



Genetic Testing for Inherited Cardiomyopathies and Channelopathies

Policy Number: AHS – M2025	Prior Policy Name and Number, as applicable: N/A
Initial Effective Date: June 1, 2023	Current Effective Date: June 1, 2023
Line(s) of Business: HMO; PPO; QUEST Integration; Medicare; FEP	Precertification: Required

I. Policy Description

Cardiomyopathies are diseases of the heart muscle. These conditions are frequently genetic and do not include muscle abnormalities caused by coronary artery disease, hypertension, valvular disease, and congenital heart disease. Symptoms include arrhythmia, cardiac dysfunction, and heart failure.

Channelopathies, also known as primary electrical disease, are a group of cardiac diseases caused by genetic defects in ion channels of the heart leading to arrhythmias, syncope, and the risk of sudden cardiac death (SCD).

II. Indications and/or Limitations of Coverage

Application of coverage criteria is dependent upon an individual's benefit coverage at the time of the request. Specifications pertaining to Medicare and Medicaid can be found in Section VII of this policy document.

- 1) Genetic counseling **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA AND IS REQUIRED** for individuals prior to and after undergoing genetic testing for diagnostic, carrier, and/or risk assessment purposes.
- 2) Sequencing of long QT syndrome (LQTS)-associated genes **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** for the following:
 - a) Symptomatic individuals (defined as a syncopal event) with a Schwartz score > 1.
 - b) Asymptomatic individuals with a close relative (see Note 1) with confirmed LQTS and in whom the familial mutation is not known.
- For close relatives (see Note 1) of an individual with a documented LQTS-causing mutation, testing for the known familial mutation MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA.
- 4) For individuals with a negative sequence analysis where the clinical suspicion of congenital LQTS remains high based on a Schwartz score > 1, genetic testing for LQTS with duplication/deletion analysis MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA.
- 5) Genetic testing for catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** in the following situations:
 - a) For individuals who have a close relative (see Note 1) with a known CPVT mutation.
 - b) For individuals who have a close relative (see Note 1) diagnosed with CPVT by clinical means but whose genetic status is unavailable.



- c) For individuals with signs and/or symptoms indicating a moderate-to-high pretest probability of CPVT, but for whom a definitive diagnosis cannot be made without genetic testing.
- d) For individuals who display exercise-, catecholamine-, or emotion-induced PVT **or** ventricular fibrillation in a structurally normal heart
- 6) Genetic testing for Brugada syndrome **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** in the following situations:
 - a) For individuals with signs and/or symptoms consistent with Brugada Syndrome but for whom a definitive diagnosis cannot be made without genetic testing.
 - b) For individuals who have a close relative (see Note 1) with a known Brugada Syndrome mutation.
- 7) In patients with a close relative (see Note 1) with a known *SQTS* mutation, genetic testing for Short QT Syndrome (SQTS) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 8) For individuals with dilated cardiomyopathy and significant cardiac conduction disease (i.e., first-, second-, or third-degree heart block) and/or who have one or more family members who experienced sudden cardiac death or developed unexplained heart failure before 60 years of age, genetic testing for dilated cardiomyopathy **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 9) In asymptomatic close relatives (see Note 1) of an affected individual, genetic testing for a known familial mutation associated with dilated cardiomyopathy **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 10) Genetic testing for arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** in the following situations:
 - a) For individuals with signs and/or symptoms consistent with ARVC but for whom a definitive diagnosis cannot be made without genetic testing.
 - b) For individuals who have a close relative (see Note 1) with a known ARVC mutation.
- 11) In asymptomatic close relatives (see Note 1) of an affected individuals, genetic testing for a known familial mutation associated with progressive cardiac conduction disease (CCD or Lev-Lenegre disease) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 12) In asymptomatic close relatives (see Note 1) of an affected individual, genetic testing for a known familial mutation associated with restrictive cardiomyopathy (RCM) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 13) Genetic testing for left ventricular noncompaction (LVNC) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** in the following situations:
 - a) For individuals with signs and/or symptoms consistent with LVNC but for whom a definitive diagnosis cannot be made without genetic testing.
 - b) For individuals who have a close relative (see Note 1) with a known LVNC mutation.
- 14) For patients who meet the diagnostic criteria for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM), genetic testing for predisposition to HCM **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 15) For individuals who have a first-degree relative with established HCM, genetic testing for the known familial mutation **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**.



- 16) For patients with a family history of HCM in which a first-degree relative with HCM has tested negative for pathologic mutations, genetic testing for a predisposition to HCM **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 17) For symptomatic individuals with a Schwartz score ≤1, genetic testing for LQTS **DOES NOT MEET**COVERAGE CRITERIA.

The following does not meet coverage criteria due to a lack of available published scientific literature confirming that the test(s) is/are required and beneficial for the diagnosis and treatment of a patient's illness.

- 18) In patients with known LQTS, genetic testing for LQTS to determine prognosis and/or direct therapy **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA.**
- 19) For all other situations when the above criteria are not met, genetic testing for LQTS or CPVT **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 20) For all other situations not meeting the criteria outlined above, genetic testing for Brugada Syndrome **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 21) For all other situations not meeting the criteria outlined above, genetic testing for SQTS **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 22) Genetic testing for Early Repolarization "J-wave" Syndrome, Sinus Node Dysfunction (SND), and/or other rhythm disorders **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.
- 23) For all other patient populations, genetic testing for a predisposition to HCM **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.

Note 1: Close blood relatives include 1st-degree relatives (e.g., parents, siblings, and children), 2nd-degree relatives (e.g., grandparents, aunts, uncles, nieces, nephews, grandchildren, and half-siblings), and 3rd-degree relatives (great-grandparents, great-aunts, great-uncles, great-grandchildren, and first cousins).

III. Table of Terminology

Term	Definition
ACC	American College of Cardiology
ACM	Arrhythmic cardiomyopathy
ACCF	American College of Cardiology Foundation
ACMG	American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics
ACTA2	Actin alpha 2, smooth muscle gene
ACTC1	Actin alpha cardiac muscle 1 gene
AF	Atrial fibrillation
APOB	Apolipoprotein B gene
ARVC	Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy
ARVC/D	Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia
BrS	Brugada syndrome





CASQ2	Calsequestrin 2 gene	
CCD	Cardiac conduction disease	
CLQTS	Congenital long QT syndrome	
COL3A1	Collagen type III alpha 1 chain gene	
CPVT	Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia	
DCM	Dilated cardiomyopathy	
DSC2	Desmocoilin 2 gene	
DSG2	Desmoglein 2 gene	
DSP	Desmoplakin gene	
ECG	Electrocardiogram	
ECS	European Society of Cardiology	
EHRA	European Heart Rhythm Association	
FBN1	Fibrillin-1 gene	
FLNC	Filamin C gene	
HCM	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	
HF	Heart failure	
HFSA	Heart Failure Society of America	
HRS	Heart Rhythm Society	
KCNE1	Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily E regulatory subunit 1 gene	
KCNE2	Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily E regulatory subunit 2 gene	
KCNH2	Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily H member 2 gene	
KCNQ1	Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily Q member 1 gene	
LDLR	Low density lipoprotein receptor gene	
LMNA	Lamin A/C gene	
LQTS	Long QT syndrome	
LV	Left ventricle	
LVH	Left ventricular hypertrophy	
LVNC	Left ventricular noncompaction	
МҮВРС3	Myosin binding protein C3 gene	
MYH7	Myosin heavy chain 7 gene	
MYH11	Myosin heavy chain 11 gene	
MYL2	Myosin light chain 2 gene	
MYL3	Myosin light chain 3 gene	
PCSK9	Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 gene	
PKP2	Plakophilin 2 gene	
PRKAG2	Protein kinase AMP-activated non-catalytic subunit gamma 2 gene	
RCM	Restrictive cardiomyopathy	
RYR2	Ryanodine receptor 2 gene	
SCA	Sudden cardiac arrest	
SCD	Sudden cardiac death	
SCN5A	Sodium voltage-gated channel alpha subunit 5 gene	





SMAD3	SMAD family member 3 gene
SND	Sinus node dysfunction
SQTS	Short QT syndrome
TGFBR1	Transforming growth factor beta receptor 1 gene
TGFBR2	Transforming growth factor beta receptor 2 gene
TMEM43	Transmembrane protein 43 gene
TNNI3	Troponin 13, cardiac type gene
TNNT2	Troponin T2, cardiac type gene
TPM1	Tropomyosin 1 gene
TRDN	Triadin gene
TTN	Titin gene
WGS	Whole genome sequencing

IV. Scientific Background

Cardiomyopathies

In 1995, the WHO divided cardiomyopathies into five categories: dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM), restrictive cardiomyopathy (RCM), arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia (ARVC/D), and unclassified cardiomyopathies. Cardiomyopathies have a variety of genotypes and phenotypes that typically require echocardiographic evaluation. Either phase of the heartbeat (systole or diastole) may be affected.

Systolic dysfunction is usually characterized by a decrease in myocardial contractility. This decrease causes a reduction in the ejection fraction of the left ventricle (LV), thereby forcing one of two compensatory mechanisms; either the LV itself increases in size (leading to larger stroke volume) or the contractility of the heart increases in response to increased stretch. However, these compensatory mechanisms will eventually fail, leading to physiological manifestations of heart failure. This dysfunction is often seen in DCM, as well as some HCM patients.

Diastolic dysfunction refers to abnormal LV relaxation and filling, as well as elevated filling pressures. As with systolic dysfunction, the primary issue with diastole is caused by abnormal contractility of the heart muscle. The contractility of the myocardium influences both the LV relaxation phase (the isovolumetric period between the aortic valve's close and mitral valve's opening) and passive compliance phase (variable pressure, starting at mitral valve's opening) of diastole. Due to the impaired contractility of the myocardium, the pressure in each phase is abnormal. Dysfunction in this phase is often seen in HCM, RCM, and DCM.

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM)

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) is a commonly inherited cardiovascular disease defined as thickening of the ventricular wall resulting from more than 1500 mutations in 11 or more genes encoding proteins of the cardiac sarcomere.

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) is characterized by left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH, thickness of ≥15 mm), observed by echocardiography or magnetic resonance imaging and not otherwise explainable by other cardiovascular issues, such as coronary artery disease, hypertension, valvular disease, and



congenital heart disease. Development of LVH usually starts in adolescence and is complete by early adulthood. Symptoms include chest pain, dyspnea and syncope, and severe disease can lead to disabling complications, including heart failure and malignant ventricular arrhythmias. However, many patients with HCM are asymptomatic or have minimal symptoms and are only discovered through means such as family screenings or an abnormal ECG. HCM is the most frequent cause of sudden death in young people and can lead to functional disability from heart failure and stroke. HCM is a relatively common finding with a prevalence of approximately 1 in 500 people. However, estimates of clinically expressed HCM plus gene carriers are as high as 1 in 200.

More than 90% of HCM is inherited as an autosomal-dominant disease with variable expressivity and age-related penetrance. Currently, relevant genetic abnormalities can be detected in approximately 60 percent of patients with clinically documented HCM. Most of the genetic mutations associated with HCM are found in the genes encoding various proteins that make up the cardiac sarcomere, the basic contractile unit of cardiac myocytes. More than 1500 pathogenic variants have been identified in at least 11 different genes. Mutations in myosin heavy chain (MYH7) and myosin-binding protein C (MYBPC3) are the most common and account for roughly 70 percent of HCM. Other genes implicated in HCM are regulatory myosin light chain (MYL2) and cardiac troponin T (TNNT2). Non-sarcomeric genes encoding plasma membrane or mitochondrial proteins, or Z-disc encoding genes, have also been documented.

Wide phenotypic variability exists, ranging from asymptomatic to severe life-threatening heart failure even within the same mutation. This variability in clinical expression may be related to environmental factors and modifier genes. Moreover, no strong correlation between left ventricular problems and symptoms exists; patients with major obstructions or hypertrophy may be asymptomatic and vice versa. The primary characteristic of LVH is present in multiple conditions, such as systemic hypertension, Fabry disease, aortic stenosis, and more. Such conditions should be excluded before a diagnosis of HCM is made.

Diagnostic screening of first-degree relatives is important to identify at risk patients. Guidelines have been established for clinically unaffected relatives of affected individuals. Clinical screening with physical examination, electrocardiography, and echocardiography is recommended every 12 to 18 months for individuals between the ages of 12 to 18 years and every 3 to 5 years for adults with additional screening recommended for any change in symptoms.

Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM)

Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) is characterized by dilation and impaired contraction of one or both ventricles. The dilation often becomes severe and is invariably accompanied by an increase in total cardiac mass. Affected patients have impaired systolic function and clinical presentation is usually with features of heart failure.

Dilated cardiomyopathy is caused by a variety of disorders. The cause is unknown for over 50% of patients with the disease. Familial dilated cardiomyopathy is caused by a genetic mutation in 20-35% with the disease. DCM is transmitted primarily in an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern. Mutations in over 30 genes have been determined to cause familial dilated cardiomyopathy.

Other common causes for DCM include coronary heart disease, heart attack, high blood pressure, diabetes, thyroid disease, viral hepatitis and HIV, infections, especially viral infections that inflame the heart muscle, alcohol, complications during the last month of pregnancy or within 5 months of birth, certain toxins such as cobalt, certain drugs (such as cocaine and amphetamines) and two medicines used to treat cancer (doxorubicin and daunorubicin).



Genetic forms of dilated cardiomyopathy are diagnosed by family history and molecular testing. Genes associated with familial dilated cardiomyopathy include MYH7, MYBPC3, TNNT2, TNNC1, TNNI3, TPM1, MYL2, MYL3, ACTC1, ACTN2, CSRP3, PLN, TTR, PRKG2, LAMP2, GLA, LMNA, BAG3, RBM20, SCN5A, DES, DSC2, DSG2, DSP, JUP, PKP2, RYR2, TMEM43, and TTN.

Notably, the frequencies of DCM mutations in any one gene are low (<<1% to 6-8%), and a genetic cause is identified in only 30-35% of familial DCM cases. Therefore, routine genetic testing for DCM was only recommended in familial disease (≥2 affected family members). However, as molecular genetic testing laboratories offer DCM genetic testing panels of 12-30 genes utilizing next generation sequencing methods, testing sensitivity now ranges from 15-25%, has become standard of care.

Guidelines now recommend testing for all patients with cardiomyopathy even if no other disease is evident in the family. Genetic testing is clinically useful in the management of affected individuals, as well as to assess risk in relatives. *LMNA* mutations are associated with high rates of conduction system disease, ventricular arrhythmias, and sudden cardiac death (SCD), and may consequently lower the threshold for prophylactic ICD implantation. DCM patients with a variant of the *SCN5A* gene exhibit a phenotype associated with significant arrhythmias and frequent premature ventricular complexes. Although such patients responded poorly to conventional HF therapy, treatment with sodium channel blocking drugs produced a dramatic reduction in ectopy and normalization of left ventricular (LV) function.

Restrictive cardiomyopathy (RCM)

Restrictive cardiomyopathy (RCM) differs from other cardiomyopathies in that there may not be many physical abnormalities (i.e., no dilation or hypertrophy). However, the ventricular filling process is still significantly impaired. RCM may be difficult to see on two-dimensional imaging, and assessment of flow velocity across the mitral valve is more accurate in detecting these filling abnormalities. Some cases of RCM are secondary to a more apparent cardiac condition. However, idiopathic cases of RCM are generally genetic conditions. Pathogenic mutations in sarcomeric or cytoskeletal genes such as *TNNI3*, *TNNT2*, and *TPN1* have been linked to familial RCM.

Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia (ARVC/D)

Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC) is characterized by changes in myocardium of the right (and often left as well) ventricular free wall. This myocardium is replaced by fibrous or fibrofatty tissue, causing dysfunction of the affected ventricles. At the molecular level, the desmosomes are typically impaired due to genetic mutations. This causes the mechanical stress of the heart to detach myocytes, which eventually leads to their death. The initial repair mechanisms of this detachment are what produces the fibrous tissue. Up to 30% of ARVC cases are familial, and mutations in gene products such as plakoglobin and desmoplakin have been associated with ARVC.

Unclassified Cardiomyopathies

Other types of cardiomyopathies that do not fall into one of the other four categories are considered "unclassified." For example, left ventricular noncompaction (LVNC) falls in this category due to its characteristic myocardial wall; the myocardial wall consists of "prominent trabeculae and deep intertrabecular recesses", thereby resulting in two layers of myocardium of different thickness. This condition may be sporadic or familial; up to 50% of LVNC cases are familial. Genes such as alphadystrobrevin or other sarcomeric genes may contribute to LVNC.

This category also includes cardiomyopathies such as stress-induced cardiomyopathy and cirrhotic cardiomyopathy.



Clinical Utility and Validity (Cardiomyopathies)

A recent study by Cirino et al. (2017) compared the results from panel genetic testing to whole genome sequencing (WGS). Forty-one patients with HCM who had undergone targeted genetic testing (either multigene panel or familial variant test) were recruited into a clinical trial of WGS. Panel size ranged from 4-62 genes, and all but two subjects were tested for the main eight sarcomeric genes (MYH7, MYBPC3, TNNT2, TPM1, MYL2, MYL3, TNNI3, ACTC). The authors stated that WGS detected nearly all variants identified on panel testing and allowed further analysis of posited disease genes. Several variants of uncertain clinical use and other genetic findings were also identified. Panel testing and WGS provided similar results, but WGS provides reanalysis over time; however, WGS also requires genomic expertise to correctly interpret results.

A study focusing on the non-sarcomeric genes contributing to HCM was performed by Walsh et al. (2017). A reference sample of 60,706 exomes were analyzed and compared to 6,179 HCM cases. This comparison revealed a large number of gene variants in the main eight sarcomeric genes (MYH7, MYBPC3, TNNT2, TPM1, MYL2, MYL3, TNNI3, ACTC1) but very few variants of the non-sarcomeric genes in HCM cases. The authors concluded the variation in most of the non-sarcomeric genes does not affect HCM significantly as 99% of HCM pathogenic variants were found to be in the main eight sarcomeric genes. Four non-sarcomeric genes were found to have an excess of variants, but even these amounted to only 2% of the HCM cases overall; the other 26 non-sarcomeric genes examined were found to have very little or no excess variation over the reference sample of exomes. Furthermore, the authors state that only the well-known variants are symptomatic whereas the other variants are of unknown significance or benign, making clinical sequencing of limited use. The authors recommended that the only genes tested should be the eight sarcomeric genes, the metabolic cardiomyopathy genes, and possibly ACTN2 and MYOZ2.

Bhonsale et al. (2015) assessed the impact of genotype on clinical outcomes of ARVC patients. Pathogenic mutations were evaluated in 577 patients. The investigators found that patients with a *desmoplakin*-associated mutation had an over four-fold occurrence of left ventricular (LV) dysfunction and heart failure than *PKP2* carriers. No significant difference was found between clinical outcomes of patients with missense mutations and patients with truncating or splice site mutations. Patients with multiple mutations had more severe symptoms, such as lower survival rate without ventricular fibrillation or tachycardia, more frequent LV dysfunction, heart failure, cardiac transplant, and earlier occurrence of sustained ventricular fibrillation and tachycardia, as compared to those with only one mutation.

Kostareva et al. (2016) evaluated the "genetic spectrum" of idiopathic RCM. The authors screened for 108 cardiomyopathy and arrhythmia-associated genes in 24 patients with idiopathic RCM. They found pathogenic and "likely-pathogenic" variants in 13 of the 24 patients (54%), and half of these genotype-positive patients carried a combination of pathogenic variants, likely-pathogenic variants, and variants of unknown significance. The most frequent combination included mutations in sarcomeric and cytoskeletal genes.

Kayvanpour et al. (2017) evaluated the genetic-phenotype associations for DCM. 48 studies encompassing 8097 patients were included, and the authors investigated mutations in *LMNA*, *PLN*, *RBM20*, *MYBPC3*, *MYH7*, *TNNT2* and *TNNI3*. The authors results were as follows: "The average frequency of mutations in the investigated genes was between 1 and 5 %. The mean age of DCM onset was the beginning of the fifth decade for all genes. Heart transplantation (HTx) rate was highest in *LMNA* mutation carriers (27 %), while *RBM20* mutation carriers were transplanted at a markedly younger age



(mean 28.5 years). While 73 % of DCM patients with *LMNA* mutations showed cardiac conduction diseases, low voltage was the reported ECG hallmark in *PLN* mutation carriers. The frequency of ventricular arrhythmia in DCM patients with *LMNA* (50 %) and *PLN* (43 %) mutations was significantly higher. The penetrance of DCM phenotype in subjects with *TTN* truncating variants increased with age and reached 100 % by age of 70."

Genetic testing has been recognized as a valuable part of diagnosis and classification in pediatric cardiomyopathy. Lipshultz et al. (2019) identified a single-center study of 63 children with cardiomyopathy that reported that 42% of the illness could be attributed to a genetic cause, as defined by the presence of an affected first-degree family member having tested positive for an HCM or DCM gene panel mutation. However, it was noted that though the morphofunctional phenotype in children may be similar to those of adults, the causes and proportion resulting from them differ. These differences are believed to be neuromuscular, metabolic, mitochondrial, and syndromic causes also playing important roles in children. Lipshultz et al. (2019) identified another study to corroborate this finding: in 916 children with an identified case of cardiomyopathy, an underlying metabolic or syndromic cause was present in more than a third, and a metabolic or syndromic cause was present in 40% to 50% of 61 children with HCM and 8% of 1731 children with DCM in the Pediatric Cardiomyopathy Registry.

Parker and Landstrom (2021) reviewed current literature on what role genetic testing plays in diagnosing and managing patients and families with a history of pediatric CM. With relation to HCM, the researchers identified multiple studies demonstrating that patients with "sarcomere variants tend to be more severely affected than patients with non-sarcomeric variants" and "within the sarcomere genes, some argue that specific sarcomere variants are inherently more pathogenic than others." On top of that, there appear to be some other genetic and environmental factors that influence the HCM phenotype in each patient, thus indicating that the genotype-phenotype correlation could greatly affect the clinical course and prognosis. Especially for metabolic forms of HCM and patients with a clear genetic etiology, treatment may be adopted based on these genetic findings; for instance, "in GAA- positive Pompe disease, alpha-glucosidase enzyme replacement therapy is associated with HCM reversal in affected children."

Similar concepts were mentioned for DCM, as some genotypes yielded worse phenotypes. For example, "TNNC1 variants are associated with early onset DCM, and increased rates of heart transplant and SCD compared to other genes." Gene therapy could also be explored as an option, such as for Duchenne muscular dystrophy, to "target and rescue functional expression of pathogenic variants." For arrhythmic cardiomyopathy (ACM), Parker and Landstrom (2021) specifically mention how confirming an ACM diagnosis via genetic testing "versus an arrhythmic syndrome secondary to a cardiac channelopathy" could aid clinical management. Variants in the PKP2 and TMEM43 genes have been found to be associated with exercise-induced disease progression and risk of sudden cardiac arrest (SCA), so this could impact chosen involvement in sports.

Cardiac Ion Channelopathies

The electromechanical pumping action of the heart maintains circulation and ensures the delivery of blood and nutrients to all organs to support their normal function. Synchronized contraction of the myocardium is necessary to generate sufficient pressure to drive blood flow. Mechanical contraction of cardiac myocytes is coordinated by the generation and propagation of an action potential through the synergistic activation and inactivation of several voltage-dependent ion channels. Membrane depolarization during the action potential leads to the opening of the voltage-gated calcium channels resulting in an inward current, followed by the efflux of potassium ions, generation of an



outward current, and cell repolarization. Action potential duration is determined by the magnitude and timing of inward and outward currents. Differential expression, selectivity, and gating properties of cardiac ion channels in distinct regions of the heart promote unidirectional propagation of electrical activity.

Mutations in genes encoding these specific channels or associated proteins may impair ionic conduction resulting in changes in action potential, synchronization, and/or propagation of electrical impulse and predispose to potentially malignant arrhythmias. Dyssynchronous contraction of the ventricle, arising from electrical activation delays, also significantly worsens morbidity and mortality in heart failure (HF) patients. Ion channelopathies have been identified as a significant cause of sudden cardiac death (SCD) in patients with structurally normal hearts, and some cases of otherwise unexplained stillbirth.

