Policy Number	RX501.104
Policy Effective Date	02/01/2025

Onasemnogene Abeparvovec-xioi

Table of Contents
<u>Coverage</u>
Policy Guidelines
Description
<u>Rationale</u>
Coding
<u>References</u>
Policy History

Related Policies (if applicable)
None

Disclaimer

Medical policies are a set of written guidelines that support current standards of practice. They are based on current peer-reviewed scientific literature. A requested therapy must be proven effective for the relevant diagnosis or procedure. For drug therapy, the proposed dose, frequency and duration of therapy must be consistent with recommendations in at least one authoritative source. This medical policy is supported by FDA-approved labeling and/or nationally recognized authoritative references to major drug compendia, peer reviewed scientific literature and acceptable standards of medical practice. These references include, but are not limited to: MCG care guidelines, DrugDex (IIa level of evidence or higher), NCCN Guidelines (IIb level of evidence or higher), professional society guidelines, and CMS coverage policy.

Carefully check state regulations and/or the member contract.

Each benefit plan, summary plan description or contract defines which services are covered, which services are excluded, and which services are subject to dollar caps or other limitations, conditions or exclusions. Members and their providers have the responsibility for consulting the member's benefit plan, summary plan description or contract to determine if there are any exclusions or other benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. If there is a discrepancy between a Medical Policy and a member's benefit plan, summary plan description or contract, the benefit plan, summary plan description or contract will govern.

Legislative Mandates

EXCEPTION: For Illinois only: Illinois Public Act 103-0458 [Insurance Code 215 ILCS 5/356z.61] (HB3809 Impaired Children) states all group or individual fully insured PPO, HMO, POS plans amended, delivered, issued, or renewed on or after January 1, 2025 shall provide coverage for therapy, diagnostic testing, and equipment necessary to increase quality of life for children who have been clinically or genetically diagnosed with any disease, syndrome, or disorder that includes low tone neuromuscular impairment, neurological impairment, or cognitive impairment.

EXCEPTION: For HCSC members residing in the state of Ohio, § 3923.60 requires any group or individual policy (Small, Mid-Market, Large Groups, Municipalities/Counties/Schools, State Employees, Fully-Insured, PPO, HMO, POS, EPO) that covers prescription drugs to provide for the coverage of any drug approved by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) when it is prescribed for a use recognized as

safe and effective for the treatment of a given indication in one or more of the standard medical reference compendia adopted by the United States Department of Health and Human Services or in medical literature even if the FDA has not approved the drug for that indication. Medical literature support is only satisfied when safety and efficacy has been confirmed in two articles from major peer-reviewed professional medical journals that present data supporting the proposed off-label use or uses as generally safe and effective. Examples of accepted journals include, but are not limited to, Journal of American Medical Association (JAMA), New England Journal of Medicine (NEJM), and Lancet. Accepted study designs may include, but are not limited to, randomized, double blind, placebo controlled clinical trials. Evidence limited to case studies or case series is not sufficient to meet the standard of this criterion. Coverage is never required where the FDA has recognized a use to be contraindicated and coverage is not required for non-formulary drugs.

Coverage

Onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi (Zolgensma) may be considered medically necessary if ALL of the following conditions are met:

- 1. Diagnosis of spinal muscular atrophy confirmed by genetic testing demonstrating bi-allelic mutations in the survival motor neuron 1 (*SMN1*) gene as stated below:
 - a. Deletion of both copies of the SMN1 gene; OR
 - b. Compound heterozygous mutations of the SMN1 gene (defined below):
 - i. Pathogenic variant(s) in both copies of the SMN1 gene.
 - ii. Pathogenic variant in 1 copy and deletion of the second copy of the *SMN1* gene.
- 2. Documentation of a genetic test confirms no more than 4 copies of the SMN2 gene.
- 3. The individual is less than 2 years of age at the time of infusion of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi.
- 4. Documentation of baseline laboratory assessments such as AST, ALT, total bilirubin, and prothrombin time.
- 5. The individual does not have advanced spinal muscular atrophy (e.g., complete paralysis of limbs, permanent ventilator dependence).
- 6. Negative baseline titers for anti-adeno-associated virus serotype 9 (AAV9) antibody (see **NOTE 1** in Policy Guidelines).
- 7. Prescribed by a neurologist with expertise in treating spinal muscular atrophy.

Repeat treatment or ante-partum use of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi (Zolgensma) is considered experimental, investigational, and/or unproven.

Onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi (Zolgensma) is considered experimental, investigational, and/or unproven for all other indications.

Concurrent use of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi (Zolgensma) with nusinersen and/or risdiplam is considered experimental, investigational, and/or unproven.

Use of nusinersen and/or risdiplam after administration of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi (Zolgensma) is considered experimental, investigational, and/or unproven.

Policy Guidelines

NOTE 1: Currently, there are two facilities that run Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) titers necessary for Zolgensma in the United States. They have two different, but acceptable, definitions of a negative result (Athena Diagnostics [<1:25]; Cellular Technology Ltd. [CTL] [<1:50]).

Description

Spinal Muscular Atrophy

Spinal muscular atrophy is a rare autosomal recessive genetic disorder caused by homozygous deletions or variants in the *SMN1* gene located on chromosome 5. This gene produces the "survival of motor neuron" protein (*SMN1*), which is essential for motor neuron functioning. In 95% of cases of spinal muscular atrophy, there is a homozygous deletion of exon 7 in the *SMN1* gene. The remaining 5% of cases are compound heterozygotes for *SMN1* exon 7 deletions and small intragenic variants. (1) Due to absent or low levels of the survival motor neuron 1 protein, motor neurons in the spinal cord degenerate, resulting in atrophy of the voluntary muscles of the limbs and trunk affecting the ability to crawl, walk, sit up, and control head. In more severe cases, feeding, swallowing, and breathing are affected as well. The exact role of the survival motor neuron protein in motor neurons has not been completely elucidated, and levels of the survival motor neuron protein required for optimal functioning are unknown. (2)

There is wide phenotypic heterogeneity in spinal muscular atrophy, as summarized in Table 1. This is due to the presence of *SMN2*, a modifying/backup gene, also located on chromosome 5, which is 99% identical to *SMN1*. However, 70% to 90% of the *SMN2* compensatory protein produced by this gene is defective and unstable due to the lack of exon 7. (3) The number of copies of the *SMN2* gene varies widely (range, 0-6), resulting in a less severe form of spinal muscular atrophy among those with more copies of the *SMN2* gene and vice-versa. (4) The relation between the *SMN2* copy number and spinal muscular atrophy phenotype is summarized in Table 2. These data were generated from DNA samples of 375 patients with spinal muscular atrophy who previously had been classified as follows: 188 with spinal muscular atrophy type I, 110 with spinal muscular atrophy type II, and 77 with spinal muscular atrophy type III. (5)

Table 1. Characteristics and Subtypes of Spinal Muscular Atrophy

Type of SMA	Age at	Life Span	Highest Motor Milestone	SMN2
	Symptoms		Achieved	Сору
	Onset			Numbera

Type 0 (antenatal- onset SMA)	Prenatal	<6 months	Little ability to move and may be unable to breathe and swallow independently.	1
Type I (infantile SMA or Werdnig- Hoffman disease)	0-6 months	<2 years without respiratory support	Never rolls or sits unsupported.	2
Type II (intermediate SMA or Dubowitz disease)	<18 months	>2 years; ≈70% alive at 25 years of age	Sits independently once properly positioned; sometimes stands but never able to walk.	3 or 4
Type III (Kugelberg- Welander disease)				
Subtype IIIa	>18 months to 3 years	Similar to that of the general population	Sits, stands, and walks independently until puberty; many no longer walk after puberty. Never runs or jumps well.	3 or 4
Subtype IIIb	>3 years	Similar to that of the general population	Sits, stands, and walks independently until puberty; many no longer walk after puberty. Walks, runs, jumps, and can participate in sports.	4
Type IV (adult- onset SMA)	>21 years	Similar to that of the general population	Similar to that of the general population.	4-8

Adapted from the Muscular Dystrophy Association (n.d.), (6) National Organization for Rare Disorders (2024), (7) Zerres et al. (1995), (8) Finkel et al. (2014), (9) and Rudnik-Schoneborn et al. (2001). (10) SMA: spinal muscular atrophy.

