Bug ID#1 [Fixed]

Incorrect Inheritance

Vulnerability Type

Incorrect inheritance

Severity

High

Description:

The smart contracts were using a contract called "BlockListed.sol" to blacklist or whitelist a user. This contract was inherited by "Airdrop.sol", Crowdsale.sol", "MasterContract.sol", and "TokenVesting.sol".

Due to a spelling error in the contract name inside "BlockListed.sol", the compilation of the whole project failed and gave errors. This broke the contract and the blacklisting feature.

Vulnerable Code:

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.2;

contract BlockListed {
    mapping(address=>bool) isBlacklisted;

    function blackList(address _user) public {
        require(!isBlacklisted[_user], "user already
    blacklisted");
        isBlacklisted[_user] = true;
    }

    function removeFromBlacklist(address _user) public {
        require(isBlacklisted[_user], "user already
    whitelisted");
        isBlacklisted[_user] = false;
    }
}
```

1. Go to the contract "BlockListed.sol" and note the error on Line 4. https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/BlockListed.sol#L4

Impacts:

This vulnerability may lead to compilation errors and inconclusive and erroneous logic which may have adverse effects on the flow of the contract.

Remediation:

Correct the name of the contract used so that the inheritance works and the blacklist feature can function.

Retest:

A fix was deployed by correcting "BlockLkisted" to "BlockListed"

Use of Floating Pragma

Vulnerability Type

Floating pragma - <u>SWC-103</u>

Severity

Low

Description:

Solidity allows developers to specify a pragma version or a range of pragma versions with which the contracts can be compiled.

The contracts were found to be using a floating pragma which is not considered safe as it can be compiled with all the versions described.

Vulnerable Code:

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.2; //Floating pragma
```

Vulnerable Contracts:

- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/Airdrop.sol#L1
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/AllowanceCrowdsale.sol#L2
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/BlockListed.sol#L2
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/Crowdsale.sol#L2
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol
 #L2
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/TimedCrowdsale.s
 ol#L2

PoC:

1. Go to the line numbers mentioned in the contracts and note the floating pragma "^0.8.2".

Impacts:

Compiling contracts with a floating pragma allows the contracts to be compiled with all the compiler versions specified in the floating pragma. This may cause issues because of unforeseen breaking changes usually implemented in solidity compiler versions and/or various bug fixes introduced in later versions.

Remediation:

It is recommended to use a static pragma version, as future compiler versions may handle certain language constructions in a way the developer did not foresee. The developers should always use the exact Solidity compiler version when designing their contracts as it may break the changes in the future.

Use pragma solidity 0.8.2; instead.

Outdated Compiler Version

Vulnerability Type

Outdated compiler version SWC-102

Severity

Low

Description:

The smart contracts were found to be using Solidity Compiler version 0.8.2 and above. Version 0.8.2 is affected by multiple security issues and bugs as specified in the <u>Solidity repository</u>.

Vulnerable Code:

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.2; //Vulnerable compiler version
```

PoC:

1. Open any contract and note the solidity pragma version specified at the top of the file.

Impacts:

The Solidity compiler version 0.8.2 is affected by vulnerabilities like "SignedImmutables", "ABIDecodeTwoDimensionalArrayMemory", and "KeccakCaching".

Remediation:

Use the latest version or most stable version of the solidity compiler with fewer known bugs. Anything above version 0.8.4 can be used.

Redundant SafeMath

Vulnerability Type

Code With No Effects - <u>SWC-135</u>

Severity

Medium

Description:

Starting from Solidity version 0.8.0+, it is not recommended to use <u>safeMath</u> for overflow or underflow checks because the Solidity compiler has default built-in validations for these making the usage of <u>safeMath</u> redundant. Also, <u>safeMath</u> is gas inefficient.

Vulnerable Code:

```
contract Airdrop is Ownable, BlockListed {
   using SafeMath for uint;
```

PoC:

1. Go to the line number and contracts mentioned above to see the redundant use of the "SafeMath" library.

Impacts:

safeMath is generally not needed starting with Solidity 0.8, since the compiler now has built-in overflow checking, making it redundant.

Remediation:

Remove the SafeMath library because Solidity 0.8.0+ automatically handles overflow and underflow checks.

Missing SPDX License

Vulnerability Type

Best practices

Severity

Informational

Description:

The contract "Airdrop.so1" was missing an SPDX License identifier in the source code. A smart contract whose source code is available can better establish trust. In order to minimize legal problems relating to copyright, Solidity encourages the use of machine-readable SPDX license identifiers.

Vulnerable Code:

https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/Airdrop.sol

