and genomic characteristics, between driver mutations and response to targeted therapy, and between TMB and response to immunotherapy.”

Yarchoan et al. (2019) examined the relationship between the expression of PD-L1, a widely used biomarker for selecting patients for ICI, and TMB across the entire spectrum of ICI-responsive human cancers. They sought to use these predictive biomarkers to provide a broad definition to the immunologic subtypes of cancers and identify opportunities for the development of therapeutics. Their clinical cohort contained 9,887 unique clinical samples with paired CGP and PD-L1 expression obtained during standard clinical care. They found that 15.2% of samples had a positive expression of PD-L1 and that the highest occurrence of PD-L1 positivity occurred in thymic cancer and diffuse large B cell lymphoma, while there were no adenoid cystic or appendiceal samples with positive PD-L1. A total 3.6% of sample specimens were identified as having a high PD-L1 positivity (defined as greater than 50% of tumor cells staining positive). In addition, the median tumor mutational burden for all specimens was 3.48 mutations per megabase. One group of sampled tumors (16.4% of the cancers) had a TMB of greater than 10 mutations per megabase and 7.3% of tumors analyzed had a TMB of more than 20



mutations per megabase, indicating that the overall TMB profiles were comparable to prior cancer studies of a similar nature (including whole-exome studies) that estimated TMB biomarkers in solid tumors. This study found a relative independence of PD-L1 expression and TMB, and that the biomarkers were important indicators of patient response to ICI therapy. The study also defined which biomarkers may help identify future therapies through their ability to segment and profile different tumor types. In conclusion, they found that PD-L1 and TMB status may help determine whether the use of ICI therapy is indicated as well as pinpoint tumor types that would demonstrate a beneficial response to immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy.

Alborelli et al. (2020) investigated the predictive power of TMB for patients treated with ICIs. Seventy-six NSCLC patients treated with ICIs were included and TMB was evaluated with the Oncomine™ Tumor Mutational Load (TML) sequencing assay. Patients were separated into cohorts of “durable clinical benefit” (DCB) or “no durable benefit” (NDB). TMB was found to be higher in the DCB cohort (median TMB of 8.5 mutations / Mb compared to six mutations / Mb in NDB). 64% of patients in the highest one third of TMB were responders, compared to 33% and 29% in the middle and lowest thirds, respectively. TMB-H patients were also found to have higher progression free survival and overall survival. Overall, the authors concluded that the TML panel was an effective tool to stratify patients for ICI treatment and suggested that “a combination of biomarkers might maximize the predictive precision for patient stratification.” Further, the authors remarked that their data “supports TMB evaluation through targeted NGS in NSCLC patient samples as a tool to predict response to ICI therapy.”

Marabelle, Fakih, et al. (2020) prospectively explored the association of a high tumor mutational burden with outcomes in ten tumor-type-specific cohorts from the phase two KEYNOTE-158 study. The study assessed use of the anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody pembrolizumab in patients with select, previously treated, advanced solid tumors. Patients for this study were from 81 academic facilities across 21 countries in Africa, the Americas, Asia, and Europe, were over 18 years of age, and had an established tumor of anal, biliary, cervical, endometrial, mesothelioma, neuroendocrine, salivary, small-cell lung, thyroid, or vulvar origin. In addition, patients showed continued tumor progression or an inability to tolerate standard therapy and continued measurable disease (based on the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors). The treatment course for this study was pembrolizumab 200 mg intravenously every three weeks for up to thirty-five cycles, with tissue TMB assessed in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor samples using the FoundationOne CDx assay. The authors report that 13% of the participants showed a high number of tumor mutations ( $\geq 10$  mutations per megabase) while 87% of the participants had a TMB status lower than this threshold. From this study, they found that a high TMB status characterized a small group of patients who might have a beneficial response to pembrolizumab therapy; those with previously treated recurrent or advanced solid tumors. As such, tissue TMB may be both a “novel and useful predictive biomarker” for therapy indications.

Data from the KEYNOTE-158 study was also analyzed by to examine the efficacy of pembrolizumab as an ICI treatment for MSI-H/dMMR cancer. The authors examined the efficacy of pembrolizumab in patients with previously treated, advanced noncolorectal MSI-H/dMMR cancer from the phase II KEYNOTE-158 study. In the 233 enrolled patients, 27 tumor types were represented (endometrial, gastric, cholangiocarcinoma, and pancreatic cancers were the most common). ORR was 34.3%, median PFS was 4.1 months, and median OS was 23.5 months. Adverse, treatment-related events occurred in 64.8% of patients (151) and 14.6% (34) patients had grade three to five treatment-related fatal adverse events. They report that pembrolizumab is clinically beneficial in patients with previously treated, unresectable or metastatic MSI-H/dMMR noncolorectal cancer and that toxicity of pembrolizumab monotherapy in these patients was consistent with previous data.

A study by Stahler et al. (2020) explored the ORR, PFS, and OS in the FIRE-3 clinical trial on metastatic colorectal cancer patients who were identified by the FoundationOne CDx panel to have single-nucleotide variants (SNVs), copy number alterations, TMB-H, or MSI-H tumors. Of the 752 patients in the FIRE-3 trial, 373 provided material for this specific analysis. They found that MSI-H (30%) and TMB-H (17.3%) tumors were enriched by *FBXW7* mutations a frequent SNV found in the analysis. The authors found that “*RAS*, *BRAF V600E* and *SMAD4* mutations were identified as poor prognostic biomarkers in patients of the FIRE-3 trial, whereas improved outcome was observed for *BRAF* non-V600E mutation. *SMAD4* mutation might provide predictive relevance for cetuximab efficacy. MSI-H tumours showed numerically lower ORR, OS and PFS.”

While ICIs are highly effective in patients with MSI/dMMR metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC), Cohen et al. (2020) predicted that the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.1 criteria was underestimating the response to ICIs due to the pseudoprogression phenomenon. Using data from the GERCOR NIPICOL phase II study, the authors aimed to evaluate the frequency of pseudoprogessions in patients with MSI/dMMR mCRC that were treated with nivolumab and ipilimumab. Nivolumab was given to MSI/dMMR mCRC patients previously treated with fluoropyrimidines, oxaliplatin, and irinotecan with/without targeted therapies. The primary endpoint of the study was disease control rate at 12 weeks according to RECIST 1.1 and iRECIST by central review. Fifty-seven patients were included in the study, with 48% having received  $\geq 3$  prior lines of chemotherapy, 18% with *BRAF* mutations, and 56% with Lynch syndrome-related cancer. Of these 57 patients, seven discontinued due to adverse events and one died due to a treatment-related adverse events (TRAE). The authors found that at 12 weeks, the disease control rate was 86% with RECIST 1.1 and 87.7% with iRECIST and two pseudoprogessions were observed (one at week 6, one at week 36). These two pseudoprogessions represented 18% of patients with disease progression per RECIST 1.1 criteria. The 12-month PFS rate was 72.9% with RECIST 1.1 and 76.5% with iRECIST and the 12-month OS rate was 84%. The ORR was 59.7% with both criteria. The authors concluded that pseudoprogression is rare in patients with MSI/dMMR mCRC who are treated with nivolumab and ipilimumab and that this combined ICI therapy confirms impressive disease control rate and survival outcomes in these MSI/dMMR patients.