Among 113 patients with SMA type I, 9 with 1 SMN2 copy lived <11 months, 88 of 94 with 2 SMN2 copies lived <21 months, and 8 of 10 with 3 SMN2 copies lived 33 to 66 months. (11)

Table 2. Relation Between SMN2 Copy Numbers and Spinal Muscular Atrophy Phenotype

Type of SMA	Percent With 1	Percent With 2	Percent With	Percent With		
	SMN2 Copy	SMN2 Copies	3 <i>SMN2</i>	4 <i>SMN2</i>		
			Copies	Copies		
Type I	6.9	73.4	19.7	0		
Type II	0	10.9	81.8	7.3		
Type III	0	3.9	50.6	45.5		

^a Quantitative analysis of *SMN2* copies in 375 patients showed that 80% of SMA type I carry 1 or 2 *SMN2* copies, 82% with SMA type II carry 3 *SMN2* copies, and 96% with SMA type III carry 3 or 4 *SMN2* copies. (5)

	Probability ^a of SMA Type I	Probability ^a of SMA Type II	Probability ^a of SMA Type III	
1 SMN2 copy	99.9	0	0	
2 SMN2 copies	97.3	2.7	0	
3 SMN2 copies	7.2	82.8	10.0	
4 SMN2 copies	1.6	14.8	83.6	

Adapted from Feldkötter et al. (2002). (5)

SMA: spinal muscular atrophy.

Diagnosis

Spinal muscular atrophy can be diagnosed using multiple molecular genetic testing techniques such as multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification or quantitative polymerase chain reaction or a comprehensive next-generation sequencing-based approach. Individuals are classified as having spinal muscular atrophy if they have a homozygous deletion of the SMN1 gene or a homozygous absence of the SMN1 gene due to gene conversion (i.e., SMN1 gene conversion to SMN2 gene) or a compound heterozygote variant in the SMN1 gene. Individuals are defined as carriers if they have 1 copy of the SMN1 gene on 1 chromosome and no copies on the other or 2 copies of the SMN1 gene on 1 chromosome and no copies on the other. Assessing SMN2 copy numbers as part of a diagnostic workup is important because it can provide critical information on disease progression and assist in possible clinical trial enrollment or treatment.

Because spinal muscular atrophy symptom onset may occur shortly after birth to months to years later, estimating the incidence and prevalence of spinal muscular atrophy subtypes is difficult. The incidence, as reported in the literature, is more precisely a birth prevalence rate, which is estimated between 9.1 and 10 per 100,000 live births, (12, 13) which translates to 500 new spinal muscular atrophy cases annually.

Treatment

Medical management of spinal muscular atrophy patients includes respiratory, nutritional, and musculoskeletal supportive care. Respiratory management includes airway clearance, antibiotic treatment of infections, noninvasive and invasive ventilation. Nutritional management includes changing food consistency, gastrostomy tube feeding, and dietician assessment. Musculoskeletal supportive care includes a variety of interventions such as equipment for mobility, teaching self-care and function, physiotherapy, spinal surgery, posture and pain management, regular exercise, and scoliosis surgery. The type and extent of supportive care can affect survival in infant-onset disease (e.g., gastrostomy feeding and noninvasive/invasive ventilation).

^a Probability that an unaffected child who has been tested after birth and has been found to carry a homozygous *SMN1* deletion will develop SMA type.

Onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi, a 1-time gene replacement therapy, is intended as an intravenous infusion for patients with spinal muscular atrophy type I and an intrathecal infusion for spinal muscular atrophy type II.

Regulatory Status

On May 24, 2019, onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi (Zolgensma®; Avexis) was approved by the FDA for the treatment of pediatric patients less than 2 years of age with spinal muscular atrophy with bi-allelic mutations in the survival motor neuron 1 gene.

The FDA labeling for use of Zolgensma in specific populations (i.e., pediatric use) states: "Use of Zolgensma in premature neonates before reaching full-term gestational age is not recommended because concomitant treatment with corticosteroids may adversely affect neurological development. Delay Zolgensma infusion until full-term gestational age is reached." (14)

Rationale

Medical policies assess the clinical evidence to determine whether the use of technology improves the net health outcome. Broadly defined, health outcomes are the length of life, quality of life, and ability to function, including benefits and harms. Every clinical condition has specific outcomes that are important to patients and managing the course of that condition. Validated outcome measures are necessary to ascertain whether a condition improves or worsens; and whether the magnitude of that change is clinically significant. The net health outcome is a balance of benefits and harms.

To assess whether the evidence is sufficient to draw conclusions about the net health outcome of technology, 2 domains are examined: the relevance, and quality and credibility. To be relevant, studies must represent 1 or more intended clinical use of the technology in the intended population and compare an effective and appropriate alternative at a comparable intensity. For some conditions, the alternative will be supportive care or surveillance. The quality and credibility of the evidence depend on study design and conduct, minimizing bias and confounding that can generate incorrect findings. The randomized controlled trial (RCT) is preferred to assess efficacy; however, in some circumstances, non-randomized studies may be adequate. RCTs are rarely large enough or long enough to capture less common adverse events and long-term effects. Other types of studies can be used for these purposes and to assess generalizability to broader clinical populations and settings of clinical practice.

Onasemnogene Abeparvovec-xioi

Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

The purpose of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi in individuals who have a genetic diagnosis of spinal muscular atrophy is to provide a treatment option that is an improvement on existing therapies. Potential benefits of this gene therapy (15) may include the following:

• Treatment offers a novel mechanism of action or approach that may allow successful treatment of many patients for whom other available treatments have failed.

- Treatment reduces complexity in administration (avoidance of repeated intrathecal injections) that may significantly improve patient outcomes.
- Treatment reduces caregiver or broader family burden.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this policy.

Populations

The relevant population of interest is individuals who are symptomatic infants diagnosed with type 1 spinal muscular atrophy and presymptomatic infants with a genetic diagnosis of spinal muscular atrophy.

Interventions

The therapy being considered is onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi.

Comparators

The relevant comparators are continued medical management (respiratory, digestive, and orthopedic support) and nusinersen.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are survival, functional ability, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. For details, see Table 4. Given the heterogeneity and varying life expectancies among patients with different spinal muscular atrophy subtypes, the timing of follow-up of studies to reasonably assess whether onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi offers a net health benefit will differ by spinal muscular atrophy subtypes as well as by the timing of treatment initiation relative to symptom onset. For details, see Tables 3 and 4.

Table 3. Health Outcome Measures Relevant to Spinal Muscular Atrophy

Outcome	Age	Description	Relevance
BSID-III	• Appropriate for ages 1 to 42 months (16)	 Designed to measure movements of the limbs and torso in infants and toddlers A range of motor functions including rolling, sitting upright, crawling, stepping motions, standing, walking, climbing stairs, running, maintaining balance, and other activities requiring full-body control or coordination (16) 	In the natural history of the disease, infants with Type I SMA are not expected to achieve independent sitting or any of the other subsequent milestones evaluated
CHOP INTEND	 Appropriate for 3. 8 months to >4 years 	 Motor skills includes 16 items scored on a scale of 0 (no response) to 4 (complete response) and total score ranges from 0 to 64 (17, 18) 	 Score >40 rare in SMA type I with 2 SMN2 gene copies (19) Mean CHOP INTEND score in healthy

HFMSE	•	Appropriate for individuals with SMA types II and III (20)	•	Motor function includes 33 items from the Gross Motor Function Measure related to lying/rolling, crawling, crawling, kneeling, standing, and walking/running/jumping that are scored on a scale of 0 to 2, with a total score that ranges from 0 to 66, where lower scores indicate poorer motor function. On average, it can be conducted in 12 minutes	•	infants (n=14; age, 3.3 months) was 501.1 vs 20.2 in SMA type I (n=16; age, 3.7 months) Multiple studies have shown that HFMSE scores decline progressively in patients with SMA type II or III. However, there is conflicting data on whether such declines are linear (21, 22)
HINE Section 2	•	Appropriate for infants 2-24 months	•	Motor milestones include 8 items scored on a 5-point scale with 0 as the absence of activity, and a maximum score of 4 points	•	Infants with the most severe symptoms of SMA (early onset) may show a score of 0 on all 8 items of the HINE Section 2 (23)
MFM-32	•	Appropriate for ages: 2 to 62 years	•	Evaluates gross and fine motor function in a broad patient population (weak nonambulant to stronger ambulant, and all levels of severity) 3 domains including standing and transfers, axial limb and proximal limb motor function, and distal motor function Scored using 4-point Likert scale based on subject's maximal abilities without assistance (0 to 3)	•	Results of an observational retrospective analysis of 12 type 2 and 19 type 3 SMA patients showed a slow deterioration (-0.9 points/y and -0.6 points/y respectively) (24) Studies assessing the validity and reliability of MFM-32 support its use in longitudinal research in individuals with type 2 and nonambulant type 3 SMA. (25, 26) Results of a retrospective analysis