```
pragma solidity ^0.8.2;
import "@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol";
import "@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Math.sol";
import "@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/SafeMath.sol";
import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol";
import "./BlockListed.sol";
```

PoC:

1. Open the file "Airdrop.sol" and note that the file is missing an SPDX License.

Impacts:

SPDX Licenses help in identifying the legal owner of the software, therefore, helping in issues like copyright infringement.

Remediation:

Every source file should start with a comment indicating its license. Add a necessary license identifier in the contract code like the one shown below:

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
```

Unindexed Events

Vulnerability Type

Misconfiguration

Severity

Low

Description:

Events in solidity contain two kinds of parameters - indexed and non-indexed. These indexes are also known as "topics" and are the searchable parameters used in events. In the Ethereum system, events must be easily searched for, so that applications can filter and display historical events without undue overhead.

It was noticed that none of the event parameters were indexed in the contract "Airdrop.sol". This makes searching for past events difficult.

Vulnerable Code:

https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/Airdrop.sol#L17

```
contract Airdrop is Ownable, BlockListed {
   using SafeMath for uint;

   address public tokenAddr;

   ERC20 public Token;

   event EtherTransfer(address beneficiary, uint amount);
...
```

PoC:

1. Go to the contract "Airdrop.sol" and note the unindexed event on Line 17.

Impacts:

This does not impact the security aspect of the Smart contract but affects the ease of use when searching for past events.

Remediation:

It should be noted that indexed event parameters take up more gas than non-indexed

ones. Keeping that in mind, the contract should add indexed keywords to the searchable parameters to make searching efficient using an event filter.

You can add an attribute indexed to up to three parameters. Then, they appear in the structure of topics, not the data portion of the log.

Use of Large Number Literals

Vulnerability Type

Missing best practices.

Severity

Low

Description:

Integer literals are formed from a sequence of digits in the range 0-9. They are interpreted as decimals. The use of very large numbers with too many digits was detected in the code that could have been optimized using a different notation also supported by Solidity.

Vulnerable Code:

- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol #L24
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol
 #L27
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol #L34
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol #L37
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol #L40
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol #L43
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol #L46
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol #L49
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol #L52
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol #L55
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol #L58

- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol #L61
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol #L64
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol #L67

```
uint256 public totalSupply = 1000000000;
uint public cooling period = 12 hours;
string public AirdropRate = "3%";
uint256 public AirdropToken = 30000000;
string public seadSaleRate = "16%";
uint256 public seadSaleToken = 160000000;
string public publicSaleRate = "4%";
uint256 public publicSaleToken = 40000000;
string public operationRate = "6%";
uint256 public operationToken = 60000000;
string public developmentRate = "10%";
uint256 public developmentToken = 1000000000;
string public marketingRate = "10%";
uint256 public marketingToken = 100000000;
string public teamRate = "10%";
uint256 public teamToken = 100000000;
string public advisorRate = "5%";
uint256 public advisorToken = 500000000;
string public communityRate = "8%";
uint256 public communityToken = 80000000;
```

```
string public reserveRate = "7%";
uint256 public reserveToken = 70000000;

string public stakingRate = "6%";
uint256 public stakingToken = 60000000;

string public liquidityRate = "15%";
uint256 public liquidityToken = 1500000000;
```

1. Go to the contract "MasterContract.sol" and note the large number literals from lines 24 to 67.

Impacts:

Literals with many digits are difficult to read and review. This may also introduce errors in the future if one of the zeroes is omitted while doing code modifications.

Remediation:

Scientific notation in the form of 2e10 is also supported, where the mantissa can be fractional but the exponent has to be an integer. The literal MeE is equivalent to M * 10**E. Examples include 2e10, 2e10, 2e-10, 2.5e1.

Reference:

https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/latest/types.html#rational-and-integer-literals

Missing Constant Attribute in Variables

Vulnerability Type

Gas Optimization

Severity

Low

Description:

State variables can be declared as constant or immutable. In both cases, the variables cannot be modified after the contract has been constructed. For constant variables, the value has to be fixed at compile time.

The compiler does not reserve a storage slot for these variables, and every occurrence is replaced by the respective value.