Andre et al. (2020) examined the efficacy of first-line pembrolizumab therapy as compared to first-line chemotherapy therapy for dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colorectal cancer. In this phase 3, open-label clinical trial, 307 patients with metastatic MSI/dMMR colorectal cancer who had not received prior cancer treatment were randomly assigned to pembrolizumab or chemotherapy as a primary treatment and PFS and OS were assessed. Patients that received chemotherapy were able to cross over to pembrolizumab therapy if their disease progressed. The authors found that pembrolizumab treatment in MSI-H/dMMR colorectal cancer patients was superior to chemotherapy with respect to PFS. At the time of cutoff, 56 members of the pembrolizumab group and 69 chemotherapy group patients had died. At the time of the report, OS data were still evolving (they report that 66% of required events had occurred). An overall response (complete or partial) was observed in 43.8% of pembrolizumab patients as compared to only 33.1% of chemotherapy patients. Among those patients with an overall response, 83% of the pembrolizumab group, as compared to just 35% of the chemotherapy group, had an ongoing response at 24 months. TRAEs occurred in just 22% of pembrolizumab patients as compared to 66% of chemotherapy patients (including one death). The authors conclude that pembrolizumab is superior to chemotherapy as a first-line therapy in MSI-H/dMMR metastatic colorectal cancer, with fewer TRAE.

Wei et al. (2022) evaluated Illumina’s TruSight Oncology 500 (TSO500) Assay for its ability to assess tumor mutational burden and the clinical utility of predicting response to pembrolizumab. Using samples

collected from approximately 300 patients with “at least twelve different types of advanced solid tumors enrolled in eight clinical trials of pembrolizumab monotherapy,” and using FoundationOneCDx and whole exome sequencing (WES) as reference methods for TMB, the researchers found that the TSO500 was able to properly classify the status of high tumor mutational burden, a reproducible finding with subsequent use of the assay. The researchers also found that “similar to the validated and FDA-approved TMB cut-point of 10 mut/Mb assessed by FoundationOneCDx, the TSO500 TMB cut-point of 10 mut/Mb is predictive of response to pembrolizumab monotherapy.” Ensuring the comparability of this assay to current technologies in the necessary settings may increase access to immunotherapy for patients with solid tumors and TMB-H.

## V. Guidelines and Recommendations

### National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)

The NCCN includes TMB testing in several of their guidelines for different types of cancers. Across these guidelines, TMB-high (TMB-H) is defined as tumors with a TMB value of  $\geq 10$  mutations/megabase.

In the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), the NCCN recently deleted TMB as an emerging immune biomarker based on clinical trial data and other issues, though was previously considered potentially useful for selecting patients to be treated with nivolumab with or without ipilimumab. However, recent data showed that overall survival was improved with nivolumab/ipilimumab regardless of TMB status. They conclude that PD-L1 expression level is a more useful immune biomarker than TMB for deciding how to use immunotherapy in NSCLC patients. The NCCN Guidelines “do not recommend measurement of TMB levels before deciding whether to use nivolumab plus ipilimumab regimens or to use other ICIs, such as pembrolizumab.”

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for cervical cancer advise that for Stage IVB or distant metastases, that TMB testing be considered as determined by a validated and/or FDA-approved assay. For patients with recurrent, progressive, or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adenosquamous carcinoma, MSI testing is recommended and TMB testing can be considered through a validated and/or FDA-approved assay. For systemic cervical cancer therapy, the NCCN reports that pembrolizumab for TMB-H tumors may be useful in certain circumstances as a second-line therapy in recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adenosquamous carcinoma and for MSI-H cervical tumors, pembrolizumab is a preferred regimen as a category 2A (based upon lower-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate) second-line treatment option.

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for breast cancer note that pembrolizumab is indicated for the treatment of patients with either unresectable or metastatic, MSI-high or mismatch repair deficient solid tumors, or TMB-H breast tumors that have progressed following prior treatment and have no satisfactory alternative treatment options. TMB-H status is detected via NGS and MSI is detected via immunohistochemistry or PCR. The NCCN finds using TMB and MSI to guide pembrolizumab treatment is associated with category 2A evidence (based upon lower-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate). Dostarlimab-gxly is indicated for adult patients with MSI-H/dMMR unresectable or metastatic tumors and (category 2A) solid tumors or for TMB-H tumors that have progressed following prior treatment and with no satisfactory alternative treatment options.

In the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for uterine neoplasms, the NCCN lists the use of pembrolizumab for TMB-H or MSI-H/deficient DNA mismatch repair (dMMR) tumors as “useful in certain circumstances”

as a biomarker-directed systemic therapy for uterine sarcoma and as “preferred regimens” for endometrial carcinoma. For uterine sarcoma, as a molecular analysis for sarcoma, the NCCN recommended that “comprehensive genomic profiling with a validated and/or FDA-approved assay is informative for predicting rare pan-tumor targeted therapy opportunities and should include at least *NTRK*, MSI, and TMB.”

The NCCN guidelines for colon cancer advise MSI/MMR testing in all newly diagnosed patients with colon cancer and as a workup in pedunculated or sessile colon polyps (adenoma) with invasive cancer, in colon cancer appropriate for resection (non-metastatic), in documented metachronous metastases by CT, MRI, and/or biopsy, and in suspected or proven metastatic synchronous adenocarcinoma (any T, any N, M1) if not already done. The guidelines also recommend that in MSI-H/dMMR only tumors, resectable synchronous liver and/or lung metastases only, to follow up with synchronous or staged colectomy and resection of metastatic disease. Nivolumab ± ipilimumab or pembrolizumab (preferred) is also recommended when “followed by synchronous or staged colectomy and resection (preferred) and/or local therapy of metastatic disease” and unresectable synchronous liver and/or lung metastases where MSI-H patients have received a previous adjuvant FOLFOX/CAPEOX within the past 12 months and in MSI-H patients that are and are not appropriate for intensive therapy. As a continuum of care for advanced or metastatic disease, in MSI-H patients that received previous oxaliplatin based therapy without irinotecan, MSI-H patients with previous irinotecan-based therapy without oxaliplatin, MSI-H patients that received previous treatment with both oxaliplatin and irinotecan, and MSI-H patients that received previous therapy without either irinotecan or oxaliplatin, the NCCN recommends nivolumab ± ipilimumab, dostarlimab-gxly, or pembrolizumab (preferred) as a subsequent therapy. The NCCN notes that Stage II MSI-H patients may have a good prognosis and do not benefit from 5-FU adjuvant therapy. The guidelines also state that “testing for MMR/MSI should be done during the initial workup to help with the diagnosis of Lynch syndrome and inform treatment decision-making if adjuvant therapy is later indicated.”

In the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for head and neck cancer and esophageal and esophagogastric junction (EGJ) cancers, the NCCN recommends that pembrolizumab treatment be based on testing for MSI, mismatch repair (MMR), and PD-L1 expression if metastatic disease is documented/suspected, or TMB (MSI tested by PCR, MMR tested by immunohistochemistry, PD-L1 expression tested by combined positive score (CPS), or TMB tested by NGS). NCCN also recommends MSI by PCR/NGS or MMR by IHC for “locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic esophageal and EGJ cancers in patients who are candidates for treatment with PD-1 inhibitors.” These guidelines state that pembrolizumab for TMB-H tumors is useful in certain circumstances as a second-line or subsequent therapy for unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic disease where local therapy is not indicated. Usage of pembrolizumab/nivolumab would be based on “testing for MSI by PCR or NGS/MMR by IHC, PD-L1 immunohistochemical expression, or high tumor mutational burden (TMB) by NGS.” Pembrolizumab is also indicated for MSI-H tumors as a form of systemic therapy for non-nasopharyngeal cancers, including lip, oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx, glottic larynx, supraglottic larynx, ethmoid sinus, maxillary sinus, and occult primary. These guidelines also note that comprehensive genomic profiling via a validated NGS assay in a CLIA-approved laboratory may be used for the identification of TMB status. Dostarlimab-gxly is also indicated for MSI-H/dMMR tumors for systemic therapy for metastatic or locally advanced cancer where local therapy is not indicated.

