

Status: Updated codes 04/01/2025 Effective Date: 11/17/2024

Doc ID: GEN03-1124.3-UC0425 **Last Review Date:** 10/28/2024

Approval and implementation dates for specific health plans may vary. Please consult the applicable health plan for more details.

Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines

Genetic Testing

Appropriate Use Criteria: Cellfree DNA Testing (Liquid Biopsy) for the Management of Cancer

Proprietary

© 2025 Carelon Medical Benefits Management, Inc. All rights reserved.

Table of Contents

Description and Application of the Guidelines	3
General Clinical Guideline	4
Clinical Appropriateness Framework	
Simultaneous Ordering of Multiple Diagnostic or Therapeutic Interventions	
Repeat Diagnostic Intervention	4
Repeat Therapeutic Intervention	5
Cell-free DNA Testing (Liquid Biopsy) for the Management of Cancer	
Clinical Indications	
General Requirements	6
Cell-free DNA (ctDNA, Liquid Biopsy) Testing	
Not Medically Necessary	7
Rationale	7
References	g
Codes	11
History	

Description and Application of the Guidelines

The Carelon Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines (hereinafter "the Carelon Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines" or the "Guidelines") are designed to assist providers in making the most appropriate treatment decision for a specific clinical condition for an individual. The Guidelines establish objective and evidence-based criteria for medical necessity determinations, where possible, that can be used in support of the following:

- To establish criteria for when services are medically necessary
- To assist the practitioner as an educational tool
- To encourage standardization of medical practice patterns
- To curtail the performance of inappropriate and/or duplicate services
- To address patient safety concerns
- To enhance the quality of health care
- To promote the most efficient and cost-effective use of services

The Carelon guideline development process complies with applicable accreditation and legal standards, including the requirement that the Guidelines be developed with involvement from appropriate providers with current clinical expertise relevant to the Guidelines under review and be based on the most up-to-date clinical principles and best practices. Resources reviewed include widely used treatment guidelines, randomized controlled trials or prospective cohort studies, and large systematic reviews or meta-analyses. Carelon reviews all of its Guidelines at least annually.

Carelon makes its Guidelines publicly available on its website. Copies of the Guidelines are also available upon oral or written request. Additional details, such as summaries of evidence, a list of the sources of evidence, and an explanation of the rationale that supports the adoption of the Guidelines, are included in each guideline document.

Although the Guidelines are publicly available, Carelon considers the Guidelines to be important, proprietary information of Carelon, which cannot be sold, assigned, leased, licensed, reproduced or distributed without the written consent of Carelon.

Carelon applies objective and evidence-based criteria, and takes individual circumstances and the local delivery system into account when determining the medical appropriateness of health care services. The Carelon Guidelines are just guidelines for the provision of specialty health services. These criteria are designed to guide both providers and reviewers to the most appropriate services based on a patient's unique circumstances. In all cases, clinical judgment consistent with the standards of good medical practice should be used when applying the Guidelines. Guideline determinations are made based on the information provided at the time of the request. It is expected that medical necessity decisions may change as new information is provided or based on unique aspects of the patient's condition. The treating clinician has final authority and responsibility for treatment decisions regarding the care of the patient and for justifying and demonstrating the existence of medical necessity for the requested service. The Guidelines are not a substitute for the experience and judgment of a physician or other health care professionals. Any clinician seeking to apply or consult the Guidelines is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine any patient's care or treatment.

The Guidelines do not address coverage, benefit or other plan specific issues. Applicable federal and state coverage mandates take precedence over these clinical guidelines, and in the case of reviews for Medicare Advantage Plans, the Guidelines are only applied where there are not fully established CMS criteria. If requested by a health plan, Carelon will review requests based on health plan medical policy/guidelines in lieu of the Carelon Guidelines. Use of an FDA approved or conditionally approved product does not constitute medical necessity or guarantee reimbursement by the respective health plan.

The Guidelines may also be used by the health plan or by Carelon for purposes of provider education, or to review the medical necessity of services by any provider who has been notified of the need for medical necessity review, due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers in terms of frequency or some other manner.

General Clinical Guideline

Clinical Appropriateness Framework

Critical to any finding of clinical appropriateness under the guidelines for a specific diagnostic or therapeutic intervention are the following elements:

- Prior to any intervention, it is essential that the clinician confirm the diagnosis or establish its pretest likelihood based on a complete evaluation of the patient. This includes a history and physical examination and, where applicable, a review of relevant laboratory studies, diagnostic testing, and response to prior therapeutic intervention.
- The anticipated benefit of the recommended intervention is likely to outweigh any potential harms, including from delay or decreased access to services that may result (net benefit).
- Widely used treatment guidelines and/or current clinical literature and/or standards of medical practice should support that the recommended intervention offers the greatest net benefit among competing alternatives.
- There exists a reasonable likelihood that the intervention will change management and/or lead to an improved outcome for the patient.

Providers may be required to submit clinical documentation in support of a request for services. Such documentation must a) accurately reflect the clinical situation at the time of the requested service, and b) sufficiently document the ordering provider's clinical intent.

If these elements are not established with respect to a given request, the determination of appropriateness will most likely require a peer-to-peer conversation to understand the individual and unique facts that would justify a finding of clinical appropriateness. During the peer-to-peer conversation, factors such as patient acuity and setting of service may also be taken into account to the extent permitted by law.

Genetic tests not specifically mentioned in the guidelines are considered not medically necessary.

Simultaneous Ordering of Multiple Diagnostic or Therapeutic Interventions

Requests for multiple diagnostic or therapeutic interventions at the same time will often require a peer-to-peer conversation to understand the individual circumstances that support the medical necessity of performing all interventions simultaneously. This is based on the fact that appropriateness of additional intervention is often dependent on the outcome of the initial intervention.

Additionally, either of the following may apply:

- Current literature and/or standards of medical practice support that one of the requested diagnostic or therapeutic interventions is more appropriate in the clinical situation presented; or
- One of the diagnostic or therapeutic interventions requested is more likely to improve patient outcomes based on current literature and/or standards of medical practice.

Repeat Diagnostic Intervention

In general, repeated testing of the same anatomic location for the same indication should be limited to evaluation following an intervention, or when there is a change in clinical status such that additional testing is required to determine next steps in management. At times, it may be necessary to repeat a test using different techniques or protocols to clarify a finding or result of the original study.

Repeated testing for the same indication using the same or similar technology may be subject to additional review or require peer-to-peer conversation in the following scenarios:

Repeated diagnostic testing at the same facility due to technical issues

- Repeated diagnostic testing requested at a different facility due to provider preference or quality concerns
- Repeated diagnostic testing of the same anatomic area based on persistent symptoms with no clinical change, treatment, or intervention since the previous study
- Repeated diagnostic testing of the same anatomic area by different providers for the same member over a short period of time

Repeat Therapeutic Intervention

In general, repeated therapeutic intervention in the same anatomic area is considered appropriate when the prior intervention proved effective or beneficial and the expected duration of relief has lapsed. A repeat intervention requested prior to the expected duration of relief is not appropriate unless it can be confirmed that the prior intervention was never administered. Requests for on-going services may depend on completion of previously authorized services in situations where a patient's response to authorized services is relevant to a determination of clinical appropriateness.

Cell-free DNA Testing (Liquid Biopsy) for the Management of Cancer

Clinical Indications

General Requirements

Repeated testing of the same individual for the same indication should be limited to evaluation following an intervention, or when there is a change in clinical status such that additional testing is required to determine next steps in management. At times, it may be necessary to repeat a test using different techniques or protocols to clarify a finding or result of the original study.