Compared to regular state variables, the gas costs of constant and immutable variables are much lower since no SLOAD is executed to retrieve constants from storage because they're interpolated directly into the bytecode.

Vulnerable Code:

Function Name	Vulnerable Code
AirdropRate	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L33
AirdropToken	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L34
MAX_TRANSFER	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L27
_decimals	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L22
_name	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/

	blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol# L20
_symbol	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L21
_totalSupply	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L24
advisorRate	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L54
advisorToken	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L55
communityRate	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L57
communityToken	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L58
cooling_period	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L30
developmentRate	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L45
developmentToken	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L46
liquidityRate	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L66
liquidityToken	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L67
marketingRate	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/

	blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol# L48
marketingToken	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L49
operationRate	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L42
operationToken	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L43
publicSaleRate	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L39
publicSaleToken	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L40
reserveRate	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#
reserveToken	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#
seadSaleRate	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#
seadSaleToken	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L37
stakingRate	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#L63
stakingToken	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol#
teamRate	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/

	blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol# L51
teamToken	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/ blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol# L52

```
string public _name = "CalibToken";
  string public symbol = "CALIB";
 uint8 public decimals = 18;
 uint8 public taxFee = 0;
  uint256 public _totalSupply = 1000000000;
  uint public cooling period = 12 hours;
  string public AirdropRate = "3%";
  uint256 public AirdropToken = 30000000;
  uint256 public seadSaleToken = 160000000;
  string public publicSaleRate = "4%";
  uint256 public publicSaleToken = 40000000;
  string public operationRate = "6%";
  uint256 public operationToken = 60000000;
  string public developmentRate = "10%";
  uint256 public developmentToken = 100000000;
  string public marketingRate = "10%";
  uint256 public marketingToken = 100000000;
 string public teamRate = "10%";
  uint256 public teamToken = 100000000;
```

```
string public advisorRate = "5%";
uint256 public advisorToken = 500000000;

string public communityRate = "8%";
uint256 public communityToken = 8000000000;

string public reserveRate = "7%";
uint256 public reserveToken = 7000000000;

string public stakingRate = "6%";
uint256 public stakingToken = 6000000000;

string public liquidityRate = "15%";
uint256 public liquidityToken = 15000000000;
```

1. Go to the contract "MasterContract.sol" and note the large number literals from lines 20 to 67.

Impacts:

Gas usage is increased if the variables that should be constants are not set as constants.

Remediation:

A "constant" attribute should be added in the parameters that never change to save the gas.

Missing Zero-Address Validations

Vulnerability Type

Input validation

Severity

Medium

Description:

Every critical address change, sensitive functions handling addresses and tokens, and all the other address type parameters should include a zero-address check otherwise contract functionality may become inaccessible or tokens burned forever if an invalid or a zero address is supplied by mistake or due to contract errors.

Vulnerable Code:

```
constructor(address _tokenAddr) public {
    Token = ERC20(_tokenAddr);
}
...

function updateTokenAddress(address newTokenAddr) public
onlyOwner {
    tokenAddr = newTokenAddr;
}

function withdrawTokens(address beneficiary) public onlyOwner
{
    require(Token.transfer(beneficiary,
Token.balanceOf(address(this))));
}

function withdrawEther(address payable beneficiary) public
onlyOwner {
    beneficiary.transfer(address(this).balance);
}
...
```

```
function _deliverTokens(address beneficiary, uint256

tokenAmount)
    internal
    virtual
    override
{
    token().safeTransferFrom(_tokenWallet, beneficiary,
tokenAmount);
}
```

1. The following functions are missing a zero-address check in their address type input parameters -

Function Name	Function Parameter	Vulnerable Code
_tokenAddr	_tokenAddr	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/Airdrop.sol#L19-L21
updateTokenA ddress	newTokenAddr	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/Airdrop.sol#L57-L60
withdrawToke ns	beneficiary	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/Airdrop.sol#L61-L63
withdrawEthe r	beneficiary	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/Airdrop.sol#L65-L67
_deliverToke	beneficiary	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/AllowanceCrowdsale.sol#L55-L61
createVestin gSchedule	_beneficiary	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/TokenVesting.sol#L149

Impacts:

Missing a zero-address validation on sensitive address changes and token transfers may cause contract ownership to be los, and the tokens may be burned forever.

Remediation:

Add a zero-address validation to the functions specified above.