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for occult primary (cancer of unknown primary [CUP]) note that determination of TMB by a validated and/or FDA approved assay is a category 2B recommendation (2B: based on lower-level evidence, there is NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate) and a

biopsy from a suspected metastatic malignancy should undergo TMB testing during the workup of the tumor. For occult primary tumors, pembrolizumab is recommended as “useful in certain circumstances” for tumors that are dMMR/MSI-H or have TMB-H.

In the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for gastric cancer, universal testing, no matter the specimen type, for MSI by PCR/NGS or MMR by IHC is recommended in all newly diagnosed patients and in unresectable locally advanced, locally recurrent, or metastatic disease if not previously performed. Tumors should be interpreted as MSI-Stable, MSI-Low (1-29% of markers exhibit instability, one of the five National Cancer Institute (NCI) or mononucleotide markers exhibits instability), or MSI-H ( $\geq 30\%$  of the markers exhibit instability, two or more of the five NCI or mononucleotide markers exhibit instability). Of the three targeted therapeutic agents that are FDA approved for gastric cancer, pembrolizumab treatment is based on testing for MSI. As a second-line or subsequent therapy in locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic disease where local therapy is not indicated, pembrolizumab is recommended by the NCCN as useful for MSI-H or TMB-H tumors and dostarlimab-gxly is recommended for MSI-H or dMMR tumors.

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for hepatobiliary cancers indicates that the NCCN panel voted to include pembrolizumab as a subsequent-line (following disease progression and with no prior treatment with an ICI) treatment option as “useful in certain circumstances” for patients that have TMB-H or MSI-H/dMMR biliary tract tumors. It does caution that data to support their recommendations are limited. For MSI-H/dMMR tumors, pembrolizumab is a preferred regimen in unresectable and metastatic disease. Pembrolizumab is also recommended as a subsequent-line therapy for biliary tract cancers if there is disease progression in MSI-H/dMMR tumors or TMB-H tumors. Pembrolizumab is also a recommended treatment for “patients with or without MSI-H tumors who have not been previously treated with a checkpoint inhibitor.” It also recommends dostarlimab-gxly (category 2B) as useful in certain circumstances for MSI-H/dMMR hepatocellular carcinoma tumors. In gallbladder cancer, the NCCN recommends MSI testing in unresectable tumors as a postoperative workup and as primary treatment when there is an incidental finding on pathologic review, when there is a mass on imaging, and when a patient presents with jaundice or metastatic disease. For patients with dMMR or MSI-H or a family history of suggestive of *BRCA1/2* mutations, the NCCN guidelines state to “consider germline testing and/or referral to a genetic counselor.” The NCCN recommends MSI/MMR testing when an intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma or extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma tumor is unresectable or metastatic, with TMB also recommended in extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. The NCCN lists dostarlimab-gxly as useful in certain situations for MSI-/dMMR hepatocellular carcinoma tumors (category 2B) that are recurrent or advanced tumors that have progressed while still on or following prior treatment and who have no satisfactory alternative treatment options.

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for neuroendocrine and adrenal tumors recommends that TMB and MSI testing should be considered for adrenocortical carcinoma and poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma/large or small cell, and TMB testing should be considered for well-differentiated Grade 3 neuroendocrine tumors. When the tumor type is poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma, large or small cell carcinoma (other than the lung), or unknown primary (poorly differentiated), TMB and MSI testing are noted as appropriate for evaluation of the tumor. For Grade 3, well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors that are locally advanced/metastatic disease with favorable biology, the guidelines note that in unresectable tumors that have “clinically significant tumor burden or evidence of disease progression,” pembrolizumab can be considered for those with TMB-H tumors “that have progressed following prior treatment and have no satisfactory alternative options.” Pembrolizumab is a category 2B (based upon lower-level evidence, there is NCCN consensus that the



intervention is appropriate) treatment for TMB-H tumors. Pembrolizumab is also recommended as a systemic therapy option in TMB-H or MSI-H tumors that are locally advanced, metastatic disease with unfavorable biology.

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for vulvar cancer (squamous cell carcinoma) recommend considering TMB by a validated and/or FDA approved assay as a pathological assessment for squamous cell carcinoma. NCCN also considers TMB testing to be useful in certain circumstances, specifically as a biomarker directed systemic therapy for second-line treatment for the use of pembrolizumab. NCCN reports that MMR, MSI, PD-L1, neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase (*NTRK*) gene fusion, and TMB testing may “also be considered for treatment planning purposes in patients with recurrent, progressive, or metastatic disease.”

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for ovarian cancer identify TMB testing as a clinically indicated tumor molecular analysis in addition to NGS for *BRCA1/2* mutations and other somatic mutations. They also recommend using tumor molecular analysis “to identify potential benefit from targeted therapeutics” for MSI and TMB in patients with recurrent disease and rising CA-125, regardless of prior chemotherapy status if molecular testing was not done previously in epithelial ovarian cancer/fallopian tube cancer/primary peritoneal cancer. The NCCN guidelines also recommend tumor molecular testing with TMB and MSI if not previously done for low-grade serous carcinoma. For palliative recurrence therapy, the guidelines recommend using pembrolizumab if there is MSI-H/dMMR or TMB-H for malignant germ cell/sex cord-stromal tumors. The guidelines also indicate that pembrolizumab is recommended as a recurrence therapy for epithelial ovarian (including less common ovarian cancers)/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal cancers when the tumors are MSI-H, dMMR solid, or TMB-H and have no satisfactory alternative treatment options.

In the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for testicular cancer, the NCCN recommends TMB or MSI testing as a third-line therapy in nonseminoma if there is progression after high-dose chemotherapy or other third-line therapies. The guideline also notes that similar to many other cancers, pembrolizumab may be useful in certain circumstances as a third-line chemotherapy regimen for metastatic germ cell tumors when the tumor has a TMB-H or MSI-H status, regardless of prior high-dose chemotherapy status.

In the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for pancreatic adenocarcinoma, MSI testing is recommended in locally advanced disease when adenocarcinoma is confirmed if not previously done, in metastatic disease when tumor tissue is available, and in tumors with recurrence after resection. The guidelines recommend pembrolizumab as a first-line therapy in metastatic disease with good or poor performance status (PS) only for MSI-H or dMMR tumors and useful as a subsequent therapy in certain situations only for MSI-H/dMMR tumors for locally advanced/metastatic disease and as a therapy for recurrent disease with both good and poor PS.

In the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for penile cancer, pembrolizumab is recommended as a subsequent-line systemic therapy for metastatic/recurrent disease in MSI-H/dMMR tumors that have progressed following prior treatment and that have no satisfactory alternative treatment options.

