



Genetic Testing for Hereditary Hearing Loss

| Policy Number: | Prior Policy Name and Number: | |
|--|--|--|
| AHS – G2148 | Not applicable | |
| Initial Effective Date: | Current Effective Date: | |
| June 01, 2023 | February 01, 2025 | |
| Line(s) of Business: | Precertification: | |
| HMO; PPO; QUEST Integration; Medicare; FEP | Refer to the GTM Utilization Review Matrix | |

I. Policy Description

Hearing loss is among the most etiologically heterogeneous disorders. More than 400 genetic syndromes include hearing loss as a feature; additionally, more than 100 genes are associated with nonsyndromic genetic hearing loss, and several non-genetic causes can also result in hearing loss. Genes associated with syndromic and nonsyndromic genetic hearing loss encode a variety of proteins involved in the development and function of the auditory system, including transcription factors, structural proteins, gap junction proteins, and ion channel (Alford et al., 2014). The genes may be associated with an autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive, X-linked, or mitochondrial inheritance pattern (A Eliot Shearer, 2017). Genetic counseling is strongly recommended for individuals pursuing genetic testing for nonsyndromic hereditary hearing loss.

For guidance on prenatal screening and preconception screening for hereditary hearing loss, please see AHS-M2179-Prenatal Screening (Genetic).

II. Indications and/or Limitations of Coverage

Application of coverage criteria is dependent upon an individual's benefit coverage at the time of the request. Specifications pertaining to Medicare and Medicaid can be found in the "Applicable State and Federal Regulations" section of this policy document.

- 1) For individuals who are in a family with a deleterious familial hearing loss gene mutation, the following genetic testing **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**:
 - a) Testing restricted to the known familial mutation.
 - b) Comprehensive genetic testing using multi-gene panel testing when the specific familial mutation is unknown.
- 2) For individuals diagnosed with hearing loss (when hearing loss due to nonhereditary causes [e.g., infection, injury, age-related] has been excluded), multi-gene panel testing (panel must include GJB2 and GJB6) MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA.

The following does not meet coverage criteria due to a lack of available published scientific literature confirming that the test(s) is/are required and beneficial for the diagnosis and treatment of an individual's illness.





- 3) Genetic testing for hereditary hearing loss-related mutations more than once per lifetime **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 4) For all other situations not described above, genetic testing for hearing loss **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.

NOTES:

Note: For 2 or more gene tests being run on the same platform, please refer to AHS-R2162 Reimbursement Policy.

III. Table of Terminology

| Term | Definition | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|
| AAO | American Academy of Otolaryngology | | | |
| AAP | American Academy of Pediatrics | | | |
| ACMG | American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics | | | |
| ACTG1 | Actin gamma 1 | | | |
| ANSD | Auditory neuropathy spectrum disorder | | | |
| ASHA | American Speech-Language-Hearing Association | | | |
| BSND | Barttin CLCNK type accessory subunit beta | | | |
| CDH23 | Cadherin related 23 | | | |
| CLDN14 | Claudin 14 | | | |
| CLIA '88 | Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 | | | |
| CLRN1 | Clarin 1 | | | |
| CMS | Centers For Medicare and Medicaid | | | |
| CMV | Cytomegalovirus | | | |
| CNV | Contingent negative variation | | | |
| СОСН | Cochlin | | | |
| COL11A2 | Collagen type XI alpha 2 chain | | | |
| DFN | Different gene loci for nonsyndromic deafness | | | |
| DFNA | Autosomal dominant inherited deafness | | | |
| DFNA5 | Nonsyndromic hearing impairment protein 5 | | | |
| DFNB | Autosomal recessive inherited deafness | | | |
| DFNB1 | Nonsyndromic hearing loss and deafness | | | |
| DFNB31 | Deafness autosomal recessive 31 - Whirlin | | | |
| DFNB59 | Deafness autosomal recessive 59 | | | |
| DFNX | X-linked Inheritance | | | |
| DNA | Deoxyribonucleic acid | | | |
| EKG | Electrocardiogram | | | |
| ESPN | Espin | | | |
| ESRRB | Estrogen related receptor beta | | | |
| EYA4 | Transcriptional coactivator and phosphatase 4 | | | |