Dead/Unreachable Code

Vulnerability Type

Code With No Effects - <u>SWC-135</u>

Severity

Informational

Description:

It is recommended to keep the production repository clean to prevent confusion and the introduction of vulnerabilities. The functions and parameters that are never used or called externally or from inside the contracts should be removed when the contract is deployed on the mainnet.

Vulnerable Code:

https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/TimedCrowdsale.sol#105-115

```
function _extendTime(uint256 newClosingTime) internal {
    require(!hasClosed(), "TimedCrowdsale: already closed");
    // solhint-disable-next-line max-line-length
    require(
        newClosingTime > _closingTime,
        "TimedCrowdsale: new closing time is before current
closing time"
    );
    emit TimedCrowdsaleExtended(_closingTime,
    newClosingTime);
    _closingTime = newClosingTime;
}
```

PoC:

- 1. Go to the contract "TimedCrowdsale.sol" and note the function "_extendTime" is an internal function.
- 2. This function is not called throughout the code in any of the contracts and therefore should be removed.

Impacts:

This does not impact the security aspect of the Smart contract but prevents confusion when the code is sent to other developers or auditors to understand and implement. This reduces the overall size of the contracts and also helps in saving gas.

Remediation:

Delete the internal function "_extendTime" if it is not supposed to be used.

Missing Input Validation on Amount

Vulnerability Type

Input Validation

Severity

Low

Description:

Input validation is a frequently-used technique for checking potentially dangerous inputs in order to ensure that the inputs are safe for processing within the code, or when communicating with other components.

When the smart contract does not validate the inputs properly, it may introduce a range of vulnerabilities.

The contracts "AllowanceCrowdsale.sol" and "TokenVesting.sol" were missing input validation on the amount parameters in various functions.

Vulnerable Code:

https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/TimedCrowdsale.sol#105-115

```
function withdraw(uint256 amount)
    public
    nonReentrant
    onlyOwner{
    require(this.getWithdrawableAmount() >= amount,

"TokenVesting: not enough withdrawable funds");
    _token.safeTransfer(owner(), amount);
}

...

function release(
    bytes32 vestingScheduleId,
    uint256 amount
)
    public
    nonReentrant
```

```
onlyIfVestingScheduleNotRevoked(vestingScheduleId) {
       VestingSchedule storage vestingSchedule =
vestingSchedules[vestingScheduleId];
       bool isBeneficiary = msq.sender ==
vestingSchedule.beneficiary;
       bool isOwner = msg.sender == owner();
       require(
           isBeneficiary || isOwner,
vested tokens"
       );
       uint256 vestedAmount =
computeReleasableAmount(vestingSchedule);
       require(vestedAmount >= amount, "TokenVesting: cannot
release tokens, not enough vested tokens");
       vestingSchedule.released =
vestingSchedule.released.add(amount);
       address payable beneficiaryPayable =
payable(vestingSchedule.beneficiary);
       vestingSchedulesTotalAmount =
vestingSchedulesTotalAmount.sub(amount);
      token.safeTransfer(beneficiaryPayable, amount);
   function deliverTokens (address beneficiary, uint256
tokenAmount)
      virtual
       override
       token().safeTransferFrom( tokenWallet, beneficiary,
tokenAmount);
```

1. Go to the contracts and line numbers mentioned below and note that the amount parameters don't have an input validation and can even take 0 as an input.

Function Name	Function Parameter	Vulnerable Code
_deliverTokens	tokenAmount	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3 -za2f/blob/main/contracts/Allowan ceCrowdsale.sol#L55-L61
withdraw	amount	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3 -za2f/blob/main/contracts/TokenVe sting.sol#L209-L215
release	amount	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3 -za2f/blob/main/contracts/TokenVe sting.sol#L222-L242

Impacts:

Accepting amount as 0 in a transaction might cause the transaction to fail or it'll cause useless consumption of gas.

Remediation:

Have an input validation on the functions and parameters mentioned above to check that the amount accepted is more than zero and is within the necessary limits.

Missing Input Validation on Vesting Start Time

Vulnerability Type

Input validation

Severity

Medium

Description:

Input validation is a frequently-used technique for checking potentially dangerous inputs in order to ensure that the inputs are safe for processing within the code, or when communicating with other components.

When the smart contract does not validate the inputs properly, it may introduce a range of vulnerabilities.

The contracts "TokenVesting.sol" was missing input validation in the function "createVestingSchedule" in which the start time of the vesting period - "_start", has no validation and can be set in the past.