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for prostate cancer recommend that in castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), TMB testing should be considered when CRPC imaging studies are positive for metastases. It also recommends tumor testing for MSI-H or dMMR among patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer and “may be considered in patients with regional or castration-naïve metastatic prostate cancer. TMB testing may be considered in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer.” In the case that MSI-H or dMMR is found, patients should be referred to

genetic counseling for evaluation of Lynch syndrome. It also recommends pembrolizumab for MSI-H, dMMR, or TMB-H adenocarcinoma tumors as category 2B when prior docetaxel and prior novel hormone therapy have been performed singularly or together.

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for rectal cancer recommend MSI/MMR testing as an initial workup in pedunculated polyps or sessile polyps (adenoma) with invasive cancer, rectal cancer appropriate for resection, and in suspected or proven metastatic synchronous adenocarcinoma (any T, any N, M1) if not previously done. The guidelines recommend nivolumab ± ipilimumab or pembrolizumab (preferred) as a neoadjuvant treatment for dMMR/MSI-H only resectable synchronous liver and/or lung only metastases when there is a clear circumferential resection margin (CRM) (by MRI) or involved CRM (by MRI), as a primary treatment in unresectable synchronous liver only and/or lung only metastases or medically inoperable tumors, and as a primary treatment in dMMR/MSI-H only unresectable metachronous metastases with previous adjuvant FOLFOX/CAPEOX within the past 12 months. As a continuum of care, nivolumab ± ipilimumab or pembrolizumab (preferred) should be considered as a systemic therapy for advanced or metastatic disease in MSI-H patients either appropriate or not appropriate for intensive therapy. Nivolumab ± ipilimumab, dostarlimab-gxly, or pembrolizumab (preferred) is recommended for MSI-H patients with previous oxaliplatin based therapy without irinotecan, MSI-H patients with previous irinotecan-based therapy without oxaliplatin, MSI-H patients with previous treatment with both oxaliplatin and irinotecan, MSI-H patients with previous treatment without irinotecan or oxaliplatin, and in MSI-patients as a subsequent therapy following FOLFOX or CAPEOX ± bevacizumab in patients that did not receive irinotecan or oxaliplatin.

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for small bowel adenocarcinoma (SBA) recommend MSI testing as a workup when the tumor is in the duodenum or the jejunum/ileum, as well as in metastatic and/or recurrent tumors such as metastatic adenocarcinoma. In all patients newly diagnosed with SBA, universal MMR or MSI testing is recommended. These guidelines note that stage II dMMR/MSI-H patients may have improved survival as compared to proficient MMR or MSI-Stable (not confirmed in SBA population, extrapolated from colorectal cancer data). The NCCN guidelines recommend nivolumab ± ipilimumab or pembrolizumab as an initial or a subsequent therapy in dMMR/MSI-H patients with advanced or metastatic disease who are both appropriate or not appropriate for intensive therapy and nivolumab ± ipilimumab, dostarlimab-gxly, or pembrolizumab as an initial therapy for patients with prior oxaliplatin exposure in the adjuvant setting or contraindication.

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for thyroid carcinoma recommend TMB and MSI testing in multiple forms of thyroid cancer. In papillary carcinoma, follicular carcinoma, and Hürthle cell carcinoma, TMB or MSI is recommended for advanced, progressive, or threatening disease when the tumor is locally recurrent, advanced, and/or metastatic disease that is not amenable to RAI therapy. In patients with these tumor types with a TMB-H status, pembrolizumab is recommended in tumors with both bone metastases and CNS metastases. In medullary carcinoma, when a locoregional tumor is unresectable and asymptomatic, symptomatic, or progressive pembrolizumab treatment in TMB-H tumors may be useful in certain circumstances. In anaplastic thyroid carcinoma, TMB and MSI are recommended as diagnostic molecular testing for actionable mutations. In Stage IVC, as part of an aggressive therapy approach, MSI and TMB testing are recommended as molecular testing. Genomic testing for MSI and dMMR should also be performed for actionable mutations in metastatic disease if these tests have not already been performed..

Finally, in the NCCN clinical practice guidelines for bone cancer, the NCCN recommends considering TMB or MSI testing as determined by a validated and/or FDA-approved assay to inform the use of



pembrolizumab in patients with metastatic chondrosarcoma, chordoma, Ewing sarcoma, and osteosarcoma, but does not recommend either TMB or MSI testing for Giant Cell Tumor of Bone as it is not technically a malignant tumor. Pembrolizumab is recommended as a preferred regimen in MSI-H/dMMR tumors and in TMB-H tumors, is useful in certain situations. They also recommend pembrolizumab for “patients with unresectable or metastatic tumors who have progressed following prior treatment and who have no satisfactory alternative treatment options.”

### European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO)

The ESMO has published clinical recommendations for MSI testing based on consensus decisions from the ESMO Translational Research and Precision Medicine Working Group. The recommended first action to assess MSI/dMMR, decided by a consensus with a strong agreement, is the use of immunohistochemistry for the mismatch repair proteins MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2. The second method of MSI/dMMR testing, also with a consensus of strong agreement, is the use of PCR-based assessment of microsatellite alterations using five microsatellite markers (including at least BAT-25 and BAT-26). Based on a consensus with a very strong agreement, the ESMO notes that NGS coupling MSI and TMB analysis may represent “a decisive tool for selecting patients for immunotherapy, for common or rare cancers not belonging to the spectrum of Lynch syndrome.” They note that the relationships between MSI, TMB, and PD-1/PD-L1 expression are both complex and different based on the type of tumor being assessed. They also note that the most important cancer types where MSI testing should be carried out using IHC to assess MSI-PCR or NGS are endometrial, intestinal (colorectal and small bowel), esophageal (adenocarcinomas and not squamous cell carcinoma), gastric, glioblastoma, and ovarian cancers.

The ESMO has published clinical recommendations for the use of tumor multigene NGS in non-small cell lung cancer, cholangiocarcinoma, prostate, and ovarian cancers. They recommend to “test TMB in well- and moderately-differentiated neuroendocrine tumours (NETs), cervical, salivary, thyroid and vulvar cancers. . . as TMB-high predicted response to pembrolizumab in these cancers.” They advise that a large panel of genes could be ordered but that the benefit for the patient and the cost for the public health care system should be taken into consideration. Patient-specific recommendations are summarized in the table below.

Tumour Types	General recommendations for daily practice	Special considerations for patients
<b>Lung adenocarcinoma</b>	Tumour multigene NGS to assess level I alterations. Larger panels can be used only on the basis of specific agreements with payers taking into account the overall cost of the strategy (drug included <sup>a</sup> ) and if they report accurate ranking of alterations. NGS can either be done on RNA or DNA, if it includes level I fusions in the panel.	Using large panels of genes could lead to few clinically meaningful
<b>Squamous cell lung cancers</b>	No current indication for tumour multigene NGS	
<b>Breast cancers</b>	No current indication for tumour multigene NGS	