Patients can show early symptoms of palpitations or hemodynamic compromise, including dizziness, seizure, or syncope, particularly following exertion; however, in many cases SCD is the only sign of cardiac trouble. Electrical disturbances in the heart rhythm that can be detected on electrocardiogram (ECG) of some patients with channel opathies result in diagnosis of:

- Long QT Syndrome (LQTS) is characterized by prolonged ventricular repolarization and electrocardiographic prolongation of the QT interval (QTc ≥ 480 ms in repeated 12-lead ECG, although a QTc ≥ 460 ms is sufficient in the presence of unexplained syncope). The variable clinical manifestations of LQTS range from asymptomatic patients diagnosed through family screening, to SCD, syncope, convulsions, malignant ventricular arrhythmias, VF, and torsade de pointes. The prevalence of LQTS in infants is approximately 1/2000.
- Brugada Syndrome (BrS) is clinically characterized by right ventricular conduction delay and ST-segment elevation in the anterior right precordial leads. Syncope is one of the main clinical manifestations; individuals with BrS develop a monomorphic ventricular tachycardia that may precipitate during sleep, rest, or fever. Recent reports suggest that BrS could be responsible for 4%—12% of all sudden death (SD) and up to 20% of SD in patients with structurally normal hearts.
- Short QT Syndrome (SQTS) is characterized by abnormally short QT intervals and an increased propensity to develop atrial and ventricular tachyarrhythmia in the absence of structural heart disease. Cardiac arrest seems to be the most frequent symptom (up to 40%). Palpitations are a common symptom (30%), followed by syncope (25%) and atrial fibrillation (AF), which are the first symptoms of the disease in up to 20% of patients. The episodes may occur in a wide range of situations, such as in reaction to loud noise, at rest, during exercise, and during daily activity.
- Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia (CPVT) is characterized by a normal ECG
 and ventricular arrhythmia in genetically predisposed individuals during intense physical exercise or
 acute emotional stress. Typical clinical manifestations of CPVT include dizziness and syncope.
 Ventricular arrhythmia, however, may degenerate into rapid polymorphic ventricular tachycardia
 and ventricular fibrillation, leading to SCD.
- Progressive Cardiac Conduction Disease (CCD) is characterized by problems with the cardiac impulse
 of the heart. These conduction abnormalities may be accompanied by structural problems such as
 fibrous or fatty calcification, and an ECG may display unusual patterns such as a prolonged P-wave



or prolonged QRS interval. These arrhythmias may lead to sudden cardiac death. Mutations in ion channel proteins such as *TRPM4* or *SCN5A* have been associated with CCDs.

Not all cases are accompanied by changes in ECG, which makes them more difficult to diagnose. Genetic testing can contribute substantially both to the diagnosis of affected patients and with the identification of asymptomatic individuals at risk.

Currently, mutations associated with SCD have been identified in sodium, potassium and calcium channels and associated proteins. A general overview of the main genetic variants that have been linked to the major cardiac channelopathies is displayed in the table below [adapted from.

Gene	Protein	Prevalence	Other Associations		
Brugada Syndrom	Brugada Syndrome (BrS)				
	Ion Channel Subunits				
SCN5A*	SCN5A* NaV1.5 (α -subunit of the voltage-dependent Na ⁺ channel)		DCM, ARVC, Heart block, LQTS, SSS, SIDS		
SCN1B*	$\beta 1\text{-subunit}$ of the voltage-dependent $\text{Na}^{^+}$ channel	<1%	CCD, Epilepsy		
SCN2B*	$\beta 2$ -subunit of the voltage-dependent Na^{\dagger} channel	<1%	AF		
SCN3B*	β3-subunit of the voltage-dependent Na ⁺ channel	<1%	AF, VF, SIDS		
SCN10A*	NaV1.8 (α -subunit of the neuronal voltage-dependent Na † channel)	≃10%	LQTS, AF, painful small-fiber peripheral neuropathy		
CACNA1C*	CACNA1C* CaV1.2 (α 1C-subunit of the volatge-dependent L-type Ca ²⁺ channel)		Timothy syndrome, LQTS		
CACNB2b* β2-subunit of the voltage-dependent L-type Ca ²⁺ channel		<1%	SQTS		
KCND3*	KV4.3 (α-subunit of the voltage-dependent K ⁺ channel)	<1%	SIDS, Spinocerebellar ataxia		
$KCNE3*$ minK-related peptide 2 (β-subunit of the voltage- dependent K^+ channel) <1%		<1%			
KCNAB2	KCNAB2 β2-subunit of the voltage-dependent K ⁺ channel				
KCND2	KV4.2 (voltage-dependent K ⁺ channel)	<1%	Epilepsy		
KCNE5*	minK-related peptide 4 (β -subunit of the voltagedependent K † channel)	<1%	AF, VF		
KCNJ8*	Kir6.1 (inward-rectifier K^+ channel, subunit of the ATP-sensitive K^+ channel)	<1%	VF, SIDS, Cantu syndrome		
ABCC9*	SUR2 (sulfonylurea receptor, subunit of the ATP-sensitive $\ensuremath{\mathrm{K}^{+}}$ channel)	<1%	DCM, ERS, Cantu syndrome and related disorders		
KCNH2*	KV11.1/hERG (α -subunit of the voltage-dependent K $^+$ channel)	<1%	LQTS, SQTS		
CACNA2D1*	$\alpha 2/\delta$ subunit of the volatge-dependent L-type $\text{Ca}^{2^+}\text{channel}$	<1%	Epilepsy		





Gene	Protein	Prevalence	Other Associations
HCN4*	HCN4* hyperpolarization-activated, cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channel 4		SSS, AF, AV block, Bradycardia, Tachycardia, NCC
TRPM4*	Transient receptor potential melastatin 4	<1%	Herat Block, LQTS
	Auxiliary Proteins		
FGF12	fibroblast growth factor 12	<1%	Epilepsy
GPD1L*	glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 1-like	<1%	
SLMAP	sarcolemma associated protein (striatin-interacting phosphatase and kinase complex)	<1%	
PKP2*	plakophillin-2	<1%	ARVC
SEMA3A	semaphorin-3A	<1%	
RANGRF*	MOG1 (multicopy suppressor of Gsp1)	<1%	histiocytoid cardiomyopathy
HEY2	CHF1 (cardiovascular helix-loop-helix factor 1)	<1%	
Long QT Syndrom	e (LQTS)		
	Ion Channel Subunits		
KCNQ1*	KV7.1 (α-subunit of the voltage-dependent K ⁺ channel)	≃40% (LQT1)	JLNS, SQTS
KCNH2*	KV11.1/hERG (α -subunit of the voltage-dependent K ⁺ channel)	≃30% (LQT2)	SQTS
SCN5A*	NaV1.5 (α -subunit of the voltage-dependent Na $^+$ channel)	≃10% (LQT3)	BrS, DCM, ARVC, Heart block, SSS, SIDS
KCNE1* minK (β1-subunit of the voltage-dependent K ⁺ channel)		<1%	JLNS
KCNE2*	MiRP1 (β2-subunit of the voltage-dependent K ⁺ channel)	<1%	
KCNJ2* Kir2.1 (inward rectifying K ⁺ channel) <1% (LQT7)		<1% (LQT7)	Andersen-Tawil syndrome, SQTS, AF
KCNJ5*	Kir3 4 (G protein-activated inward rectifying K ⁺ channel 4) <1%		LQTS, Hyperaldosteronism
SCN1B*	$\beta1\text{-subunit}$ of the voltage-dependent $\text{Na}^{^+}$ channel	<1%	BrS, CCD, Epilepsy
SCN4B*	β4-subunit of the voltage-dependent Na ⁺ channel	<1%	AF
CaV1.2 (a1C-subunit of the voltage-dependent L-type		<1% (LQT8)	BrS, Timothy syndrome
	Auxiliary Proteins		
AKAP9*	A-kinase anchor protein-9	<1%	
ANK2*	ankyrin B	<1%	Arrhythmia
CALM1*	calmodulin (CaM)	<1%	CVPT
CALM2*	calmodulin (CaM)	<1%	CVPT
CALM3*	calmodulin (CaM)	<1%	CVPT
SNTA1*	α1-syntrophin	<1%	
TRDN*	triadin	<1%	CVPT
CAV3* caveolin-3		<1%	HCM, LGMD, Rippling muscle disease, Tateyama-





Gene	Protein	Prevalence	Other Associations
			type distal myopathy, SIDS
TRPM4*	Transient receptor potential melastatin 4	<1%	Herat Block, BrS
RYR2*	ryanodine receptor 2 (RyR2)	<1%	ARVC, CPVT
Short QT Syndron	ne (SQTS)		
	Ion Channel Subunits		
KCNH2*	KV11.1/hERG (α -subunit of the voltage-dependent K $^+$ channel)	≃15% (SQT1)	LQTS
KCNQ1*	KV7.1 (α -subunit of the voltage-dependent K ⁺ channel)	<1%	JLNS, LQTS
KCNJ2*	Kir2.1 (inward rectifying K ⁺ channel)	<1%	Andersen-Tawil syndrome, AF
CACNA1C*	CaV1.2 ($lpha$ 1C-subunit of the voltage-dependent L-type Ca $^{2+}$ channel)	<1%	BrS, Timothy syndrome
CACNB2b*	$\beta 2$ -subunit of the voltage-dependent L-type Ca^{2+} channel	<1%	BrS
CACNA2D1*	$\alpha 2/\delta\text{-subunit}$ of the voltage-dependent L-type Ca^{2+} channel	<1%	BrS, Epilepsy
Catecholaminergi	c Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia (CPVT)		
RYR2*	ryanodine receptor 2 (RyR2)	≃50–60% (CPVT1)	ARVC
KCNJ2	Kir2.1	10%	
CASQ2*	calsequestrin 2	≃5 %	
TRDN*	triadin	<1%	LQTS
CALM1*	calmodulin (CaM)	<1%	LQTS
CALM2*	calmodulin (CaM)	<1%	LQTS
CALM3*	calmodulin (CaM)	<1%	LQTS
TECLR	trans-2,3-enoyl-CoA reductase- like	<1%	

^{* -} commercially available test

Abbreviations: AF – Atrial fibrillation; ARVC- Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy; AV – Atrioventricular; BrS – Brugada syndrome; CCD – Cardiac conduction defect; CHD – Congenital heart defects; CPVT –Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia; DCM – Dilated cardiomyopathy; EMD – Emery Dreifuss muscular dystrophy; ERS –Early repolarization syndrome; HCM – Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; HCC - histiocytoid cardiomyopathy; JLNS – Jervell and Lange-Nielsen syndrome; LGMD – Limb girdle muscular dystrophy; LQTS – Long QT syndrome; SIDS – Sudden infant death syndrome; SQTS – Short QT syndrome; SSS – Sick sinus syndrome; SUDS – Sudden unexpected death syndrome; VF – Ventricular fibrillation

The clinical presentations of these conditions overlap as shown below, and genetic testing may clarify diagnoses, etiologies, and treatments in symptomatic individuals. However, predicting clinical presentation based on genetic mutation is also challenging due to the incomplete penetrance of most of these genes.

Gene Mutations and their Associated Conditions

ABCC9	KCND3	SCN2B
FCF12	KCNE3	SCN3B
GPD1L	KCNE5	SCN10A





BrS	HCN4	KCNJ8	SEMA3A	
	HEY2	PKP2	SLAMP	
	KCND2	RANGRF	TRPM4	
	SCN1B	CACNA1C	CACNA2D1	
	SCN5A		CACNB2	
	ANK2	KCNQ1		SQTS
	AKAP9	KCNH2		
	CAV3	KCNJ2		
	KCNE1	RYR2	TRDN	
LQTS	KCNE2	CALM1	CASQ2	
	KCNJ5			CPVT
	SCN4B			
	SNTA1			
	CALM2			

Proprietary Testing (Channelopathies)

Several proprietary gene panels exist for the assessment of cardiac ion channelopathies. For example, GeneDX offers several customizable panels for various channelopathies and cardiomyopathies. Conditions such as ARVC, Brugada Syndrome, and CPVT are available as separate panels, and GeneDX offers combined panels such as a "Custom Arrhythmia Panel", a "Custom Cardiomyopathy Panel", and a "Combined Cardiac Panel." Other commercially available panels include offerings from Invitae (Invitae), Fulgent, and BluePrint Genetics.