Vulnerable Code:

https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/TokenVesting.sol#L150

```
function createVestingSchedule(
    address _beneficiary,
    uint256 _start,
    uint256 _cliff,
    uint256 _duration,
    uint256 _slicePeriodSeconds,
    bool _revocable,
    uint256 _amount
)

public
    onlyOwner{
    require(
        this.getWithdrawableAmount() >= _amount,
        "TokenVesting: cannot create vesting schedule because
not sufficient tokens"
    );
    require(_duration > 0, "TokenVesting: duration must be >
```

```
)");
       require( amount > 0, "TokenVesting: amount must be > 0");
       require( slicePeriodSeconds >= 1, "TokenVesting:
slicePeriodSeconds must be >= 1");
       bytes32 vestingScheduleId =
this.computeNextVestingScheduleIdForHolder( beneficiary);
       uint256 cliff = start.add( cliff);
       vestingSchedules[vestingScheduleId] = VestingSchedule(
           beneficiary,
           start,
           slicePeriodSeconds,
           amount,
       );
       vestingSchedulesTotalAmount =
vestingSchedulesTotalAmount.add( amount);
       vestingSchedulesIds.push(vestingScheduleId);
       uint256 currentVestingCount =
holdersVestingCount[ beneficiary];
       holdersVestingCount[ beneficiary] =
currentVestingCount.add(1);
```

- 1. Go to the contract "TokenVesting.sol" and note that the function accepts the parameter " start" on line number 150.
- 2. This is used again on Line 172 without any validation on the timestamp.

Impacts:

This issue allows a malicious contract owner to manipulate the release of tokens in the beneficiary's account or maybe by mistake if there is an error in epoch value leading to past dates of vesting period.

Remediation:

Use a require() validation in the function that validates if the value of the _start parameter is not too far in the past.

Functions should be declared External

Vulnerability Type

Gas Optimization

Severity

Low

Description:

A function with public visibility modifier was detected that is not called internally.

public and external differs in terms of gas usage. The former use more than the latter when used with large arrays of data. This is due to the fact that Solidity copies arguments to memory on a public function while external read from calldata which a cheaper than memory allocation.

Multiple public functions were found throughout the contracts which were never called from inside the contracts. These should be changed to external.

Vulnerable Code:

Function Name	Vulnerable Code
dropTokens	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/Airdrop.sol#L23
dropEther	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/ blob/main/contracts/Airdrop.sol#L34
updateTokenAddress	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/Airdrop.sol#L57
withdrawTokens	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/Airdrop.sol#L61
withdrawEther	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/Airdrop.sol#L65
tokenWallet	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/AllowanceCrowdsalesol#L34
remainingTokens	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/

	blob/main/contracts/AllowanceCrowdsale .sol#L42
blackList	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/ blob/main/contracts/BlockListed.sol#L7
removeFromBlacklist	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/ blob/main/contracts/BlockListed.sol#L12
wallet	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/ blob/main/contracts/Crowdsale.sol#L100
rate	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/ blob/main/contracts/Crowdsale.sol#L107
mint	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/ blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol# L93
setTaxFee	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/ blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol# L107
allocateTokens	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/ blob/main/contracts/MasterContract.sol# L112
openingTime	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/ blob/main/contracts/TimedCrowdsale.sol #L57
closingTime	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/ blob/main/contracts/TimedCrowdsale.sol #L64
createVestingSchedule	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/ blob/main/contracts/TokenVesting.sol#L1 48
revoke	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/ blob/main/contracts/TokenVesting.sol#L1 90
withdraw	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/TokenVesting.sol#L209
computeReleasableAmount	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/TokenVesting.sol#L2

	<u>59</u>
getLastVestingScheduleForHolder	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/TokenVesting.sol#L303

- 1. Check the functions mentioned in the table above.
- 2. Search those functions in the contracts and note that they are not called from within any of the contracts and therefore should be marked as external.

Impacts:

Making public functions as external if not used inside the contracts will save gas because external functions use lesser gas than public functions.

Remediation:

If you know the function you create only allows for external calls, use the external visibility modifier instead of public. It provides performance benefits and you will save on gas.

Missing Access Control in Blacklisting Users

Vulnerability Type

Improper access control

Severity

Critical

Description:

The contract "BlockListed.sol" has functions to add a user to the blacklist and remove them from the blacklist. These functions do not have any access control validations on them and they can be called by any external malicious user.

This will allow them to add or remove any addresses from the blacklist.