Repeated testing for the same indication using the same or similar technology may be subject to additional review or require peer-to-peer conversation in the following scenarios:

- Repeated diagnostic testing of the same tumor site with no clinical change, treatment, or intervention since the previous study
- Repeated diagnostic testing of the same individual and the same tumor by different providers over a short period of time

Cell-free DNA (ctDNA, Liquid Biopsy) Testing

Individuals with invasive malignancy for whom liquid biopsy is a companion diagnostic test

Liquid (ctDNA) based testing is considered **medically necessary** for individuals with invasive malignancy for whom the liquid biopsy test is a companion diagnostic test described by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as necessary for patient selection, and **BOTH** of the following criteria are met:

- Specific cancer treatment is being considered to correspond with the FDA companion diagnostic indication
- Other somatic tumor testing results do not already provide support for the specific cancer therapy being considered that corresponds to the FDA companion diagnostic indication

Individuals with locally advanced (stage IIIb), recurrent, or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer

Liquid (ctDNA) based testing is considered **medically necessary** for individuals with pathologically confirmed locally advanced (stage IIIb), recurrent, or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), and **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- There is insufficient tumor tissue available for NGS-based somatic profiling or for whom tissue biopsy is unsafe or considered infeasible due to the individual's clinical condition
- No prior NGS-based somatic profiling test has previously been performed for this pathological diagnosis of NSCLC
- The test is being used to provide genetic information related to the current set of actionable mutations recognized by ASCO guidelines to inform management at diagnosis or treatment progression on or after chemotherapy or immunotherapy

Individuals with metastatic breast cancer who may benefit from PIK3CA/AKT1/PTEN or ESR1-targeted therapy

Liquid (ctDNA) based testing, to include PIK3CA, AKT1, PTEN and/or ESR1 somatic tumor testing, is considered **medically necessary** to identify individuals who may benefit from the use of alpelisib, capivasertib plus fulvestrant or elacestrant (or other FDA approved agents targeting these same pathways) when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- The individual is either an adult man OR postmenopausal woman
- The individual has ER-positive and HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer
- The individual is a candidate for use per drug label of an applicable FDA approved targeted agent
- The individual has not had prior testing for the targeted gene(s) of interest in the metastatic setting
- There is insufficient tumor tissue available for NGS-based somatic profiling or tissue biopsy is unsafe or considered infeasible due to the individual's clinical condition

Individuals with metastatic adenocarcinoma of the prostate who may benefit from a PARP inhibitor or PD-1 inhibitor

Liquid (ctDNA) based testing is considered **medically necessary** for individuals with metastatic adenocarcinoma when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- The individual has biopsy-proven adenocarcinoma of the prostate
- The individual has not had prior NGS testing in the metastatic setting
- The individual is a candidate for ONE of the following therapies:
 - FDA approved PARP inhibitor (olaparib, rucaparib, or other approved PARP inhibitor)
 - FDA approved PD-1 inhibitor (pembrolizumab or other approved checkpoint inhibitor)
- There is insufficient tumor tissue available for NGS-based somatic profiling or tissue biopsy is unsafe or considered infeasible due to the individual's clinical condition

Not Medically Necessary

Individuals without malignancy for whom liquid biopsy is used for screening

Liquid (ctDNA) based testing is considered **not medically necessary** for individuals without invasive malignancy for whom the liquid biopsy test is being used for early initial cancer diagnosis or cancer screening

Individuals with invasive solid tumor malignancy for whom liquid biopsy is used to assess for minimal residual disease (MRD) during and after treatment

Liquid (ctDNA) based testing is considered **not medically necessary** for individuals with invasive solid tumor malignancy for whom the liquid biopsy test is being used to assess for MRD during and after treatment

Rationale

Liquid biopsy refers to diagnostic tests obtained from a blood sample used to inform the management of individuals with cancer. Given that intra-tumoral heterogeneity and tumor evolution contribute to treatment failure in patients with cancer, there has been interest in exploring liquid biopsy for use as an alternative to tissue biopsy in the diagnosis of cancer, for clinical response to targeted agents of cancer treatment, for early cancer detection (i.e., screening) and for cancer surveillance. Cell-free DNA (cfDNA) is defined as DNA that is circulating freely in body fluids, such as blood plasma, and is released from all

types of cells. Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) refers to fragments of DNA that are released from a tumor and migrate into bodily fluids, such as blood plasma. A liquid biopsy panel is defined as five or more ctDNA genes or gene mutation variants being tested. There are more than a dozen commercially available liquid biopsy panel tests, and the turnaround time varies for this testing but is typically 7-10 days.

For liquid biopsy, preanalytical issues, such as the type of specimen analyzed, procedures of sample collection, handling, processing and storage, and certain patient factors.¹ Use of plasma (rather than serum) is preferred and the type of collection tubes, preservatives in those tubes, and temperature of those tubes for 3-7 days after specimen collection are also important. Moreover, liquid biopsy performance of liquid biopsy varies by patient setting. For example, ctDNA levels are often low or undetectable in patients with a low tumor burden, cancer at specific sites and specific histologies, or tumors that have low levels of proliferation, apoptosis and/or vascularization.² Of crucial importance to liquid biopsy is that clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP), a phenomenon associated with increasing age, can affect the interpretation of cfDNA results, particularly when low variant-associated fraction (VAF) ctDNA levels are identified.² Overall, limited data are available regarding the effect of blood draw procedures and potentially confounding patient related factors that may contribute to the release of cell-free DNA.¹ Like other tests for clinical use, the stages of development for liquid biopsy tests include demonstration of analytical validity, clinical validity, and clinical utility. Importantly, clinical utility refers to evidence of clinically meaningful improvements in clinical outcomes (clinical efficacy or reduced toxicity) compared with standard testing methods used to direct patient management.

The most common clinical scenario where use of ctDNA analysis is pursued is for patients with advanced or metastatic nonsmall cell lung cancer. In the past, ctDNA analysis in advanced/metastatic NSCLC was reserved for the assessment of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutational status, either in treatment-naïve patients with insufficient tissue for tumor genotyping or after acquired resistance to 1st/2nd generation EGFR tyrosine-kinase inhibitor treatments. However, there is now evidence to support the clinical use of broad-based platform such as next-generation sequencing (NGS) in genotyping for multiple other actionable oncogene drivers (such as aberrations in EGFR, ALK, ROS1, RET, MET, HER2, KRAS, NTRK, and/or BRAF) in newly diagnosed patients with tumor tissue available for initial genotyping.^{3, 4} Prospective studies have shown that positive finding on plasma NGS testing are highly concordant with tissue-based NGS test findings, although negative findings in plasma requires further testing.^{5, 6} Some guidelines suggest that liquid biopsy can be used in certain clinical settings when tissue testing proves inadequate⁷, although the ASCO guidelines found that there is currently insufficient evidence to support the use of this test method routinely for the diagnosis of primary lung adenocarcinoma.³ An update of the ASCO quideline for therapy for stage IV non-small cell lung cancer with driver alterations focused on specific evidence around first and second line treatment choices based on driver alterations but not on approaches to molecular diagnosis. 8 The updated ESMO clinical practice guideline encompasses diagnosis and treatment and makes it clear that tissue samples are critical for histological diagnosis and that use of ctDNA is recommended when tissue samples are unavailable.9 Testing of ctDNA lacks sensitivity, especially when disease burden is low, and thus expert guidelines uniformly suggest that tissue testing would still be recommended if ctDNA testing is negative. 9, 10 Thus, ctDNA does not replace tissue testing, it is not used in lieu of histologic diagnosis, and it is not recommended in stages I-III disease or in stage IV disease confined to the thorax, and it is not preferred when sufficient tissue is available. It is noteworthy that testing of lung cancer and other solid tumors for tumor mutational burden (TMB) has considerable technical nuance, and more research is needed to improve the assay and identify optimal cutoffs before blood-based TMB testing should be used to drive treatment decisions in clinical practice. 11-13 With regard to testing for driver mutations in earlier stages of non-small cell lung cancer, data indicate that use of osimertinib (a targeted agent used to treat EGFR mutated NSCLC) in the adjuvant setting for patients with resected stage IB-IIIA NSCLC is associated with clinically significant improvements in overall survival. 14 In this scenario, EGFR testing of tissue specimens can be obtained before surgery or at the time of surgery. While neoadjuvant treatment targeted at EGFR mutations is being explored, it has not been established as effective with major pathological response rates of 15%, which is below the threshold expected.¹⁵

