

## Genetic Testing for Ophthalmologic Conditions

<b>Policy Number:</b> AHS – M2083	<b>Prior Policy Name and Number:</b> Not applicable
<b>Initial Effective Date:</b> June 01, 2023	<b>Current Effective Date:</b> February 01, 2025
<b>Line(s) of Business:</b> HMO; PPO; QUEST Integration; Medicare; FEP	<b>Precertification:</b> Refer to the <a href="#">GTM Utilization Review Matrix</a>

### I. Policy Description

Genetic eye diseases involve every part of the eye, including the visual system and ocular adnexa (accessory structures attached to the eye, such as the eyelids, extraocular muscles and orbits); conditions within this group of disorders may be rare or common, and they may exhibit a significant impact on vision or may not affect eyesight at all (Lee & Couser, 2016). Many genes involved in ophthalmologic disorders are now mapped and due to this, scientists have developed a greater understanding of how these genes influence vision and eye health (Singh & Tyagi, 2018).

### II. Indications and/or Limitations of Coverage

Application of coverage criteria is dependent upon an individual's benefit coverage at the time of the request. Specifications pertaining to Medicare and Medicaid can be found in the "Applicable State and Federal Regulations" section of this policy document.

- 1) For individuals with clinical signs of an inherited retinal degeneration (see Note 1), single gene or multi-gene panel testing **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 2) For individuals with clinical findings suggestive of other ophthalmologic disorders with a known causative gene(s) where identification of a genetic variant will affect clinical management, testing of the known causative gene(s) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 3) For individuals with retinal dystrophy, genetic testing of *RPE65* prior to treatment with Luxturna (voretigene neparvovec-rzyl) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** and **is required**.

*The following does not meet coverage criteria due to a lack of available published scientific literature confirming that the test(s) is/are required and beneficial for the diagnosis and treatment of an individual's illness.*

- 4) Genetic testing for age-related macular degeneration **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 5) For individuals with ophthalmologic conditions, whole exome sequencing (WES) **and/or** whole genome sequencing (WGS) **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.

## NOTES:

**Note 1:** The American Academy of Ophthalmology recommends genetic diagnostic testing for the four major types of inherited retinal degenerations (IRDs):

- Rod-cone degenerations (e.g., retinitis pigmentosa)
- Cone-rod degenerations (e.g., achromatopsia)
- Chorioretinal degenerations (e.g., CHM-associated retinal degeneration [choroideremia])
- Inherited dystrophies that involve the macula (e.g., *ABCA4*-associated macular degeneration [Stargardt disease])

**Note 2:** For 2 or more gene tests being run on the same platform, please refer to AHS-R2162 Reimbursement Policy.

## III. Table of Terminology

Term	Definition
AAO	American Academy of Ophthalmology
<i>ABC1</i>	<i>ATP binding cassette 1</i>
<i>ABCA4</i>	<i>ATP binding cassette subfamily A member 4</i>
<i>ABCG1</i>	<i>ATP binding cassette subfamily G member 1</i>
<i>AGBL5</i>	<i>AGBL Carboxypeptidase 5 gene</i>
AMD	Age-related macular degeneration
Anti-VEGF	Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor
AOA	American Optometric Association
<i>APE1</i>	<i>Apurinic/aprimidinic endonuclease 1 gene</i>
AREDS	Age-Related Eye Disease Study
<i>ARMS2</i>	<i>Age-related maculopathy susceptibility 2 gene</i>
<i>HTRA1</i>	<i>HtrA Serine Peptidase 1 gene</i>
ASRS	American Society of Retina Specialists
<i>BAP1</i>	<i>BRCA1 associated protein 1 gene</i>
<i>C2</i>	<i>Complement C2 gene</i>
<i>C3</i>	<i>Complement C3 gene</i>
<i>CALM2</i>	<i>Calmodulin 2</i>
<i>CAV1/2</i>	Calcium channel, voltage-dependent, L type, alpha 1C subunit
<i>CBS</i>	<i>Cystathionine beta-synthase</i>
<i>CDKN2A</i>	<i>Cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A gene</i>
<i>CETP</i>	<i>Cholesteryl ester transfer protein gene</i>
CF	Complement factor
CFB	Complement factor B

Avalon Healthcare Solutions is an independent company providing laboratory benefits management on behalf of HMSA.