<b>Colon cancers</b>	Multigene tumour NGS can be an alternative option to PCR if it does not result in additional cost.	responders, not detected by small panels or standard testings. In this context and outside the diseases where large panels of genes are recommended, ESMO acknowledges that a patient and a doctor could decide together to order a large panel of genes, pending no extra cost for the public health care system, and if the patient is informed about the low likelihood of benefit.
<b>Prostate cancers</b>	Multigene tumour NGS to assess level I alterations. Larger panels can be used only on the basis of specific agreements with payers taking into account the overall cost of the strategy and if they report accurate ranking of alterations.	
<b>Gastric cancers</b>	No current indication for tumour multigene NGS	
<b>Pancreatic cancers</b>	No current indication for tumour multigene NGS	
<b>Hepatocellular carcinoma</b>	No current indication for tumour multigene NGS	
<b>Cholangiocarcinoma</b>	Multigene tumour NGS could be recommended to assess level I alterations. Larger panels can be used only on the basis of specific agreements with payers taking into account the overall cost of the strategy (drug included <sup>a</sup> ) and if they report accurate ranking of alterations. RNA-based NGS can be used.	
<b>Others</b>	<p>Tumour multigene NGS can be used in ovarian cancers to determine somatic BRCA1/2 mutations. In this latter case, larger panels can be used only on the basis of specific agreements with payers taking into account the overall cost of the strategy (drug included<sup>a</sup>) and if they report accurate ranking of alterations.</p> <p>Large panel NGS can be used in carcinoma of unknown primary.</p> <p>It is recommended to determine TMB in cervical cancer, salivary cancer, thyroid cancers, well-to-moderately differentiated neuroendocrine tumours, vulvar cancer, pending drug access (and in TMB-high endometrial and SCL cancers if anti-PD1 antibody is not available otherwise).</p>	

anti-PD1, anti-programmed cell death 1; DRUP, drug rediscovery protocol; ESMO, European Society for Medical Oncology; NGS, next-generation sequencing; SCL, small-cell lung cancer; TMB, tumour mutational burden.

<sup>a</sup> ESMO recommends using off-label drugs matched to genomics only if an access programme and a procedure of decision have been developed at the national or regional level, as illustrated by the DRUP programme. (Mosele et al., 2020)

## VI. Applicable State and Federal Regulations

**DISCLAIMER:** If there is a conflict between this Policy and any relevant, applicable government policy for a particular member [e.g., Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) for Medicare and/or state coverage for Medicaid], then the government policy will be used to make the determination. For the most up-to-date Medicare policies and coverage, please visit the Medicare search website: <http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/overview-and-quick-search.aspx>. For the most up-to-date Medicaid policies and coverage, visit the applicable state Medicaid website.

### Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

*Avalon Healthcare Solutions is an independent company providing laboratory benefits management on behalf of HMSA.*

Many labs have developed specific tests that they must validate and perform in house. These laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) are regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) as high-complexity tests under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA '88). LDTs are not approved or cleared by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration; however, FDA clearance or approval is not currently required for clinical use.

On November 30, 2017, the FDA approved FoundationOne CDx™ (Foundation Medicine, Inc.). This device is a next generation sequencing based *in vitro* diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion, and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with the targeted therapies in accordance with the approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms. The F1CDx assay is a single-site assay performed at Foundation Medicine, Inc.

On August 26, 2020, the FDA approved FoundationOne® Liquid CDx (Foundation Medicine, Inc.). This device is a qualitative next generation sequencing based *in vitro* diagnostic test that uses targeted high throughput hybridization-based capture technology to detect and report substitutions, insertions, and deletions (indels) in 311 genes, including rearrangements and copy number losses only in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*, utilizing circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) isolated from plasma derived from anti-coagulated peripheral whole blood of cancer patients. This device is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling for substitutions and indels to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

## VII. Important Reminder

The purpose of this Medical Policy is to provide a guide to coverage. This Medical Policy is not intended to dictate to providers how to practice medicine. Nothing in this Medical Policy is intended to discourage or prohibit providing other medical advice or treatment deemed appropriate by the treating physician.

Benefit determinations are subject to applicable member contract language. To the extent there are any conflicts between these guidelines and the contract language, the contract language will control.

This Medical Policy has been developed through consideration of the medical necessity criteria under Hawaii's Patients' Bill of Rights and Responsibilities Act (Hawaii Revised Statutes §432E-1.4), or for QUEST Integration members under Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR 1700.1-42), generally accepted standards of medical practice and review of medical literature and government approval status. HMSA has determined that services not covered under this Medical Policy will not be medically necessary under Hawaii law in most cases. If a treating physician disagrees with HMSA's determination as to medical necessity in a given case, the physician may request that HMSA reconsider the application of the medical necessity criteria to the case at issue in light of any supporting documentation.

Genetic testing is covered for level 1 or 2A recommendations of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN and in accordance with Hawaii's Patients' Bill of Rights and Responsibilities Act (Hawaii Revised Statutes §432E-1.4) or for QUEST members, the Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR 1700.1-42).

## VIII. Evidence-based Scientific References

- Alborelli, I., Leonards, K., Rothschild, S. I., Leuenberger, L. P., Savic Prince, S., Mertz, K. D., Poechtrager, S., Buess, M., Zippelius, A., Laubli, H., Haegeler, J., Tolnay, M., Bubendorf, L., Quagliata, L., & Jermann, P. (2020). Tumor mutational burden assessed by targeted NGS predicts clinical benefit from immune checkpoint inhibitors in non-small cell lung cancer. *J Pathol*, 250(1), 19-29. <https://doi.org/10.1002/path.5344>
- Andre, T., Shiu, K. K., Kim, T. W., Jensen, B. V., Jensen, L. H., Punt, C., Smith, D., Garcia-Carbonero, R., Benavides, M., Gibbs, P., de la Fouchardiere, C., Rivera, F., Elez, E., Bendell, J., Le, D. T., Yoshino, T., Van Cutsem, E., Yang, P., Farooqui, M. Z. H., . . . Investigators, K.-. (2020). Pembrolizumab in Microsatellite-Instability-High Advanced Colorectal Cancer. *N Engl J Med*, 383(23), 2207-2218. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2017699>
- Boland, C. R., Thibodeau, S. N., Hamilton, S. R., Sidransky, D., Eshleman, J. R., Burt, R. W., Meltzer, S. J., Rodriguez-Bigas, M. A., Fodde, R., Ranzani, G. N., & Srivastava, S. (1998). A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res*, 58(22), 5248-5257. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9823339>
- Bonneville, R., Krook, M. A., Kautto, E. A., Miya, J., Wing, M. R., Chen, H. Z., Reeser, J. W., Yu, L., & Roychowdhury, S. (2017). Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. *JCO Precis Oncol*, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1200/PO.17.00073>
- Chalmers, Z. R., Connelly, C. F., Fabrizio, D., Gay, L., Ali, S. M., Ennis, R., Schrock, A., Campbell, B., Shlien, A., Chmielecki, J., Huang, F., He, Y., Sun, J., Tabori, U., Kennedy, M., Lieber, D. S., Roels, S., White, J., Otto, G. A., . . . Frampton, G. M. (2017). Analysis of 100,000 human cancer genomes reveals the landscape of tumor mutational burden. *Genome Med*, 9(1), 34. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-017-0424-2>
- Cohen, R., Bennouna, J., Meurisse, A., Tournigand, C., De La Fouchardiere, C., Tougeron, D., Borg, C., Mazard, T., Chibaudel, B., Garcia-Larnicol, M. L., Svrcek, M., Vernerey, D., Menu, Y., & Andre, T. (2020). RECIST and iRECIST criteria for the evaluation of nivolumab plus ipilimumab in patients with microsatellite instability-high/mismatch repair-deficient metastatic colorectal cancer: the GERCOR NIPICOL phase II study. *J Immunother Cancer*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2020-001499>
- FDA. (2017a). *EVALUATION OF AUTOMATIC CLASS III DESIGNATION FOR MSK-IMPACT (Integrated Mutation Profiling of Actionable Cancer Targets)*. [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/reviews/DEN170058.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/DEN170058.pdf)
- FDA. (2017b, November 15, 2017). *FDA unveils a streamlined path for the authorization of tumor profiling tests alongside its latest product action* <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-unveils-streamlined-path-authorization-tumor-profiling-tests-alongside-its-latest-product-action>
- FDA. (2017c). *FoundationOne CDx* [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/pdf17/P170019B.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf17/P170019B.pdf)
- FDA. (2020a). FDA approves pembrolizumab for adults and children with TMB-H solid tumors. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-approvals-and-databases/fda-approves-pembrolizumab-adults-and-children-tmb-h-solid-tumors>
- FDA. (2020b). *FoundationOne Liquid CDx (F1 Liquid CDx)*. [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/pdf19/P190032B.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf19/P190032B.pdf)
- FDA. (2021, May 21, 2021). *SUMMARY OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS DATA (SSED)* Retrieved October 28, 2021 from [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/pdf20/P200010S001B.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf20/P200010S001B.pdf)