Analytical Validity (Channelopathies)

Ware et al. (2013) compared two NGS approaches for diagnostic sequencing inherited arrhythmia syndromes. PCR-based target enrichment and long-read sequencing (PCR-LR) was compared to insolution hybridization-based enrichment and short-read sequencing (Hyb-SR). The PCR-LR assay comprehensively assessed five long-QT genes (KCNQ1, KCNH2, SCN5A, KCNE1 and KCNE2) and "hot spots" in RYR2. The Hyb-SR assay targeted 49 genes, including those in the PCR-LR assay. The sensitivity for detection of control variants was identical. In both assays, the major limitation was upstream target capture, particularly in regions of extreme GC content. These initial experiences with NGS cardiovascular diagnostics achieved up to 89% sensitivity at a fraction of current costs.

Proost et al. (2017) validated a targeted gene panel for next-generation sequencing of 51 genes associated with primary electrical disease with 20 Human Polymorphism Study Center samples and 19 positive control samples with a total of 1479 variants. "An analytical sensitivity and specificity of 100% and 99.9% were obtained." After validation, the assay was applied to "114 PED patients which identified 107 variants in 36 different genes, 18 of which were classified as pathogenic or likely pathogenic, 54 variants were of unknown significance, and 35 were classified as likely benign." They concluded "that the PED Multiplex Amplification of Specific Targets for Resequencing Plus assay is a proficient and highly reliable test to routinely screen patients experiencing primary arrhythmias."

Clinical Utility and Validity (Channelopathies)



Garcia et al. (2016) proposed a framework for establishing clinical validity for assessing polymorphisms of inherited cardiac conditions, as well as evaluating the strength of association between genotype and phenotype, from a logical argument. Clinical validity of a gene is established when a gene is known to cause disease; since a specific variant must be responsible for causing the disease, a gene variant must be known to cause that disease. Conversely, if no variants can be established to cause disease, the clinical validity association has not been established. Variants of unknown significance (VUS) would, therefore, not have established clinical validity. Garcia et al. (2016) proposed three categories of strength of association: strong, suggested, and emerging.

- "Strong" refers to "cases where there exists at least one clinically observed variant supported by sufficient evidence to classify that variant as pathogenic. "Strong" indicates that the relationship has been proven."
- "Suggested" is used in cases where some preliminary evidence exists suggesting a causal relationship, but the relationship has not yet been formally proven."
- "Emerging" is used to describe a growing suspicion that a specific condition is caused by a gene that has already been proven to cause disease.

The authors go on to state that if one "strong" relationship exists, then clinical validity has been established. If not, the gene should be considered of "uncertain significance."

The authors also provide recommendations for gene panels to test for certain conditions. They "propose that a comprehensive panel test designed for the molecular diagnosis of a particular condition should include the following classes of genes:

- Genes that have been conclusively proven to cause the condition in question.
- Genes suspected but not yet proven to cause the condition in question.
- Genes that have been conclusively proven to cause a condition within the clinical differential. This
 category should include genes that cause a condition that can progress into the condition in
 question, genes that cause a condition that can be confused with the condition in question, and
 genes that cause a syndrome that include the condition in question as a primary feature.

They suggest that the clinical validity of a panel is established when that panel includes a set of genes that account for a substantial proportion of the genetic causes of the disease in question. Conversely, a panel is NOT valid if it omits certain genes that account for a substantial proportion of the known genetic risk. A clinically valid panel may also include genes for which some preliminary evidence of clinical validity exists ("preliminary evidence genes").

Hofman et al. (2013) analyzed the yield of DNA testing over 15 years. They analyzed results from 7021 individuals who were counseled, 6944 from 2298 different families (aged 41±19 years; 49% male). In 702 families (31%), a possible disease-causing mutation was detected. The yield of DNA testing of probands with primary electric diseases was 47% in LQTS, 26% in BrS, and 37% in CPVT. Cascade screening revealed 1539 mutation-positive subjects, and in 372 families counseled after sudden unexplained death an inherited arrhythmia syndrome was diagnosed in 29% (n=108).

Le Scouarnec et al. (2015) et al. examined 167 index cases presenting with a Brugada pattern on the electrocardiogram as well as 167 individuals aged over 65-years old and showing no history of cardiac arrhythmia. They found that "a significant enrichment in rare coding variation (with a minor allele frequency below 0.1%) was observed only for *SCN5A*, with rare coding variants carried by 20.4% of cases with BrS versus 2.4% of control individuals. No significant enrichment was observed for any other arrhythmia-susceptibility gene, including *SCN10A* and *CACNA1C*. These results indicate that,



except for SCN5A, rare coding variation in previously reported arrhythmia-susceptibility genes do not contribute significantly to the occurrence of BrS in a population with European ancestry. Extreme caution should thus be taken when interpreting genetic variation in molecular diagnostic setting, since rare coding variants were observed in a similar extent among cases versus controls, for most previously reported BrS-susceptibility genes."

Tester et al. (2012) examined 173 cases of sudden unexplained death that were referred for cardiac channel molecular autopsy. The mutational analysis included the long QT syndrome genes (*KCNQ1*, *KCNH2*, *SCN5A*, *KCNE1*, and *KCNE2*) and a catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT) type 1—associated gene (*RYR2*). Overall, 45 putative pathogenic mutations absent in 400 to 700 controls were identified in 45 autopsy-negative SUD cases (26.0%).

Seidelmann et al. (2017) evaluated the use of whole exome sequencing for clinical diagnosis, risk stratification, and management of inherited CVD. They found that genetic diagnosis was reached with a success rate of 26.5% (53/200 patients). This compares to 18% (36/200) that would have been diagnosed using commercially available genetic panels; although, this finding was not statistically significant. The authors concluded, "Whole exome sequencing was particularly useful for clinical diagnosis in patients with aborted sudden cardiac death, in whom the primary insult for the presence of both depressed cardiac function and prolonged QT had remained unknown. The analysis of the remaining cases using genome annotation and disease segregation led to the discovery of novel candidate genes in another 14% of the cases."

Munroe et al. (2018) examined tissue from 242 stillbirths (≥22 weeks), including those where no definite cause of death could be confirmed after a full autopsy. Seventy cases were examined, which were then sequenced for a custom panel of 35 genes. One putative pathogenic variant was found, and several novel variants of uncertain significance resulting in cardiac channelopathies was identified in some cases of otherwise unexplained stillbirth. The authors concluded "these variants may have a role in fetal demise."

Wang et al. (2017) examined the "genetic spectrum" of LVNC. The authors sequenced 73 cardiomyopathy-related genes in 102 patients, and 43 pathogenic variants were identified in 16 genes in 39 patients. Sarcomeric variants accounted for 63% of these variants whereas variants associated with channelopathies accounted for 12%. MYH7 and TAZ pathogenic variants were the most common, and patients with pathogenic variants showed more severe symptoms such as earlier age of onset.

van Lint et al. (2019) evaluated the detection rates for variants of unknown (class 3), likely (class 4), and certain (class 5) pathogenicity in cardiogenetic gene panels. 936 patients were evaluated with the arrhythmia panels (four versions), and 1970 patients were evaluated with the cardiomyopathy panels (six versions). The arrhythmia panels detected class 3 variants in 34.8% of patients, class 4 variants in 4.2% of patients, and class 5 variants in 4.6% of patients. The cardiomyopathy panels detected class 3 variants in 40.8% of patients, class 4 variants in 7.9% of patients, and class 5 variants in 12% of patients. Overall, the arrhythmia panels detected variants of interest in 44% of patients, and the cardiomyopathy panels detected variants of interest in 61% of patients. The authors concluded that "larger gene panels can increase the detection rate of likely pathogenic and pathogenic variants, but mainly increase the frequency of variants of unknown pathogenicity."

V. Guidelines and Recommendations

American Heart Association, American College of Cardiology, and the Heart Rhythm Society



In 2017 the American Heart Association (AHA), the American College of Cardiology (ACC), and the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) published the *Guideline for Management of Patients With Ventricular Arrhythmias* and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death that recommends the following:

Genetic Considerations in Arrhythmia Syndromes

- In patients and family members in whom genetic testing for risk stratification for SCA or SCD is recommended, genetic counseling is beneficial. (I)
- The diagnosis of most inherited arrhythmia syndromes is based on clinical features and family history. The availability of genetic testing for inherited arrhythmia syndromes can: 1) provide opportunity to confirm a suspected clinical diagnosis and sometimes provide prognostic information for the proband and 2) offer cascade screening of potentially affected family members when a disease-causing mutation is identified in the proband. The yield of genetic testing varies by disease.
- Genotyping is frequently most useful when a pathogenic mutation is identified in the proband, such
 that screening can be applied to relatives who are in a preclinical phase, allowing institution of
 lifestyle changes, therapy, or ongoing monitoring for those who are gene mutation positive.
- In young patients (<40 years of age) without structural heart disease who have unexplained cardiac arrest, unexplained near drowning, or recurrent exertional syncope, genetic testing may be important to identify an inherited arrhythmia syndrome as a likely cause.

Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Cardiomyopathy

- "Selected first-degree relatives refers to relatives who are willing to undergo further testing and who could benefit from further screening and testing (and not the terminally ill patients or those who do not want to be screened and tested)."
- "Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy is detected clinically in approximately 35% to 40% of first-degree relatives, most commonly in siblings or symptomatic first-degree relatives"
- "The proband with arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy is usually diagnosed by the presence of clinical symptoms along with the presence of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy Task Force criteria"
- "A pathogenic genetic mutation was added to the major Task Force criteria in 2010. The yield of
 genetic testing in probands with suspected arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy is
 generally 30% to 54%, and is up to 58% among patients with a strong family history of SCD in
 multiple members. A negative genetic test for arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy
 does not exclude the disease, and a positive genetic test currently does not guide therapy."

Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy

- "In first-degree relatives of patients with HCM due to a known causative mutation, genetic counseling and mutation-specific genetic testing are recommended."
- "In patients with clinically suspected or diagnosed HCM, genetic counseling and genetic testing are reasonable."
- "When genetic testing reveals a mutation in the index patient, ascertainment of genetic status in first- and second-degree relatives can be predictive of risk for developing HCM. Relatives with overt HCM will have the same pathogenic HCM mutation as the index patient."

Cardiac Channelopathies



- In first-degree relatives of patients who have a causative mutation for long QT syndrome, catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia, short QT syndrome, or Brugada syndrome, genetic counseling and mutation-specific genetic testing are recommended (I)
- Clinical screening of first-degree relatives of patients with inherited arrhythmia syndromes is crucial
 to identifying affected family members. Due to the increased risk of adverse cardiac events in
 genotype positive patients with long QT syndrome, catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular
 tachycardia, and Brugada syndrome, targeted screening for the identified family-specific mutation
 can identify individuals who are at risk for these adverse outcomes

Congenital Long QT Syndrome

- In patients with clinically diagnosed long QT syndrome, genetic counseling and genetic testing are recommended (I)
- Genetic testing for disease-causing mutations in long QT syndrome offers important diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic information in addition to the clinical evaluation, and a positive test can facilitate establishing risk for family members. The yield of genetic testing in long QT syndrome phenotype-positive patients is 50% to 86%, with the higher range present in patients with marked QT prolongation or positive family history of SCD. A negative genetic test does not exclude the diagnosis of long QT syndrome, which relies on the clinical evaluation.

Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia (CPVT)

- In patients with catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia and with clinical VT or exertional syncope, genetic counseling and genetic testing are reasonable (IIa)
- Genetic testing may be useful to confirm the diagnosis of catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia, which is suggested by the development of bidirectional VT with exertion or stress. Recognition of catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia as the cause for exertional symptoms should prompt aggressive therapy to prevent the significant risk of SCD. Therapy for catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia is not guided by genotype status, but screening of first-degree relatives may be facilitated with genetic testing.

Brugada Syndrome

- In patients with suspected or established Brugada syndrome, genetic counseling and genetic testing may be useful to facilitate cascade screening of relatives (IIb)
- The yield of genetic testing in phenotype positive patients is approximately 20% to 30% in Brugada syndrome. *SCN5A* variants account for most of this subset of genotype positive Brugada syndrome. However, 2% to 10% of otherwise healthy individuals host a rare variant of *SCN5A*. A negative genetic test does not exclude the diagnosis of Brugada syndrome, which is usually based on electrocardiographic and clinical characteristics. Risk stratification is based on symptoms and clinical findings; genotype status is not correlated with the risk of adverse events. Identification of a pathogenetic mutation may help facilitate recognition of carrier status in family members, allowing for lifestyle modification and potential treatment.