CFH	Complement factor H
CLIA '88	Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988
C-MET	Tyrosine-protein kinase met
CMS	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid
CNGA1	<i>Cyclic nucleotide gated channel subunit alpha 1 gene</i>
COL8A1	<i>Collagen type VIII alpha 1 chain gene</i>
CRYAA	<i>Crystallin alpha A gene</i>
CRYBB2	<i>Crystallin beta B2 gene</i>
Cx50/GJA3 & 8	Connexin α8 (GJA8 or Cx50) and connexin α3
CYP1B1	<i>Cytochrome P450 family 1 subfamily B member 1 gene</i>
CYP2C19	Cytochrome P450 2C19
CYP51A1	<i>Cytochrome P450 family 51 subfamily a member 1 gene</i>
DDEF1	Development and differentiation enhancing factor 1
DNA	Deoxyribose nucleic acid
EIF1AX	<i>Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 1A X-Linked gene</i>
EPHA2	<i>Ephrin type-A receptor 2 gene</i>
ERN-EYE	European Reference Network for Rare Eye Diseases
EURETINA	European Society of Retina Specialists
FBN1	<i>Fibrillin 1 gene</i>
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FGD6	<i>FYVE, rhoGEF and ph domain containing 6 gene</i>
FOXC1	<i>Forkhead box C1 gene</i>
GEMIN4	<i>Gem nuclear organelle associated protein 4 gene</i>
GNA11	<i>G protein subunit alpha 11 gene</i>
GNAQ	<i>G protein subunit alpha q gene</i>
HERC2	<i>HECT and RLD domain containing E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 2 gene</i>
HGF	<i>Hepatocyte growth factor gene</i>
HK1	<i>Hexokinase 1 gene</i>
IGF-1	<i>Insulin-like growth factor 1 gene</i>
IL-8	<i>Interleukin 8 gene</i>
IRDs	Inherited retinal degenerations
LCD	Local Coverage Determination
LDT	Laboratory-developed test
LOX1	<i>Lectin-type oxidized LDL receptor 1 gene</i>
LTBP2	<i>Latent transforming growth factor beta binding protein 2 gene</i>
MIP	<i>Major intrinsic protein of lens fiber gene</i>
MMP-1/2	<i>Matrix metalloproteinases 1/2 gene</i>
MMP-9	<i>Matrix metalloproteinase 9 gene</i>
MPP-7	<i>Membrane protein, palmitoylated 7</i>

Avalon Healthcare Solutions is an independent company providing laboratory benefits management on behalf of HMSA.

<i>MTHFR</i>	<i>Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene</i>
<i>MTR</i>	<i>5-Methyltetrahydrofolate-homocysteine methyltransferase</i>
<i>MTRR</i>	<i>5-Methyltetrahydrofolate-homocysteine methyltransferase reductase</i>
<i>MVL</i>	Molecular vision tests
<i>MYOC</i>	<i>Myocilin gene</i>
<i>NAMD</i>	Neovascular age-related macular degeneration
<i>NGS</i>	Next-generation sequencing
<i>NOS2A</i>	<i>Nitric oxide synthase 2A gene</i>
<i>OCA2</i>	<i>Oculocutaneous Albinism type 2 gene</i>
<i>OPA1</i>	<i>Optic atrophy 1 gene</i>
<i>P14arf</i>	ARF tumor suppressor
<i>P4HA2</i>	<i>Prolyl 4-Hydroxylase Subunit Alpha 2 gene</i>
<i>PAX6</i>	<i>Paired box 6 gene</i>
<i>PCV</i>	Polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy
<i>PDE6A</i>	<i>Phosphodiesterase 6A gene</i>
<i>PDE6B</i>	<i>Phosphodiesterase 6B gene</i>
<i>PEDF</i>	<i>Pigment epithelium-derived factor gene</i>
<i>PITX2</i>	<i>Paired like homeodomain 2 gene</i>
<i>POLR3B</i>	<i>Ribonucleic acid polymerase III subunit b gene</i>
<i>PPFIA2</i>	<i>PTPRF interacting protein alpha 2 gene</i>
<i>PRPF3</i>	<i>Pre-mRNA processing factor 3 gene</i>
<i>PRPF31</i>	<i>Pre-mRNA processing factor 31 gene</i>
<i>PRPH2</i>	<i>Peripherin 2</i>
<i>PRX</i>	<i>Periaxin gene</i>
<i>PTEN</i>	<i>Phosphatase and tensin homolog gene</i>
<i>PTPRR</i>	<i>Protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type r gene</i>
<i>RAD51B</i>	<i>RAD51 paralog b gene</i>
<i>RDH12</i>	<i>Retinol dehydrogenase 12 gene</i>
<i>RED</i>	Rare eye diseases
<i>RHO</i>	<i>Rhodopsin gene</i>
<i>RIC1</i>	<i>RIC1 homolog, RAB6A GEF complex partner 1 gene</i>
<i>RP</i>	Retinitis pigmentosa
<i>RP1</i>	<i>RP1 axonemal microtubule associated gene</i>
<i>RP2</i>	<i>RP2 activator of ARL3 GTPase gene</i>
<i>RPE65</i>	<i>Retinal pigment epithelium-specific 65 gene</i>
<i>RPGR</i>	<i>Retinitis pigmentosa GTPase regulator gene</i>
<i>SERPING1</i>	<i>Serpin family g member 1 gene</i>
<i>SF3B1</i>	<i>Splicing factor 3b subunit 1 gene</i>
<i>SLC16A8</i>	<i>Solute carrier family 16 member 8 gene</i>
<i>Snps</i>	Single nucleotide polymorphisms

Avalon Healthcare Solutions is an independent company providing laboratory benefits management on behalf of HMSA.