- Garofalo, A., Sholl, L., Reardon, B., Taylor-Weiner, A., Amin-Mansour, A., Miao, D., Liu, D., Oliver, N., MacConaill, L., Ducar, M., Rojas-Rudilla, V., Giannakis, M., Ghazani, A., Gray, S., Janne, P., Garber, J., Joffe, S., Lindeman, N., Wagle, N., . . . Van Allen, E. M. (2016). The impact of tumor profiling approaches and genomic data strategies for cancer precision medicine. *Genome Med*, 8(1), 79. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-016-0333-9>
- Georgiadis, A., Durham, J. N., Keefer, L. A., Bartlett, B. R., Zielonka, M., Murphy, D., White, J. R., Lu, S., Verner, E. L., Ruan, F., Riley, D., Anders, R. A., Gedvilaite, E., Angiuoli, S., Jones, S., Velculescu, V. E., Le, D. T., Diaz, L. A., & Sausen, M. (2019). Noninvasive Detection of Microsatellite Instability and High Tumor Mutation Burden in Cancer Patients Treated with PD-1 Blockade. *Clinical Cancer Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-1372>
- Guardant. (2021a). *Blood-First, TissueNext Streamlined Biomarker Testing*. Retrieved November 1, 2021 from <https://guardant360cdx.com/tissuenext/>
- Guardant. (2021b). *TREATMENT SELECTION AND RESPONSE MONITORING FOR PATIENTS WITH ADVANCED CANCER*. Retrieved October 28, 2021 from <https://guardanthealth.com/solutions/#lunar-2>
- Hellmann, M. D., Callahan, M. K., Awad, M. M., Calvo, E., Ascierto, P. A., Atmaca, A., Rizvi, N. A., Hirsch, F. R., Selvaggi, G., Szustakowski, J. D., Sasson, A., Golhar, R., Vitazka, P., Chang, H., Geese, W. J., & Antonia, S. J. (2018). Tumor Mutational Burden and Efficacy of Nivolumab Monotherapy and in Combination with Ipilimumab in Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *Cancer Cell*, 33(5), 853-861 e854. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2018.04.001>
- Hellmann, M. D., Ciuleanu, T. E., Pluzanski, A., Lee, J. S., Otterson, G. A., Audigier-Valette, C., Minenza, E., Linardou, H., Burgers, S., Salman, P., Borghaei, H., Ramalingam, S. S., Brahmer, J., Reck, M., O'Byrne, K. J., Geese, W. J., Green, G., Chang, H., Szustakowski, J., . . . Paz-Ares, L. (2018). Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab in Lung Cancer with a High Tumor Mutational Burden. *N Engl J Med*, 378(22), 2093-2104. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1801946>
- Hellmann, M. D., Nathanson, T., Rizvi, H., Creelan, B. C., Sanchez-Vega, F., Ahuja, A., Ni, A., Novik, J. B., Mangarin, L. M. B., Abu-Akeel, M., Liu, C., Sauter, J. L., Rekhtman, N., Chang, E., Callahan, M. K., Chaft, J. E., Voss, M. H., Tenet, M., Li, X. M., . . . Wolchok, J. D. (2018). Genomic Features of Response to Combination Immunotherapy in Patients with Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *Cancer Cell*, 33(5), 843-852 e844. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2018.03.018>
- Illumina. (2021). *TruSight Oncology 500*. Retrieved October 28, 2021 from <https://www.illumina.com/products/by-type/clinical-research-products/trusight-oncology-500.html?scid=2018-271doco175#productLongDescription>
- Klempner, S. J., Fabrizio, D., Bane, S., Reinhart, M., Peoples, T., Ali, S. M., Sokol, E. S., Frampton, G., Schrock, A. B., Anhorn, R., & Reddy, P. (2020). Tumor Mutational Burden as a Predictive Biomarker for Response to Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors: A Review of Current Evidence. *Oncologist*, 25(1), e147-e159. <https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2019-0244>
- Le, D. T., Uram, J. N., Wang, H., Bartlett, B. R., Kemberling, H., Eyring, A. D., Skora, A. D., Luber, B. S., Azad, N. S., Laheru, D., Biedrzycki, B., Donehower, R. C., Zaheer, A., Fisher, G. A., Crocenzi, T. S., Lee, J. J., Duffy, S. M., Goldberg, R. M., de la Chapelle, A., . . . Diaz, L. A., Jr. (2015). PD-1 Blockade in Tumors with Mismatch-Repair Deficiency. *N Engl J Med*, 372(26), 2509-2520. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1500596>
- Luchini, C., Bibeau, F., Ligtenberg, M. J. L., Singh, N., Nottegar, A., Bosse, T., Miller, R., Riaz, N., Douillard, J. Y., Andre, F., & Scarpa, A. (2019). ESMO recommendations on microsatellite instability testing for immunotherapy in cancer, and its relationship with PD-1/PD-L1 expression and tumour mutational burden: a systematic review-based approach. *Annals of Oncology*, 30(8), 1232-1243. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdz116>