Short QT syndrome

- In patients with short QT syndrome, genetic testing may be considered to facilitate screening of first-degree relatives (IIb)
- Pathogenic mutations in potassium channels have been identified in approximately 10% to 20% of
 patients with short QT syndrome, including in KCNH2 (SQT1), KCNQ1 (SQT2), and KCNJ2 (SQT3).



Early Repolarization "J-wave" Syndrome

 In patients with early repolarization pattern on ECG, genetic testing is not recommended (III-no benefit)

Postmortem Evaluation of SCD

- In first-degree relatives of SCD victims who were 40 years of age or younger, cardiac evaluation is recommended, with genetic counseling and genetic testing performed as indicated by clinical findings (I)
- For family risk profiling, it is important to use the disease-specific genetic test panel that
 corresponds to the autopsy findings. Risk profiling of family members of an SCD victim suspected of
 having an inherited cardiomyopathy at autopsy is important. Although phenotyping of surviving
 family members is crucial, genotyping of the SCD proband provides a mechanism for efficient followup evaluation of those relatives with the disease-causing mutation found in the proband.

American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology/Heart Failure Society of America (AHA/ACC/HFSA) Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure

These 2022 guidelines provide guidelines on genetic evaluation and testing for the management of heart failure. The relevant recommendations are captured below:

- "In first-degree relatives of selected patients with genetic or inherited cardiomyopathies, genetic screening and counseling are recommended to detect cardiac disease and prompt consideration of treatments to decrease HF progression and sudden death."
- "In select patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy, referral for genetic counseling and testing is reasonable to identify conditions that could guide treatment for patients and family members."

These joint recommendations also urge that "In patients in whom a genetic or inherited cardiomyopathy is suspected, a family history should be performed, including at least 3 generations and ideally diagrammed as a family tree pedigree."

Heart Failure Society of American and American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)

In 2018, the Heart Failure Society of America and the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics published a clinical practice resource for the genetic evaluation of cardiomyopathy. There,

"Recommendation 1. Genetic testing is recommended for patients with cardiomyopathy

- (a) Genetic testing is recommended for the most clearly affected family member.
- (b) Cascade genetic testing of at-risk family members is recommended for pathogenic and likely pathogenic variants.
- (c) In addition to routine newborn screening tests, specialized evaluation of infants with cardiomyopathy is recommended, and genetic testing should be considered."

On the point of whom to test, the societies have the following to say:

"To yield the most conclusive, informative results, diagnostic genetic testing is optimally initiated on a confirmed affected individual. Furthermore, as there are sometimes multiple genetic variants contributing to disease in a single family, the testing should ideally be initiated on the person who is



most likely to harbor the disease-causing variant or variants. This is frequently the individual in the family with the most severe disease and/or the earliest disease onset. This is a well established principle in clinical genetics, as selecting the individual with the most evident disease increases the likelihood of finding a genetic cause. If the ideal person for initiation of genetic testing in a family is unavailable or unwilling to proceed, then comprehensive genetic testing should be considered for another unequivocally affected family member."

As for when to test, ACMG "recommend[s] genetic testing at the time a new cardiomyopathy diagnosis is made, but it can be conducted at any time following diagnosis. Education and counseling regarding genetic testing options are a key component of the process. For those who have had genetic testing in the past, retesting may be appropriate if the previous testing produced negative or inconclusive results and the test's detection rate has improved. This latter point is particularly relevant for DCM as the gene panels have rapidly expanded (e.g., TTN and others) and are anticipated to continue" (Hershberger et al., 2018). A chart of selected genes implicated in various cardiomyopathies is included below.

Table 1 Selected genes in association with cardiomyopathy

	Core genes ^a	Estimates of genetic testing diagnostic yield	ACMG secondary findings gene list	Metabolic causes of cardiomyopathty	Examples of genetic syndromes
HCM	MYH7, MYBPC3, TNNT2, TNNC1, TNNI3, TPM1, MYL2, MYL3, ACTC1, ACTN2, CSRP3, PLN, TTR, PRKAG2, LAMP2, GLA	30–60%	MYBPC3, MYH7, TNNT2, TNNI3, TPM1, MYL3, ACTC1, PRKAG2, GLA, MYL2, LMNA	GAA (Pompe); Mitochondrial disease genes	RASopathies (e.g., Noonan syndrome, others); Friedreich ataxia
DCM	TTNP, LMNA, MYH7, TNNT2, BAG3, RBM20, TNNC1, TNNI3, TPM1, SCN5A, PLN. For testing, all HCM, ARVC genes are recommended to be included	10–40%		Mitochondrial disease genes	Muscular dystrophies; Alström syndrome
ARVC	DES, DSC2, DSG2, DSP, JUP, LMNA, PKP2, PLN, RYR2, SCN5A, TMEM43, TTN ^b ; consider full DCM panel	10–50%	PKP2, DSP, DSC2, TMEM43, DSG2, RYR2 SCN5A		Naxos syndrome; Carvajal syndrome
RCM	Consider HCM or DCM gene panel	10-60%			
LVNC	Use the gene panel for the cardiomyopathy identified in association with the LVNC phenotype	Unknown		Mitochondrial disease genes including <i>TAZ</i> in Barth syndrome	1p36 deletion syndrome; RASopathies

^{*}Core gene lists represent genes with the highest diagnostic yield and/or strongest evidence of the gene in association with the listed phenotype; the genes listed are not exhaustive and should be considered illustrative for the type of cardiomyopathy. Considerable overlap of genes between cardiomyopathy phenotypes is well established. Genes known to cause metabolic disease or genetic syndromes are often included in testing panels, but yavy depending on the clinical laboratory. Gene lists therefore need to be reviewed carefully before ordering testing. Metabolic and genetic syndrome columns provide examples only and are not intended to be compress.

(Table 1 above appropriated from (Hershberger et al., 2018))

The specific cardiomyopathy comments are as follows:

HCM

- "Beyond sarcomeric genes, core genes to screen in patients with HCM include GLA, PRKAG2, and LAMP2."
- "Consultation with a geneticist is indicated."

DCM

- "Core genes to be tested in individuals with DCM include genes encoding sarcomeric and cytoskeletal proteins."
- "Genetic testing is important in mothers of individuals with Duchenne or Becker to determine carrier status because carrier females may develop DCM in the third to fifth decade of life."

LVNC

hensive
Only TTM truncating variants are thought relevant for cardiomyopathy
HTM hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, DCM dilated cardiomyopathy, ARVC arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy, RCM restrictive cardiomyopathy, LVNC left ventricular noncompaction, ACMG American College of



 "Genetic testing is not recommended when the LVNC phenotype is identified serendipitously in asymptomatic individuals with otherwise normal cardiovascular structure and function."

As such, these genes may form an important backdrop upon which the following is performed:

"Recommendation 2. Focused cardiovascular phenotyping is recommended when pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in cardiomyopathy genes, designated for reporting of secondary findings by the ACMG, are identified in an individual.

- (a) If a cardiovascular phenotype is identified as would be predicted by currently available knowledge of the gene/ variant pair, all usual approaches described in this document for a genetic evaluation, including family-based approaches, are recommended.
- (b) If no cardiovascular disease phenotype is identified in the individual, recommendations for surveillance screening at intervals should be considered.
- (c) If no cardiovascular phenotype is identified in the individual, cascade evaluation of at-risk relatives may be considered, tempered by the strength of evidence supporting the pathogenicity of the variant, the usual age of onset of the gene/variant pair, and pedigree information (e.g., the ages of at-risk family members, other previously known cardiovascular clinical data in the pedigree, and related information)."

American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)

Moreover, in 2021, ACMG referenced several myopathies and channelopathies in their "minimum" list of genes for which mutations should be reported when whole genome sequencing is performed for other primary purposes (incidental findings). Those genes are listed below:

- FBN1, TGFBR1, TGFBR2, SMAD3, ACTA2, MYH11 for aortopathies
- PKP2, DSP, DSC2, TMEM43, and DSG2 for ARVC
- RYR2, CASQ2, and TRDN for Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT)
- TNNT2, LMNA, FLNC, and TTN for DCM
- COL3A1 for Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, vascular type
- LDLR, APOB, and PCSK9 for Familial hypercholesterolemia
- MYH7, MYBP3, TNNI3, TPM1, MYL3, ACTC1, PRKAG2, and MYL2 for HCM
- KCNQ1 and KCNH2 for Long QT syndrome types 1 and 2
- SCN5A for Long QT syndrome 3; Brugada syndrome

In an erratum published in 2021, the authors appended a series of new gene-phenotype pairings, each associated with the cardiovascular phenotype "Risk of sudden death with preventive interventions available": CASQ2/catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT), TRDN/catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT) & long QT syndrome, FLNC/cardiomyopathy, and TTN/cardiomyopathy.

The Heart Rhythm Society (HRS)/The European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) Expert Consensus Statement

Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy (HCM)

Class I recommendations (is recommended):



- "Comprehensive or targeted (MYBPC3, MYH7, TNNI3, TNNT2, TPM1) HCM genetic testing is recommended for any patient in whom a cardiologist has established a clinical diagnosis of HCM based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and electrocardiographic/echocardiographic phenotype."
- "Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the HCM-causative mutation in an index case."

Dilated Cardiomyopathy (DCM)

Class I recommendations:

- "Comprehensive or targeted (LMNA and SCN5A) DCM genetic testing is recommended for patients
 with DCM and significant cardiac conduction disease (i.e., first-, second-, or third- degree heart
 block) and/or with a family history of premature unexpected sudden death."
- "Mutation-specific testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of a DCM-causative mutation in the index case."

Class IIa recommendations:

Genetic testing can be useful for patients with familial DCM to confirm the diagnosis, to recognize
those who are highest risk of arrhythmia and syndromic features, to facilitate cascade screening
within the family, and to help with family planning.

Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Cardiomyopathy (ARVC)

Class I recommendations:

• "Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the ACM/ARVC- causative mutation in an index case."

Class II recommendations:

- "Comprehensive or targeted (DSC2, DSG2, DSP, JUP, PKP2, and TMEM43) ACM/ARVC genetic testing
 can be useful for patients satisfying task force diagnostic criteria for ACM/ARVC." (II-A, can be
 useful)
- "Genetic testing may be considered for patients with possible ACM/ARVC (1 major or 2 minor criteria) according to the 2010 task force criteria (European Heart Journal)." (II-B, may be considered)

Left Ventricular Noncompaction (LVNC)

Class I recommendations:

 "Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of a LVNC-causative mutation in the index case."

Class II recommendations:

 LVNC genetic testing can be useful for patients in whom a cardiologist has established a clinical diagnosis of LVNC based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and electrocardiographic/echocardiographic phenotype. (II-A)



Restrictive Cardiomyopathy (RCM)

Class I recommendations:

 "Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of a RCM-causative mutation in the index case."

Class II recommendations:

 "RCM genetic testing may be considered for patients in whom a cardiologist has established a clinical index of suspicion for RCM based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and electrocardiographic/echocardiographic phenotype." (II-B)

Long-QT Syndrome

Class I recommendations:

- Comprehensive or LQT1-3 (KCNQ1, KCNH2, and SCN5A) targeted LQTS genetic testing is recommended for any patient in whom a cardiologist has established a strong clinical index of suspicion for LQTS based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and expressed electrocardiographic (resting 12-lead ECGs and/or provocative stress testing with exercise or catecholamine infusion) phenotype OR for any asymptomatic patient with QT prolongation in the absence of other clinical conditions that might prolong the QT interval (such as electrolyte abnormalities, hypertrophy, bundle branch block, etc., i.e., otherwise idiopathic) on serial 12-lead ECGs defined as QTc >480 ms (prepuberty) or >500 ms (adults).
- Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and other appropriate relatives subsequently following the identification of the LQTS-causative mutation in an index case.

Class II recommendations:

 Comprehensive or LQT1-3 (KCNQ1, KCNH2, and SCN5A) targeted LQTS genetic testing may be considered for any asymptomatic patient with otherwise idiopathic QTc values >460 ms (prepuberty) or >480 ms (adults) on serial 12-lead ECGs. (II-B)

Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT)

Class I recommendations:

- Comprehensive or CPVT1 and CVPT2 (RYR2 and CASQ2) targeted CPVT genetic testing is recommended for any patient in whom a cardiologist has established a clinical index of suspicion for CPVT based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and expressed electrocardiographic phenotype during provocative stress testing with cycle, treadmill, or catecholamine infusion.
- Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the CPVT-causative mutation in an index case.