DIHM			of 81 patients with type 2 and non-ambulatory type 3 SMA aged 2–25 years suggest that a within-patient change of 3 to 4 points was meaningful. Further, the authors conclude that given the progressive nature of SMA, a 3 to 4-point improvement should not be viewed as minimal as arguably stabilization is also meaningful (27)
RULM	 Appropriate for children and adults with SMA age ≥ 30 months 	 Evaluates upper limb function Scale consists of 20 items that test proximal and distal motor functions of the arm. The total score ranges from 0, if all the items cannot be performed, to 37, if all the activities are achieved fully without any compensatory maneuvers Higher scores indicate higher function (28) 	The purpose of an upper limb scale for use in SMA is to assess change that occurs in motor performance of the upper limb overtime. Literature search did not identify threshold for clinically meaningful difference
Natural history with and without SMA		 Infants without SMA at 1 year (29): 90% able to maintain head control, turn in sitting position (pivot), form a pincer grasp, play with feet, roll from prone to supine (and back), crawl on hands and knees 79% able to stand unaided 51% able to walk At 18 months: 90% stand/walk unaided Event-free survival rates in infants with SMA type I (9, 30): 	With the availability of nusinersen, conducting placebocontrolled trials in patients with SMA type I who face nearterm mortality would be unethical. Therefore, good quality natural history data from SMA and non-SMA populations using validated cohorts are essential to assess relative

• 50% by 8-10.5 months	health benefit over
• 25% by 13.6 months	short- and long-term
• 8% by 20 months	

BSID: Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development (Third Edition); CHOP INTEND: Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders; HFMSE: Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale-Expanded; HINE: Hammersmith Infant Neurological Examination; MFM-32: Motor Function Measure (32 items); RULM: Revised Upper Limb Module; SMA: spinal muscular atrophy.

Table 4. Timing of Outcome Measures Relevant to Spinal Muscular Atrophy

SMA Subtype	Purpose	Timing
Presymptomatic with a genetic diagnosis of SMA and less than 4 copies of SMN2	To assess short-term benefit (efficacy & safety)	6 months to 1 year may be sufficient
Types I to III	 To assess short-term benefit (efficacy and safety) 	1-2 years may be sufficient
Types I to III	To assess durability of benefit and delayed/rare adverse events	10-15 years (survival, comparative development milestones versus natural history of SMA and non-SMA patients, safety)

SMA: spinal muscular atrophy.

Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with preference for RCTs.
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with preference for prospective studies.
- To assess longer term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Non-Randomized Studies

The clinical development program for onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi is summarized in Table 5.

Table 5. Summary of the Clinical Development Program for Onasemnogene Abeparvovec-Xioi

Study	Phase	N	Status				
Infants under 6 weeks (presymptomatic with a genetic diagnosis of SMA and less than 4 copies of SMN2)							
SPR1NT (NCT03505099) (31, 32)	3	44	Completed				

Children <6 months of age (SMA type I)						
Pivotal (NCT02122952) (33)	1	15	Completed			
STR1VE-US trial (NCT03306277) (34)	3	21	Completed			
STR1VE-EU trial (NCT03461289) (35)	3	30	Completed			
START (NCT03421977) ^a (36)	4	15	Ongoing; estimated completion: December 2033			
Children up to 60 months of age (SMA type II)						
STRONG (NCT03381729) (37)	1	32	Completed			

PIVOTAL: Gene Transfer Clinical Trial for Spinal Muscular Atrophy Type 1; SPR1NT: Pre-Symptomatic Study of Intravenous Onasemnogene Abeparvovec-xioi in Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) for Patients With Multiple Copies of *SMN2*; START: Long-Term Follow-up Study for Patients From AVXS-101-CL-101; STRIVE EU: Single-Dose Gene Replacement Therapy Clinical Trial for Patients With Spinal Muscular Atrophy Type 1; STRIVE US: Gene Replacement Therapy Clinical Trial for Patients With Spinal Muscular Atrophy Type 1; STRONG: Study of Intrathecal Administration of Onasemnogene Abeparvovec-xioi for Spinal Muscular Atrophy.

Symptomatic Spinal Muscular Atrophy TYPE I (Infantile-Onset)

The clinical development program of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi for patients with symptomatic spinal muscular atrophy type I includes 4 prospective cohort studies and is summarized in Table 5. These trials enrolled a total of 65 patients with symptomatic spinal muscular atrophy type I. The study characteristics and results are summarized in Tables 6 and 7.

The FDA approval was based on a pooled analysis of 21 patients with 2 copies of *SMN2* from the pivotal phase I and STRIVE-US trial with a data analysis cut off of March 2019. Efficacy was established on the basis of survival, and achievement of developmental motor milestones such as sitting without support. Comparison of the results of the ongoing clinical trial to available natural history data of patients with infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy was the primary evidence for the effectiveness of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi. The FDA analysis is summarized in Table 7. The inclusion and exclusion criteria of the phase 3 confirmatory study (STRIVE-US) were the same as the phase 1 dose-finding study. (34) The co-primary efficacy outcomes are functional independent sitting for 30 or more seconds at 18 months of age and event-free survival at 14 months of age (defined as the avoidance of either death or need for tracheostomy or ventilation ≥16 hours/day for ≥2 consecutive weeks). Secondary efficacy outcomes are the ability to thrive at 18 months of age, including not receiving nutrition through mechanical support or other nonoral methods, ability to tolerate thin liquids (formal swallowing test), and maintaining weight (>3rd percentile for age and sex) and ability to remain independent of ventilatory support at 18 months of age. Results are summarized in Table 7.

Results of an ongoing study to assess long-term safety and durability of response in infants with SMA type 1 with a median time since dosing of 5.2 years (range 4.6 to 6.2 years) have been published (36) and summarized in Tables 6 and 7. Seven of 13 were receiving concomitant nusinersen (all 3 patients in the low-dose cohort and 4 of the 10 patients in the therapeutic-

^a Long-term, safety follow-up study of patients enrolled in NCT02122952.

dose cohort). The primary objective is to assess safety, and the secondary objective is to determine whether developmental milestones achieved in the phase 1 clinical trial were maintained and new milestones gained. Thirteen of 15 original patients were included in the analysis; 2 patients' families declined follow-up participation. At the data cutoff on June 11, 2020, the median age of 13 patients followed was 38.9 months. Serious adverse events occurred in 8 patients (62%), none of which resulted in study discontinuation or death. All 10 patients in the therapeutic-dose cohort remained alive and without the need for permanent ventilation. All 10 patients treated with the therapeutic dose maintained previously acquired motor milestones. Two patients attained the new milestone of "standing with assistance" without the use of nusinersen.

While the current evidence for symptomatic type I spinal muscular atrophy patients is limited to patients with 2 copies of *SMN2*, approximately 20% of type I spinal muscular atrophy patients may have 3 copies of *SMN2*. (5) Given the treatment effect observed in symptomatic patients, it is possible that patients with 3 copies of *SMN2* may experience a clinically meaningful benefit. However, there is no published evidence to support such a hypothesis. Further, there is no published data that supports clinical benefit in Type I spinal muscular atrophy patients who are administered onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi after 6 months of age.