- Marabelle, A., Fakih, M., Lopez, J., Shah, M., Shapira-Frommer, R., Nakagawa, K., Chung, H. C., Kindler, H. L., Lopez-Martin, J. A., Miller, W. H., Jr., Italiano, A., Kao, S., Piha-Paul, S. A., Delord, J. P., McWilliams, R. R., Fabrizio, D. A., Aurora-Garg, D., Xu, L., Jin, F., . . . Bang, Y. J. (2020). Association of tumour mutational burden with outcomes in patients with advanced solid tumours treated with pembrolizumab: prospective biomarker analysis of the multicohort, open-label, phase 2 KEYNOTE-158 study. *Lancet Oncol*, 21(10), 1353-1365. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(20\)30445-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30445-9)
- Marabelle, A., Le, D. T., Ascierto, P. A., Di Giacomo, A. M., De Jesus-Acosta, A., Delord, J. P., Geva, R., Gottfried, M., Penel, N., Hansen, A. R., Piha-Paul, S. A., Doi, T., Gao, B., Chung, H. C., Lopez-Martin, J., Bang, Y. J., Frommer, R. S., Shah, M., Ghorri, R., . . . Diaz, L. A., Jr. (2020). Efficacy of Pembrolizumab in Patients With Noncolorectal High Microsatellite Instability/Mismatch Repair-Deficient Cancer: Results From the Phase II KEYNOTE-158 Study. *J Clin Oncol*, 38(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.19.02105>
- Merino, D. M., McShane, L. M., Fabrizio, D., Funari, V., Chen, S. J., White, J. R., Wenz, P., Baden, J., Barrett, J. C., Chaudhary, R., Chen, L., Chen, W. S., Cheng, J. H., Cyanam, D., Dickey, J. S., Gupta, V., Hellmann, M., Helman, E., Li, Y., . . . Allen, J. (2020). Establishing guidelines to harmonize tumor mutational burden (TMB): in silico assessment of variation in TMB quantification across diagnostic platforms: phase I of the Friends of Cancer Research TMB Harmonization Project. *J Immunother Cancer*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2019-000147>
- Mosele, F., Remon, J., Mateo, J., Westphalen, C. B., Barlesi, F., Lolkema, M. P., Normanno, N., Scarpa, A., Robson, M., Meric-Bernstam, F., Wagle, N., Stenzinger, A., Bonastre, J., Bayle, A., Michiels, S., Bieche, I., Rouleau, E., Jezdic, S., Douillard, J. Y., . . . Andre, F. (2020). Recommendations for the use of next-generation sequencing (NGS) for patients with metastatic cancers: a report from the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group. *Ann Oncol*, 31(11), 1491-1505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2020.07.014>
- MSKCC. (2018). *MSK-IMPACT: A Targeted Test for Mutations in Both Rare and Common Cancers*. Retrieved October 20, 2021 from <https://www.mskcc.org/msk-impact>
- NCCN. (2021a, October 8, 2021). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Bone Cancer Version 2.2022*. Retrieved October 14, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/PDF/bone.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/bone.pdf)
- NCCN. (2021b, December 20, 2021). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Breast Cancer Version 2.2022*. Retrieved November 5, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/breast.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast.pdf)
- NCCN. (2021c, October 26, 2021). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Cervical Cancer Version 1.2022*. Retrieved October 12, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/cervical.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cervical.pdf)
- NCCN. (2021d, December 8, 2021). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Head and Neck Cancers Version 1.2022*. Retrieved November 05, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/head-and-neck.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/head-and-neck.pdf)
- NCCN. (2021e, December 14, 2021). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Neuroendocrine and Adrenal Tumors Version 4.2021*. Retrieved November 05, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/neuroendocrine.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/neuroendocrine.pdf)
- NCCN. (2021f, September 2, 2021). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Occult Primary (Cancer of Unknown Primary [CUP])*. Retrieved October 14, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/occult.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/occult.pdf)
- NCCN. (2021g, November 4, 2021). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Uterine Neoplasms Version 1.2022*. Retrieved October 12, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/uterine.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/uterine.pdf)

- NCCN. (2021h, October 07, 2021). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Vulvar Cancer (Squamous Cell Carcinoma) Version 1.2022*. Retrieved October 14, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/vulvar.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/vulvar.pdf)
- NCCN. (2022a, February 24, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology - Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma Version 1.2022*. Retrieved from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/pancreatic.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/pancreatic.pdf)
- NCCN. (2022b, January 26, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology - Penile Cancer Version 2.2022*. Retrieved 04/13/2022 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/penile.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/penile.pdf)
- NCCN. (2022c, February 25, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Colon Cancer Version 1.2022*. Retrieved November 19, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/colon.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/colon.pdf)
- NCCN. (2022d, February 11, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Esophageal and Esophagogastric Junction Cancers Version 2.2022*. Retrieved November 5, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/esophageal.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/esophageal.pdf)
- NCCN. (2022e, January 11, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Gastric Cancer Version 2.2022*. Retrieved November 19, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/gastric.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/gastric.pdf)
- NCCN. (2022f, March 29, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Hepatobiliary Cancers Version 1.2022*. Retrieved November 05, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/hepatobiliary.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/hepatobiliary.pdf)
- NCCN. (2022g, March 16, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Version 3.2022*. Retrieved November 5, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/nscl.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/nscl.pdf)
- NCCN. (2022h, January 18, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Ovarian Cancer, Including Fallopian Tube Cancer and Primary Peritoneal Cancer Version 1.2022*. Retrieved November 05, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/ovarian.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/ovarian.pdf)
- NCCN. (2022i, January 10, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Prostate Cancer Version 3.2022*. Retrieved November 05, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf)
- NCCN. (2022j, February 25, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Rectal Cancer Version 1.2022*. Retrieved November 19, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/rectal.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/rectal.pdf)
- NCCN. (2022k, March 9, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Small Bowel Adenocarcinoma Version 1.2022*. Retrieved November 19, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/small\\_bowel.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/small_bowel.pdf)
- NCCN. (2022l, January 4, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Testicular Cancer Version 2.2022*. Retrieved November 05, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/testicular.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/testicular.pdf)
- NCCN. (2022m, April 5, 2022). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Thyroid Carcinoma Version 1.2022*. Retrieved November 5, 2021 from [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/thyroid.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/thyroid.pdf)
- NCI. (n.d.). *MSI-H cancer*. <https://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-terms/def/msi-h-cancer>
- Nojadeh, J. N., Behrouz Sharif, S., & Sakhinia, E. (2018). Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *EXCLI J*, 17, 159-168. <https://doi.org/10.17179/excli2017-948>