Another area of keen interest in the application of ctDNA testing is in colorectal cancer where there is exploration of ctDNA in several potential applications to inform clinical decision-making. Prospective studies such as CIRCULATE, Dynamic II/III, and ACT3 are underway in the MRD setting to further understand how ctDNA may be used. ¹⁶ Notably, the phase II/III COBRA study halted enrollment and the phase II endpoint was not met. The authors reported no improvement in ctDNA clearance after 6 months of chemotherapy in patients with ctDNA detected after resection of stage IIA colon cancer. ¹⁷ Data from the Dynamic study, a non-inferiority study featuring use of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) to guide adjuvant therapy for stage II colon cancer, have now been published. ¹⁸ This is a phase II biomarker-driven multicenter trial that enrolled 455 patients in Australia and New Zealand who were randomly assigned to either ctDNA-guided chemotherapy or standard management, which was clinician-guided based on conventional criteria. The primary endpoint was recurrence-free survival (RFS) at 2 years with a non-inferiority design that involved a large 8.5% margin to still be considered non-inferior. Predictably, the relapse-free survival rate was low and non-inferior in both study arms. The putative advantage to the ctDNA guided therapy was that the proportion of patients who needed to be treated with adjuvant chemotherapy compared to standard management decreased (15.3% vs 27.9%). But the most striking caveat is that the risk of getting exposed to oxaliplatin-containing adjuvant chemotherapy (with its risk of chemotherapy-related peripheral neuropathy) tripled. There is a 2.7% risk of oxaliplatin exposure in the standard arm vs 9.5% risk in the ctDNA arm. Therefore, this innovation does not produce better cancer treatment

outcomes, and it increases the exposure to the drug most worthy of avoiding in this setting. The predictable early reaction of oncologists to this data was that ctDNA positive patients should be treated but that also ctDNA negative patients with T4 tumors who mismatch-repair proficient should also still be treated (consistent with ASCO guidelines Accounting for this likely set of actions, the net result of adding ctDNA testing for stage II colon cancer patients will be increased exposure to oxaliplatin-containing chemotherapy and little or no real world decrease in total use of adjuvant chemotherapy. Thus, it remains unclear whether use of ctDNA testing will produce net clinical benefit for this patient population.

In the realm of breast cancer and prostate cancer, when tissue testing is not feasible in the setting of metastatic disease, liquid biopsy (ctDNA testing) is an accepted, evidence-based approach to molecular testing for the purpose of identifying specific biomarker-driven therapeutic choices. ¹⁹⁻²¹ Expansion of the scope of testing for ER+/HER2- locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer to include not only PIK3CA testing to identify candidate for alpelesib with fulvestrant and ESR1 testing to identify candidates for elacestrant with fulvestrant, but also AKT1 and PTEN testing in response to the testing associated with the more recent FDA approval of capivasertib with fulvestrant. ²⁰ An ASCO rapid guideline update was published in 2023 recommending multiple lines of endocrine therapy, frequently paired with targeted agents for metastatic hormone receptor positive and HER2-negative breast cancer patients, specifying that these choices should be informed by routine testing (using tissue or blood obtained at the time of progression) for activating mutations in ESR1, PIK3CA, or AKT1, or inactivation of PTEN. ²² While tissue or blood sampling is considered acceptable for acting on the findings, there is inadequate evidence on which to favor routinely testing from blood prior to testing available tissue in this setting. ^{23, 24}

The use of ctDNA testing is also being explored in various solid tumor realms for the purposes of detecting and monitoring minimal residual disease (MRD).²⁵ Early studies have explored associations between MRD and surrogate outcomes in solid tumor settings such as cervical cancer²⁶, sarcoma²⁷, head and neck cancer²⁸, GI stromal tumors²⁹, and a variety of other solid tumors. These efforts remain exploratory and further research is needed to demonstrate the clinical utility of MRD testing. Prospective study designs are being proposed to guide further research in this realm.³⁰ One of the challenges faced in widespread testing of individuals with solid tumors is the challenge of diagnosing and potentially treating subclinical hematological neoplasms based on findings of clonal hematopoiesis.³¹ The presence of mutations from sites other than a target lesion, most commonly clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP) or possibly other post-chemotherapy marrow clones, is considered a limitation of ctDNA testing¹⁰ and requires further study.

Finally, there is also interest in the use of ctDNA testing for cancer screening. For example, use of the Galleri test (a type of circulating cell-free DNA test) has been studied in the Pathfinder study, a prospective interventional trial.³² The premise is that a methylation assay applied to the cfDNA samples is highly informative as a signal for cancer detection and tissue of origin localization. The primary objectives (intermediate endpoints) of the Pathfinder study are the per participant count of the number and types of diagnostic tests required to achieve diagnostic resolution following a "signal detected" multi-cancer early detection test result, and also the per participant time required to achieve diagnostic resolution following a "signal detected" multi-cancer early detection test result.³² A "cancer signal" was found in 92 (1.4%) of 6621 enrolled people. Half of the 35 cancers diagnosed were early stage. Standard screening, in contrast, identified 29 cancers. Of the 92 positive tests, 57 (62%) were false positives. The positive predictive value was 38%-41% depending on the version of the test. These positive tests led to a higher level of anxiety in patients, and 30% of the false positive tests led to invasive procedures. While this study did show that MCED screening is feasible, the authors make no claims regarding clinical utility.³³ Similarly, SYMPLIFY is a multicenter, prospective, observational study done to evaluate the performance of MCED testing in patients with nonspecific symptoms or symptoms potentially related to gynecological, lung, or upper or lower gastrointestinal tract cancers. In a cohort of 5461 patients, the MCED test detected a cancer signal in 323 cases, of whom 244 received a cancer diagnosis. Again, this study shows that MCED testing on a larger scale (this time in patients with non-specific symptoms) is feasible; however, prospective testing remains necessary to evaluate the clinical utility of MCED testing. 34 Cancer screening studies require data to show that the benefits in terms of deaths avoided outweigh various harms of overdiagnosis and overtreatment that can occur based on the screening. 35, 36 In addition, cell-free DNA based multi-cancer detection tests are being explored for evaluation of individuals who present with symptoms suspicious of cancers. In a prospective, case-controlled Circulating Cell-free Genome Atlas³⁷ substudy, a total of 2036 cancer and 1472 non-cancer participants were included. These data are consistent with the SYMPLIFY study conducted in the UK, as the overall sensitivity of cancer signal detection was 64.3% with accuracy of 90.3%. The authors note that "ongoing interventional studies are planned to further investigate clinical utility measures of a multicancer detection test."38

References

- Merker JD, Oxnard GR, Compton C, et al. Circulating Tumor DNA Analysis in Patients With Cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology and College of American Pathologists Joint Review. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(16):1631-41.
- 2. Ignatiadis M, Sledge GW, Jeffrey SS. Liquid biopsy enters the clinic implementation issues and future challenges. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2021;18(5):297-312.
- 3. Kalemkerian GP, Narula N, Kennedy EB, et al. Molecular testing guideline for the selection of patients with lung cancer for treatment with targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors: American Society of Clinical Oncology Endorsement of the College of American