STGD	Stargardt Disease
TAF1A	<i>TATA-Box Binding Protein Associated Factor, RNA Polymerase I Subunit A gene</i>
TAPT1	<i>Transmembrane Anterior Posterior Transformation 1 gene</i>
TEK	<i>Tyrosine, kinase, endothelial gene</i>
TGFR2	<i>Transforming growth factor beta receptor 2 gene</i>
TIE2	<i>TEK receptor tyrosine kinase gene</i>
TIMP3	<i>Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 3 gene</i>
UMODL1	<i>Uromodulin Like 1 gene</i>
USH2A	<i>Usherin gene</i>
VEGF-A	<i>Vascular endothelial growth factor A gene</i>
VEGFR-2	<i>Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 gene</i>
WDR87	<i>WD Repeat Domain 87 gene</i>
WES	Whole exome sequencing
WGS	Whole genome sequencing
XRCC1	<i>X-ray repair cross complementing 1 gene</i>
ZNF350	<i>Zinc finger protein 350 gene</i>

#### IV. Scientific Background

Approximately 4,000 diseases or syndromes affect humans, and nearly one-third of these diseases are related to the eyes (Singh & Tyagi, 2018). Several ophthalmologic disorders may be inherited, including age-related macular degeneration, cataracts, glaucoma, inherited optic neuropathies, retinitis pigmentosa and Stargardt's disease (Singh & Tyagi, 2018). Early diagnoses, knowledge of family history and genetic testing can positively influence outcomes and treatment regimens. Inherited retinal diseases (IRDs) affect one in 1380 individuals; it is estimated 36% of healthy people could be considered carriers of at least one IRD-related mutation (Hanany et al., 2020).

Genetic testing for eye disorders is growing in popularity. Further, there is considerable overlap between the clinical phenotypes of many eye disorders, highlighting the importance of genetic testing to determine the cause and most effective treatment avenue (Sangermano et al., 2020). To date, genetic tests can identify dozens of ophthalmologic conditions (Stone et al., 2014), and panel tests are already used clinically for early-onset glaucoma, retinal dystrophies, inherited optic neuropathies and more (Wiggs, 2017). Further, many genes have been linked to various human eye diseases and disorders. Table 1 below, adapted from Singh and Tyagi (2018), lists genes and gene variants associated with ten different ophthalmologic conditions. However, it's also important to recognize that there is a broad clinical spectrum of disorders and many involved genes in IRD-related disorders. Over 270 genes have currently been associated with IRD and the number of genes and heterogeneity of disease is compounded by variations in familial inheritance patterns (García Bohórquez et al., 2021).

Ocular gene therapy shows promise for both inherited and acquired retinal pathologies. Adeno-associated viruses (AAVs) are the most common and leading platform used in retinal gene therapy. These vectors deliver gene-specific approaches to promote expression of a healthy copy of a disease-

causing gene (Michalakakis et al., 2021). A combination of factors has led to the adeno-associated virus method as the primary vector option for IRDs. First, AAVs have smaller risks of mutagenesis because they aren't integrated into the host genome. Second, they have low pathogenicity. Lastly, they can transfer genetic material to multiple retinal cell types (Avalyon, 2021).

Recent advancements in AAV ocular gene therapy have been effective in treating certain types of ophthalmologic conditions. For example, Luxturna – the first Food and Drug Administration approved ocular therapy – is a prescription gene therapy product used to treat patients with inherited retinal degenerations (IRDs) due to mutations in the *RPE65* (retinal pigment epithelium-specific 65) gene; however, genetic testing must first be used to determine a potential mutation in this gene (Luxturna, 2022). Therefore, accurate genetic diagnoses have become imperative for some ophthalmologic treatments.

Other retinal conditions such as choroideremia, achromatopsia, X-linked retinitis pigmentosa, X-linked retinoschisis and AMD are among those being investigated as potential targets for gene therapies using AAVs. In addition, additional viral vectors and non-viral platforms are in the process of consideration because AAVs are limited in the amount of genetic information they can carry, that is, they cannot carry large therapeutic gene sets. For example, larger gene targets (such as the gene associated with Stargardt disease) present a barrier to AAV-specific gene therapy (Avalyon, 2021).

**Table 1: Genes/gene variants linked with common human eye diseases/disorders (Singh & Tyagi, 2018)**

Disease	Gene/variant	Age of disease or disorder onset
<b>AMD (age-related macular degeneration)</b>	<i>NOS2A, CFH, CF, C2, C3, CFB, HTRA1/LOC, MMP-9, TIMP-3, SLC16A8, etc.</i>	Old
<b>Cataract</b>	<i>GEMIN4, CYP51A1, RIC1, TAPT1, TAF1A, WDR87, APE1, MIP, Cx50/GJA3 &amp; 8, CRYAA, CRYBB2, PRX, POLR3B, XRCC1, ZNF350, EPHA2, etc.</i>	Old
<b>Glaucoma</b>	<i>CALM2, MPP-7, Optineurin, LOX1, CYP1B1, CAV1/2, MYOC, PITX2, FOXC1, PAX6, CYP1B1, LTBP2, etc.</i>	Over 40 except congenital form that can affect an infant
<b>Inherited optic neuropathies</b>	<i>Complex I or ND genes, OPA1, RPE65, etc.</i>	Young males
<b>Marfan syndrome</b>	<i>FBN1, TGFB2, MTHFR, MTR, MTRR, etc.</i>	Born with disorder but may not be diagnosed until later in life

<b>Myopia</b>	<i>HGF, C-MET, UMODL1, MMP-1/2, PAX6, CBS, MTHFR, IGF-1, UHRF1BP1L, PTPRR, PPFA2, P4HA2, etc.</i>	Typically progresses until about age 20
<b>Polypoidal choroidal vasculopathies</b>	<i>C2, C3, CFH, SERPING1, PEDF, ARMS2-HTRA1, FGD6, ABCG1, LOC387715, CETP, etc.</i>	Between ages 50 and 65
<b>Retinitis pigmentosa</b>	<i>RPGR, PRPF3, HK1, AGBL5, etc.</i>	Between 10 and 30
<b>Stargardt's disease</b>	<i>ABC1, ABCA4, CRB1, etc.</i>	Signs may appear in early childhood to middle age
<b>Uveal melanoma</b>	<i>PTEN, BAP1, GNAQ, GNA11, DDEF1, SF3B1, EIF1AX, CDKN2A, p14ARF, HERC2/OCA2, etc.</i>	50 to 80