Table 6. Summary of Key Characteristics of Nonrandomized Trials

Study	Study	Country	Dates	Participants	Treatment	Follow-
	Туре					Up
Mendell et al. (2017) (33)	Single Cohort	U.S.	2014-2017	Infants <9 months ^a with biallelic <i>SMN1</i> deletions or variants with 2 copies of <i>SMN2</i> (n=15). Patients with c.859G>C variant in <i>SMN2</i> exon 7 were excluded from the study. ^b	Onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi (3 minimally effective dosec; 12 proposed therapeutic dosed)	Median, 30.7 ^c and 27.8 ^d months ^e
Day et al. (2021) (34)	Single Cohort	U.S.	2017- 2019	Infants <6 months with biallelic SMN1 deletions or variants with 1 or 2 copies of SMN2 (n=22). Patients with c.859G>C variant in SMN2 exon 7 were included in the study.b	Onasemnogene abeparvovec (single IV dose of 1.1 X 10 ¹⁴ vector genomes per kg)	18 months
Mendell et al. (2021) (36)	Single Cohort	U.S.	2017-2021	Infants <9 months ^a with biallelic <i>SMN1</i> deletions or variants with 2 copies of <i>SMN2</i> (n=13). Patients with	No treatment; intent was long-term follow-up for safety	Median time since dosing 5.2

	c.859G>C variant in	years
	SMN2 exon 7 were	(range,
	excluded from the	4.6 to
	study. ^b	6.2)

^a Protocol was amended to lower the age to 6 months of age or younger.

Table 7. Summary of Key Results of Nonrandomized Trials

Study FDA Label (as of	Survival the March 20	Change in Mean CHOP INTEND Score	Patients With CHOP INTEND Score >40, n (%) off) (39)	Others	Safety
N	21		, (33)		44
Onasemnogene abeparvovec- xioi	90.5%	NR	NR	 1 patient died at age 7.8 months due to disease progression 1 patient withdrew from the study at age 11.9 months 68% (13 of the 19) patients continuing in the trial reached 14 months of age without permanent ventilation 47.6% (10 of 21) sit without support for ≥ 30 seconds 	Elevated ALT/AST ^a (> ULN): 12 (27.3%) Vomiting: 3 (6.8%)

^b c.859G>C substitution is a positive modifier and has been shown to results in a mild SMA phenotype. (38)

c At 6.7×10¹³ vg/kg.

^d At 2.0×10¹⁴ vg/kg.

^e The oldest patient is 46.2 months of age, with 40.6 months of follow-up.

Day et al. (2021)	(34)			•	between 9.2 and 16.9 months of age (mean age 12.1 months) 84% (16 of 19) did not require daily non- invasive ventilator use		
N	22						
Onasemnogene abeparvovec- xioi	95%	NR	21 (95%)	•	59% (13 of 22 patients achieved functional independent sitting for 30 s or longer at the 18 months of age study visit) 41% (9 of 22 patients maintained the ability to thriveb at the 18 months of age study visit)	•	All patients had at least 1 adverse event (most common was pyrexia). Frequently reported serious adverse events were bronchiolitis, pneumonia, respiratory distress, and respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis. 3 serious adverse events were related or possibly related to the treatment (2 cases of elevated hepatic aminotransferases and 1 of hydrocephalus).
Historical cohort (PNCR) (9)	20%	NR	Rare	•	None of the 23 untreated patients achieved functional	•	NA

Mendell et al. (2	021) (36)			ss ss II aa F • A t	ndependent sitting for 30 seconds or onger at the 18 months of age in the PNCR cohort Ability to thrive data was not reported for the PNCR cohort	
N	13					
Onasemnogene abeparvovec- xioi	100% (10 of 10 in therapeutic dose) 100% (3 of 3 in low dose)	NA	NA	• 1	of 13 receiving concomitant nusinersen (all 3 in the low- dose cohort and 4 of the 10 catients in the cherapeutic- dose cohort) None of the 10 n the cherapeutic- dose cohort require cermanent ventilation 2 of 3 in the ow dose remain free of cermanent ventilation All 10 patients n therapeutic dose cohort maintained creviously acquired motor	Serious adverse events (n=8; 62%) Acute respiratory failure (4 [31%]) Pneumonia (4 [31%]) Dehydration (3 [23%]) Respiratory distress (2 [15%]) Bronchiolitis (2 [15%])

milestones.
Two attained
the new
milestone of
"standing with
assistance"
without the
use of
nusinersen

AE: adverse events; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST; aspartate aminotransferase; CHOP INTEND: Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders; NA: not applicable; NR: not reported; SAE: serious adverse event; ULN: upper limit of normal.

The purpose of the study limitations tables (see Tables 8 and 9) is to display notable limitations identified in each study. This information is synthesized as a summary of the body of evidence following each table. Due to strict inclusion criteria, the patient population included in the trial was more homogeneous (e.g., *SMN2* copy number differences), younger, and treated earlier from the time of onset of symptoms than patients in routine clinical practice. For example, the weighted mean (standard deviation) age of symptom onset and age of confirmed genetic diagnosis in spinal muscular atrophy type I patients was 2.5 (0.6) and 6.3 (2.2) months, respectively, based on a systematic literature review of studies published between 2000 and 2014. (40) The weighted diagnostic delay in this systematic review was 3.6 months. In the onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi phase I study, the age of symptom onset and age of confirmed genetic diagnosis were 1.4 months (range, 0-3 months) and 2 months (range, 0-4.5 months), respectively. Therefore, the benefits observed in this study setting might not translate to patients in a real-world setting. However, with increasing efforts toward newborn screening for spinal muscular atrophy, it is possible that the delay in diagnosis of spinal muscular atrophy may be shortened.

Table 8. Study Relevance Limitations

Study	Population ^a	Intervention ^b	Comparator ^c	Outcomesd	Follow-Up ^e
Mendell					1. Not sufficient
et al.					duration for
(2017)					benefit (long-term)
(33)					2. Not sufficient
					duration for harms
					(long-term)

^a In the completed clinical trial, 1 patient (the first patient infused in that study) was enrolled prior to the protocol amendment instituting administration of prednisolone before and after infusion.

^b Ability to thrive was a composite endpoint defined by swallowing function (the ability to tolerate thin liquids shown by a formal clinical swallowing assessment [e.g., bedside swallow exam]) AND nutritional support (feeding exclusively by mouth, defined as not receiving nutrition through a feeding tube or other non-oral methods) AND weight maintenance (maintaining weight greater than the third percentile for the appropriate age and sex)

Day et al. (2021) (34)		1. Not sufficient duration for benefit (long-term) 2. Not sufficient duration for harms (long-term)
Mendell et al. (2021) (36)	4. Not the intervention of interest (7 out of 13 patients received continue to receive nusinersen after receiving onetime gene therapy)	

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current literature review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

Table 9. Study Design and Conduct Limitations

Study	Allocationa	Blinding ^b	Selective	Data	Power ^e	Statistical ^f
			Reporting ^c	Completenessd		
Mendell	1. Participants	3.				
et al.	not randomly	Outcome				
(2017)	allocated	assessed				
(33)		by treating				
		physician				
Day et	1. Participants	3.				
al.	not randomly	Outcome				
(2021)	allocated	assessed				
(34)		by treating				
		physician				

^a Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Clinical context is unclear; 3. Study population is unclear; 4. Study population not representative of intended use.

^b Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator; 4. Not the intervention of interest.

^c Comparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively.

^d Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. No CONSORT reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinical significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinical significant difference not supported.

^e Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms.

Mendell	1. Participants	3.	5. Two of the	
et al.	not randomly	Outcome	13 in the high	
(2021)	allocated	assessed	dose cohort	
(36)		by treating	did not	
		physician	participate	

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current literature review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

Findings from the industry-sponsored RESTORE registry were published in 2024. (41) RESTORE is a prospective, multicenter, multinational, observational registry, whose objective was to describe patients with SMA treated with onasemnogene abeparvovec monotherapy in the realworld setting. Recruitment started in September 2018. As of May 23, 2022, data were available for 168 patients treated with onasemnogene abeparvovec monotherapy. Median (IQR) age at initial SMA diagnosis was 1 (0-6) month and at onasemnogene abeparvovec infusion was 3 (1-10) months. Eighty patients (47.6%) had two and 70 (41.7%) had three copies of SMN2, and 98 (58.3%) were identified by newborn screening. Infants identified by newborn screening had a lower age at final assessment (mean age 11.5 months) and greater mean final (SD) CHOP INTEND score (57.0 [10.0] points) compared with clinically diagnosed patients (23.1 months; 52.1 [8.0] points). All patients maintained/achieved motor milestones. 48.5% (n = 81/167) experienced at least one treatment-emergent adverse event (AE), and 31/167 patients (18.6%) experienced at least one serious AE, of which 8/31 were considered treatment-related. Authors concluded that these real-world outcomes support findings from the interventional trial program and demonstrate effectiveness of onasemnogene abeparvovec over a large patient population, which was consistent with initial clinical data and published 5-year follow-up data. Observed AEs were consistent with the established safety profile of onasemnogene abeparvovec.