- Pathologists/International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer/Association for Molecular Pathology clinical practice guideline update. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(9):911-9.
- Tan AC, Tan DSW. Targeted Therapies for Lung Cancer Patients With Oncogenic Driver Molecular Alterations. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40(6):611-25.
- 5. Sabari JK, Offin M, Stephens D, et al. A Prospective Study of Circulating Tumor DNA to Guide Matched Targeted Therapy in Lung Cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2019;111(6):575-83.
- 6. Sacher AG, Paweletz C, Dahlberg SE, et al. Prospective Validation of Rapid Plasma Genotyping for the Detection of EGFR and KRAS Mutations in Advanced Lung Cancer. JAMA Oncol. 2016;2(8):1014-22.
- 7. Rolfo C, Mack P, Scagliotti GV, et al. Liquid Biopsy for Advanced NSCLC: A Consensus Statement From the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2021;16(10):1647-62.
- 8. Jaiyesimi IA, Leighl NB, Ismaila N, et al. Therapy for Stage IV Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Without Driver Alterations: ASCO Living Guideline, Version 2023.3. J Clin Oncol. 2024;42(11):e23-e43.
- 9. Hendriks LE, Kerr KM, Menis J, et al. Oncogene-addicted metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guideline for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol. 2023;34(4):339-57.
- 10. NCCN. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines) for NSCLC Cancer (Version 1.2024). Available at http://www.nccn.org. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2024. 2024.
- 11. Kasi PM. Liquid biopsies and tumor mutational burden: the cutoff conundrum. Nat Med. 2022;28(9):1753-4.
- 12. Peters S, Dziadziuszko R, Morabito A, et al. Atezolizumab versus chemotherapy in advanced or metastatic NSCLC with high blood-based tumor mutational burden: primary analysis of BFAST cohort C randomized phase 3 trial. Nat Med. 2022;28(9):1831-9.
- 13. Sturgill EG, Misch A, Jones CC, et al. Discordance in Tumor Mutation Burden from Blood and Tissue Affects Association with Response to Immune Checkpoint Inhibition in Real-World Settings. Oncologist. 2022;27(3):175-82.
- 14. Tsuboi M, Herbst RS, John T, et al. Overall Survival with Osimertinib in Resected EGFR-Mutated NSCLC. N Engl J Med. 2023;389(2):137-47.
- 15. Aredo JV, Urisman A, Gubens MA, et al. Phase II trial of neoadjuvant osimertinib for surgically resectable EGFR-mutated non-small cell lung cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2023;41(16_suppl):8508-.
- 16. Malla M, Loree JM, Kasi PM, et al. Using Circulating Tumor DNA in Colorectal Cancer: Current and Evolving Practices. J Clin Oncol. 2022:Jco2102615.
- 17. Morris VK, Yothers G, Kopetz S, et al. Phase II results of circulating tumor DNA as a predictive biomarker in adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with stage II colon cancer: NRG-GI005 (COBRA) phase II/III study. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2024;42(3_suppl):5-.
- Tie J, Cohen JD, Lahouel K, et al. Circulating Tumor DNA Analysis Guiding Adjuvant Therapy in Stage II Colon Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2022;386(24):2261-72.
- 19. NCCN. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines) for Prostate Cancer (Version 4.2023). Available at http://www.nccn.org. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2023. 2023.
- 20. NCCN. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines) for Breast Cancer, Version 1.2024 2024.
- 21. Rendon RA, Selvarajah S, Wyatt AW, et al. 2023 Canadian Urological Association guideline: Genetic testing in prostate cancer. Can Urol Assoc J. 2023;17(10):314-25.
- 22. Burstein HJ, DeMichele A, Somerfield MR, et al. Testing for ESR1 Mutations to Guide Therapy for Hormone Receptor-Positive, Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Negative Metastatic Breast Cancer: ASCO Guideline Rapid Recommendation Update. J Clin Oncol. 2023;41(18):3423-5.
- 23. Grinshpun A, Sandusky ZM, Jeselsohn R. The Clinical Utility of ESR1 Mutations in Hormone Receptor-Positive, HER2-Negative Advanced Breast Cancer. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am. 2023;37(1):169-81.
- 24. Turner NC, Kingston B, Kilburn LS, et al. Circulating tumour DNA analysis to direct therapy in advanced breast cancer (plasmaMATCH): a multicentre, multicohort, phase 2a, platform trial. Lancet Oncol. 2020;21(10):1296-308.
- 25. Febbo PG, Allo M, Alme EB, et al. Recommendations for the Equitable and Widespread Implementation of Liquid Biopsy for Cancer Care. JCO Precis Oncol. 2024;8:e2300382.
- Han K, Zou J, Zhao Z, et al. Clinical Validation of Human Papilloma Virus Circulating Tumor DNA for Early Detection of Residual Disease After Chemoradiation in Cervical Cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2023:Jco2300954.
- Audinot B, Drubay D, Gaspar N, et al. ctDNA quantification improves estimation of outcomes in patients with high-grade osteosarcoma: a translational study from the OS2006 trial. Ann Oncol. 2023.
- 28. Honoré N, van Marcke C, Galot R, et al. Tumor-agnostic plasma assay for circulating tumor DNA detects minimal residual disease and predicts outcome in locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Ann Oncol. 2023;34(12):1175-86.
- 29. Serrano C, Bauer S, Gómez-Peregrina D, et al. Circulating tumor DNA analysis of the phase III VOYAGER trial: KIT mutational landscape and outcomes in patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumor treated with avapritinib or regorafenib. Ann Oncol. 2023;34(7):615-25.
- 30. Gouda MA, Janku F, Wahida A, et al. Liquid Biopsy Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (LB-RECIST). Ann Oncol. 2023.
- 31. Aldea M, Tagliamento M, Bayle A, et al. Liquid Biopsies for Circulating Tumor DNA Detection May Reveal Occult Hematologic Malignancies in Patients With Solid Tumors. JCO Precis Oncol. 2023;7:e2200583.
- 32. Nadauld LD, McDonnell CH, 3rd, Beer TM, et al. The PATHFINDER Study: Assessment of the Implementation of an Investigational Multi-Cancer Early Detection Test into Clinical Practice. Cancers (Basel). 2021;13(14).
- 33. Schrag D, Beer TM, McDonnell CH, 3rd, et al. Blood-based tests for multicancer early detection (PATHFINDER): a prospective cohort study. Lancet. 2023;402(10409):1251-60.

- 34. Nicholson BD, Oke J, Virdee PS, et al. Multi-cancer early detection test in symptomatic patients referred for cancer investigation in England and Wales (SYMPLIFY): a large-scale, observational cohort study. Lancet Oncol. 2023;24(7):733-43.
- 35. Carr DJ, Welch HG. Assessing the Clinical Utility of Liquid Biopsies Across 5 Potential Indications From Therapy Selection to Population Screening: A Review. JAMA Intern Med. 2023;183(10):1144-51.
- 36. Welch HG, Black WC. Overdiagnosis in cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2010;102(9):605-13.
- 37. Fung ET. The Circulating Cell-free Genome Atlas Study (CCGA). ClinicalTrialsgov NCT028899782024.
- 38. Bryce AH, Thiel DD, Seiden MV, et al. Performance of a Cell-Free DNA-Based Multi-cancer Detection Test in Individuals Presenting With Symptoms Suspicious for Cancers. JCO Precis Oncol. 2023;7:e2200679.

Codes

The following code list is not meant to be all-inclusive. Authorization requirements will vary by health plan. Please consult the applicable health plan for guidance on specific procedure codes.

Specific CPT codes for services should be used when available. Nonspecific or not otherwise classified codes may be subject to additional documentation requirements and review.

CPT/HCPCS

CPT® (Current Procedural Terminology) is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT® five-digit codes, nomenclature and other data are copyright by the American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved. AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. AMA assumes no liability for the data contained herein or not contained herein.

May Be Medically Necessary When Criteria are Met

Solid organ neoplasm, genomic sequence analysis panel, cell-free nucleic acid (eg, plasma), interrogation for sequence variants; DNA analysis or combined DNA and RNA analysis, copy number variants and rearrangements Solid organ neoplasm, genomic sequence analysis panel, cell-free nucleic acid (eg, plasma), interrogation for sequence variants; DNA analysis, copy number variants, and microsatellite instability Solid organ neoplasm, genomic sequence analysis panel, cell-free nucleic acid (eg, plasma), interrogation for sequence
variants; DNA analysis, copy number variants, and microsatellite instability Solid organ neoplasm, genomic sequence analysis panel, cell-free nucleic acid (eg, plasma), interrogation for sequence
variants; DNA analysis or combined DNA and RNA analysis, copy number variants, microsatellite instability, tumor mutation burden, and rearrangements
Unlisted molecular pathology procedure
Oncology (breast cancer), DNA, PIK3CA (phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3- kinase catalytic subunit alpha) gene analysis of 11 gene variants utilizing plasma, reported as PIK3CA gene mutation status
Oncology (non-small cell lung cancer), cell-free DNA, targeted sequence analysis of 23 genes (single nucleotide variations, insertions and deletions, fusions without prior knowledge of partner/breakpoint, copy number variations), with report of significant mutation(s)
Targeted genomic sequence analysis panel, solid organ neoplasm, cell-free DNA, analysis of 311 or more genes, interrogation for sequence variants, including substitutions, insertions, deletions, select rearrangements, and copy number variations
Targeted genomic sequence analysis panel, solid organ neoplasm, cell-free circulating DNA analysis of 55-74 genes, interrogation for sequence variants, gene copy number amplifications, and gene rearrangements
Targeted genomic sequence analysis panel, solid organ neoplasm, cell-free circulating DNA analysis of 83 or more genes, interrogation for sequence variants, gene copy number amplifications, gene rearrangements, microsatellite instability and tumor mutational burden