#### *Age-Related Macular Degeneration (AMD)*

Age-Related Macular Degeneration is caused by pathologic changes to the deeper retinal layers of the macula and surrounding vasculature, which can result in central vision loss. There are two main types of AMD: neovascular (“dry” AMD) and nonneovascular (“wet” AMD). Nonneovascular AMD accounts for 80-85% of all cases and generally carries a more favorable visual prognosis, whereas Neovascular AMD affects the remaining 15% to 20% and accounts for approximately 80% of severe vision loss (Thomas et al., 2021).

Age-Related Macular Degeneration is caused by a combination of genetic and environmental factors. The strongest genetic association is due to genes involved in complement pathways. For instance, a major polymorphism of complement factor H (CFH) and CFH related genes (*CFHR1-5*) may predispose an individual to AMD (Cipriani et al., 2020). This polymorphism (histidine in place of tyrosine on position 402, CFH Y402H) on chromosome 1 has been associated with higher risk of AMD. One copy of the polymorphism has been associated with a 2.4-4.6 times higher risk of developing AMD whereas both copies of the allele have been associated with a 3.3-7.4 times higher risk. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) such as CYP2C19 (G681A) Rs4244285 and CYP1A2 (-163C>A) Rs762551 may also confer added risk for AMD (Stasiukonyte et al., 2017).

#### **Proprietary Testing**

Several genetic tests have been developed to identify ophthalmologic conditions. The MVL Vision Panel (v2) by Molecular Vision tests for 581 genes associated with vision-related inherited conditions (MolecularVision, 2023). GeneDx has developed a Glaucoma Panel which tests for 38 glaucoma-related genes (GeneDx, 2024). Invitae has developed the Inherited Retinal Disorders Panel which tests for 248 genes associated with inherited retinal disorders (Invitae, 2024). Blueprint Genetics has developed 25 different ophthalmology panels which test for over 3,900 genes collectively (Blueprint, 2020). Finally,



Prevention Genetics has developed the Stargardt Disease and Macular Dystrophies Panel which tests for 28 relevant genes (PreventionGenetics, 2024).

### ***Clinical Utility and Validity***

Lenassi et al. (2019) studied the clinical utility of genetic testing in children with inherited eye disorders. A total of 201 children in preschool (aged 0-5) participated in this study; all participants underwent panel testing. This cohort included “74 children with bilateral cataracts, 8 with bilateral ectopia lentis, 28 with bilateral anterior segment dysgenesis, 32 with albinism, and 59 with inherited retinal disorders” (Lenassi et al., 2019). The diagnostic yield for this study was 64% with testing results leading to altered disease management in 33% of probands (Lenassi et al., 2019).

Fausser and Lambrou (2015) analyzed potential biomarker candidates that could be used in a clinical setting to predict response to anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) treatment of neovascular AMD (nAMD). SNPs from 39 publications were evaluated and divided into two categories; those associated with AMD pathogenesis and those targeted by anti-VEGF therapies. The authors found that several studies supported an association between anti-VEGF treatment response and two SNPs, CFH rs1061170 and VEGFA rs699947, but results from randomized controlled trials found no such association (Fausser & Lambrou, 2015).

Chew et al. (2014) determined whether genotypes at two major loci associated with late AMD, complement factor H (CFH) and age-related maculopathy susceptibility 2 (ARMS2), influenced the relative benefits of Age-Related Eye Disease Study (AREDS) supplements; the original AREDS formulation contained vitamins C and E, zinc, copper and beta-carotene. A total of 1237 AREDS participants, 385 with late AMD, were genotyped. Both CFH and ARMS2 genotypes were noted to individually associate with progression to late AMD. However, the investigators found that the genotypes at the CFH and ARMS2 loci did not significantly alter the benefits of AREDS supplements. The investigators concluded that “genetic testing remains a valuable research tool, but these analyses suggest it provides no benefits in managing nutritional supplementation for patients at risk of late AMD” (Chew et al., 2014).

Hagstrom et al. (2015) evaluated the pharmacogenetic relationship between genotypes of SNPs in the VEGF signaling pathway and response to treatment with ranibizumab or bevacizumab for nAMD. For each of the measures of visual equity evaluated, there was no association with any of the genotypes or with the number of risk alleles. The investigators concluded that there are no pharmacogenetic associations between the studied VEGF-A and VEGFR-2 SNPs and response to anti-VEGF therapy (Hagstrom et al., 2015).

Cascella et al. (2018) aimed to characterize exudative AMD in the Italian population and to identify the susceptibility/protective factors (genetic variants, age, sex, smoking, and dietary habits) that are specific for the onset of disease. The study involved a cohort of 1976 subjects, including 976 patients affected with exudative AMD and 1000 control subjects who underwent genotyping analysis of 20 genetic variants known to be associated with AMD. This analysis revealed that eight genetic variants (CFH, ARMS2, IL-8, TIMP3, SLC16A8, RAD51B, VEGFA and COL8A1) were significantly associated with AMD susceptibility. Following a multivariate analysis, considering both genetic and non-genetic data available, age, smoking, dietary habits, and sex, together with the genetic variants, were significantly associated with AMD (Cascella et al., 2018).