Subsection Summary: Symptomatic Spinal Muscular Atrophy Type I (Infantile-Onset)

The evidence for use of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi for symptomatic spinal muscular atrophy type I (infantile-onset) consists of 2 single-arm studies. The FDA approval was based on a pooled analysis of 21 patients from the 2 single-arm studies. The observed treatment effect

^a Allocation key: 1. Participants not randomly allocated; 2. Allocation not concealed; 3. Allocation concealment unclear; 4. Inadequate control for selection bias.

^b Blinding key: 1. Not blinded to treatment assignment; 2. Not blinded outcome assessment; 3. Outcome assessed by treating physician.

^c Selective Reporting key: 1. Not registered; 2. Evidence of selective reporting; 3. Evidence of selective publication.

^d Data Completeness key: 1. High loss to follow-up or missing data; 2. Inadequate handling of missing data; 3. High number of crossovers; 4. Inadequate handling of crossovers; 5. Inappropriate exclusions; 6. Not intent to treat analysis (per protocol for noninferiority trials).

^e Power key: 1. Power calculations not reported; 2. Power not calculated for primary outcome; 3. Power not based on clinically important difference.

^f Statistical key: 1. Analysis is not appropriate for outcome type: (a) continuous; (b) binary; (c) time to event; 2. Analysis is not appropriate for multiple observations per patient; 3. Confidence intervals and/or p values not reported; 4. Comparative treatment effects not calculated.

on survival, event-free survival and achievement of motor functions is beyond what is typical based on the known natural history of patients with spinal muscular atrophy type I with 2 copies of *SMN2*. Results of the phase 3 confirmatory study (STRIVE-US) published after the FDA approval were largely consistent with previously available findings at the time of approval. Results of an ongoing study to assess long-term safety and durability of response in infants with SMA type 1 with a median time since dosing of 5.2 years showed that the developmental milestones achieved in the phase 1 clinical trial were maintained and new milestones gained. Thirteen of 15 original patients were included in the analysis. All 10 patients in the therapeutic-dose cohort remained alive and without the need for permanent ventilation. All 10 patients treated with the therapeutic dose maintained previously acquired motor milestones. Two patients attained the new milestone of "standing with assistance" without the use of nusinersen. However, 7 of the 13 subsequently received concomitant nusinersen.

<u>Presymptomatic Infants with a Genetic Diagnosis of Spinal Muscular Atrophy and Less Than 4</u> <u>Copies of SMN2</u>

The clinical development program of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi for presymptomatic infants with a genetic diagnosis of spinal muscular atrophy and less than 4 copies of SMN2 includes a single prospective cohort study called SPR1NT. The study characteristics are summarized in Table 10 and results are summarized in Table 11. The study included 2 cohorts: 14 children with 2 copies of SMN2 and 14 children with 3 copies of SMN2 expected to develop SMA type 1. Results of the cohorts were reported in 2 separate publications. (31, 32) All patients with 2 copies of SMN2 reached 18-months-of-age (study end) and achieved the primary endpoint of sitting without support for at least 30 seconds. (31) Seventy-nine percent (11/14) achieved this milestone within an age-appropriate time period based on the World Health Organization Multicentre Growth Reference Study (WHO MGRS) of healthy children living under conditions highly unlikely to constrain growth. (42) All patients with 3 copies of SMN2 reached 24-months-of-age (study end) and achieved the primary endpoint of standing without support up to the 24-months-of-age visit. Ninety-three percent (14/15) achieved this milestone within an age-appropriate time period. (32) In the natural history of SMA Type 1, untreated children with 2 copies of the SMN2 backup gene would not achieve such skills. Among the cohort of infants with 3 copies of SMN2 gene, 27% (4/15) stood for 3 seconds or more without assistance, 60% (9/15) stood for 10 seconds or more with assistance and 13% (2/15) walked 5 steps or more without assistance as measured by WHO-MGRS. All patients (29/29) did not need temporary breathing support and remained free of feeding support and 97% (28/29) remained within a normal weight range (3rd-97th percentile for age).

The purpose of the study limitations tables (see Tables 12 and 13) is to display notable limitations identified in each study. This information is synthesized as a summary of the body of evidence following each table. Notable study limitations include a relatively short follow-up, which is inadequate to assess the durability of the treatment effect or safety, especially those that are potentially rare or have delayed onset.

Table 10. Summary of Key Nonrandomized Trials

Study	Study Type	Country	Dates	Participants	Treatment	Follow-Up
Strauss et al. (2022) (31) and Strauss et al. (2022; SPR1NT) (32)	Single arm	U.S., Europe, Asia	2018-2021	 Presymptomatic infants with biallelic deletions of <i>SMN1</i> and 2 (n=14) or 3 copies (n=15) of <i>SMN2</i> (N=29) The primary endpoint among infants with 2 copies of <i>SMN2</i> is the proportion of patients with functional independent sitting for 30 or more seconds by 18 months of age and among those with 3 copies of <i>SMN2</i> is the proportion of patients able to stand without support for 3 or more seconds up to 24 months of age 	Single dose intravenous infusion of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi at 1.1 X 10 ¹⁴ vector genomes/kg	18 or 24 of age, dependent upon respective SMN2 copy number

SPRINT: Pre-Symptomatic Study of Intravenous Onasemnogene Abeparvovec-xioi in Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) for Patients With Multiple Copies of *SMN2*.

Table 11. Summary of Key Results of Nonrandomized Trial

Study	Survival	Change in Mean (±SD) CHOP INTEND Score	Patients With CHOP INTEND >40, n (%)	Others	Safety
Strauss et al. (20	Strauss et al. (2022) (31)				
N	14				
Onasemnogene abeparvovec- xioi	100%	1 month: 3.9 (±8.3)	14 (100%)	All 14 (100%) children achieved independent sitting for at least	No serious adverse events were considered

Strauss et al. (20	22) (32)	3 months: 11.2 (±8.8) 6 months: 14.8 (±8.1)		•	30 seconds at any visit up to 18 months of age All 14 (100%) children achieved motor milestones as defined by BSID and WHO-MGRS 11 of 14 (79%) children stood alone without assistance based on BSID definition and 9 children (64%) walked independently No child required mechanical respiratory support during the trial	treatment- related by the investigator • 1 patient had serum amino- transferase enzyme concentration >3x ULN. Resolved with prednisolone dose modification
N	15					
Onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi	100%	NA	NA	•	All 15 (100%) children stood independently based on BSID definition by 24 months of age and all maintained this motor milestone at the 24-month study visit 14 (93%) of 15 children sat independently based on BSID definition by 24 months of age 14 (93%) of 15 children walked independently for at least 5 steps	No serious adverse events were considered treatment-related by the investigator

BSID: Bayley-III Scales of Infant and Toddler Development; CHOP INTEND: Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders; ITT: Intention-to-treat; NA: not applicable; NR: not reported; SAE: serious adverse event; SD: standard deviation; TULN: upper limit of normal; WHO-MGRS: World Health Organization Multicentre Growth Reference Study.

Table 12. Study Relevance Limitations

Study	Population ^a	Intervention ^b	Comparator ^c	Outcomesd	Follow-Up ^e
Strauss et al.					1. Not
(2022)					sufficient
(31) and					duration for
Strauss et al.					benefit (long-
(2022;					term)
SPR1NT) (32)					2. Not
					sufficient
					duration for
					harms (long-
					term)

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current literature review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

Table 13. Study Design and Conduct Limitations

Study	Allocationa	Blindingb	Selective	Data	Power ^e	Statistical ^f
			Reporting ^c	Completenessd		
Strauss et	1.	3.				
al. (2022)	Participants	Outcome				
(31) and	not	assessed				
Strauss et	randomly	by				
al. (2022;	allocated	treating				
SPR1NT)		physician				
(32)						

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current literature review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

<u>Subsection Summary: Presymptomatic Infants with a Genetic Diagnosis of Spinal Muscular</u> <u>Atrophy and Less Than 4 Copies of SMN2</u>

The evidence for use of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi for presymptomatic infants with a genetic diagnosis of SMA and less than 4 copies of SMN2 consists of a single-arm study (SPR1NT). This trial included infants less than 6 weeks of age who did not display any symptoms of SMA at the time of infusion. The trial was divided into 2 cohorts based on SMN2 copy number. All patients irrespective of SMN2 copy numbers achieved their respective primary endpoint, able to sit without support for ≥ 30 seconds at 18 months of age for 2 copies

^a Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Clinical context is unclear; 3. Study population is unclear; 4. Study population not representative of intended use.