| FDA | Food And Drug Administration | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| GJB2 | Gap junction protein, beta 2 | | |
| GJB6 | Gap junction protein, beta 6 | | |
| GPR98 | G-protein coupled receptor 98 | | |
| GRXCR1 | Glutaredoxin and cysteine rich domain containing 1 | | |
| HGF | Hepatocyte growth factor | | |
| IPOG | International Pediatric Otolaryngology Group | | |
| JCIH | Joint Commission on Infant Hearing | | |
| KCNQ4 | Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily Q member 4 | | |
| LDTs | Laboratory-developed tests | | |
| LHFPL5 | LHFPL tetraspan subfamily member 5 | | |
| MARVELD2 | MARVEL domain containing 2 | | |
| MT-RNR1 | Mitochondrially encoded 12S ribosomal ribonucleic acid | | |
| MT-TS1 | Mitochondrially encoded transfer ribonucleic acid-SER | | |
| MYO15A | Myosin XVA | | |
| MYO6 | Myosin VI | | |
| MYO7A | Myosin VIIA | | |
| NGS | Next-generation sequencing | | |
| NSHL | Nonsyndromic hearing loss | | |
| OMIM | Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man | | |
| ОТОА | Otoancorin | | |
| OTOF | Otoferlin | | |
| PCDH15 | Protocadherin related 15 | | |
| PCR | Polymerase chain reaction | | |
| POU3F4 | POU class 3 homeobox 4 | | |
| PTPRQ | Protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type Q | | |
| RDX | Radixin | | |
| SLC26A4 | Solute carrier family 26 member 4 | | |
| SNL | Sensorineural hearing loss | | |
| STRC | Stereocilin | | |
| TECTA | Tectorin alpha | | |
| TMC1 | Transmembrane channel like 1 | | |
| TMIE | Transmembrane inner ear | | |
| TMPRSS3 | Transmembrane serine protease 3 | | |
| TRIOBP | TRIO and F-actin binding protein | | |
| USH1C | Usher syndrome type 1 protein component harmonin | | |
| WES | Whole exome sequencing | | |
| WFS1 | Wolframin ER transmembrane glycoprotein | | |
| WGS | Whole genome sequencing | | |
| WHRN | Whirlin | | |





IV. Scientific Background

Approximately one in every 500 children born in the United States is deaf or has a hearing loss significant enough to affect speech and language development. Ninety-five percent of newborns with hearing loss identified by newborn hearing screening programs are born to hearing parents, obscuring the fact that most newborns have a hereditary cause for their hearing loss (Alford et al., 2014). Approximately 80 percent of cases of hereditary hearing loss are inherited in an autosomal recessive pattern, 19 percent are autosomal dominant, and the remaining cases X-linked (mainly recessive) or mitochondrial (A Eliot Shearer, 2017).

Hearing loss is typically described in terms related to its clinical presentation. In general, it is categorized as either syndromic or nonsyndromic. Syndromic hearing loss is associated with other medical or physical findings, including malformations of the external ear or other organs, or with medical problems involving other organ systems. An estimated 30% of hereditary hearing loss is syndromic. Nonsyndromic hearing loss (NSHL) is defined as hearing loss that is not associated with visible abnormalities of the external ear or any related medical problems. For NSHL, it is more difficult to determine whether the etiology is hereditary or acquired because there are no other clinical manifestations at the time of the hearing loss presentation. NSHL accounts for an estimated 70% of genetically determined hearing loss (Angeli et al., 2012), and it is frequently congenital and sensorineural (Sloan-Heggen et al., 2016).

The genetic loci where mutations associated with nonsyndromic hereditary hearing loss are commonly found are termed DFN. DFN loci are named based on their mode of inheritance: DFNA associated with autosomal dominant inheritance; DFNB with autosomal recessive inheritance; and DFNX with x-linked inheritance (A Eliot Shearer, 2017). The DFNB1 locus, which includes the *GJB2* gene encoding the gap junction protein connexin 26 and the *GJB6* gene encoding the gap junction protein connexin 30, accounts for an estimated 50% of all autosomal recessive nonsyndromic hearing loss and 15–40% of all deaf individuals in a variety of populations (Alford et al., 2014).

GJB2 is a small gene with a single coding exon, which codes for the Cx26 connexin protein (OMIM, 2016). At least 83 deafness-causing variants have been identified in GJB2, but a few common mutations account for a large percentage of alleles in several populations. Probands with this mutation generally have congenital hearing loss (A Eliot Shearer, 2017). Mutations in the GJB6 gene lead to similar effects on abnormal expression of connexin protein Cx30 (OMIM, 2014). GJB6 deletions have been observed in multiple populations, although they appear to be a relatively uncommon explanation for hearing loss in the United States (Alford et al., 2014).