*Avalon Healthcare Solutions is an independent company providing laboratory benefits management on behalf of HMSA.*



Chen et al. (2020) completed a study of 2,343 Chinese and Japanese individuals including patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD), polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy (PCV) and healthy controls. PCV is a disease of the choroidal vasculature in the eye. The *TIE2* (tyrosine kinase, endothelial, *TEK*) gene was the main focus in this study. In the analysis of all participants, a SNP of the *TIE2* gene (rs625767) was significantly associated with nAMD and PCV (Chen et al., 2020).

Strunz et al. (2020) completed a transcriptome-wide association study that included data from 6,144 late-stage AMD cases and 17,832 healthy controls. A total of 10 genes were significantly associated with AMD variants in at least one tissue in this study (27 different human tissues were analyzed). The authors conclude by stating that “our study highlights the fact that expression of genes associated with AMD is not restricted to retinal tissue as could be expected for an eye disease of the posterior pole, but instead is rather ubiquitous suggesting processes underlying AMD pathology to be of systemic nature” (Strunz et al., 2020).

Pontikos et al. (2020) conducted a retrospective study of electronic records in families with molecularly characterized IRD, to investigate proportions with disease attributable to gene variants. It was found that depending on the inheritance pattern, different genes were more likely to be implicated; among all the genes encountered, *ABCA4* was most frequent, but when accounting for types of retinitis pigmentosa (RP), the autosomal recessive type was most frequently caused by *USH2A* whereas autosomal dominant RP was most linked with *RHO*, *RP1*, and *PRPF31*. Additionally, many X-linked retinopathies were the result of variants in *RPGR* (about 40%). More families in the study’s pediatric cohort were affected by variants in X-linked genes, likely a result of earlier onset and severity of X-linked pathologies and likelihood of earlier diagnoses. The researchers also noted a weak but statistically significant positive correlation with transcription lengths and number of families affected by eye conditions, as longer transcripts are more likely to contain loss of function or premature termination mutations (Pontikos et al., 2020).

Sheck et al. (2021) reported on the performance of a next-generation sequencing (NGS) panel of 176 retinal genes (NGS 176) in patients with IRD. Among 488 patients, a diagnostic yield of 59.4% was recorded, with younger children being more likely to receive a molecular diagnosis than older adults. The clinical diagnoses were also statistically significantly associated with the diagnostic yield after multivariate analyses. Homogeneous IRD phenotypes of achromatopsia and congenital stationary night blindness, which were associated with six and ten genes, respectively, had diagnostic yields of 100% and 94%, respectively. This study demonstrated the effectiveness of using a new sequencing panel in the UK, and other factors, like age and clinical diagnoses that could correlate with a higher diagnostic yield (Sheck et al., 2021).

García Bohórquez et al. (2021) investigated the genetic basis for IRD in 92 patients using two custom NGS panels. At the time of the study, there were 270 genes associated with IRD. Using NGS, the authors found: among 92 patients, 53 had known gene variants, in 12 patients there was just one mutation in a gene found with a known autosomal recessive pattern of inheritance, and 27 patients (29.3%) had zero specified or identified genes, representing “unsolved” cases. A total of 120 pathogenic or likely pathogenic instances were identified. The most common gene variant was *ABCA4*. The *USH2A* gene was the most frequently found gene amongst patients diagnosed with retinitis pigmentosa. Lastly, a total of 10 families had pathogenic variants in more than one IRD-related gene (García Bohórquez et al., 2021).

## V. Guidelines and Recommendations

### American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO)

In 2014, the American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) Task Force on Genetic Testing published recommendations for genetic testing of inherited eye diseases. The Task Force stated that standard clinical diagnostic methods like biomicroscopy, ophthalmoscopy, tonography, and perimetry will be more accurate for assessing a patient's risk of vision loss from a complex disease than the assessment of a small number of genetic loci. The authors also state that "skilled counseling should be provided to all individuals who undergo genetic testing to maximize the benefits and minimize the risks associated with each test" (Stone et al., 2014). The recommendations include:

- "Offer genetic testing to patients with clinical findings suggestive of a Mendelian disorder whose causative gene(s) have been identified. If unfamiliar with such testing, refer the patient to a physician or counselor who is. In all cases, ensure that the patient receives counseling from a physician with expertise in inherited disease or a certified genetic counselor.
- Use Clinical Laboratories Improvement Amendments– approved laboratories for all clinical testing. When possible, use laboratories that include in their reports estimates of the pathogenicity of observed genetic variants that are based on a review of the medical literature and databases of disease-causing and non–disease-causing variants.
- Provide a copy of each genetic test report to the patient so that she or he will be able independently to seek mechanism-specific information, such as the availability of gene-specific clinical trials, should the patient wish to do so.
- Avoid direct-to-consumer genetic testing and discourage patients from obtaining such tests themselves. Encourage the involvement of a trained physician, genetic counselor, or both for all genetic tests so that appropriate interpretation and counseling can be provided.
- Avoid unnecessary parallel testing— order the most specific test(s) available given the patient's clinical findings. Restrict massively parallel strategies like whole-exome sequencing and whole-genome sequencing to research studies conducted at tertiary care facilities.
- Avoid routine genetic testing for genetically complex disorders like age-related macular degeneration and late-onset primary open-angle glaucoma until specific treatment or surveillance strategies have been shown in 1 or more published prospective clinical trials to be of benefit to individuals with specific disease-associated genotypes. In the meantime, confine the genotyping of such patients to research studies.
- Avoid testing asymptomatic minors for untreatable disorders except in extraordinary circumstances. For the few cases in which such testing is believed to be warranted, the following steps should be taken before the test is performed: (1) the parents and child should undergo formal genetic counseling, (2) the certified counselor or physician performing the counseling should state his or her opinion in writing that the test is in the family's best interest, and (3) all parents with custodial responsibility for the child should agree in writing with the decision to perform the test" (Stone et al., 2014).