^b Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator; 4. Not the intervention of interest.

^c Comparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively.

^d Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. No CONSORT reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinical significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinical significant difference not supported.

^e Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms.

^a Allocation key: 1. Participants not randomly allocated; 2. Allocation not concealed; 3. Allocation concealment unclear; 4. Inadequate control for selection bias.

^b Blinding key: 1. Not blinded to treatment assignment; 2. Not blinded outcome assessment; 3. Outcome assessed by treating physician.

^c Selective Reporting key: 1. Not registered; 2. Evidence of selective reporting; 3. Evidence of selective publication.

^d Data Completeness key: 1. High loss to follow-up or missing data; 2. Inadequate handling of missing data; 3. High number of crossovers; 4. Inadequate handling of crossovers; 5. Inappropriate exclusions; 6. Not intent to treat analysis (per protocol for noninferiority trials).

^e Power key: 1. Power calculations not reported; 2. Power not calculated for primary outcome; 3. Power not based on clinically important difference.

f Statistical key: 1. Analysis is not appropriate for outcome type: (a) continuous; (b) binary; (c) time to event; 2. Analysis is not appropriate for multiple observations per patient; 3. Confidence intervals and/or p values not reported; 4. Comparative treatment effects not calculated.

of *SMN2* or ability to stand for 3 seconds or more without assistance at 24 months for those with 3 copies of *SMN2*. Multiple secondary endpoints were also supportive of clinical benefit including achievement of age-appropriate gross motor milestones and functions and independence from nutritional and respiratory support. In the natural history of SMA type 1, untreated children with 2 or 3 copies of the *SMN2* backup gene would not achieve such skills. These results demonstrate that early treatment resulted in the achievement of motor milestones among patients who are not likely to attain them without treatment.

Summary of Evidence

<u>Infantile-Onset or Spinal Muscular Atrophy Type I</u>

For individuals who have spinal muscular atrophy type I (infantile-onset) who receive onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi, the evidence includes 2 single-arm studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The FDA approval was based on a pooled analysis of 21 patients from the 2 single-arm studies. The observed treatment effect on survival, event-free survival, and achievement of motor functions is beyond what is typical based on the known natural history of patients with spinal muscular atrophy type I with 2 copies of SMN2. Results of the phase 3 confirmatory study (STRIVE-US) published after the FDA approval were consistent with previously available findings at the time of approval. Results of an ongoing study to assess long-term safety and durability of response in infants with SMA type 1 with a median time since dosing of 5.2 years showed that the developmental milestones achieved in the phase 1 clinical trial were maintained and new milestones gained. Thirteen of 15 original patients were included in the analysis. All 10 patients in the therapeutic-dose cohort remained alive and without the need for permanent ventilation. All 10 patients treated with the therapeutic dose maintained previously acquired motor milestones. Two patients attained the new milestone of "standing with assistance" without the use of nusinersen. However, 7 of the 13 subsequently received concomitant nusinersen. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

<u>Presymptomatic Patients with a Diagnosis of Spinal Muscular Atrophy and Less Than 4 Copies of SMN2</u>

For individuals who are presymptomatic with a genetic diagnosis of spinal muscular atrophy and less than 4 copies of *SMN2* who receive onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi, the evidence includes one single-arm study - SPR1NT. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The SPR1NT trial included infants less than 6 weeks of age who did not display any symptoms of SMA at the time of infusion. The trial was divided into 2 cohorts based on SMN2 copy number. All patients irrespective of *SMN2 copy* numbers achieved their respective primary endpoint, able to sit without support for at least 30 seconds at 18 months of age for 2 copies of *SMN2* or ability to stand for 3 seconds or more without assistance at 24 months for those with 3 copies of *SMN2*. Multiple secondary endpoints were also supportive of clinical benefit including achievement of age-appropriate gross motor milestones and functions and independence from nutritional and respiratory support. In the natural history of SMA Type 1, untreated children with 2 or 3 copies of the *SMN2* backup gene would not achieve such skills.

These results demonstrate that early treatment resulted in the achievement of motor milestones among patients who are not likely to attain them without treatment. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)

On July 7, 2021, the NICE issued specialized technology appraisal guidance on onasemnogene abeparvovec for treating spinal muscular atrophy. (43) Recommendations are summarized below.

Onasemnogene abeparvovec is recommended as an option for treating 5q spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) with a bi-allelic mutation in the *SMN1* gene and a clinical diagnosis of type 1 SMA in babies, only if:

- They are 6 months or younger, or they are aged 7 to 12 months, and their treatment is agreed by the national multidisciplinary team. It is only recommended for these groups if permanent ventilation for more than 16 hours per day or a tracheostomy is not needed and the company provides it according to the commercial arrangement.
- For babies aged 7 to 12 months, the national multidisciplinary team should develop auditable criteria to enable onasemnogene abeparvovec to be allocated to babies in whom treatment will give them at least a 70% chance of being able to sit independently.
- Onasemnogene abeparvovec is recommended as an option for treating presymptomatic 5q SMA with a bi-allelic mutation in the SMN1 gene and up to 3 copies of the SMN2 gene in babies. It is recommended only if the conditions in the managed access agreement are followed.

On April 19, 2023, the NICE issued a specialized technology appraisal guidance on onasemnogene abeparvovec for treating presymptomatic spinal muscular atrophy. (44) Recommendations are summarized below.

 Onasemnogene abeparvovec is recommended as an option for treating presymptomatic 5q SMA with a biallelic mutation in the SMN1 gene and up to 3 copies of the SMN2 gene in babies aged 12 months and under. It is only recommended if the company provides it according to the commercial arrangement.

Institute for Clinical and Economic Review

The Institute for Clinical and Economic Review published a final report on comparative effectiveness and value of nusinersen and onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi for spinal muscular atrophy on April 3, 2019, and subsequently on May 24, 2019, published an update following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi. (15)

The report only included and appraised the published evidence from the Phase I dose-finding study of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi. The authors did not rate the quality of this study because they do not conduct quality assessment of non-comparative studies.

- For type 0, later-onset (types II and III), type IV and presymptomatic spinal muscular atrophy, the Report concluded that the evidence for onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi was insufficient due to lack of relevant data. The report also rated the evidence to be insufficient for comparison of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi versus nusinersen for infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy due to lack of evidence.
- For infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy, the report concluded with high certainty that onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi provides a substantial net health benefit, and rate the evidence base as "superior" to standard care (A).
- In summarizing the uncertainties of the clinical evidence, the Institute for Clinical and Economic Review report noted considerable uncertainty in the generalizability of the results and in the long-term durability and tolerability of treatment. Further, the report notes additional uncertainty related to the possibility of loss of transgene expression over time and subsequent treatment pathway. The report also noted that some patients in the pivotal trial subsequently received nusinersen, but the effects of combination or sequential therapies have not been well studied.

Subsequent to the FDA approval of onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi, the Institute for Clinical and Economic Review issued an update with a brief discussion of additional data/interim analyses from ongoing trials that were presented at the Muscular Dystrophy Association Clinical and Scientific Conference April 13-17, 2019 and American Academy of Neurology Annual Meeting May 4-10, 2019, and manufacturer press releases. In summary, the Institute for Clinical and Economic Review noted that the updated data are largely consistent with previously available findings and as the data evolves and confirm the initial findings, the evidence rating may be revised.

Cure Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) Working Group

In September 2019, Cure SMA reconvened the multidisciplinary working group to reassess the treatment algorithm for newborns with SMA identified through newborn screening based upon new experience and therapeutic options. (45) The working group has updated their position to a recommendation for immediate treatment for infants diagnosed with SMA via newborn screening with four copies of *SMN2*. The working group also revisited the published recommendation to wait to treat for infants with five copies of *SMN2* and unanimously voted to uphold the recommendation of watchful waiting. The working group acknowledged that current laboratory assays designed to detect *SMN2* copy number often have difficulty distinguishing high copy numbers of *SMN2* and that many laboratories report results as four or more *SMN2* copies, being unable to give an exact number. Recognizing this fact, the working group encouraged follow-up with a laboratory able to distinguish exact *SMN2* copy number.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this policy are listed in Table 14.