Brugada Syndrome

Class I recommendations:



 Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the BrS-causative mutation in an index case.

Class II recommendations:

Comprehensive or BrS1 (SCN5A) targeted BrS genetic testing can be useful for any patient in whom
a cardiologist has established a clinical index of suspicion for BrS based on examination of the
patient's clinical history, family history, and expressed electrocardiographic (resting 12-lead ECGs
and/or provocative drug challenge testing) phenotype (II-A)

Genetic testing is not indicated in the setting of an isolated type 2 or type 3 Brugada ECG pattern.

Short QT syndrome

Class I recommendations:

• Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the SQTS-causative mutation in an index case.

Class II recommendations:

Comprehensive or SQT1-3 (KCNH2, KCNQ1, and KCNJ2) targeted SQTS genetic testing may be
considered for any patient in whom a cardiologist has established a strong clinical index of suspicion
for SQTS based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and
electrocardiographic phenotype.

Sinus Node Dysfunction (SND)

 "In idiopathic sinus node dysfunction (SND), mutations in the cardiac pacemaker channel gene HCN4 and in sodium channel genes can be identified in an unknown portion. However, because non-genetic causes appear more frequently in idiopathic SND, genetic testing for idiopathic SND should be considered on an individual basis."

Progressive Cardiac Conduction Disease (CCD)

- "Genetic testing may be considered as part of the diagnostic evaluation for patients with either isolated CCD or CCD with concomitant congenital heart disease, especially when there is documentation of a positive family history of CCD."
- "Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the CCD-causative mutation in an index case."
- "Taken together, tiered genetic testing for patients with CCD and congenital heart disease or cardiomyopathies is useful because other cardiac and non-cardiac disease features may be present or may develop; individual genes should be considered after discussion of clinical features with an experienced cardiogenetic center."

Post-Mortem Genetic Testing in Sudden Unexpected Death Cases

 "In the setting of autopsy-negative SUDS, comprehensive or targeted (RYR2, KCNQ1, KCNH2, and SCN5A) ion channel genetic testing may be considered in an attempt to establish probable cause and manner of death and to facilitate the identification of potentially at-risk relatives and is



recommended if circumstantial evidence points toward a clinical diagnosis of LQTS or CPVT specifically (such as emotional stress, acoustic trigger, drowning as the trigger of death)."

• "Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and other appropriate relatives following the identification of a SUDS-causative mutation in the decedent."

Canadian Cardiovascular Society/Canadian Heart Rhythm Society

Long QT Syndrome

Genetic testing is recommended in the cardiac arrest survivor with LQTS for the primary purpose of screening first-degree relatives, in the patient with syncope and QTc prolongation that is attributed to LQTS, and in the asymptomatic patient with consistent QTc prolongation that is clinically suspected to represent LQTS.

Brugada Syndrome

Genetic testing in the cardiac arrest survivor, patient with syncope, or asymptomatic patient with a persistent or provocable type 1 Brugada ECG pattern is recommended for the primary purpose of screening of family members. However, patients with types 2 or 3 Brugada ECG patterns are not recommended for genetic testing.

CPVT

Genetic testing is recommended for the primary purpose of screening family members.

American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF)/American College of Cardiology (ACC) and American Heart Association (AHA)

In 2020, the AHA released a joint guideline with the ACC for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (Ommen et al., 2020). This guideline replaced the 2011 guideline released jointly by the ACCF and the AHA. The updated 2020 guideline has also been endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS). With relation to genetic testing, they released the following with a level B-NR quality of evidence, signifying "moderate-quality evidence from 1 or more well-designed, well-executed nonrandomized studies, observational studies, or registry studies; meta-analyses of such studies":

Class 1 (Benefit >>> Risk)

- "In patients with HCM, evaluation of familial inheritance, including a 3-generation family history, is recommended as part of the initial assessment
- In patients with HCM, genetic testing is beneficial to elucidate the genetic basis to facilitate the identification of family members at risk for developing HCM (cascade testing)
- In patients with an atypical clinical presentation of HCM or when another genetic condition is suspected to be the cause, a work-up including genetic testing for HCM and other genetic causes of unexplained cardiac hypertrophy ("HCM phenocopies") is recommended
- In patients with HCM who choose to undergo genetic testing, pre- and posttest genetic counseling
 by an expert in the genetics of cardiovascular disease is recommended so that risks, benefits,
 results, and their clinical significance can be reviewed and discussed with the patient in a shared
 decision-making process



- When performing genetic testing in an HCM proband, the initial tier of genes tested should include genes with strong evidence to be disease-causing in HCM*
- In first-degree relatives of patients with HCM, both clinical screening (ECG and 2D echocardiogram)
 and cascade genetic testing (when a pathogenic/likely pathogenic variant has been identified in the
 proband) should be offered
- In families where a sudden unexplained death has occurred with a postmortem diagnosis of HCM, postmortem genetic testing is beneficial to facilitate cascade genetic testing and clinical screening in first-degree relatives
- In patients with HCM who have undergone genetic testing, serial reevaluation of the variant(s)
 identified is recommended to assess for variant reclassification, which may impact diagnosis and
 cascade genetic testing in family members
- In affected families with HCM, preconception and prenatal reproductive and genetic counseling should be offered"
- NOTE: "Strong evidence HCM genes include, at the time of this publication, MYH7, MYBPC3, TNNI3, TNNT2, TPM1, MYL2, MYL3, and ACTC1"

Class 2b (Benefit ≥ Risk)

- "In patients with HCM, the usefulness of genetic testing in the assessment of risk of SCD [sudden cardiac death] is uncertain
- In patients with HCM who harbor a variant of uncertain significance, the usefulness of clinical genetic testing of phenotype-negative relatives for the purpose of variant reclassification is uncertain"

No benefit

- "For patients with HCM who have undergone genetic testing and were found to have no pathogenic variants (ie, harbor only benign/likely benign variants), cascade genetic testing of the family is not useful
- Ongoing clinical screening is not indicated in genotype-negative relatives in families with genotype-positive HCM, unless the disease-causing variant is downgraded to variant of uncertain significance, likely benign, or benign variant during follow-up."

The ACCF and AHA also published a joint guideline, regarding the "Management of Heart Failure", which states that "Increasingly, it is recognized that many (20% to 35%) patients with an idiopathic DCM have a familial cardiomyopathy (defined as 2 closely related family members who meet the criteria for idiopathic DCM)." "Advances in technology permitting high-throughput sequencing and genotyping at reduced costs have brought genetic screening to the clinical arena" and refers to the 2009 and 2011 published guidelines. The guidelines further note that genetic testing may be considered in conjunction with counseling in familial DCM.

European Society of Cardiology (ECS)

Genetic counselling is recommended for all HCM patients when the HCM is not explained solely by a non-genetic cause.

Genetic testing is recommended for patients fulfilling the diagnostic criteria for HCM, both as a confirmatory test and to enable genetic testing for relatives. Both cascade genetic screening and a clinical evaluation are recommended for first-degree relatives that carry the same mutation as the HCM



patient ("proband"). Even if a mutation is absent, relatives should consider reassessment should symptoms appear or other clinical data emerges. A genetic analysis, such as pedigree analysis and high-throughput sequencing, should include the most implicated sarcomere protein genes. If a rarer condition is suspected, the analysis should include the gene responsible for that condition.

Pre-natal genetic testing is not recommended due to phenotypic variability.

Heart Rhythm Society (HRS)

Arrhythmogenic Cardiomyopathies

The HRS published an "Expert Consensus Statement" on "Evaluation, Risk Stratification, and Management of Arrhythmogenic Cardiomyopathy." In it, they include recommendations on genetic testing for this set of cardiac disorders.

"For individuals and decedents with either a clinical or necropsy diagnosis of ACM, genetic testing of the established ACM-susceptibility genes is recommended."

"For genetic testing of the established ACM-susceptibility genes, comprehensive analysis of all established genes with full coverage is recommended."

"When a likely pathogenic or pathogenic genetic variant has been identified in the proband, cascade genetic testing can be offered to first-degree at-risk relatives... Cascade genetic testing is therefore only offered to family members where a likely pathogenic or pathogenic variant in a known disease-associated gene is identified in the proband."

American Heart Association (AHA)

The AHA published a guideline regarding cardiomyopathy in children. In it, they state that "genetic testing should first be performed in the individual known to have a specific cardiomyopathy phenotype and should be informed by the child's overall presentation, with a detailed examination looking for dysmorphic features, muscle weakness, scoliosis, or specific laboratory findings." They also state that indications for genetic testing include "determining the cause of HCM, predicting the clinical course and severity, screening first-degree relatives, and determining recurrence risk."

This guideline addresses HCM, DCM, RCM, LVNC, Arrhythmogenic Ventricular Cardiomyopathy (both right and left ventricles), as well as cardiomyopathy caused by channelopathies such as Long-QT syndrome. However, though it addresses the many manifestations of cardiomyopathy, few suggestions for clinical practice are made due to "the lack of evidence and consensus in the classification and diagnosis of children with cardiomyopathy", such that the document takes the form of a scientific statement instead of clinical guidelines.

The AHA also published a guideline discussing early repolarization. In it, they remark that the biological basis for early repolarization pattern "remains incompletely understood." Therefore, the AHA proposes "large-scale, unbiased (eg, genome-wide association studies), family-guided genetic discovery approaches, as well as efforts aimed at understanding both the mechanisms underlying ER and the associated arrhythmogenesis."

In a 2020 statement from the AHA titled "Genetic Testing for Inherited Cardiovascular Diseases: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association", the AHA pointed towards a 2018 conjoint



guideline by the HFSA with the ACMG for guidance for genetic testing of cardiomyopathies. The pertinent recommendations were extracted and are presented below:

"A family history of at least 3 generations should be obtained for all patients with a primary cardiomyopathy. Second, clinical screening for cardiomyopathy is recommended for at-risk first-degree relatives. Third, patients with genetic, familial, or other unexplained forms of cardiomyopathy should be referred to expert centers. Genetic counseling is recommended for all patients with cardiomyopathy and their family members.

In a family, testing should be directed to the most clearly affected family member. If that individual is found to have a gene variant that is judged to be pathogenic or likely pathogenic, then cascade genetic testing for that variant should be offered to at-risk family members. For infants with cardiomyopathy, in addition to any routine newborn screening tests that might have been performed, the specialized evaluation is likely to include genetic testing and should also include an evaluation for syndromic or metabolic conditions for which a specific intervention or therapy might be warranted."

Finally, the guideline notes that the management of certain conditions may benefit or otherwise be influenced by the results of genetic testing. Long-QT syndrome, HCM, DCM, and RCM are listed as having variants that may be specifically targeted with certain treatments.

VI. Applicable State and Federal Regulations

DISCLAIMER: If there is a conflict between this Policy and any relevant, applicable government policy for a particular member [e.g., Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) for Medicare and/or state coverage for Medicaid], then the government policy will be used to make the determination. For the most up-to-date Medicare policies and coverage, please visit the Medicare search website: https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx. For the most up-to-date Medicaid policies and coverage, visit the applicable state Medicaid website.

Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Many labs have developed specific tests that they must validate and perform in house. These laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) are regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) as high-complexity tests under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA '88). As an LDT, the U. S. Food and Drug Administration has not approved or cleared this test; however, FDA clearance or approval is not currently required for clinical use.



VII. Important Reminder

The purpose of this Medical Policy is to provide a guide to coverage. This Medical Policy is not intended to dictate to providers how to practice medicine. Nothing in this Medical Policy is intended to discourage or prohibit providing other medical advice or treatment deemed appropriate by the treating physician.

Benefit determinations are subject to applicable member contract language. To the extent there are any conflicts between these guidelines and the contract language, the contract language will control.

This Medical Policy has been developed through consideration of the medical necessity criteria under Hawaii's Patients' Bill of Rights and Responsibilities Act (Hawaii Revised Statutes §432E-1.4), or for QUEST Integration members under Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR 1700.1-42), generally accepted standards of medical practice and review of medical literature and government approval status. HMSA has determined that services not covered under this Medical Policy will not be medically necessary under Hawaii law in most cases. If a treating physician disagrees with HMSA's determination as to medical necessity in a given case, the physician may request that HMSA reconsider the application of the medical necessity criteria to the case at issue in light of any supporting documentation.