In 2019, the AAO published the Age-Related Macular Degeneration Preferred Practice Pattern guidelines and state that "The primary risk factors for the development of advanced AMD include increasing age,

*Avalon Healthcare Solutions is an independent company providing laboratory benefits management on behalf of HMSA.*

northern European ancestry, and genetic factors... The routine use of genetic testing is not recommended at this time” (AAO, 2019). In 2023, they reaffirmed that the AAO “does not currently recommend genetic testing for AMD” (Mukamal, 2021).

In 2022, the AAO published recommendations on clinical assessment of patients with inherited retinal degenerations (IRDs). These clinical guidelines state that “Genetic testing and genetic counseling are essential components of the management of patients with IRDs as genetic testing may confirm the diagnosis, provide information to optimize management of the patient and family members, and potentially confirm eligibility to participate in clinical trials.” They also note that “genetic testing for patients with IRDs can take multiple forms, including single gene analyses, panel-based tests that include many IRD disease genes, or more expansive testing such as whole exome and whole genome sequencing. Because of the genetic heterogeneity of the other phenotypes (>80), next generation sequencing testing using a retinal dystrophy panel provides an efficient first step for genetic testing. Whether the patient has syndromic features or not, testing should include genes known to be associated with syndromic forms of retinal disease, since some patients may only show the syndromic features later. Some ‘syndromic genes’ can be associated with a non-syndromic retinal degeneration.” AAO also reiterates the importance of genetic testing for gene therapy: “patients would need to have genetic testing to determine if they are eligible for the FDA-approved voretigene neparvovec or be considered for any of the numerous clinical trials of gene-based therapies” (AAO, 2022).

### **European Reference Network for Rare Eye Diseases (ERN-EYE)**

The ERN-EYE released a position statement on the need for eliminating gaps in genetic testing, as collectively, rare eye diseases (RED) are the “leading cause of visual impairment and blindness for children and young adults in Europe.” There are still critical gaps in the administration of genomic testing that need to be addressed, especially in Europe’s smaller countries where no formal genomic testing pathways exist. However, the ERN-EYE emphasizes promoting access to genetic testing to RED and the clinical need and relevance of it with increasing evidence for clinical utility (Black et al., 2021).

### **American Society of Retina Specialists (ASRS)**

The ASRS states that there is no clinical evidence that changing treatment based on genetic risk is beneficial to the patient. At present there is “insufficient data to support the use of genetic testing in patients with AMD prior to recommendation of current Age-Related Eye Disease Study (AREDS) nutritional supplement use” (Csaky et al., 2017).

### **Italian IRD Working Group**

An interdisciplinary panel of IRD experts convened to discuss IRD. They established parameters surrounding eligibility for *RPE65*-associated IRD gene therapy. The working group published “a strong consensus” recommendation for the use of “a targeted multi-gene NGS approach, including all the genes known to be responsible for IRDs, both isolated and syndromic forms.” The authors also specify that larger panels such as clinical exome or whole-exome sequencing may also be used. They write, “The use of a larger panel (i.e. either a clinical exome or a whole-exome sequencing) is not excluded but, due to the issue of possible incidental findings, requires a more careful pre-test counselling” (Sodi et al., 2021).

## VI. Applicable State and Federal Regulations

DISCLAIMER: If there is a conflict between this Policy and any relevant, applicable government policy for a particular member [e.g., Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) for Medicare and/or state coverage for Medicaid], then the government policy will be used to make the determination. For the most up-to-date Medicare policies and coverage, please visit the [Medicare search website](#). For the most up-to-date Medicaid policies and coverage, visit the applicable state Medicaid website.

### Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Many labs have developed specific tests that they must validate and perform in house. These laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) are regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) as high-complexity tests under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA '88). LDTs are not approved or cleared by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration; however, FDA clearance or approval is not currently required for clinical use.

## VII. Important Reminder

The purpose of this Medical Policy is to provide a guide to coverage. This Medical Policy is not intended to dictate to providers how to practice medicine. Nothing in this Medical Policy is intended to discourage or prohibit providing other medical advice or treatment deemed appropriate by the treating physician.

Benefit determinations are subject to applicable member contract language. To the extent there are any conflicts between these guidelines and the contract language, the contract language will control.

This Medical Policy has been developed through consideration of the medical necessity criteria under Hawaii's Patients' Bill of Rights and Responsibilities Act (Hawaii Revised Statutes §432E-1.4) or for QUEST members, under Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR 1700.1-42), generally accepted standards of medical practice and review of medical literature and government approval status.