In addition to mutations in the *GJB6* and *GJB2* genes, many less common pathologic mutations are found in other genes. Some of these are: *ACTG1*, *BSND*, *CDH23*, *CLDN14*, *COCH*, *COL11A2*, *DFNA5*, *DFNB31*, *DFNB59*, *ESPN*, *ESRRB*, *EYA4*, *GRXCR1*, *HGF*, *KCNQ4*, *LHFPL5*, *MARVELD2*, *MT-TS1*, *MYO15A*, *MYO6*, *MYO7A*, *OTOA*, *OTOF*, *PCDH15*, *POU3F4*, *PTPRQ*, *RDX*, *SLC26A4*, *STRC*, *TECTA*, *TMC1*, *TMIE*, *TMPRSS3*, *TRIOBP*, *USH1C*, *WFS1*, and *WHRN* genes (A Eliot Shearer, 2017). Several gene panels exist for assessment of hereditary hearing loss. For example, Shang et al evaluated the "MiamiOtoGenes" panel, which consists of 180 genes. The investigators examined five unrelated probands with varying degrees of hearing loss onset and severity and found seven different genetic variants (Shang et al., 2018). Other entities offering proprietary genetic panels include BluePrint (239 genes), GeneDx (146 genes),





OtoSCOPE by the University of Iowa (152 genes), Otogenetics Gx (167 genes), OtoGenome[™] Test (84 genes), Hearing Loss Advanced Sequencing and CNV Evaluation by Athena Diagnostics (183 genes), Invitae Comprehensive Deaf Panel (203 genes), and AudioloGene Hereditary Hearing Loss Panel by Mayo Clinic Laboratories (160 genes) (BluePrint, 2023; GeneDx, 2024; Invitae, 2024; Iowa, 2020; Mayo Clinic, 2024; Otogenetics, 2021; Partners Healthcare, 2021).

Clinical Utility and Validity

Shearer and Smith (2015) performed a meta-analysis focusing on the current genetic tests used to evaluate hearing loss. A total of 20 studies were included, containing 426 controls and 603 patients with idiopathic hearing loss. Several genetic panels such as OtoGenetics Deafness Test and OtoGenomeTM were used. Overall, the controls showed good sensitivity and specificity (over 99%), and the diagnostic rate was found to be 41% (with a range of 10%-83%). The authors concluded that "comprehensive genetic testing should form the cornerstone of a tiered approach to clinical evaluation of patients with hearing loss along with history, physical exam, and audiometry and can determine further testing that may be required, if any" (Shearer & Smith, 2015).

Sloan-Heggen et al. (2016) performed parallel sequencing on 1119 "sequentially accrued" patients. A total of 440 (39%) of these patients were found to have a genetic etiology for hearing loss. Pathogenic variants were found in 49 genes, and various alterations such as missense variants (49% of the alterations), copy number variants (18%), insertions or deletions (13%), and nonsense variants (8%) were found. The authors noted the wide variety of the genetic spectrum of hearing loss (Sloan-Heggen et al., 2016).

D'Aguillo et al. (2019) examined the role of genetic screening as an adjunct to universal newborn hearing screening. The authors evaluated 16 studies and identified the rate of children that passed the universal newborn hearing screening but who also tested positive on a genetic screening. Of the 137895 infants included in the studies, pathogenic mutations were detected in 8.66% of them. Of this cohort, 545 infants passed the universal screening, but also tested positive on a genetic screening (1.4%) (D'Aguillo et al., 2019).

Costales et al. (2020) studied the application of Otogenetics, a Next Generation Sequencing panel, in 27 patients diagnosed with sensorineural hearing loss (SNL) within a childhood hearing loss unit. A genetic diagnosis of SNL was made in 56% (15/27) of the patients whereas 44% (12/27) had pathogenic variants in genes associated with isolated SNL, syndromic SNL, and non-syndromic SNL. According to the authors, this study demonstrated that "it is possible to implement genetic diagnosis in the daily routine" (Costales et al., 2020).

Yang et al. (2021) developed a multiplex PCR sequencing assay to sequence the *GJB2*, *SLC26A4*, and *MT-RNR1* genes and demonstrated that genetic screening can play an important role in newborn hearing screening. To validate the assay, 103 samples with known genotypes were analyzed using the multiplex PCR, which accurately identified all the variants with a 100% sensitivity and specificity. In the pilot study, 300 samples were analyzed and 12.3% were found to carry at least one pathogenic variant in the *GJB2*, *SLC26A4*, and *MT-RNR1* genes. The study also revealed that pathogenic variants in the *GJB2* gene had an 8% carrier rate in the newborn population. The authors concluded that "the assay is an accurate and reliable test and can be used to screen genetic hearing loss in newborns" (Yang et al., 2021).