Genetic testing is covered for level 1 or 2A recommendations of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN and in accordance with Hawaii's Patients' Bill of Rights and Responsibilities Act (Hawaii Revised Statutes §432E-1.4) or for QUEST members, the Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR 1700.1-42).

VIII. Evidence-based Scientific References

Ackerman, M. J., Hamilton, R., Hershberger, R. E., Le Marec, H., McKenna, W. J., Schulze-Bahr, E., Semsarian, C., Towbin, J. A., Watkins, H., Wilde, A., Priori, S. G., Wolpert, C., Zipes, D. P., Willems, S., Berul, C., Brugada, R., Calkins, H., Judge, D. P., Camm, A. J., . . . Gollob, M. (2011). HRS/EHRA Expert Consensus Statement on the State of Genetic Testing for the Channelopathies and Cardiomyopathies: This document was developed as a partnership between the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) and the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA). *EP Europace*, *13*(8), 1077-1109. https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/eur245

Ackerman, M. J., Priori, S. G., Willems, S., Berul, C., Brugada, R., Calkins, H., Camm, A. J., Ellinor, P. T., Gollob, M., Hamilton, R., Hershberger, R. E., Judge, D. P., Le Marec, H., McKenna, W. J., Schulze-Bahr, E., Semsarian, C., Towbin, J. A., Watkins, H., Wilde, A., . . . Zipes, D. P. (2011). HRS/EHRA expert consensus statement on the state of genetic testing for the channelopathies and cardiomyopathies this document was developed as a partnership between the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) and the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA). *Heart Rhythm*, 8(8), 1308-1339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2011.05.020

AHA. (2016). *Dilated Cardiomyopathy (DCM)*.

http://www.heart.org/HEARTORG/Conditions/More/Cardiomyopathy/Dilated-Cardiomyopathy UCM 444187 Article.jsp#.WQzcaPnyvIV





- Alcalai, R., Seidman, J. G., & Seidman, C. E. (2008). Genetic basis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: from bench to the clinics. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*, *19*(1), 104-110. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-8167.2007.00965.x
- Ammash, N. M., Tajik, A. J., & Jacoby, D. (2022, 6/8/2022). *Restrictive cardiomyopathies*. https://www.uptodate.com/contents/restrictive-cardiomyopathies
- Attenhofer-Jost, C., Connolly, Heidi. (2022, 5/5/2022). *Isolated left ventricular noncompaction in adults: Clinical manifestations and diagnosis*. https://www.uptodate.com/contents/isolated-left-ventricular-noncompaction-in-adults-clinical-manifestations-and-diagnosis
- Bastiaenen, R., & Behr, E. R. (2011). Sudden death and ion channel disease: pathophysiology and implications for management. *Heart*, *97*(17), 1365-1372. https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.2011.223883
- Bezzina, C. R., Lahrouchi, N., & Priori, S. G. (2015). Genetics of Sudden Cardiac Death. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.304030
- Bhonsale, A., Groeneweg, J. A., James, C. A., Dooijes, D., Tichnell, C., Jongbloed, J. D., Murray, B., te Riele, A. S., van den Berg, M. P., Bikker, H., Atsma, D. E., de Groot, N. M., Houweling, A. C., van der Heijden, J. F., Russell, S. D., Doevendans, P. A., van Veen, T. A., Tandri, H., Wilde, A. A., . . . Hauer, R. N. (2015). Impact of genotype on clinical course in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy-associated mutation carriers. *Eur Heart J*, *36*(14), 847-855. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehu509
- BluePrint. (2021). *Comprehensive Cardiology Panel*. Retrieved 1/14/21 from https://blueprintgenetics.com/tests/panels/cardiology/comprehensive-cardiology-panel/
- Campuzano, O., Sarquella-Brugada, G., Brugada, R., & Brugada, J. (2015). Genetics of channelopathies associated with sudden cardiac death. *Glob Cardiol Sci Pract*, *2015*(3), 39. https://doi.org/10.5339/gcsp.2015.39
- Cirino, A. L., Ho, Carolyn. (2014). *Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Overview* [Text]. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1768/
- Cirino, A. L., Lakdawala, N. K., McDonough, B., Conner, L., Adler, D., Weinfeld, M., O'Gara, P., Rehm, H. L., Machini, K., Lebo, M., Blout, C., Green, R. C., MacRae, C. A., Seidman, C. E., & Ho, C. Y. (2017). A Comparison of Whole Genome Sequencing to Multigene Panel Testing in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Patients. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*, *10*(5). https://doi.org/10.1161/circgenetics.117.001768
- Cooper Jr, L. T. (2019, 05/19/2019). *Definition and classification of the cardiomyopathies*. https://www.uptodate.com/contents/definition-and-classification-of-the-cardiomyopathies
- Elliott, P. M., Anastasakis, A., Borger, M. A., Borggrefe, M., Cecchi, F., Charron, P., Hagege, A. A., Lafont, A., Limongelli, G., Mahrholdt, H., McKenna, W. J., Mogensen, J., Nihoyannopoulos, P., Nistri, S., Pieper, P. G., Pieske, B., Rapezzi, C., Rutten, F. H., Tillmanns, C., & Watkins, H. (2014). 2014 ESC Guidelines on diagnosis and management of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J.*, 35(39), 2733-2779. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehu284
- Fernandez-Falgueras, A., Sarquella-Brugada, G., Brugada, J., Brugada, R., & Campuzano, O. (2017). Cardiac Channelopathies and Sudden Death: Recent Clinical and Genetic Advances. *Biology (Basel)*, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/biology6010007
- Frustaci, A., De Luca, A., Guida, V., Biagini, T., Mazza, T., Gaudio, C., Letizia, C., Russo, M. A., Galea, N., & Chimenti, C. (2018). Novel alpha-Actin Gene Mutation p.(Ala21Val) Causing Familial Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy, Myocardial Noncompaction, and Transmural Crypts. Clinical-Pathologic Correlation. *J Am Heart Assoc*, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1161/jaha.117.008068
- Fulgent. (2020). Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy NGS Panel.
 - https://www.fulgentgenetics.com/hypertrophic-cardiomyopathy



- Garcia-Elias, A., & Benito, B. (2018). Ion Channel Disorders and Sudden Cardiac Death [Review]. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 19(3), 692. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19030692
- Garcia, J., Tahiliani, J., Johnson, N. M., Aguilar, S., Beltran, D., Daly, A., Decker, E., Haverfield, E., Herrera, B., Murillo, L., Nykamp, K., & Topper, S. (2016). Clinical Genetic Testing for the Cardiomyopathies and Arrhythmias: A Systematic Framework for Establishing Clinical Validity and Addressing Genotypic and Phenotypic Heterogeneity. *Front Cardiovasc Med*, *3*, 20. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2016.00020
- GeneDX. (2020). *GeneDx Testing Directory*. Retrieved 1/14/21 from https://www.genedx.com/test-catalog/available-tests/custom-combined-cardiac-panel/
- Gersh, B. J., Maron, B. J., Bonow, R. O., Dearani, J. A., Fifer, M. A., Link, M. S., Naidu, S. S., Nishimura, R. A., Ommen, S. R., Rakowski, H., Seidman, C. E., Towbin, J. A., Udelson, J. E., & Yancy, C. W. (2011). 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*, 142(6), e153-203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2011.10.020
- Giudicessi, J. R., & Ackerman, M. J. (2013). Determinants of incomplete penetrance and variable expressivity in heritable cardiac arrhythmia syndromes. *Transl Res*, *161*(1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2012.08.005
- Gollob, M. H., Blier, L., Brugada, R., Champagne, J., Chauhan, V., Connors, S., Gardner, M., Green, M. S., Gow, R., Hamilton, R., Harris, L., Healey, J. S., Hodgkinson, K., Honeywell, C., Kantoch, M., Kirsh, J., Krahn, A., Mullen, M., Parkash, R., . . . Woo, A. (2011). Recommendations for the use of genetic testing in the clinical evaluation of inherited cardiac arrhythmias associated with sudden cardiac death: Canadian Cardiovascular Society/Canadian Heart Rhythm Society joint position paper. *Can J Cardiol*, 27(2), 232-245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2010.12.078
- Heidenreich, P. A., Bozkurt, B., Aguilar, D., Allen, L. A., Byun, J. J., Colvin, M. M., Deswal, A., Drazner, M. H., Dunlay, S. M., Evers, L. R., Fang, J. C., Fedson, S. E., Fonarow, G. C., Hayek, S. S., Hernandez, A. F., Khazanie, P., Kittleson, M. M., Lee, C. S., Link, M. S., . . . Yancy, C. W. (2022). 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*, 145(18), e895-e1032. https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.000000000000001063
- Hershberger, R. E. (2020, 12/04/2020). Familial dilated cardiomyopathy: Prevalence, diagnosis and treatment. https://www.uptodate.com/contents/familial-dilated-cardiomyopathy-prevalence-diagnosis-and-treatment
- Hershberger, R. E., Givertz, M. M., Ho, C. Y., Judge, D. P., Kantor, P. F., McBride, K. L., Morales, A., Taylor, M. R. G., Vatta, M., & Ware, S. M. (2018). Genetic evaluation of cardiomyopathy: a clinical practice resource of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genet Med.* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-018-0039-z
- Hershberger, R. E., Lindenfeld, J., Mestroni, L., Seidman, C. E., Taylor, M. R., & Towbin, J. A. (2009). Genetic evaluation of cardiomyopathy--a Heart Failure Society of America practice guideline. *J Card Fail*, 15(2), 83-97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2009.01.006
- Hershberger, R. E., Morales, A., & Siegfried, J. D. (2010). Clinical and genetic issues in dilated cardiomyopathy: a review for genetics professionals. *Genet Med*, *12*(11), 655-667. https://doi.org/10.1097/GIM.0b013e3181f2481f
- Hershberger, R. E., & Siegfried, J. D. (2011). Update 2011: clinical and genetic issues in familial dilated cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, *57*(16), 1641-1649. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.01.015
- Hofman, N., Tan, H. L., Alders, M., Kolder, I., de Haij, S., Mannens, M. M., Lombardi, M. P., Dit Deprez, R. H., van Langen, I., & Wilde, A. A. (2013). Yield of molecular and clinical testing for arrhythmia



syndromes: report of 15 years' experience. Circulation, 128(14), 1513-1521.

https://doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.112.000091

Invitae. Invitae Cardiomyopathy Comprehensive Panel.