HMSA has determined that services not covered under this Medical Policy will not be medically necessary under Hawaii law in most cases. If a treating physician disagrees with HMSA's determination as to medical necessity in a given case, the physician may request that HMSA reconsider the application of the medical necessity criteria to the case at issue in light of any supporting documentation.

Genetic testing is covered for level 1 or 2A recommendations of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN and in accordance with Hawaii's Patients' Bill of Rights and Responsibilities Act (Hawaii Revised Statutes §432E-1.4) or for QUEST members, the Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR 1700.1-42).

## VIII. Evidence-based Scientific References

- AAO. (2019). *Age-Related Macular Degeneration PPP 2019*. <https://www.aao.org/preferred-practice-pattern/age-related-macular-degeneration-ppp>
- AAO. (2022). *Recommendations on Clinical Assessment of Patients with Inherited Retinal Degenerations - 2022*. <https://www.aao.org/education/clinical-statement/guidelines-on-clinical-assessment-of-patients-with#top>
- Avalon, J. Y., Glenn. (2021). Ocular gene therapy: The next generation. *Retina Specialist*. <https://www.retina-specialist.com/article/ocular-gene-therapy-the-next-generation>
- Black, G. C., Sergouniotis, P., Sodi, A., Leroy, B. P., Van Cauwenbergh, C., Liskova, P., Grønskov, K., Klett, A., Kohl, S., Taurina, G., Sukys, M., Haer-Wigman, L., Nowomiejska, K., Marques, J. P., Leroux, D., Cremers, F. P. M., De Baere, E., Dollfus, H., & group, E.-E. s. (2021). The need for widely available genomic testing in rare eye diseases: an ERN-EYE position statement. *Orphanet journal of rare diseases*, 16(1), 142-142. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-021-01756-x>
- Blueprint. (2020). *Ophthalmology*. <https://blueprintgenetics.com/tests/panels/ophthalmology/>
- Cascella, R., Straffella, C., Longo, G., Ragazzo, M., Manzo, L., De Felici, C., Errichiello, V., Caputo, V., Viola, F., Eandi, C. M., Staurengi, G., Cusumano, A., Mauriello, S., Marsella, L. T., Ciccacci, C., Borgiani, P., Sangiuolo, F., Novelli, G., Ricci, F., & Giardina, E. (2018). Uncovering genetic and non-genetic biomarkers specific for exudative age-related macular degeneration: significant association of twelve variants. *Oncotarget*, 9(8), 7812-7821. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.23241>
- Chen, Z. J., Ma, L., Brelen, M. E., Chen, H., Tsujikawa, M., Lai, T. Y., Ho, M., Sayanagi, K., Hara, C., Hashida, N., Tam, P. O., Young, A. L., Nishida, K., Tham, C. C., Pang, C. P., & Chen, L. J. (2020). Identification of TIE2 as a susceptibility gene for neovascular age-related macular degeneration and polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy. *Br J Ophthalmol*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2019-315746>
- Chew, E. Y., Klein, M. L., Clemons, T. E., Agron, E., Ratnapriya, R., Edwards, A. O., Fritsche, L. G., Swaroop, A., & Abecasis, G. R. (2014). No clinically significant association between CFH and ARMS2 genotypes and response to nutritional supplements: AREDS report number 38. *Ophthalmology*, 121(11), 2173-2180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2014.05.008>
- Cipriani, V., Lores-Motta, L., He, F., Fathalla, D., Tilakaratna, V., McHarg, S., Bayatti, N., Acar, I. E., Hoyng, C. B., Fauser, S., Moore, A. T., Yates, J. R. W., de Jong, E. K., Morgan, B. P., den Hollander, A. I., Bishop, P. N., & Clark, S. J. (2020). Increased circulating levels of Factor H-Related Protein 4 are strongly associated with age-related macular degeneration. *Nat Commun*, 11(1), 778. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14499-3>
- Csaky, K. G., Schachat, A. P., Kaiser, P. K., Small, K. W., & Heier, J. S. (2017). The Use of Genetic Testing in the Management of Patients With Age-Related Macular Degeneration: American Society of Retina Specialists Genetics Task Force Special Report. *Journal of VitreoRetinal Diseases*, 1(1), 75-78. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2474126416680671>
- Fauser, S., & Lambrou, G. N. (2015). Genetic predictive biomarkers of anti-VEGF treatment response in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Surv Ophthalmol*, 60(2), 138-152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.survophthal.2014.11.002>