Vulnerable Code:

https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/BlockListed.sol#L7-L10 https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/BlockListed.sol#L12-L15

```
function blackList(address _user) public {
    require(!isBlacklisted[_user], "user already

blacklisted");
    isBlacklisted[_user] = true;
}

function removeFromBlacklist(address _user) public {
    require(isBlacklisted[_user], "user already

whitelisted");
    isBlacklisted[_user] = false;
}
```

PoC:

- 1. Go to the contract "BlockList.sol" and note that the functions are public which means they can be called externally as well.
- 2. They also lack any access control validation like onlyOwner.

Impacts:

This is a critical vulnerability allowing any user to add or remove any user's address from the blacklist in the contracts.

Remediation:

Add an access control validation on the critical functions such that they can only be called by owners or administrators. Modifiers can be used for this.

Critical Functions Should Validate if Contract is Pasued

Vulnerability Type

Improper access control

Severity

High

Description:

Openzeppelin's Pausable Library is used as a modifier to check if a contract is paused or not. This is typically used in contracts to protect sensitive functions in case there's malicious activity going on or if the contract is compromised.

The contracts "TokenVesting.sol", and "Crowdsale.sol" was found to be missing the "whenNotPaused" modifier on several sensitive functions mentioned below.

Vulnerable Code:

Function Name	Vulnerable Code
release	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/TokenVesting.sol#L22-L242
buyTokens	https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/Crowdsale.sol#L124-L141

```
function buyTokens(address beneficiary) public payable
nonReentrant {...}

...

function release(
    bytes32 vestingScheduleId,
    uint256 amount
)
```

public
nonReentrant
onlyIfVestingScheduleNotRevoked(vestingScheduleId){...}

PoC:

1. Go to the contracts mentioned above and note that the functions "release" and "buyTokens" do not have the "whenNotPaused" modifiers set.

Impacts:

Missing the "whenNotPaused" modifier on these functions may prove fatal if the contract is compromised and the owners need to pause everything. If the whenNotPaused modifier is missing from a vulnerable function, the attackers may call that function without restrictions.

Remediation:

It is recommended to implement the whenNotPaused modifier on all the sensitive functions that deal with Ether or tokens or sensitive access roles and their modifications.

Unnecessary Code

Vulnerability Type

Code optimization

Severity

Informational

Description:

The contract "TokenVesting.sol" has a function "getCurrentTime()" to get the time using "block.timestamp".

This is only used at one place inside the function "_computeReleasableAmount" on Line 328.

Since this function is only used at one place, it is recommended to directly call "block.timestamp" rather than define a function for it.

Vulnerable Code:

- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/TokenVesting.sol# L328
- https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/TokenVesting.sol# L344-L352

```
function getCurrentTime()
   internal
   virtual
   view
   returns(uint256){
   return block.timestamp;
}
```

PoC:

- 1. Go to the contract "TokenVesting.sol" and note the function is defined on Line 344.
- 2. This is then called on Line 328.

Impacts:

Defining a complete function for just a call "block.timestamp" which is only used at one other place is not advisable as this will take up contract space and will also use more gas.

Remediation:

If the function "getCurrentTime" is not supposed to be used at many places, remove the function and use the direct call to "block.timestamp" instead.

Missing Access Control in Blacklisting Users

Vulnerability Type

Access Control

Severity

High

Description:

The contract "BlockListed.sol" has functions to add a user to blacklist and remove them from the blacklist. These functions do not have any access control validations on them and they can be called by any external malicious user.

This will allow them to add or remove any addresses from the blacklist.

Vulnerable Code:

https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/BlockListed.sol#L7-L10 https://github.com/web3dev3/bck3-za2f/blob/main/contracts/BlockListed.sol#L12-L15

```
function blackList(address _user) public {
    require(!isBlacklisted[_user], "user already

blacklisted");
    isBlacklisted[_user] = true;
}

function removeFromBlacklist(address _user) public {
    require(isBlacklisted[_user], "user already

whitelisted");
    isBlacklisted[_user] = false;
}
```

PoC:

- 3. Go to the contract "BlockList.sol" and note that the functions are public which means they can be called externally as well.
- 4. They also lack any access control validation like onlyOwner.

Impacts:

This is a critical vulnerability allowing any user to add or remove any user's address from the blacklist in the contracts.

Remediation:

Add an access control validation on the critical functions such that they can only be called by owners or administrators. Modifiers can be used for this.