Liao et al. (2022) put together a retrospective cohort study of 2075 patients who were seen at the Children's Communications Clinic; 517 patients completed a hearing loss testing with a GeneDx panel. Researchers gathered sociodemographic characteristics, hearing loss characteristics, and medical variables of hearing loss. On univariable analysis, those who received a genetic diagnosis were younger than those who did not receive a genetic diagnosis (OR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.88-0.96). Twenty-four diagnostic genes were found in the study cohort, the most common diagnostic gene being *GJB2*, which encodes for connexin 26, a major protein found in gap junction protein in the cochlea. Additionally, the most prevalent diagnostic variant was different across races and ethnicities, that is, Asian patients were more likely to have the C.109 G>A variant and white patients were more likely to have the C.35del, and Hispanic patients were "almost equally" likely to have the c.35del variant as any other variant (aside from c.109 G>A) (Liao et al., 2022).

V. Guidelines and Recommendations

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)

In 2014, the ACMG issued the following guidelines for the clinical evaluation and diagnosis of hearing loss. For individuals lacking physical findings suggestive of a known syndrome and having medical and birth histories that do not suggest an environmental cause of hearing loss, ACMG recommends that a tiered diagnostic approach should be implemented.

- "Pretest genetic counseling should be provided, and, with patient's informed consent, genetic testing should be ordered."
- "Single-gene testing may be warranted in cases in which the medical or family history, or
 presentation of the hearing loss, suggests a specific etiology. For example, testing for
 mitochondrial DNA mutations associated with aminoglycoside ototoxicity may be considered for
 individuals with a history of use of aminoglycoside antibiotics."
- "In the absence of any specific clinical indications and for singleton cases and cases with apparent autosomal recessive inheritance, the next step should be testing for DFNB1-related hearing loss (due to mutations in *GJB2* and adjacent deletions in *GJB6*)."
- "If initial genetic testing is negative, genetic testing using gene panel tests, NGS technologies such as large sequencing panels targeted toward hearing loss—related genes, WES, or WGS may be considered. Because several tests are clinically available, the clinician must be aware of the genes included in the test (panel) chosen and the performance characteristics of the platform chosen, including coverage, analytic sensitivity, and what types of mutations will be detected. It should be noted that the cost of these new genetic sequencing technologies is decreasing so rapidly that a tiered approach to testing may soon no longer be cost effective. In particular, for large sequencing panels targeted toward hearing loss—related genes, it may, in some cases, already be more cost effective to use NGS technologies as the initial test in the evaluation of hearing loss. However, issues related to genomic testing, such as the likelihood of incidental findings, will have to be addressed."
- "If genetic testing reveals mutation(s) in a hearing loss—related gene, mutation-specific genetic counseling should be provided, followed by appropriate medical evaluations and referrals."
- "If genetic testing fails to identify an etiology for a patient's hearing loss, the possibility of a genetic or acquired etiology remains. This point must be emphasized because it can be





- misunderstood by clinicians and by patients and their families. For interested patients and families, further genetic testing may be pursued on a research basis."
- "CMV testing should be done at the same time as genetic testing for infants with congenital hearing loss. For later-onset or progressive hearing loss, CMV testing can be obtained, but the likelihood that a positive test is due to postnatal exposure increases with age."

For individuals with findings that suggest a syndromic genetic etiology for their hearing loss:

- "Pretest genetic counseling should be provided, and, with patient's informed consent, genetic
 testing, if available, should be ordered to confirm the diagnosis—this testing may include singlegene tests, hearing loss sequencing panels, WES, WGS, chromosome analysis, or microarraybased copy-number analysis, depending on clinical findings."
- "Appropriate studies should be undertaken to determine whether other organs are involved; and
- "Appropriate near-term and long-term screening and management should be arranged, including referrals to specialists, as indicated by the associated manifestations of the particular syndrome" (Alford et al., 2014).

The ACMG also published an algorithm stating to "consider" *GJB2*, *GJB6* or other gene specific testing if familial or nonsyndromic hearing loss was suspected. If nonsyndromic and mitochondrial inheritance was suspected, the ACMG recommended testing for the A1555G mutation (ACMG, 2018).

In 2021, ACMG released an updated guideline for screening for autosomal recessive and X-linked conditions during pregnancy and preconception. Their practice resource aims to recommend "a consistent and equitable approach for offering carrier screening to all individuals during pregnancy and preconception" and replaces any earlier ACMG position statements on prenatal/preconception expanded carrier screening and provide the following recommendations:

- "Carrier screening enables those screened to consider their reproductive risks, reproductive options, and to make informed decisions."
- "The phrase "expanded carrier screening" be replaced by "carrier screening"."
- "Adopting a more precise tiered system based on carrier frequency:
 - Tier 4: <1/200 carrier frequency (includes Tier 3) genes/condition will vary by lab
 - Tier $3: \ge 1/200$ carrier frequency (includes Tier 2) includes X-linked conditions
 - Tier 2: ≥1/100 carrier frequency (includes Tier 1)
 - Tier 1: CF [Cystic Fibrosis] + SMA [spinal muscular atrophy] + Risk Based Screening
 - "Tier 1 screening conveys the recommendations previously adopted by ACMG and ACOG" and "adopts an ethnic and population neutral approach when screening for cystic fibrosis and spinal muscular atrophy. Beyond these two conditions, additional carrier screening is determined after risk assessment, which incorporates personal medical and family history as well as laboratory and imaging information where appropriate"
 - "Tier 2 carrier screening stems from an ACOG recommendation for conditions that have a severe or moderate phenotype and a carrier frequency of at least 1/100." However, "data demonstrate that carrier screening for two common conditions using a carrier frequency threshold of 1/100 may not be equitable across diverse populations. Others





have shown that limiting the carrier frequency to ≥1/100 creates missed opportunities to identify couples at risk for serious conditions."

- "We define Tier 3 screening as carrier screening for conditions with a carrier frequency ≥1/200... Tier 2 and Tier 3 screening prioritize carrier frequency as a way to think about conditions most appropriate for screening in the general population. However, when ACOG proposed this level, they did not specify whether it was thinking about carrier frequency in terms of the global population or subpopulations. We use "carrier frequency" to mean in any ethnic group with reasonable representation in the United States."
- "Tier 4 includes genes less common than those in Tier 3 and can identify additional at-risk couples. Tier 4 has no lower limit carrier screening frequency and can greatly extend the number of conditions screened . . . the clinical validity at this level of carrier screening may be less compelling, therefore we suggest reserving this level of screening for consanguineous pregnancies (second cousins or closer) and in couples where family or medical history suggests Tier 4 screening might be beneficial . . . Importantly, patients should understand that their chance of being a carrier for one or more conditions increases as the number of conditions screened is increased."
- "All pregnant patients and those planning a pregnancy should be offered Tier 3 carrier screening.
- Tier 4 screening should be considered:
 - When a pregnancy stems from a known or possible consanguineous relationship (second cousins or closer);
 - When a family or personal medical history warrants.
- ACMG does NOT recommend:
 - Offering Tier 1 and/or Tier 2 screening, because these do not provide equitable evaluation of all racial/ethnic groups.
 - Routine offering of Tier 4 panels.
- "Carrier screening paradigms should be ethnic and population neutral and more inclusive of diverse populations to promote equity and inclusion."
- "All pregnant patients and those planning a pregnancy should be offered Tier 3 carrier screening for autosomal recessive [Table 1] . . . conditions."
- "Reproductive partners of pregnant patients and those planning a pregnancy may be offered Tier
 carrier screening for autosomal recessive conditions [Table 1] when carrier screening is performed simultaneously with their partner."
- "When Tier 1 or Tier 2 carrier screening was performed in a prior pregnancy, Tier 3 screening should be offered" (Gregg et al., 2021).





| OMIM gene | OMIM gene name | Maximum carrier frequency ^a | OMIM phenotype | Conditions |
|-----------|----------------|---|----------------|---|
| 141900 | HBB | 0.119837 | 603903 | Sickle cell anemia β-thalassemia |
| | | | 613985 | |
| 613208 | XPC | 0.050885 | 278720 | Xeroderma pigmentosum |
| 606933 | TYR | 0.049337 | 203100 | Oculocutaneous albinism type 1A and 1B |
| | | | 606952 | |
| 613815 | CYP21A2 | 0.048459 | 201910 | Congenital adrenal hyperplasia due to 21-hydroxylase deficiency |
| 612349 | PAH | 0.046068 | 261600 | Phenylketonuria |
| 602421 | CFTR | 0.040972 | 219700 | Cystic fibrosis |
| 600985 | TNXB | 0.035134 | 606408 | Ehlers-Danlos-like syndrome due to tenascin-X deficiency |
| 506869 | HEXA | 0.033146 | 272800 | Tay-Sachs disease |
| 121011 | GJB2 | 0.026200 | 220290 | Nonsyndromic hearing loss recessive 1A |
| | | | 601544 | Nonsyndromic hearing loss dominant 3A |
| 602858 | DHCR7 | 0.023709 | 270400 | Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome |
| 277900 | ATP7B | 0.021983 | 606882 | Wilson disease |
| 608034 | ASPA | 0.019856 | 271900 | Canavan disease |
| 607008 | ACADM | 0.016583 | 201450 | Medium-chain acyl-coenzyme A dehydrogenase deficient |
| 502716 | NPHS1 | 0.015994 | 256300 | Finnish congenital nephrotic syndrome |
| 501785 | PMM2 | 0.015877 | 212065 | Carbohydrate-deficient glycoprotein syndrome type la |
| 607440 | FKTN | 0.015660 | 611615 | Cardiomyopathy, dilated, 1X |
| | | | 253800 | Walker-Warburg congenital muscular dystrophy |
| 605646 | SLC26A4 | 0.015422 | 600791 | Deafness autosomal recessive 4 |
| | | | 274600 | Pendred syndrome |
| 126340 | ERCC2 | 0.015255 | 610756 | Cerebrooculofacioskeletal syndrome 2 |
| | | | 601675 | Trichothiodystrophy 1, photosensitive |
| 503297 | DYNC2H1 | 0.014817 | 613091 | Short-rib thoracic dysplasia 3 with or without polydactyly |