Not Medically Necessary

81327	SEPT9 (Septin9) (eg, colorectal cancer) promoter methylation analysis; lab test to detect, in free circulating DNA in the blood, methylation of gene promoter regions that affect expression of suppressor gene Septin9 (SEPT9), which serves as a marker for conditions such as colorectal cancer.
0007M	Oncology (gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumors), real-time PCR expression analysis of 51 genes, utilizing whole peripheral blood, algorithm reported as a nomogram of tumor disease index
0011M	Oncology, prostate cancer, mRNA expression assay of 12 genes (10 content and 2 housekeeping), RT-PCR test utilizing blood plasma and/or urine, algorithms to predict high-grade prostate cancer risk
0013M	Oncology (urothelial), mRNA, gene expression profiling by real-time quantitative PCR of five genes (MDK, HOXA13, CDC2 [CDK1], IGFBP5, and CXCR2), utilizing urine, algorithm reported as a risk score for having recurrent urothelial carcinoma
0229U	BCAT1 (Branched chain amino acid transaminase 1) and IKZF1 (IKAROS family zinc finger 1) (eg, colorectal cancer) promoter methylation analysis

Oncology, response to radiation, cell-free DNA, quantitative branched chain DNA amplification, plasma, reported as a radiation toxicis score. Raffox: "CIDNA test "CIDNA test" CIDNA test assessment to determine a patient-specific panel (or future comparisons to evaluate for MRD DNA cology (minimal residual disease) [MRD]), next-generation targeted sequencing analysis of a patient-specific panel, cell-free DNA cology (minimal residual disease) [MRD]), next-generation targeted sequencing analysis of a patient-specific panel, cell-free DNA cology (pan-tumor), genetic profiling of 8 DNA-regulatory (epigenetic) markers by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRCR), whole blood, reported as a high or low probability of responding to immune checkpoint minibitor therapy). 33320 Oncology (pan-tumor), genetic profiling of 8 DNA-regulatory (epigenetic) markers by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRCR), whole blood, reported as a high or low probability of responding to immune checkpoint minibitor therapy of the patients of the profile of the patients of security of the patients analysis of methylation patients on controllation (patients) and profile of the patients of security of the patients analysis of methylation patients on controllation (patients) and profile of patients analysis of methylation patients on controllation in appropriate therapy and patients of patients and patients as a patient patients and patients as the patients and patients as a patients and patients as a patient patients and pa		
assessment to determine a patient-specific panel for future comparisons to evaluate for MRD 3037U Oncology (minimal residual diseases (MRD)). next-generation targeted sequencing analysis of a patient-specific panel, cell-free DNA, subsequent assessment with comparison to previously analyzed patient specimens to evaluate for MRD Oncology (Bern-tumor), genetic profiling of 8 DNA-regulatory (epigenetic) markers by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qCPC), whole blood, reported as a high or low probability of responding oil unmuna checkpoint-inhibitor thriarpy Oncology (Ind.), surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in high-risk patients, analysis of methylation patients on circulating cell-free DNA (EDNA) plus measurement of serum of AFPAFP-L3 and oncoprotein des-gammacarboxy-prothrombin (DCP), algorithm reported as normal or abnormal resulut Oncology (pan-cancer), analysis of minimal residual disease (MRD) from plasma, with assays personalized to each patient based on prior next-generation sequencing of the patient's tumor and germline DNA, reported as absence or presence of MRD, with disease-burden correlation, if appropriate Oncology (propharyngeal), evaluation of 17 DNA biomarkers using droplet digital PCR (ddPCR), cell-free DNA, algorithm reported as a prognostion ink source for cancer recurrence. NavDx8, Naveris, Inc., Naveris, Inc. Oncology (consectal cancer), evaluation for mutations of APC, BRAF, CTNNB1, KRAS, NRAS, PIKCAC, SMADA, and TPS3, and the patient of the patient	0285U	
DNA, subsequent assessment with comparison to previously analyzed patient specimens to evaluate for MRD ' 332U Oncology (pan-tumor), genetic profiling of 8 DNA-regulatory (peligenetic) markers by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), whole blood, reported as a high or low probability of responding to immune checkpoint-inhibitor therapy (QPCR), whole blood, reported as a high or low probability of responding to immune checkpoint-inhibitor therapy on the patients of	0306U	
(GPCR), whole blood, reported as a high or low probability of responding to immune checkpoint-inhibitor therapy 333U Oncology (liven, surveillance for hepatocellular carrionma (HCC) in high-risk paleints, analysis of methylation patterns on circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) plus measurement of serum of AFP/AFP-L3 and oncoprotein des-gammacarboxy-prothrombin (DCP), aligorithm reported as normal or abnormal result. 340U Oncology (pan-cancar), analysis of minimal residual disease (MRD) from plasma, with assays personalized to each patient with disease-burden correlation, if appropriate tumor and germline DNA, reported as absence or presence of MRD, with disease-burden correlation, if appropriate provided as a prognostic risk score for cancer recurrence. NavDsR, Naveris, Inc, N	0307U	
oirculating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) plus measurement of serum of AFP/ÄFP-L3 and oncoprotein des-gammacarboxy-prothrombin (DCP), algorithm reported as normal or abnormal result of leases (MRD) from plasma, with assays personalized to each patient based on prior next-generation sequencing of the patient's tumor and germline DNA, reported as absence or presence of MRD, with disease-burden correlation, if appropriate or provide as a prognostic risk score for cancer recurrence. NavDx8, Naveris, inc. Naveris, inc. 3686U Oncology (colorectal cancer), evaluation for mutations of APC, BRAF, CTNNB1, KRAS, NRAS, PIKSCA, SMAD4, and TPS3, and methylation markers (MYO16, KCNDS, GOSRFSO, FULT, CLIP4, XPH32 and TMS911, multiplex quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA), plasma, report of risk score for advanced adenoma or colorectal cancer. 3880U Oncology (non-small cell lung cancer), next-generation sequencing with identification of single nucleotide variants, insertions and deletions, and structural variants in 37 cancer-related genes, plasma, with report for alteration detection. 4069U Oncology (pancreatic), 59 methylation haplotype block markers, next-generation sequencing plasma, reported as cancer signal detected or not detected. 4079U Oncology (solid tumor), DNA (80 genes) and RNA (36 genes), by next-generation sequencing from plasma, including single nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, microsatellite instability, and fusions, report showing identified mutations with chiral actionability. 4080U Oncology (pan-ceatic), DNA, whole genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine enrichment, whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as a cancer detected or not detected	0332U	
based on prior next-generation sequencing of the patient's tumor and germline DNA, reported as absence or presence of MRD, with disease-burden correlation, if appropriate Oncology (coropharyngea), evaluation of 17 DNA biomarkers using droplet digital PCR (ddPCR), cell-free DNA, algorithm reported as a prognositic risk score for cancer recurrence. NavDx®, Naveris, Inc., Naveris, Inc., Naveris, Inc., Naveris, Inc., Oncology (colorectal cancer), evaluation for mutations of APC, BRAF, CTNNB1, KRAS, NRAS, PIK3CA, SMAD4, and TP53, and methylation markers (MYO1G, KCNCS, GSORF50, FLI1, CLIP4, ZNF132 and TWIST1), multiplex quantitative polymerase chain reaction (ePCR), circulating gel-free DNA (cPUNA), plasmar, report of risk score for advanced adenoma or colorectal cancer O38BU Oncology (panceatic), 59 methylation haplotype block markers, next-generation sequencing, plasma, reported as cancer signal detected or not detected Oncology (solid tumor), DNA (80 genes) and RNA (36 genes), by next-generation sequencing, plasma, including single nucleotide variants, insertion/seletions, copy number alterations, microsatellite instability, and fusions, report showing identified mutations with clinical actionability Oncology (pan-solid tumor), DNA (80 genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine enrichment, whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected Oncology (pan-solid tumor), analysis of DNA biomarker response to anti-cancer therapy using cell-free circulating DNA, biomarker comparison to a previous baseline pre-treatment cell-free circulating DNA analysis using next-generation sequencing, algorithm reported as a quantitative change from baseline, including specific alterations, if appropriate Oncology (bladder), methylated PENK DNA detection by linear target enrichment-quantitative methylation-specific real-time PCR (LTE-qMSP), urine, reported as likelihood of bladder cancer Oncology (bladder), DNA, next-generation sequencing (NGS) of 60 genes and whole genome aneuploidy, urine, algori	0333U	circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) plus measurement of serum of AFP/AFP-L3 and oncoprotein des-gammacarboxy-prothrombin
reported as a prognostic risk score for cancer recurrence. NavDx®, Naveris, Inc. Naveris, Inc. Oncology (colorectal cancer), evaluation for mutations of APC, BRAF, CTNNB1, KRAS, NRAS, NRAS, NRAS, and TPS3, and methylation markers (MYOTG, KCNQS, CSQRF50, FLI1, CLIP4, ZXPT32 and TWIST1), multiplex quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), circulating cell-free DNA (cIDNA), plasma, report of risk score for advanced adenoma or colorectal cancer Oncology (non-small cell lung cancer), next-generation sequencing with identification of single nucleotide variants, copy number variants, insertions and deletions, and structural variants in 37 cancer-related genes, plasma, with report for alteration detection. Oncology (pancreatic), 59 methylation haplotype block markers, next-generation sequencing, plasma, reported as cancer signal detected or not detected or not detected mutations with clinum(), DNA (80 genes) and RNA (36 genes), by next-generation sequencing from plasma, including single nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, microsatellite instability, and fusions, report showing identified mutations with clinical actionability. Oncology (pancreatic), DNA, whole genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine enrichment, whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected. Oncology (pancreatic), DNA, whole genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine enrichment, whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as a quantitative or hange from baseine, including specific alterations, if appropriate Oncology (bladder), methylated PENK DNA detection by linear target enrichment-quantitative methylation-specific real-time PCR (LTE-qMSP), urine, reported as likelihood of bladder cancer Oncology (colorectal cancer), cell free DNA (cfDNA), methylation-based quantitative PCR assay (SEPTIN9, KZF1, BCAT1, Septin9-2, VAV3, BCAN), plasma, reported as presence or absence of circulating tumor DNA (cfDNA) Oncology (solid tumor), osll-free bnA and RNA by next-generation sequencin	0340U	based on prior next-generation sequencing of the patient's tumor and germline DNA, reported as absence or presence of MRD,
and methylation markers (MYO1G, KCNGS, C9ORF50, FLI1, CLIP4, ZNF132 and TWIST1), multiplex quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA), plasma, report of risk score for advanced adenoma or colorectal cancer Oncology (non-small cell lung cancer), next-generation sequencing with identification of single nucleotide variants, copy number variants, insertions and deletions, and structural variants in 37 cancer-related genes, plasma, with report for alteration detection Oncology (solid tumor), DNA (80 genes) and RNA (36 genes), by next-generation sequencing, plasma, reported as cancer signal detected or not detected Oncology (solid tumor), DNA (80 genes) and RNA (36 genes), by next-generation sequencing from plasma, including single nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, microsatellite instability, and fusions, report showing identified mutations with clinical actionability Oncology (pancreatic), DNA, whole genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine enrichment, whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected Occology (pancreatic), DNA, whole genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine enrichment, whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected Occology (pancreatic), DNA, whole genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine enrichment, whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected Occology (pancreatic), DNA, methylated PENK DNA detection by linear target enrichment-quantitative methylation-specific real-time PCR (LTE-qMSP), urine, reported as likelihood of bladder cancer Oncology (bladder), methylated PENK DNA detection by linear target enrichment-quantitative methylation-specific real-time PCR (LTE-qMSP), urine, reported as likelihood of bladder cancer Oncology (bladder), DNA, next-generation sequencing (NCS) of 60 genes and whole genome aneuploidy, urine, algorithm reported as minimal residual disease (MRD) status positive or negative and quantitat	0356U	