- Overman, M. J., McDermott, R., Leach, J. L., Lonardi, S., Lenz, H. J., Morse, M. A., Desai, J., Hill, A., Axelson, M., Moss, R. A., Goldberg, M. V., Cao, Z. A., Ledezine, J. M., Maglente, G. A., Kopetz, S., & Andre, T. (2017). Nivolumab in patients with metastatic DNA mismatch repair-deficient or microsatellite instability-high colorectal cancer (CheckMate 142): an open-label, multicentre, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol*, 18(9), 1182-1191. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(17\)30422-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30422-9)
- Qiagen. (2021). *QIAseq Tumor Mutational Burden Panels*. Retrieved October 28, 2021 from <https://www.qiagen.com/us/products/next-generation-sequencing/dna-sequencing/targeted-dna-panels/qiaseq-tumor-mutational-burden-panels/>
- Quy, P. N., Kanai, M., Fukuyama, K., Kou, T., Kondo, T., Yamamoto, Y., Matsubara, J., Hiroshima, A., Mochizuki, H., Sakuma, T., Kamada, M., Nakatsui, M., Eso, Y., Seno, H., Masui, T., Takaori, K., Minamiguchi, S., Matsumoto, S., & Muto, M. (2019). Association Between Preanalytical Factors and Tumor Mutational Burden Estimated by Next-Generation Sequencing-Based Multiplex Gene Panel Assay. *Oncologist*, 24(12), e1401-e1408. <https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0587>
- Ready, N., Hellmann, M. D., Awad, M. M., Otterson, G. A., Gutierrez, M., Gainor, J. F., Borghaei, H., Jolivet, J., Horn, L., Mates, M., Brahmer, J., Rabinowitz, I., Reddy, P. S., Chesney, J., Orcutt, J., Spigel, D. R., Reck, M., O'Byrne, K. J., Paz-Ares, L., . . . Ramalingam, S. S. (2019). First-Line Nivolumab Plus Ipilimumab in Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer (CheckMate 568): Outcomes by Programmed Death Ligand 1 and Tumor Mutational Burden as Biomarkers. *J Clin Oncol*, 37(12), 992-1000. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.18.01042>
- Ritterhouse, L. L. (2019). Tumor mutational burden. *Cancer Cytopathol*, 127(12), 735-736. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncy.22174>
- Rizvi, N. A., Hellmann, M. D., Snyder, A., Kvistborg, P., Makarov, V., Havel, J. J., Lee, W., Yuan, J., Wong, P., Ho, T. S., Miller, M. L., Rekhtman, N., Moreira, A. L., Ibrahim, F., Bruggeman, C., Gasmi, B., Zappasodi, R., Maeda, Y., Sander, C., . . . Chan, T. A. (2015). Cancer immunology. Mutational landscape determines sensitivity to PD-1 blockade in non-small cell lung cancer. *Science*, 348(6230), 124-128. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1348>
- Samstein, R. M., Lee, C. H., Shoushtari, A. N., Hellmann, M. D., Shen, R., Janjigian, Y. Y., Barron, D. A., Zehir, A., Jordan, E. J., Omuro, A., Kaley, T. J., Kendall, S. M., Motzer, R. J., Hakimi, A. A., Voss, M. H., Russo, P., Rosenberg, J., Iyer, G., Bochner, B. H., . . . Morris, L. G. T. (2019). Tumor mutational load predicts survival after immunotherapy across multiple cancer types. *Nat Genet*, 51(2), 202-206. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0312-8>
- Sciences, C. L. (2020, June 29, 2020). *MI Tumor Seek™*. Retrieved October 28, 2021 from <https://www.carismolecularintelligence.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/MI-Tumor-Seek-Profile-Menu.pdf>
- Si, H., Kuziora, M., Quinn, K. J., Helman, E., Ye, J., Liu, F., Scheuring, U., Peters, S., Rizvi, N. A., Brohawn, P. Z., Ranade, K., Higgs, B. W., Banks, K. C., Chand, V. K., & Raja, R. (2021). A Blood-based Assay for Assessment of Tumor Mutational Burden in First-line Metastatic NSCLC Treatment: Results from the MYSTIC Study. *Clin Cancer Res*, 27(6), 1631-1640. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-3771>
- Singal, G., Miller, P. G., Agarwala, V., Li, G., Kaushik, G., Backenroth, D., Gossai, A., Frampton, G. M., Torres, A. Z., Lehnert, E. M., Bourque, D., O'Connell, C., Bowser, B., Caron, T., Baydur, E., Seidl-Rathkopf, K., Ivanov, I., Alpha-Cobb, G., Guria, A., . . . Miller, V. A. (2019). Association of Patient Characteristics and Tumor Genomics With Clinical Outcomes Among Patients With Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Using a Clinicogenomic Database. *JAMA*, 321(14), 1391-1399. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.3241>

- Snyder, A., Makarov, V., Merghoub, T., Yuan, J., Zaretsky, J. M., Desrichard, A., Walsh, L. A., Postow, M. A., Wong, P., Ho, T. S., Hollmann, T. J., Bruggeman, C., Kannan, K., Li, Y., Elipenahli, C., Liu, C., Harbison, C. T., Wang, L., Ribas, A., . . . Chan, T. A. (2014). Genetic basis for clinical response to CTLA-4 blockade in melanoma. *N Engl J Med*, 371(23), 2189-2199. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1406498>
- Stahler, A., Stintzing, S., von Einem, J. C., Westphalen, C. B., Heinrich, K., Kramer, N., Michl, M., Modest, D. P., von Weikersthal, L. F., Decker, T., Kiani, A., Heintges, T., Kahl, C., Kullmann, F., Scheithauer, W., Moehler, M., Kaiser, F., Kirchner, T., Jung, A., & Heinemann, V. (2020). Single-nucleotide variants, tumour mutational burden and microsatellite instability in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: Next-generation sequencing results of the FIRE-3 trial. *Eur J Cancer*, 137, 250-259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2020.07.003>
- Van Allen, E. M., Miao, D., Schilling, B., Shukla, S. A., Blank, C., Zimmer, L., Sucker, A., Hillen, U., Foppen, M. H. G., Goldinger, S. M., Utikal, J., Hassel, J. C., Weide, B., Kaehler, K. C., Loquai, C., Mohr, P., Gutzmer, R., Dummer, R., Gabriel, S., . . . Garraway, L. A. (2015). Genomic correlates of response to CTLA-4 blockade in metastatic melanoma. *Science*, 350(6257), 207-211. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad0095>
- Wei, B., Kang, J., Kibukawa, M., Arreaza, G., Maguire, M., Chen, L., Qiu, P., Lang, L., Aurora-Garg, D., Cristescu, R., & Levitan, D. (2022). Evaluation of the TruSight Oncology 500 Assay for Routine Clinical Testing of Tumor Mutational Burden and Clinical Utility for Predicting Response to Pembrolizumab. *J Mol Diagn*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2022.01.008>
- Willis, C., Fiander, M., Tran, D., Korytowsky, B., Thomas, J. M., Calderon, F., Zyczynski, T. M., Brixner, D., & Stenehjem, D. D. (2019). Tumor mutational burden in lung cancer: a systematic literature review. *Oncotarget*, 10(61), 6604-6622. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.27287>
- Willis, J., Lefterova, M. I., Artyomenko, A., Kasi, P. M., Nakamura, Y., Mody, K., Catenacci, D. V. T., Fakih, M., Barbacioru, C., Zhao, J., Sikora, M., Fairclough, S. R., Lee, H., Kim, K.-M., Kim, S. T., Kim, J., Gavino, D., Benavides, M., Peled, N., . . . Odegaard, J. I. (2019). Validation of Microsatellite Instability Detection Using a Comprehensive Plasma-Based Genotyping Panel. *Clinical Cancer Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-1324>
- Woodhouse, R., Li, M., Hughes, J., Delfosse, D., Skoletsky, J., Ma, P., Meng, W., Dewal, N., Milbury, C., Clark, T., Donahue, A., Stover, D., Kennedy, M., Dacpano-Komansky, J., Burns, C., Vietz, C., Alexander, B., Hegde, P., & Dennis, L. (2020). Clinical and analytical validation of FoundationOne Liquid CDx, a novel 324-Gene cfDNA-based comprehensive genomic profiling assay for cancers of solid tumor origin. *PLoS One*, 15(9), e0237802. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237802>
- Wu, H. X., Wang, Z. X., Zhao, Q., Wang, F., & Xu, R. H. (2019). Designing gene panels for tumor mutational burden estimation: the need to shift from 'correlation' to 'accuracy'. *J Immunother Cancer*, 7(1), 206. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0681-2>
- Yarchoan, M., Albacker, L. A., Hopkins, A. C., Montesin, M., Murugesan, K., Vithayathil, T. T., Zaidi, N., Azad, N. S., Laheru, D. A., Frampton, G. M., & Jaffee, E. M. (2019). PD-L1 expression and tumor mutational burden are independent biomarkers in most cancers. *JCI Insight*, 4(6). <https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.126908>
- Yarchoan, M., Hopkins, A., & Jaffee, E. M. (2017). Tumor Mutational Burden and Response Rate to PD-1 Inhibition. *N Engl J Med*, 377(25), 2500-2501. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc1713444>
- Zhao, P., Li, L., Jiang, X., & Li, Q. (2019). Mismatch repair deficiency/microsatellite instability-high as a predictor for anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy efficacy. *J Hematol Oncol*, 12(1), 54. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-019-0738-1>

## IX. Policy History

Action Date	Action
June 01, 2023	Policy created
December 03, 2024	Policy approved by Medical Directors
December 20, 2024	Policy approved at UMC
March 01, 2025	Policy effective date following notification period