- García Bohórquez, B., Aller, E., Rodríguez Muñoz, A., Jaijo, T., García García, G., & Millán, J. M. (2021). Updating the Genetic Landscape of Inherited Retinal Dystrophies [Original Research]. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.645600>
- GeneDx. (2024). *Glaucoma Panel*. <https://www.genedx.com/tests/detail/glaucoma-panel-940>
- Hagstrom, S. A., Ying, G. S., Maguire, M. G., Martin, D. F., Gibson, J., Lotery, A., & Chakravarthy, U. (2015). VEGFR2 Gene Polymorphisms and Response to Anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Therapy in Age-Related Macular Degeneration. *Ophthalmology*, 122(8), 1563-1568. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.04.024>
- Hanany, M., Rivolta, C., & Sharon, D. (2020). Worldwide carrier frequency and genetic prevalence of autosomal recessive inherited retinal diseases. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(5), 2710-2716. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.1913179117>
- Invitae. (2024). *Invitae Inherited Retinal Disorders Panel*. <https://www.invitae.com/en/inherited-retinal-disorders-panel/>
- Lee, K., & Couser, N. (2016). Genetic Testing for Eye Diseases: A Comprehensive Guide and Review of Ocular Genetic Manifestations from Anterior Segment Malformation to Retinal Dystrophy. *Genetic Counseling and Clinical Testing* 4, 41-48. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40142-016-0087-0>
- Lenassi, E., Clayton-Smith, J., Douzgou, S., Ramsden, S. C., Ingram, S., Hall, G., Hardcastle, C. L., Fletcher, T. A., Taylor, R. L., Ellingford, J. M., Newman, W. D., Fenerty, C., Sharma, V., Lloyd, I. C., Biswas, S., Ashworth, J. L., Black, G. C., & Sergouniotis, P. I. (2019). Clinical utility of genetic testing in 201 preschool children with inherited eye disorders. *Genet Med*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-019-0722-8>
- Luxturna. (2022). *Could LUXTURNA® be right for you?* <https://luxturna.com/about-luxturna/>
- Michalakakis, S., Gerhardt, M., Rudolph, G., Priglinger, S., & Priglinger, C. (2021). Gene Therapy for Inherited Retinal Disorders: Update on Clinical Trials. *Klin Monbl Augenheilkd*, 238(3), 272-281. <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1384-0818> (Gentherapie für erbliche Netzhauterkrankungen: Übersicht zu aktuellen klinischen Studien.)
- MolecularVision. (2023). *Browse Our Test Menu*. <https://www.molecularvisionlab.com/mvl-vision-panel/>
- Mukamal, R. (2021, 01/19/2021). *Genetics and Age-Related Macular Degeneration*. <https://www.aao.org/eye-health/diseases/age-related-macular-degeneration-amd-genetics>
- Pontikos, N., Arno, G., Jurkute, N., Schiff, E., Ba-Abbad, R., Malka, S., Gimenez, A., Georgiou, M., Wright, G., Armengol, M., Knight, H., Katz, M., Moosajee, M., Yu-Wai-Man, P., Moore, A. T., Michaelides, M., Webster, A. R., & Mahroo, O. A. (2020). Genetic Basis of Inherited Retinal Disease in a Molecularly Characterized Cohort of More Than 3000 Families from the United Kingdom. *Ophthalmology*, 127(10), 1384-1394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2020.04.008>
- PreventionGenetics. (2024). *Stargardt Disease (STGD) and Macular Dystrophies Panel*. <https://www.preventiongenetics.com/testInfo.php?sel=test&val=Stargardt+Disease+%28STGD%29+and+Macular+Dystrophies+Panel>
- Sangermano, R., Scotta, H., Wagner, N., Place, E., & Bujakowska, K. M. (2020). *Genetics and Genomics of Eye Diseases: Chapter 14 - Genetic testing of various eye disorders*.
- Sheck, L. H. N., Esposti, S. D., Mahroo, O. A., Arno, G., Pontikos, N., Wright, G., Webster, A. R., Khan, K. N., & Michaelides, M. (2021). Panel-based genetic testing for inherited retinal disease screening 176 genes. *Mol Genet Genomic Med*, e1663. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mgg3.1663>



- Singh, M., & Tyagi, S. C. (2018). Genes and genetics in eye diseases: a genomic medicine approach for investigating hereditary and inflammatory ocular disorders. *Int J Ophthalmol*, 11(1), 117-134. <https://doi.org/10.18240/ijo.2018.01.20>
- Sodi, A., Banfi, S., Testa, F., Della Corte, M., Passerini, I., Pelo, E., Rossi, S., Simonelli, F., & Italian, I. R. D. W. G. (2021). RPE65-associated inherited retinal diseases: consensus recommendations for eligibility to gene therapy. *Orphanet journal of rare diseases*, 16(1), 257. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-021-01868-4>
- Stasiukonyte, N., Liutkeviciene, R., Vilkeviciute, A., Banevicius, M., & Kriauciuniene, L. (2017). Associations between Rs4244285 and Rs762551 gene polymorphisms and age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmic Genet*, 38(4), 357-364. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13816810.2016.1242018>
- Stone, E. M., Aldave, A. J., Drack, A. V., Maccumber, M. W., Sheffield, V. C., Traboulsi, E., & Weleber, R. G. (2014). Recommendations for Genetic Testing of Inherited Eye Diseases - 2014. Retrieved 1/29/2015, from <https://www.aao.org/clinical-statement/recommendations-genetic-testing-of-inherited-eye-d>
- Strunz, T., Lauwen, S., Kiel, C., Hollander, A. D., & Weber, B. H. F. (2020). A transcriptome-wide association study based on 27 tissues identifies 106 genes potentially relevant for disease pathology in age-related macular degeneration. *Sci Rep*, 10(1), 1584. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58510-9>
- Thomas, C. J., Mirza, R. G., & Gill, M. K. (2021). Age-Related Macular Degeneration. *Medical Clinics of North America*, 105(3), 473-491. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcna.2021.01.003>
- Wiggs, J. L. (2017). Progress in Diagnostic Genetic Testing for Inherited Eye Disease. *JAMA Ophthalmol*, 135(12), 1385-1386. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2017.4957>

## IX. Policy History

Action Date	Action
June 01, 2023	Policy created
December 03, 2024	Policy approved by Medical Directors
December 20, 2024	Policy approved at UMC
February 01, 2025	Policy effective date following notification period