variants, insertions and deletions, and structural variants in 37 cancer-related genes, plasma, with report for alteration detection. Oncology (pancreatic), 59 methylation haplotype block markers, next-generation sequencing, plasma, reported as cancer signal detected or not detected. Oncology (solid tumor), DNA (80 genes) and RNA (36 genes), by next-generation sequencing from plasma, including single nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, microsatellite instability, and fusions, report showing identified mutations with clinical actionability Oncology (pancreatic), DNA, whole genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine enrichment, whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected Oncology (pan-solid tumor), analysis of DNA biomarker response to anti-cancer therapy using cell-free circulating DNA, biomarker comparison to a previous baseline pre-treatment cell-free circulating DNA analysis using next-generation sequencing, algorithm reported as a quantitative change from baseline, including specific alterations, if appropriate Oncology (bladder), methylated PENK DNA detection by linear target enrichment-quantitative methylation-specific real-time PCR (LTE-qMSP), urine, reported as likelihood of bladder cancer Oncology (bladder), DNA, next-generation sequencing (NGS) of 60 genes and whole genome aneuploidy, urine, algorithms reported as minimal residual disease (MRD) status positive or negative and quantitative disease burden Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free DNA and RNA by next-generation sequencing, interpretative report for germline mutations, clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential, and tumor-derived single-nucleotide variants, small insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutational burden Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free DNA, 8 genes for mutations, 7 genes for methylation markers present in cell-free circulating tumor DNA (gioprithm reported as quantitative measurement of methylati	0368U	and methylation markers (MYO1G, KCNQ5, C9ORF50, FLI1, CLIP4, ZNF132 and TWIST1), multiplex quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA), plasma, report of risk score for advanced adenoma or colorectal
0409U Oncology (solid tumor), DNA (80 genes) and RNA (36 genes), by next-generation sequencing from plasma, including single nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, microsatellite instability, and fusions, report showing identified mutations with clinical actionability 0410U Oncology (pancreatic), DNA, whole genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine enrichment, whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected 0422U Oncology (pan-solid tumor), analysis of DNA biomarker response to anti-cancer therapy using cell-free circulating DNA, biomarker comparison to a previous baseline pre-treatment cell-free circulating DNA analysis using next-generation sequencing, algorithm reported as a quantitative change from baseline, including specific alterations, if appropriate 0452U Oncology (bladder), methylated PENK DNA detection by linear target enrichment-quantitative methylation-specific real-time PCR (LTE-qMSP), urine, reported as likelihood of bladder cancer 0453U Oncology (bladder), DNA, next-generation sequencing (NGS) of 60 genes and whole genome aneuploidy, urine, algorithms reported as minimal residual disease (MRD) status positive or negative and quantitative desase burden 0465U Oncology (bladder), DNA, next-generation sequencing (NGS) of 60 genes and whole genome aneuploidy, urine, algorithms reported as minimal residual disease (MRD) status positive or negative and quantitative diseases burden 0465U Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free DNA and RNA by next-generation sequencing, interpretative report for germline mutations, clonal hematopoicisis of indeterminate potential, and tumor-derived single-nucleotide variants, small insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutational burden 0460U Oncology (gan-solid tumor), cell-free DNA, a genes for mutations, 7 genes for methylation markers present in cell-free circulating tumor DNA, algorithm reported as quantitative measurement of methylation as a corre	0388U	
nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, microsatellite instability, and fusions, report showing identified mutations with clinical actionability 0410U Oncology (pancreatic), DNA, whole genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine enrichment, whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected 0422U Oncology (pan-solid tumor), analysis of DNA biomarker response to anti-cancer therapy using cell-free circulating DNA, biomarker comparison to a previous baseline pre-treatment cell-free circulating DNA analysis using next-generation sequencing, algorithm reported as a quantitative change from baseline, including specific alterations, if appropriate 0452U Oncology (bladder), methylated PENK DNA detection by linear target enrichment-quantitative methylation-specific real-time PCR (LTE-qMSP), urine, reported as likelihood of bladder cancer 0453U Oncology (colorectal cancer), cell free DNA (cfDNA), methylation-based quantitative PCR assay (SEPTIN9, IKZF1, BCAT1, Septin9-2, VAV3, BCAN), plasma, reported as presence or absence of circulating tumor DNA (cfDNA) 0467U Oncology (bladder), DNA, next-generation sequencing (NGS) of 60 genes and whole genome aneuploidy, urine, algorithms reported as minimal residual disease (MRD) status positive or negative and quantitative disease burden 0485U Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free DNA and RNA by next-generation sequencing, interpretative report for germline mutations, clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential, and tumor-derived single-nucled devariants, small insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutational burden 0486U Oncology (pan-solid tumor), ext-generation sequencing analysis of tumor methylation markers present in cell-free circulating tumor DNA, algorithm reported as quantitative measurement of methylation as a correlate of tumor fraction 0487U Oncology (colid tumor), cell-free circulating DNA, targeted genomic sequence analysis panel of 84 genes, inte	0405U	
algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected Oncology (pan-solid tumor), analysis of DNA biomarker response to anti-cancer therapy using cell-free circulating DNA, biomarker comparison to a previous baseline pre-treatment cell-free circulating DNA analysis using next-generation sequencing, algorithm reported as a quantitative change from baseline, including specific alterations, if appropriate Oncology (bladder), methylated PENK DNA detection by linear target enrichment-quantitative methylation-specific real-time PCR (LTE-qMSP), urine, reported as likelihood of bladder cancer Oncology (colorectal cancer), cell free DNA (cfDNA), methylation-based quantitative PCR assay (SEPTIN9, IKZF1, BCAT1, Septin9-2, VAV3, BCAN), plasma, reported as presence or absence of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) Oncology (bladder), DNA, next-generation sequencing (NGS) of 60 genes and whole genome aneuploidy, urine, algorithms reported as minimal residual disease (MRD) status positive or negative and quantitative disease burden Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free DNA and RNA by next-generation sequencing, interpretative report for germline mutations, clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential, and tumor-derived single-nucleotide variants, small insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutational burden Oncology (pan-solid tumor), next-generation sequencing analysis of tumor methylation markers present in cell-free circulating tumor DNA, algorithm reported as quantitative measurement of methylation as a correlate of tumor fraction Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating DNA, targeted genomic sequence analysis panel of 84 genes, interrogation for sequence variants, aneuploidy-corrected gene copy number amplifications and losses, gene rearrangements, and microsatellite instability Oncology (colorectal), cell-free DNA, 8 genes for mutations, 7 genes for methylation by real-time RT-PCR, and 4 proteins by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, blood, reported positive	0409U	nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, microsatellite instability, and fusions, report showing identified
biomarker comparison to a previous baseline pre-treatment cell-free circulating DNA analysis using next-generation sequencing, algorithm reported as a quantitative change from baseline, including specific alterations, if appropriate Oncology (bladder), methylated PENK DNA detection by linear target enrichment-quantitative methylation-specific real-time PCR (LTE-qMSP), urine, reported as likelihood of bladder cancer Oncology (colorectal cancer), cell free DNA (cfDNA), methylation-based quantitative PCR assay (SEPTIN9, IKZF1, BCAT1, Septin9-2, VAV3, BCAN), plasma, reported as presence or absence of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) Oncology (bladder), DNA, next-generation sequencing (NGS) of 60 genes and whole genome aneuploidy, urine, algorithms reported as minimal residual disease (MRD) status positive or negative and quantitative disease burden Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free DNA and RNA by next-generation sequencing, interpretative report for germline mutations, clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential, and tumor-derived single-nucleotide variants, small insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutational burden Oncology (pan-solid tumor), next-generation sequencing analysis of tumor methylation markers present in cell-free circulating tumor DNA, algorithm reported as quantitative measurement of methylation as a correlate of tumor fraction Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating DNA, targeted genomic sequence analysis panel of 84 genes, interrogation for sequence variants, aneuploidy-corrected gene copy number amplifications and losses, gene rearrangements, and microsatellite instability Oncology (colorectal), cell-free DNA, 8 genes for mutations, 7 genes for methylation by real-time RT-PCR, and 4 proteins by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, blood, reported positive or negative for colorectal cancer or advanced adenoma risk Oncology (colorectal), cell-free DNA, tillizing plasma, nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) of 77 genes, 8 fusions, mi	0410U	
PCR (LTE-qMSP), urine, reported as likelihood of bladder cancer 0453U Oncology (colorectal cancer), cell free DNA (cfDNA), methylation-based quantitative PCR assay (SEPTIN9, IKZF1, BCAT1, Septin9-2, VAV3, BCAN), plasma, reported as presence or absence of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) 0467U Oncology (bladder), DNA, next-generation sequencing (NGS) of 60 genes and whole genome aneuploidy, urine, algorithms reported as minimal residual disease (MRD) status positive or negative and quantitative disease burden 0485U Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free DNA and RNA by next-generation sequencing, interpretative report for germline mutations, clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential, and tumor-derived single-nucleotide variants, small insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutational burden 0486U Oncology (pan-solid tumor), next-generation sequencing analysis of tumor methylation markers present in cell-free circulating tumor DNA, algorithm reported as quantitative measurement of methylation as a correlate of tumor fraction 0487U Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating DNA, targeted genomic sequence analysis panel of 84 genes, interrogation for sequence variants, aneuploidy-corrected gene copy number amplifications and losses, gene rearrangements, and microsatellite instability 0496U Oncology (colorectal), cell-free DNA, 8 genes for mutations, 7 genes for methylation by real-time RT-PCR, and 4 proteins by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, blood, reported positive or negative for colorectal cancer or advanced adenoma risk 0507U Oncology (ovarian), DNA, whole-genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) enrichment, using whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected 0530U Oncology (colorectal cancer), analysis of cell-free DNA for epigenomic patterns, next-generation sequencing, >2500 differentially methylated regions (DMRs), plasma, algorithm reported as positive or negative or negative or negative or negati	0422U	biomarker comparison to a previous baseline pre-treatment cell-free circulating DNA analysis using next-generation