Table 14. Summary of Key Trials

NCT Number	Trial Name	Planned	Completion			
		Enrollment	Date			
Ongoing						
NCT03421977	Long-Term Follow-up Study for Patients	15	Dec 2033			
(START) ^a	From AVXS-101-CL-101					
NCT04042025 ^a	Long-term Follow-up Study of Patients	85	Dec 2035			
	Receiving Onasemnogene Abeparvovec-					
	xioi					
NCT05386680	Phase IIIb, Open-label, Single-arm, Multi-	28	Dec 2024			
(STRENGTH)	center Study to Evaluate the Safety,					
	Tolerability and Efficacy of OAV101					
	Administered Intrathecally to Participants					
	With SMA Who Discontinued Treatment					
	With Nusinersen or Risdiplam					
NCT05089656	A Randomized, Sham-controlled, Double-	125	Feb 2025			
(STEER)	blind Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and					
	Safety of Intrathecal OAV101 in Type 2					
	Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) Patients					
	Who Are ≥ 2 to < 18 Years of Age,					
	Treatment Naive, Sitting, and Never					
	Ambulatory					
NCT05335876	Long-term Follow-up of Patients With	260	Oct 2039			
	Spinal Muscular Atrophy Treated With					
	OAV101 IT or OAV101 IV in Clinical Trials					
Unpublished						
NCT03381729	Study of Intrathecal Administration of	32	Nov 2021			
(STRONG) ^a	Onasemnogene Abeparvovec-xioi for					
	Spinal Muscular Atrophy					

NCT: national clinical trial; SMA: spinal muscular atrophy.

Coding

Procedure codes on Medical Policy documents are included **only** as a general reference tool for each policy. **They may not be all-inclusive.**

The presence or absence of procedure, service, supply, or device codes in a Medical Policy document has no relevance for determination of benefit coverage for members or reimbursement for providers. **Only the written coverage position in a Medical Policy should be used for such determinations.**

Benefit coverage determinations based on written Medical Policy coverage positions must include review of the member's benefit contract or Summary Plan Description (SPD) for defined coverage vs. non-coverage, benefit exclusions, and benefit limitations such as dollar or duration caps.

CPT Codes	96365
HCPCS Codes	J3399

^a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

References

- 1. Prior TW, Snyder PJ, Rink BD, et al. Newborn and carrier screening for spinal muscular atrophy. Am J Med Genet A. Jul 2010; 152A(7):1608-1616. PMID 20578137
- 2. Wang CH, Finkel RS, Bertini ES, et al. Consensus statement for standard of care in spinal muscular atrophy. J Child Neurol. Aug 2007; 22(8):1027-1049. PMID 17761659
- 3. Lorson CL, Hahnen E, Androphy EJ, et al. A single nucleotide in the SMN gene regulates splicing and is responsible for spinal muscular atrophy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. May 25 1999; 96(11):6307-6311. PMID 10339583
- 4. Lefebvre S, Burlet P, Liu Q, et al. Correlation between severity and SMN protein level in spinal muscular atrophy. Nat Genet. Jul 1997; 16(3):265-269. PMID 9207792
- Feldkötter M, Schwarzer V, Wirth R, et al. Quantitative analyses of SMN1 and SMN2 based on real-time lightCycler PCR: fast and highly reliable carrier testing and prediction of severity of spinal muscular atrophy. Am J Hum Genet. Feb 2002; 70(2):358-368. PMID 11791208
- 6. Muscular Dystrophy Association. Spinal Muscular Atrophy. n.d. Available at https://www.mda.org (accessed November 12, 2024).
- 7. National Organization for Rare Disorders. Spinal Muscular Atrophy. 2024. Available at https://rarediseases.org (accessed November 12, 2024).
- 8. Zerres K, Rudnik-Schoneborn S. Natural history in proximal spinal muscular atrophy. Clinical analysis of 445 patients and suggestions for a modification of existing classifications. Arch Neurol. May 1995; 52(5):518-523. PMID 7733848
- 9. Finkel RS, McDermott MP, Kaufmann P, et al. Observational study of spinal muscular atrophy type I and implications for clinical trials. Neurology. Aug 26 2014; 83(9):810-817. PMID 25080519
- 10. Rudnik-Schoneborn S, Hausmanowa-Petrusewicz I, Borkowska J, et al. The predictive value of achieved motor milestones assessed in 441 patients with infantile spinal muscular atrophy types II and III. Eur Neurol. 2001; 45(3):174-181. PMID 11306862
- 11. Farrar MA, Vucic S, Johnston HM, et al. Pathophysiological insights derived by natural history and motor function of spinal muscular atrophy. J Pediatr. Jan 2013; 162(1):155-159. PMID 22809660
- 12. Prior TW. Perspectives and diagnostic considerations in spinal muscular atrophy. Genet Med. Mar 2010; 12(3):145-152. PMID 20057317
- 13. Sugarman EA, Nagan N, Zhu H, et al. Pan-ethnic carrier screening and prenatal diagnosis for spinal muscular atrophy: clinical laboratory analysis of 72,400 specimens. Eur J Hum Genet. Jan 2012; 20(1):27-32. PMID 21811307
- 14. Novartis Inc. A. Highlights of Prescribing Information: Zolgensma (onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi) suspension for intravenous infusion: Prescribing label. 2024. Available at https://www.novartis.com (accessed November 11, 2024).
- 15. Institute for Clinical and Evidence Review. Spinraza and Zolgensma for Spinal Muscular Atrophy: Effectiveness and Value (Final Evidence Report April 3, 2019; Updated May 24, 2019). 2019; Available at https://icer-review.org (accessed January 14, 2024).

- 16. Albers CA, Grieve AJ. Test Review: Bayley, N. (2006). Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development Third Edition. San Antonio, TX: Harcourt Assessment. J Psychoeduc Assess. 2007; 25:18090.
- 17. Glanzman AM, Mazzone E, Main M, et al. The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders (CHOP INTEND): test development and reliability. Neuromuscul Disord. Mar 2010; 20(3):155-161. PMID 20074952
- 18. Glanzman AM, McDermott MP, Montes J, et al. Validation of the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders (CHOP INTEND). Pediatr Phys Ther. 2011; 23(4):322-326. PMID 22090068
- 19. Finkel RS, Chiriboga CA, Vajsar J, et al. Treatment of infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy with nusinersen: a phase 2, open-label, dose-escalation study. Lancet. Dec 17 2016; 388(10063):3017-3026. PMID 27939059
- 20. Glanzman AM, O'Hagen JM, McDermott MP, et al. Validation of the Expanded Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale in spinal muscular atrophy type II and III. J Child Neurol. Dec 2011; 26(12):1499-1507. PMID 21940700
- 21. Mercuri E, Finkel R, Montes J, et al. Patterns of disease progression in type 2 and 3 SMA: Implications for clinical trials. Neuromuscul Disord. Feb 2016; 26(2):126-131. PMID 26776503
- 22. Kaufmann P, McDermott MP, Darras BT, et al. Prospective cohort study of spinal muscular atrophy types 2 and 3. Neurology. Oct 30 2012; 79(18):1889-1897. PMID 23077013
- 23. De Sanctis R, Coratti G, Pasternak A, et al. Developmental milestones in type I spinal muscular atrophy. Neuromuscul Disord. Nov 2016; 26(11):754-759. PMID 27769560
- 24. Vuillerot C, Payan C, Iwaz J, et al. Responsiveness of the motor function measure in patients with spinal muscular atrophy. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. Aug 2013; 94(8):1555-1561. PMID 23380348
- 25. Trundell D, Le Scouiller S, Gorni K, et al. Validity and Reliability of the 32-Item Motor Function Measure in 2- to 5-Year-Olds with Neuromuscular Disorders and 2- to 25-Year-Olds with Spinal Muscular Atrophy. Neurol Ther. Dec 2020; 9(2):575-584. PMID 32856191
- 26. Trundell D, Le Scouiller S, Le Goff L, et al. Assessment of the validity and reliability of the 32-item Motor Function Measure in individuals with Type 2 or non-ambulant Type 3 spinal muscular atrophy. PLoS One. 2020; 15(9):e0238786. PMID 32946459
- 27. Trundell D, Le Scouiller S, Staunton H, et al. Validity and reliability of the Motor Function Measure (MFM32) in children with neuromuscular disorders (NMDs) and in individuals with Type 2 and non-ambulant Type 3 spinal muscular atrophy (SMA). Poster presented as at the Cure SMA Researcher Meeting, 23rd International SMA Research Meeting, Disneyland, Anaheim, CA, USA, June 28-July 1, 2019.
- 28. Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Application Number: 213535orig1s000. Clinical Review(S). Available at https://www.accessdata.fda.gov (accessed January 14, 2024).
- 29. Haataja L, Mercuri E, Regev R, et al. Optimality score for the neurologic examination of the infant at 12 and 18 months of age. J Pediatr. Aug 1999; 135(2 Pt 1):153-161. PMID 10431108
- 30. Kolb SJ, Coffey CS, Yankey JW, et al. Natural history of infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy. Ann Neurol. Dec 2017; 82(6):883-891. PMID 29149772