https://www.invitae.com/en/physician/tests/02251/

- Japp, A. G., Gulati, A., Cook, S. A., Cowie, M. R., & Prasad, S. K. (2016). The Diagnosis and Evaluation of Dilated Cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 67(25), 2996-3010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2016.03.590
- Kayvanpour, E., Sedaghat-Hamedani, F., Amr, A., Lai, A., Haas, J., Holzer, D. B., Frese, K. S., Keller, A., Jensen, K., Katus, H. A., & Meder, B. (2017). Genotype-phenotype associations in dilated cardiomyopathy: meta-analysis on more than 8000 individuals. *Clin Res Cardiol*, 106(2), 127-139. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00392-016-1033-6
- Kirk, J. A., & Kass, D. A. (2015). Cellular and Molecular Aspects of Dyssynchrony and Resynchronization. *Card Electrophysiol Clin*, 7(4), 585-597. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccep.2015.08.011
- Kostareva, A., Kiselev, A., Gudkova, A., Frishman, G., Ruepp, A., Frishman, D., Smolina, N., Tarnovskaya, S., Nilsson, D., Zlotina, A., Khodyuchenko, T., Vershinina, T., Pervunina, T., Klyushina, A., Kozlenok, A., Sjoberg, G., Golovljova, I., Sejersen, T., & Shlyakhto, E. (2016). Genetic Spectrum of Idiopathic Restrictive Cardiomyopathy Uncovered by Next-Generation Sequencing. *PLoS One*, 11(9), e0163362. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163362
- Le Scouarnec, S., Karakachoff, M., Gourraud, J. B., Lindenbaum, P., Bonnaud, S., Portero, V., Duboscq-Bidot, L., Daumy, X., Simonet, F., Teusan, R., Baron, E., Violleau, J., Persyn, E., Bellanger, L., Barc, J., Chatel, S., Martins, R., Mabo, P., Sacher, F., . . . Redon, R. (2015). Testing the burden of rare variation in arrhythmia-susceptibility genes provides new insights into molecular diagnosis for Brugada syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet*, *24*(10), 2757-2763. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddv036
- Lipshultz, S., E., Law Yuk, M., Asante-Korang, A., Austin Eric, D., Dipchand Anne, I., Everitt Melanie, D., Hsu Daphne, T., Lin Kimberly, Y., Price Jack, F., Wilkinson James, D., Colan Steven, D., & null, n. (2019). Cardiomyopathy in Children: Classification and Diagnosis: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 140(1), e9-e68. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.000000000000000082
- Lipshultz Steven, E., Law Yuk, M., Asante-Korang, A., Austin Eric, D., Dipchand Anne, I., Everitt Melanie, D., Hsu Daphne, T., Lin Kimberly, Y., Price Jack, F., Wilkinson James, D., Colan Steven, D., & null, n. (2019). Cardiomyopathy in Children: Classification and Diagnosis: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 140(1), e9-e68. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.00000000000000082
- Magi, S., Lariccia, V., Maiolino, M., Amoroso, S., & Gratteri, S. (2017). Sudden cardiac death: focus on the genetics of channelopathies and cardiomyopathies. *J Biomed Sci*, *24*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12929-017-0364-6
- Maron, B. J. (2003). Sudden death in young athletes. *N Engl J Med*, *349*(11), 1064-1075. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra022783
- Maron, B. J., Gardin, J. M., Flack, J. M., Gidding, S. S., Kurosaki, T. T., & Bild, D. E. (1995). Prevalence of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in a general population of young adults. Echocardiographic analysis of 4111 subjects in the CARDIA Study. Coronary Artery Risk Development in (Young) Adults. *Circulation*, 92(4), 785-789. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7641357
- Maron, B. J., Maron, M. S., & Semsarian, C. (2012). Genetics of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy after 20 years: clinical perspectives. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, *60*(8), 705-715. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2012.02.068
- Maron, M. (2020, 08/21/2020). *Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: Clinical manifestations, diagnosis, and evaluation*. Retrieved 1/14/21 from https://www.uptodate.com/contents/hypertrophic-cardiomyopathy-clinical-manifestations-diagnosis-and-evaluation



- Martin, C. A., Huang, C. L., & Matthews, G. D. (2013). The role of ion channelopathies in sudden cardiac death: implications for clinical practice. *Ann Med*, 45(4), 364-374. https://doi.org/10.3109/07853890.2013.783994
- McKenna, W. J. (2019). Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy: Pathogenesis and genetics. Retrieved 1/14/21 from https://www.uptodate.com/contents/arrhythmogenic-right-ventricular-cardiomyopathy-pathogenesis-and-genetics
- Miller, D. T., Lee, K., Chung, W. K., Gordon, A. S., Herman, G. E., Klein, T. E., Stewart, D. R., Amendola, L. M., Adelman, K., Bale, S. J., Gollob, M. H., Harrison, S. M., Hershberger, R. E., McKelvey, K., Richards, C. S., Vlangos, C. N., Watson, M. S., & Martin, C. L. (2021a). ACMG SF v3.0 list for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing: a policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genet Med*, *23*(8), 1381-1390. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-021-01172-3
- Miller, D. T., Lee, K., Chung, W. K., Gordon, A. S., Herman, G. E., Klein, T. E., Stewart, D. R., Amendola, L. M., Adelman, K., Bale, S. J., Gollob, M. H., Harrison, S. M., Hershberger, R. E., McKelvey, K., Richards, C. S., Vlangos, C. N., Watson, M. S., & Martin, C. L. (2021b). Correction to: ACMG SF v3.0 list for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing: a policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genet Med*, 23(8), 1582-1584. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-021-01278-8
- Munroe, P. B., Addison, S., Abrams, D. J., Sebire, N. J., Cartwright, J., Donaldson, I., Cohen, M. M., Mein, C., Tinker, A., Harmer, S. C., Aziz, Q., Terry, A., Struebig, M., Warren, H. R., Vadgama, B., Fowler, D. J., Peebles, D., Taylor, A. M., Lally, P. J., & Thayyil, S. (2018). Postmortem Genetic Testing for Cardiac Ion Channelopathies in Stillbirths. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCGEN.117.001817
- Musunuru, K., Hershberger, R. E., Day, S. M., Klinedinst, N. J., Landstrom, A. P., Parikh, V. N., Prakash, S., Semsarian, C., & Sturm, A. C. (2020). Genetic Testing for Inherited Cardiovascular Diseases: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation: Genomic and Precision Medicine*, 13(4), e000067. https://doi.org/doi:10.1161/HCG.0000000000000000000
- Nerbonne, J. M., & Kass, R. S. (2005). Molecular physiology of cardiac repolarization. *Physiol Rev*, 85(4), 1205-1253. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00002.2005
- Ommen, S. R., Mital, S., Burke, M. A., Day, S. M., Deswal, A., Elliott, P., Evanovich, L. L., Hung, J., Joglar, J. A., Kantor, P., Kimmelstiel, C., Kittleson, M., Link, M. S., Maron, M. S., Martinez, M. W., Miyake, C. Y., Schaff, H. V., Semsarian, C., & Sorajja, P. (2020). 2020 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Patients With Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*, 142(25), e558-e631. https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000000000937
- Parker, L. E., & Landstrom, A. P. (2021). The clinical utility of pediatric cardiomyopathy genetic testing: From diagnosis to a precision medicine-based approach to care. *Prog Pediatr Cardiol, 62*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppedcard.2021.101413
- Patton Kristen, K., Ellinor Patrick, T., Ezekowitz, M., Kowey, P., Lubitz Steven, A., Perez, M., Piccini, J., Turakhia, M., Wang, P., & Viskin, S. (2016). Electrocardiographic Early Repolarization. *Circulation*, 133(15), 1520-1529. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.000000000000388
- Priori, S. G., Wilde, A. A., Horie, M., Cho, Y., Behr, E. R., Berul, C., Blom, N., Brugada, J., Chiang, C. E., Huikuri, H., Kannankeril, P., Krahn, A., Leenhardt, A., Moss, A., Schwartz, P. J., Shimizu, W., Tomaselli, G., & Tracy, C. (2013). HRS/EHRA/APHRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of patients with inherited primary arrhythmia syndromes: document endorsed by HRS, EHRA, and APHRS in May 2013 and by ACCF, AHA, PACES, and AEPC in June 2013. *Heart Rhythm*, *10*(12), 1932-1963. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2013.05.014
- Proost, D., Saenen, J., Vandeweyer, G., Rotthier, A., Alaerts, M., Van Craenenbroeck, E. M., Van Crombruggen, J., Mortier, G., Wuyts, W., Vrints, C., Del Favero, J., Loeys, B., & Van Laer, L. (2017).

- Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing of 51 Genes Involved in Primary Electrical Disease. *J Mol Diagn*, 19(3), 445-459. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2017.01.010
- Roden, D. M., Balser, J. R., George, A. L., Jr., & Anderson, M. E. (2002). Cardiac ion channels. *Annu Rev Physiol*, *64*, 431-475. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.64.083101.145105
- Schwartz, P. J., Stramba-Badiale, M., Crotti, L., Pedrazzini, M., Besana, A., Bosi, G., Gabbarini, F., Goulene, K., Insolia, R., Mannarino, S., Mosca, F., Nespoli, L., Rimini, A., Rosati, E., Salice, P., & Spazzolini, C. (2009). Prevalence of the congenital long-QT syndrome. *Circulation*, *120*(18), 1761-1767. https://doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.109.863209
- Seidelmann, S. B., Smith, E., Subrahmanyan, L., Dykas, D., Abou Ziki, M. D., Azari, B., Hannah-Shmouni, F., Jiang, Y., Akar, J. G., Marieb, M., Jacoby, D., Bale, A. E., Lifton, R. P., & Mani, A. (2017). Application of Whole Exome Sequencing in the Clinical Diagnosis and Management of Inherited Cardiovascular Diseases in Adults. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*, *10*(1). https://doi.org/10.1161/circgenetics.116.001573
- Semsarian, C., Ingles, J., Maron, M. S., & Maron, B. J. (2015). New perspectives on the prevalence of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, *65*(12), 1249-1254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2015.01.019
- Spoonamore, K. G., & Johnson, N. M. (2016). Who Pays? Coverage Challenges for Cardiovascular Genetic Testing in U.S. Patients. *Front Cardiovasc Med*, *3*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2016.00014
- Tester, D. J., & Ackerman, M. J. (2011). Genetic Testing for Potentially Lethal, Highly Treatable Inherited Cardiomyopathies/Channelopathies in Clinical Practice. *Circulation*, 123(9), 1021-1037. https://doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.109.914838
- Tester, D. J., Medeiros-Domingo, A., Will, M. L., Haglund, C. M., & Ackerman, M. J. (2012). Cardiac Channel Molecular Autopsy: Insights From 173 Consecutive Cases of Autopsy-Negative Sudden Unexplained Death Referred for Postmortem Genetic Testing. In *Mayo Clin Proc* (Vol. 87, pp. 524-539). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.02.017
- Towbin, J. A., McKenna, W. J., Abrams, D. J., Ackerman, M. J., Calkins, H., Darrieux, F. C. C., Daubert, J. P., de Chillou, C., DePasquale, E. C., Desai, M. Y., Estes, N. A. M., 3rd, Hua, W., Indik, J. H., Ingles, J., James, C. A., John, R. M., Judge, D. P., Keegan, R., Krahn, A. D., . . . Zareba, W. (2019). 2019 HRS expert consensus statement on evaluation, risk stratification, and management of arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm*, *16*(11), e301-e372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2019.05.007
- van Lint, F. H. M., Mook, O. R. F., Alders, M., Bikker, H., Lekanne Dit Deprez, R. H., & Christiaans, I. (2019). Large next-generation sequencing gene panels in genetic heart disease: yield of pathogenic variants and variants of unknown significance. *Neth Heart J*, *27*(6), 304-309. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12471-019-1250-5
- Voorhees, A. P., & Han, H. C. (2015). Biomechanics of Cardiac Function. *Compr Physiol*, *5*(4), 1623-1644. https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c140070
- Walsh, R., Buchan, R., Wilk, A., John, S., Felkin, L. E., Thomson, K. L., Chiaw, T. H., Loong, C. C. W., Pua, C. J., Raphael, C., Prasad, S., Barton, P. J., Funke, B., Watkins, H., Ware, J. S., & Cook, S. A. (2017). Defining the genetic architecture of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: re-evaluating the role of non-sarcomeric genes. *Eur Heart J*, 38(46), 3461-3468. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehw603
- Wang, C., Hata, Y., Hirono, K., Takasaki, A., Ozawa, S. W., Nakaoka, H., Saito, K., Miyao, N., Okabe, M., Ibuki, K., Nishida, N., Origasa, H., Yu, X., Bowles, N. E., & Ichida, F. (2017). A Wide and Specific Spectrum of Genetic Variants and Genotype-Phenotype Correlations Revealed by Next-Generation Sequencing in Patients with Left Ventricular Noncompaction. *J Am Heart Assoc*, 6(9). https://doi.org/10.1161/jaha.117.006210
- Ware, J. S., John, S., Roberts, A. M., Buchan, R., Gong, S., Peters, N. S., Robinson, D. O., Lucassen, A., Behr, E. R., & Cook, S. A. (2013). Next generation diagnostics in inherited arrhythmia syndromes: a



comparison of two approaches. *J Cardiovasc Transl Res*, *6*(1), 94-103. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12265-012-9401-8

Wilde, A. A. M., Veldkamp, M. W., & Smits, J. P. P. (2005). Mechanisms of inherited cardiac conduction disease. *EP Europace*, 7(2), 122-137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eupc.2004.11.004

Yancy, C. W., Jessup, M., Bozkurt, B., Butler, J., Casey, D. E., Jr., Drazner, M. H., Fonarow, G. C., Geraci, S. A., Horwich, T., Januzzi, J. L., Johnson, M. R., Kasper, E. K., Levy, W. C., Masoudi, F. A., McBride, P. E., McMurray, J. J., Mitchell, J. E., Peterson, P. N., Riegel, B., . . . Wilkoff, B. L. (2013). 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 62(16), e147-239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2013.05.019

IX. Policy History

Policy approved by Medical Directors	9/20/2022
Policy approved at UMC	12/16/2022
Policy effective	6/1/2023
Updated Lines of Business	12/18/2023