Septin9-2, VAV3, BCAN), plasma, reported as presence of absence of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) Oncology (bladder), DNA, next-generation sequencing (NGS) of 60 genes and whole genome aneuploidy, urine, algorithms reported as minimal residual disease (MRD) status positive or negative and quantitative disease burden Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free DNA and RNA by next-generation sequencing, interpretative report for germline mutations, clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential, and tumor-derived single-nucleotide variants, small insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutational burden Oncology (pan-solid tumor), next-generation sequencing analysis of tumor methylation markers present in cell-free circulating tumor DNA, algorithm reported as quantitative measurement of methylation as a correlate of tumor fraction Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating DNA, targeted genomic sequence analysis panel of 84 genes, interrogation for sequence variants, aneuploidy-corrected gene copy number amplifications and losses, gene rearrangements, and microsatellite instability Oncology (colorectal), cell-free DNA, 8 genes for mutations, 7 genes for methylation by real-time RT-PCR, and 4 proteins by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, blood, reported positive or negative for colorectal cancer or advanced adenoma risk Oncology (ovarian), DNA, whole-genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) enrichment, using whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected Oncology (pan-solid tumor), ctDNA, utilizing plasma, nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) of 77 genes, 8 fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutation burden, interpretative report for single-nucleotide variants, copynumber alterations, with therapy association Oncology (colorectal cancer), analysis of cell-free DNA for epigenomic patterns, next-generation sequencing, >2500 differentially methylated regions (DMRs), plasma, algorithm reported as positive or negat	0452U	
reported as minimal residual disease (MRD) status positive or negative and quantitative disease burden Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free DNA and RNA by next-generation sequencing, interpretative report for germline mutations, clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential, and tumor-derived single-nucleotide variants, small insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutational burden Oncology (pan-solid tumor), next-generation sequencing analysis of tumor methylation markers present in cell-free circulating tumor DNA, algorithm reported as quantitative measurement of methylation as a correlate of tumor fraction Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating DNA, targeted genomic sequence analysis panel of 84 genes, interrogation for sequence variants, aneuploidy-corrected gene copy number amplifications and losses, gene rearrangements, and microsatellite instability Oncology (colorectal), cell-free DNA, 8 genes for mutations, 7 genes for methylation by real-time RT-PCR, and 4 proteins by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, blood, reported positive or negative for colorectal cancer or advanced adenoma risk Oncology (ovarian), DNA, whole-genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) enrichment, using whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected Oncology (pan-solid tumor), ctDNA, utilizing plasma, nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) of 77 genes, 8 fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutation burden, interpretative report for single-nucleotide variants, copynumber alterations, with therapy association Oncology (colorectal cancer), analysis of cell-free DNA for epigenomic patterns, next-generation sequencing, interrogation for differentially methylated regions (DMRs), plasma, algorithm reported as positive or negative Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), 152 genes, next-generation sequencing, interrogation for single-nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, gene rearrangements, copy	0453U	
clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential, and tumor-derived single-nucleotide variants, small insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutational burden Oncology (pan-solid tumor), next-generation sequencing analysis of tumor methylation markers present in cell-free circulating tumor DNA, algorithm reported as quantitative measurement of methylation as a correlate of tumor fraction Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating DNA, targeted genomic sequence analysis panel of 84 genes, interrogation for sequence variants, aneuploidy-corrected gene copy number amplifications and losses, gene rearrangements, and microsatellite instability Oncology (colorectal), cell-free DNA, 8 genes for mutations, 7 genes for methylation by real-time RT-PCR, and 4 proteins by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, blood, reported positive or negative for colorectal cancer or advanced adenoma risk Oncology (ovarian), DNA, whole-genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) enrichment, using whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected Oncology (pan-solid tumor), ctDNA, utilizing plasma, nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) of 77 genes, 8 fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutation burden, interpretative report for single-nucleotide variants, copynumber alterations, with therapy association Oncology (colorectal cancer), analysis of cell-free DNA for epigenomic patterns, next-generation sequencing, >2500 differentially methylated regions (DMRs), plasma, algorithm reported as positive or negative Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), 152 genes, next-generation sequencing, interrogation for single-nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, gene rearrangements, copy number alterations, and microsatellite instability,	0467U	
tumor DNA, algorithm reported as quantitative measurement of methylation as a correlate of tumor fraction Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating DNA, targeted genomic sequence analysis panel of 84 genes, interrogation for sequence variants, aneuploidy-corrected gene copy number amplifications and losses, gene rearrangements, and microsatellite instability Oncology (colorectal), cell-free DNA, 8 genes for mutations, 7 genes for methylation by real-time RT-PCR, and 4 proteins by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, blood, reported positive or negative for colorectal cancer or advanced adenoma risk Oncology (ovarian), DNA, whole-genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) enrichment, using whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected Oncology (pan-solid tumor), ctDNA, utilizing plasma, nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) of 77 genes, 8 fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutation burden, interpretative report for single-nucleotide variants, copynumber alterations, with therapy association Oncology (colorectal cancer), analysis of cell-free DNA for epigenomic patterns, next-generation sequencing, >2500 differentially methylated regions (DMRs), plasma, algorithm reported as positive or negative Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), 152 genes, next-generation sequencing, interrogation for single-nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, gene rearrangements, copy number alterations, and microsatellite instability,	0485U	clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential, and tumor-derived single-nucleotide variants, small insertions/deletions, copy
sequence variants, aneuploidy-corrected gene copy number amplifications and losses, gene rearrangements, and microsatellite instability Oncology (colorectal), cell-free DNA, 8 genes for mutations, 7 genes for methylation by real-time RT-PCR, and 4 proteins by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, blood, reported positive or negative for colorectal cancer or advanced adenoma risk Oncology (ovarian), DNA, whole-genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) enrichment, using whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected Oncology (pan-solid tumor), ctDNA, utilizing plasma, nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) of 77 genes, 8 fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutation burden, interpretative report for single-nucleotide variants, copynumber alterations, with therapy association Oncology (colorectal cancer), analysis of cell-free DNA for epigenomic patterns, next-generation sequencing, >2500 differentially methylated regions (DMRs), plasma, algorithm reported as positive or negative Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), 152 genes, next-generation sequencing, interrogation for single-nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, gene rearrangements, copy number alterations, and microsatellite instability,	0486U	
enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, blood, reported positive or negative for colorectal cancer or advanced adenoma risk Oncology (ovarian), DNA, whole-genome sequencing with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) enrichment, using whole blood or plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected Oncology (pan-solid tumor), ctDNA, utilizing plasma, nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) of 77 genes, 8 fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutation burden, interpretative report for single-nucleotide variants, copynumber alterations, with therapy association Oncology (colorectal cancer), analysis of cell-free DNA for epigenomic patterns, next-generation sequencing, >2500 differentially methylated regions (DMRs), plasma, algorithm reported as positive or negative Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), 152 genes, next-generation sequencing, interrogation for single-nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, gene rearrangements, copy number alterations, and microsatellite instability,	0487U	sequence variants, aneuploidy-corrected gene copy number amplifications and losses, gene rearrangements, and microsatellite
plasma, algorithm reported as cancer detected or not detected Oncology (pan-solid tumor), ctDNA, utilizing plasma, nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) of 77 genes, 8 fusions, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutation burden, interpretative report for single-nucleotide variants, copynumber alterations, with therapy association Oncology (colorectal cancer), analysis of cell-free DNA for epigenomic patterns, next-generation sequencing, >2500 differentially methylated regions (DMRs), plasma, algorithm reported as positive or negative Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), 152 genes, next-generation sequencing, interrogation for single-nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, gene rearrangements, copy number alterations, and microsatellite instability,	0496U	
instability, and tumor mutation burden, interpretative report for single-nucleotide variants, copynumber alterations, with therapy association Oncology (colorectal cancer), analysis of cell-free DNA for epigenomic patterns, next-generation sequencing, >2500 differentially methylated regions (DMRs), plasma, algorithm reported as positive or negative Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), 152 genes, next-generation sequencing, interrogation for single-nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, gene rearrangements, copy number alterations, and microsatellite instability,	0507U	
differentially methylated regions (DMRs), plasma, algorithm reported as positive or negative Oncology (solid tumor), cell-free circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), 152 genes, next-generation sequencing, interrogation for single-nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, gene rearrangements, copy number alterations, and microsatellite instability,	0530U	instability, and tumor mutation burden, interpretative report for single-nucleotide variants, copynumber alterations, with therapy
single-nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, gene rearrangements, copy number alterations, and microsatellite instability,	0537U	
	0539U	single-nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, gene rearrangements, copy number alterations, and microsatellite instability,