(Gregg et al., 2021)

In 2022, the ACMG released updated guidelines for clinical evaluation and etiologic diagnosis of hearing loss. The updated guideline suggests the following recommendations:

- 1. "All newborns and infants with confirmed HL should undergo a comprehensive evaluation in which patient-focused medical and birth histories, a 3-generation pedigree, and family medical history are obtained, and a physical examination that focuses on dysmorphic physical findings is performed. Evaluation of children and young adults with HL should follow a similar approach. Evaluation of deaf or hard-of-hearing adults should be customized based on the age of onset and other characteristics of HL."
 - "The medical and birth histories may be helpful in differentiating between acquired vs inherited causes of HL."
- 2. "For individuals with findings that suggest a syndromic genetic etiology for their HL:
 - "Pretest genetic counseling should be provided, and, with patient's or caregiver's informed
 consent, genetic testing should be ordered to confirm the diagnosis. This testing may include
 single-gene tests, HL multigene panels, ES, GS, chromosome analysis, or microarray- or NGSbased copy number analysis, depending on clinical findings."





- "Appropriate studies should be undertaken to determine whether other organs are involved."
- "Appropriate near-term and long-term screening and management should be arranged, including referrals to specialists, as indicated by the associated manifestations of the syndrome."
- 3. "For individuals lacking physical findings suggestive of a known syndrome, a tiered diagnostic approach should be implemented."
 - "Unless clinical and/or family history suggests a specific genetic etiology, comprehensive HL
 gene panel testing should be initiated. If panel testing is negative, genome-wide testing, such
 as ES or GS, may be considered.
 - The HL panel should include the genes recommended by the HL Gene Curation Expert Panel [https://search.clinicalgenome.org/kb/affiliate/10007?page=1&size=25&search=].
 - o If genetic testing reveals variant(s) in an HL-related gene, gene-specific genetic counseling should be provided, followed by appropriate medical evaluations and referrals.
 - If genetic testing fails to identify an etiology for apatient's HL, the possibility of a genetic etiology remains."
 - "Temporal bone imaging by computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging should be
 considered as a complement to genetic testing, particularly if the diagnosis remains unclear;
 if cochlear im-plantation is being considered; if auditory neuropathy is noted, in cases of
 progressive HL; or if other clinical concerns exist. The anticipated clinical utility of imaging
 studies should be balanced against the risks associated with radiation exposure and
 sedation."
 - "CMV testing should be done as soon as possible after birth but within the first 3 weeks of life
 for infants with congenital HL. For later-onset or progressive HL, CMV testing can be obtained,
 but the likelihood that a positive test is caused by postnatal exposure increases with age."
- 4. "Referral to a multidisciplinary care center, when available, is recommended."
 - "A team approach that includes otolaryngologists, clinical geneticists, genetic counselors, audiologists, speech and language specialists, early hearing intervention and family support specialists and other appropriate specialists offers optimal opportunity to provide ongoing management and support of deaf and hard-of-hearing individuals and their families as their needs change over time."
 - "For cases in which the genetic evaluation failed to identify an underlying cause, periodic follow-up care every 3 years with a geneticist may be appropriate for several reasons. First, subtle features of syndromic forms of HL may not be apparent at birth or early in childhood but may appear as deaf or hard-of-hearing individuals grow into adulthood. These may prompt additional medical tests or referrals for specialty care. Second, follow-up visits offer the opportunity to inform individuals about new genetic tests that may have become available or changes in the interpretation of previous test results as medical knowledge advances. Finally, follow-up visits may also help identify clinical concerns unrelated to HL, for which referral for specialty care may be appropriate."

"Regardless of whether genetic test results are positive, negative, or inconclusive, results should be communicated through the process of genetic counseling and potential risks to other family members should be conveyed" (Li et al., 2022).





