

Machine Learning with Graphs (MLG)

HW1: Learning to Identify High Betweenness Nodes

Deadline: 2020.03.30 (Mon.) 23:59

Submission: Code (.py/.ipynb) and Report (PDF)

HW1: Learning to Identify High BC Nodes

- Implement the paper that uses NN-based learning to identify high BC nodes
 - "Learning to Identify High Betweenness Centrality Nodes from Scratch: A Novel Graph Neural Network Approach"
 ACM CIKM 2019 https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.10418
 - Any package can be used, e.g., PyTorch, Tensorflow, Keras NetworkX, DGL, and PyTorch Geometric
 - Highly recommend PyTorch Geometric
 - A geometric deep learning extension library for PyTorch
 - https://github.com/rusty1s/pytorch_geometric
 - □ https://www.google.com/search?q=pytorch%20geometric
- HW1 is for you to get familiar with NN implementations and graph/network analysis packages

2

HW1: Learning to Identify High BC Nodes

- 2 test datasets: ~5K synthetic graph, ~1M YouTube graph
 - Ground-truth ranking, i.e., high BC nodes, is provided
- Evaluation metrics (defined in the paper)
 - Recommendation metric: Top-N% Accuracy (N=1, 5, 10)
 - Ranking metric: Kendall tau distance
 - Wall-clock running time (in seconds)
- Reference code (official implementation in Tensorflow)
 - https://github.com/FFrankyy/DrBC
 - Note: You can NOT copy & paste the code. We will check the code plagiarism!

You implementation is not required to exactly follow the paper, i.e., you can do any you feel reasonable to improve the method

- You can follow all of the settings mentioned in the paper
 - E.g., Hyperparameter settings in Table 2

HW1: Learning to Identify High BC Nodes

- Compared methods
 - RK https://github.com/ecrc/BeBeCA
 - k-BC https://github.com/ecrc/BeBeCA
 - KADABRA https://github.com/natema/kadabra
- Required reproducibility
 - Table 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 in the paper
- Bonus: use DrBC to find high-closeness and high-CC nodes
- HW1 Submission via Moodle
 - Deadline: 23:59, March 30 (Monday), 2020
 - Submit your code: .py or .ipynb (preferred)
 - Submit a report (PDF): ≥10 pages (you cannot include code in report)
- Content in the report
 - Implementation details (describe how you implement)
 - Reproduced experimental results, along with analysis and insights
- Learning to learn by yourself. Good Luck!

Final Remark

- What if I cannot successfully reproduce DrBC by following the detailed settings of the paper?
 - Need not to totally follow the paper!!
 (The paper is not always correct)
 - You can modify DrBC to make the model converged and generate accurate results
 - Write the details of what you modify in the report
 - Figure out what are the potential problems
 - □ Write the possible reasons in the report
- What if I totally cannot understand DrBC algorithm?
 - You should come up with a supervised learning method (not necessary NN models) to train and predict BC values
 - □ Follow the experimental settings of DrBC
 - Write the details/results in the report

But you may receive a lower score, it depends on you method