ICD-10 Diagnosis

Refer to the ICD-10 CM manual

History

Status	Review Date	Effective Date	Action
Updated codes 04/01/2025	n/a	Unchanged	CPT code update: added 0013M, 0332U, 0452U, 0467U, 0537U, 0539U (NMN).
Updated codes 01/01/2025	n/a	Unchanged	CPT code update: added 0530U (NMN), removed termed 0428U (NMN). Revised long descriptions for 0486U, 0487U, and 0507U.
Revised	04/15/2024	11/17/2024	Independent Multispecialty Physician Panel (IMPP) review. Expanded the scope of testing for metastatic breast cancer to include AKT1 and PTEN along with PIK3CA and ESR1 testing to help identify individuals who may be treated with targeted therapy. Clarified that liquid screening tests for cancer are not medically necessary. Added references. Moved CPT 0177U from Somatic Tumor (MNWCM).
Updated codes 10/01/2024	n/a	Unchanged	Added CPT codes 0485U, 0486U, 0487U, 0507U (NMN). Added/ Moved from Polygenic Risk Scores guideline: 81327, 0011M, 0356U, 0368U, 0496U (NMN).
Updated codes 07/01/2024	n/a	Unchanged	Added CPT code 0453U (NMN).
Revised	07/18/2023	03/17/2024	IMPP review. Replaced "contraindicated" with "unsafe or infeasible" for clarification of tissue biopsy. Added references. Removed CPT codes 81327 (NMN) and 0397U (MNWCM). Moved 0326U to MNWCM list. Added required language to General Clinical Guideline per new Medicare regulations.
Updated	n/a	01/01/2024	Annual CPT code update: Added 81462, 81463, and 81464. NMN codes: Added 0422U, 0428U; Removed 0011M, 0356U, 0368U.
Revised	04/12/2023	11/05/2023	IMPP review. Expanded on ESR1 ctDNA testing, per the FDA. Specified FDA approval of PARP and PD-1 inhibitors for treating individuals with metastatic prostate adenocarcinoma. Additional edits for clarity.
Updated	n/a	10/01/2023	Added new CPT codes 0368U, 0405U, 0409U, and 0410U. Added CPT codes 81327, 0007M, 0011M, 0229U, 0285U, 0333U, 0340U (moved from Somatic Tumor Testing guidelines).
Created	09/21/2022	02/12/2023	IMPP review. Original effective date.