The Joint Committee on Infant Hearing (JCIH)

In 2007, the JCIH recommended that evaluation of infants with confirmed hearing loss should include a review of family history of specific genetic disorders or syndromes, including genetic testing for gene mutations such as GJB2 (connexin-26), and syndromes commonly associated with early-onset childhood sensorineural hearing loss (JCIH, 2007). In 2013, a supplement by the ASHA was added to the JCIH. The 2013 supplement also stated that medical providers must "understand atypical development etiologies and diagnoses, and refer for medical-genetic evaluation" and that families must be educated on the "importance of medical, genetic, ophthalmologic, and cardiac (EKG) evaluations on children with any type and degree of hearing loss" (ASHA, 2013).

In 2019, the JCIH published an updated position statement. They note that the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics recommends offering genetic counseling and testing to all infants who are deaf or hard of hearing and their families. A geneticist's evaluation should include "a review of family history of specific genetic disorders or syndromes, genetic testing for gene mutations such as *GJB2* (connexin-26), and syndromes commonly associated with early-onset hearing loss" (JCIH, 2019).

VI. Applicable State and Federal Regulations

DISCLAIMER: If there is a conflict between this Policy and any relevant, applicable government policy for a particular member [e.g., Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) for Medicare and/or state coverage for Medicaid], then the government policy will be used to make the determination. For the most up-to-date Medicare policies and coverage, please visit the Medicare search website. For the most up-to-date Medicaid policies and coverage, visit the applicable state Medicaid website.

Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Many labs have developed specific tests that they must validate and perform in house. These laboratory developed tests (LDTs) are regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) as high-complexity tests under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA '88). LDTs are not approved or cleared by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration; however, FDA clearance or approval is not currently required for clinical use.

VII. Important Reminder

The purpose of this Medical Policy is to provide a guide to coverage. This Medical Policy is not intended to dictate to providers how to practice medicine. Nothing in this Medical Policy is intended to discourage or prohibit providing other medical advice or treatment deemed appropriate by the treating physician.

Benefit determinations are subject to applicable member contract language. To the extent there are any conflicts between these guidelines and the contract language, the contract language will control.

This Medical Policy has been developed through consideration of the medical necessity criteria under Hawaii's Patients' Bill of Rights and Responsibilities Act (Hawaii Revised Statutes §432E-1.4) or for





QUEST members, under Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR 1700.1-42), generally accepted standards of medical practice and review of medical literature and government approval status.

HMSA has determined that services not covered under this Medical Policy will not be medically necessary under Hawaii law in most cases. If a treating physician disagrees with HMSA's determination as to medical necessity in a given case, the physician may request that HMSA reconsider the application of the medical necessity criteria to the case at issue in light of any supporting documentation.

Genetic testing is covered for level 1 or 2A recommendations of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN and in accordance with Hawaii's Patients' Bill of Rights and Responsibilities Act (Hawaii Revised Statutes §432E-1.4) or for QUEST members, the Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR 1700.1-42).

VIII. Evidence-based Scientific References

- A Eliot Shearer, M. S. H., and Richard JH Smith. (2017). Hereditary Hearing Loss and Deafness Overview. In. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1434/
- ACMG. (2018). Hearing Loss https://www.acmg.net/PDFLibrary/Hearing-Loss-Algorithm.pdf
- Alford, R. L., Arnos, K. S., Fox, M., Lin, J. W., Palmer, C. G., Pandya, A., Rehm, H. L., Robin, N. H., Scott, D. A., & Yoshinaga-Itano, C. (2014). American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics guideline for the clinical evaluation and etiologic diagnosis of hearing loss. *Genet Med*, *16*(4), 347-355. https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2014.2
- Angeli, S., Lin, X., & Liu, X. Z. (2012). Genetics of hearing and deafness. *Anat Rec (Hoboken)*, 295(11), 1812-1829. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.22579
- ASHA. (2013). Supplement to the JCIH 2007 position statement: principles and guidelines for early intervention following confirmation that a child is deaf or hard of hearing [Position Statement]. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. https://www.asha.org/policy/ps2013-00339/
- BluePrint. (2023). Comprehensive Hearing Loss and Deafness Panel. Retrieved 03/29/2021 from https://blueprintgenetics.com/tests/panels/ear-nose-throat/comprehensive-hearing-loss-and-deafness-panel/
- Costales, M., Diñeiro, M., Cifuentes, G. Á., Capín, R., Otero, A., Viejo-Díaz, M., Plasencia, A., Núñez, F., Gómez, J. R., Llorente, J. L., Cadiñanos, J., & Cabanillas, R. (2020). Clinical Utility of Next-generation Sequencing in the Aetiological Diagnosis of Sensorineural Hearing Loss in a Childhood Hearing Loss Unit. *Acta Otorrinolaringologica (English Edition)*, 71(3), 166-174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otoeng.2019.05.005
- D'Aguillo, C., Bressler, S., Yan, D., Mittal, R. A.-O. X., Fifer, R., Blanton, S. H., & Liu, X. (2019). Genetic screening as an adjunct to universal newborn hearing screening: literature review and implications for non-congenital pre-lingual hearing loss. (1708-8186 (Electronic)).
- GeneDx. (2024). Hearing Loss Panel. https://providers.genedx.com/tests/detail/hearing-loss-panel-925
- Gregg, A. R., Aarabi, M., Klugman, S., Leach, N. T., Bashford, M. T., Goldwaser, T., Chen, E., Sparks, T. N., Reddi, H. V., Rajkovic, A., & Dungan, J. S. (2021). Screening for autosomal recessive and X-linked conditions during pregnancy and preconception: a practice resource of the American