- 31. Strauss KA, Farrar MA, Muntoni F, et al. Onasemnogene abeparvovec for presymptomatic infants with two copies of SMN2 at risk for spinal muscular atrophy type 1: the Phase III SPR1NT trial. Nat Med. Jul 2022; 28(7):1381-1389. PMID 35715566
- 32. Strauss KA, Farrar MA, Muntoni F, et al. Onasemnogene abeparvovec for presymptomatic infants with three copies of SMN2 at risk for spinal muscular atrophy: the Phase III SPR1NT trial. Nat Med. Jul 2022; 28(7):1390-1397. PMID 35715567
- 33. Mendell JR, Al-Zaidy S, Shell R, et al. Single-Dose Gene-Replacement Therapy for Spinal Muscular Atrophy. N Engl J Med. Nov 02 2017; 377(18):1713-1722. PMID 29091557
- 34. Day JW, Finkel RS, Chiriboga CA, et al. Onasemnogene abeparvovec gene therapy for symptomatic infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy in patients with two copies of SMN2 (STR1VE): an open-label, single-arm, multicentre, phase 3 trial. Lancet Neurol. Apr 2021; 20(4):284-293. PMID 33743238
- 35. Mercuri E, Muntoni F, Baranello G, et al. Onasemnogene abeparvovec gene therapy for symptomatic infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy type 1 (STR1VE-EU): an open-label, single-arm, multicentre, phase 3 trial. Lancet Neurol. Oct 2021; 20(10):832-841. PMID 34536405
- 36. Mendell JR, Al-Zaidy SA, Lehman KJ, et al. Five-Year Extension Results of the Phase 1 START Trial of Onasemnogene Abeparvovec in Spinal Muscular Atrophy. JAMA Neurol. Jul 01 2021; 78(7):834-841. PMID 33999158
- 37. Finkel RS, Darras BT, Mendell JR, et al. Intrathecal Onasemnogene Abeparvovec for Sitting, Nonambulatory Patients with Spinal Muscular Atrophy: Phase I Ascending-Dose Study (STRONG). J Neuromuscul Dis. 2023; 10(3):389-404. PMID 36911944
- 38. Prior TW, Krainer AR, Hua Y, et al. A positive modifier of spinal muscular atrophy in the SMN2 gene. Am J Hum Genet. Sep 2009; 85(3):408-413. PMID 19716110
- 39. Novartis Inc. A. Highlights of Prescribing Information: Zolgensma (onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi) suspension for intravenous infusion: Prescribing label. 2019; Available at https://www.novartis.com (accessed January 14, 2024).
- 40. Lin CW, Kalb SJ, Yeh WS. Delay in Diagnosis of Spinal Muscular Atrophy: A Systematic Literature Review. Pediatr Neurol. Oct 2015; 53(4):293-300. PMID 26260993
- 41. Servais L, Day JW, De Vivo DC, et al. Real-World Outcomes in Patients with Spinal Muscular Atrophy Treated with Onasemnogene Abeparvovect Monotherapy: Findings from the RESTORE Registry. J Neuromuscul Dis. 2024; 11(2):425-442. PMID 38250783
- 42. WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. WHO Motor Development Study: windows of achievement for six gross motor development milestones. Acta Paediatr Suppl. Apr 2006; 450:86-95. PMID 16817682
- 43. Onasemnogene abeparvovec for treating spinal muscular atrophy. Highly specialised technologies guidance. Published: 7 July 2021. Available at <www.nice.org.uk> (accessed on January 14, 2024).
- 44. Onasemnogene abeparvovec for treating presymptomatic spinal muscular atrophy. Highly specialised technologies guidance. Published: 19 April 2023. Available at <www.nice.org.uk> (accessed on January 14, 2024).
- 45. Glascock J, Sampson J, Connolly AM, et al. Revised Recommendations for the Treatment of Infants Diagnosed with Spinal Muscular Atrophy Via Newborn Screening Who Have 4 Copies of SMN2. J Neuromuscul Dis. 2020; 7(2):97-100. PMID 32007960

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)

The information contained in this section is for informational purposes only. HCSC makes no representation as to the accuracy of this information. It is not to be used for claims adjudication for HCSC Plans.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) does not have a national Medicare coverage position. Coverage may be subject to local carrier discretion.

A national coverage position for Medicare may have been developed since this medical policy document was written. See Medicare's National Coverage at https://www.cms.hhs.gov.

Policy Histor	ry/Revision
Date	Description of Change
02/01/2025	Document updated with literature review. The following change was made to Coverage: Modified criterion on baseline titers for anti-adeno-associated virus serotype 9 (AAV9) antibody. Added/updated references 6, 7, 14, 31, 32, 35, 37, and 41-44.
05/01/2023	Document updated with literature review. The following change was made to Coverage: Changed criteria on number of survival motor neuron 2 gene (SMN2) copies to 4. Added references 38, 39 and 43; others updated.
11/01/2022	Document updated. The following change was made to Coverage: Removed "Documentation of onset of symptoms consistent with a clinical diagnosis of spinal muscular atrophy" from Coverage criteria.
10/01/2022	Document updated with literature review. The following change was made to Coverage: Coverage was modified in its entirety with minimal change in intent. References 5, 11, 14-33, and 35-40 were added; some updated and others removed.
01/01/2022	Reviewed. No changes.
02/01/2021	Document updated with literature review. The following changes were made to Coverage: 1) Changed criteria on number of survival motor neuron 2 gene (SMN2) copies from ≤ 2 to ≤ 3; and 2) Added Evrysdi™ (risdiplam) to the experimental, investigational and/or unproven statement on concurrent use. Reference 15 was added, and others updated. Title changed from: Zolgensma (onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi).
01/01/2020	New medical document. A single-dose intravenous infusion of Zolgensma® (onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi) administered in accordance with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labeling may be considered medically necessary for the treatment of pediatric patients less than 2 years of age with spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) and meet ALL of the following criteria prior to infusion: Confirmed diagnosis of SMA based on gene mutation analysis with bi-allelic survival motor neuron 1 (SMN1) mutations (deletion

or point mutations) and < 2 copies of survival motor neuron 2 gene (SMN2), inclusive of the known SMN2 gene modifier mutation (c.859G>C); Baseline anti-AAV9 antibody titers of < 1:50. Zolgensma® (onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi) is considered experimental, investigational, and/or unproven for all other indications and clinical situations, including but not limited to: Repeat administration of Zolgensma (onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi); Signs of a possible viral respiratory infection (e.g., coughing, wheezing, sneezing, runny nose, sore throat, fever); Patients with anti-AAV9 antibody titers > 1:50; In patients with advanced SMA (e.g., complete paralysis of limbs, permanent ventilator-dependence); Patients > 2 years of age. Concurrent or sequential use of Zolgensma® (onasemnogene abeparvovec-xioi) and Nusinersen (Spinraza™) is considered experimental, investigational, and/or unproven. NOTE 1: Per the FDA labeling in use of Zolgensma in specific populations, pediatric use notes the following: administration of Zolgensma to premature neonates before reaching fullterm gestational age is not recommended, because concomitant treatment with corticosteroids may adversely affect neurological development. Delay Zolgensma infusion until the corresponding full-term gestational age is reached. NOTE 2: Per the FDA label, prior to infusion of Zolgensma complete liver function assessment by clinical examination and laboratory testing (e.g., hepatic aminotransferases [aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT)], total bilirubin, and prothrombin time); measure platelet counts and troponin-I ;administer systemic corticosteroids one day prior to infusion and continue for a total of 30 days.