- College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genet Med*, *23*(10), 1793-1806. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-021-01203-z
- Invitae. (2024). Invitae Comprehensive Deafness Panel. https://www.invitae.com/us/providers/test-catalog/test-55009
- Iowa, U. o. (2020). OtoSCOPE Genetic Testing. https://morl.lab.uiowa.edu/clinical-diagnostics/deafness-otoscope/otoscope-genetic-testing
- JCIH. (2007). Year 2007 Position Statement: Principles and Guidelines for Early Hearing Detection and Intervention Programs. *Pediatrics*, 120(4), 898. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2007-2333
- JCIH. (2019). Year 2019 Position Statement: Principles and Guidelines for Early Hearing Detection and Intervention Programs. *Journal of Early Hearing Detection and Intervention*, 4(2), 1-44. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15142/fptk-b748
- Li, M. M., Tayoun, A. A., Distefano, M., Pandya, A., Rehm, H. L., Robin, N. H., Schaefer, A. M., & Yoshinaga-Itano, C. (2022). Clinical evaluation and etiologic diagnosis of hearing loss: A clinical practice resource of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genetics in Medicine*, 24(7), 1392-1406. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gim.2022.03.018
- Liao, E. N., Taketa, E., Mohamad, N. I., & Chan, D. K. (2022). Outcomes of Gene Panel Testing for Sensorineural Hearing Loss in a Diverse Patient Cohort. *JAMA Network Open*, *5*(9), e2233441-e2233441. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.33441
- Mayo Clinic. (2024). Hearing Loss Panel. https://www.mayocliniclabs.com/test-catalog/overview/619372
- OMIM. (2014). GAP JUNCTION PROTEIN, BETA-6; GJB6. https://www.omim.org/entry/604418 OMIM. (2016). GAP JUNCTION PROTEIN, BETA-2; GJB2. https://www.omim.org/entry/121011
- Otogenetics. (2021). Hearing Loss & Molecular Screening of Congenital Hearing Loss.
 - https://www.otogenetics.com/products/clinical-genetic-testing/hearing-loss/
- Partners Healthcare. (2021). OtoGenome™ Test for Hearing Loss and Related Syndromes (110 Genes)

 Details. https://personalizedmedicine.partners.org/Laboratory-For-Molecular-Medicine/Tests/Hearing-Loss/OtoGenome.aspx
- Shang, H., Yan, D., Tayebi, N., Saeidi, K., Sahebalzamani, A., Feng, Y., Blanton, S., & Liu, X. (2018). Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing of a Deafness Gene Panel (MiamiOtoGenes) Analysis in Families Unsuitable for Linkage Analysis. *Biomed Res Int, 2018,* 3103986. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/3103986
- Shearer, A. E., & Smith, R. J. (2015). Massively Parallel Sequencing for Genetic Diagnosis of Hearing Loss: The New Standard of Care. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*, 153(2), 175-182. https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599815591156
- Sloan-Heggen, C. M., Bierer, A. O., Shearer, A. E., Kolbe, D. L., Nishimura, C. J., Frees, K. L., Ephraim, S. S., Shibata, S. B., Booth, K. T., Campbell, C. A., Ranum, P. T., Weaver, A. E., Black-Ziegelbein, E. A., Wang, D., Azaiez, H., & Smith, R. J. (2016). Comprehensive genetic testing in the clinical evaluation of 1119 patients with hearing loss. *Hum Genet*, *135*(4), 441-450. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-016-1648-8
- Yang, H., Luo, H., Zhang, G., Zhang, J., Peng, Z., & Xiang, J. (2021). A multiplex PCR amplicon sequencing assay to screen genetic hearing loss variants in newborns. *BMC Medical Genomics*, 14(1), 61. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12920-021-00906-1





IX. Policy History

| Action Date | Action |
|-------------------|---|
| June 01, 2023 | Policy created |
| December 03, 2024 | Policy approved by Medical Directors |
| December 20, 2024 | Policy approved at UMC |
| February 01, 2025 | Policy effective date following notification period |