




LESSON 4: Regression og SGD (and Training)

CARSTEN EIE FRIGAARD

AUTUMN 2021





LO4: Regression og SGD (and Training)

Agenda

- O1 feedback.
- Training a linear regression model.
- Cost function in closed-form vs. numerical solutions.
- Gradient Descent (GD),
 - Learning rates,
 - Batch Gradient Descent (GD),
 - Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD),
 - Mini-batch Gradient Descent.

Hand-in exercises for LO4 are

- either (an 'open'-exercise):
 - L05/et_and_gd.ipynb
- or ('closed'-exercises):


```
L05/linear_regression_1.ipynb
L05/linear_regression_2.ipynb [OPTIONAL]
L05/gradient_descent.ipynb
```

TRAINING A LINEAR REGRESSOR



Training in General

Training is minimization of J (optimization)




Linear Regression: In one dimension

The well know linear equation

$$y(x) = \alpha x + \beta$$

or changing some of the symbol names, so that $h(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$ means the **predicted** value from x for a parameter set \mathbf{w} , via the hypothesis function

$$h(x;\mathbf{w}) = w_0 + w_1 x$$



Question: how do we find the \mathbf{w}_n 's?

Linear Regression: Hypotheis Function in N-dimensions

For 1-D:

$$h(x^{(i)}; w) = w_0 + w_1 x^{(i)}$$

The same for N-D:

$$h(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= w_0 + w_1 x_1^{(i)} + w_2 x_2^{(i)} + \dots + w_d x_d^{(i)}$$

and to ease notation we always prepend ${\bf x}$ with a 1 as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$$
, by convention in the following...


yielding the vector form of the hypothesis function

$$h(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$$

Case study: a new car..

Scrabe [bilbasen.dk], for particuar e-Golf.

Features: total tripdistance/km and price/KDkk..



Linear Regression: Loss or Objective Function

Individual loss, via a square difference ($L=\mathcal{L}_2^2$)

and to minimize all the $L^{(i)}$ losses (or indirectly also the MSE or RMSE) is to minimize the sum of all the individual costs, via the total cost function J

MSE(**X**, **y**; **w**) =
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L^{(i)}$$

= $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} - y^{(i)})^{2}$
= $\frac{1}{n} ||\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}||_{2}^{2}$

Ignoring constant factors, this yields our linear regression cost function $J = \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}||_2^2 \propto \mathsf{MSE}|$

Minimizing the Linear Regression: The argmin concept

Our linear regression cost function was

$$J(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{y};\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{2} ||\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}||_2^2$$


and training amounts to finding a value of \mathbf{w} , that minimizes J. This is denoted as

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{w})$$


= $\operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}||_2^2$

and by minima, we naturally hope for

the global minumum



local minimum




COST FUNCTION MINIMIZATION IN CLOSED-FORM

The Closed-form Linear-Least-Squares Solution

$$\left(\mathbf{X}^{ op}\mathbf{X}
ight)^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{ op}\mathbf{y}$$

Training in General



Exercise: L04/linear_regression_1.ipynb

Training: The Closed-form Linear-Least-Squares Solution

To solve for \mathbf{w}^* in closed form, we find the gradient of J with respect to \mathbf{w}


$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} J = \left[\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_1}, \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_m} \right]^{\top}$$

Taking the partial deriverty $\partial/\partial_{\mathbf{w}}$ of the J via the gradient (nabla) operator

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{X}^{\top} (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}) = 0$$
$$0 = \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$$

with a small amount of matrix algegra, this gives the normal equation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}^* &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} \ \tfrac{1}{2} || \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y} ||_2^2 \\ &= \left(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}, \end{aligned} \quad \text{the normal eq.}$$




Exercise: create a linear regressor, inheriting from Base-Estimator and implement score() and mse().

NOTE: no inhering from ClassifierMixin.

COST FUNCTION MINIMIZATION VIA NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS

Gradient Descent



(Full) Batch Gradient Descent (GD)

The nabla matrix differentiation, $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}$, and the learning rate, η

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}||\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}||_2^2 \propto \mathsf{MSE}(\mathbf{w})$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{X}^{\top}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}), \qquad \mathsf{only when } J \propto \mathsf{MSE}$$

$$\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{next step}} = \mathbf{w} - \eta \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}J(\mathbf{w})$$

$$\mathsf{Cost}$$

$$\mathsf{Random}_{\mathsf{initial value}}$$

$$\hat{\theta}$$

$$\mathsf{Nonly when } J \propto \mathsf{MSE}$$

Figure 4-3. Gradient Descent

NOTE: Misleading gradient in $J - w_0$ drawing, points in the wrong direction...

Gradient Descent (GD)

GD pitfalls




Figure 4-6. Gradient Descent pitfalls

Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

 $\mathbf{X}_{\text{SGD}} <=$ one random sample $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$'s from \mathbf{X} and this lowers the cost of calculating the gradient in each iteration

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}J_{\text{SGD}}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{X}_{\text{SGD}}^{\top}(\mathbf{X}_{\text{SGD}}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y})$$




Figure 4-9. Stochastic Gradient Descent

Mini-batch (stochastic) Gradient Descent (SGD)

 $\mathbf{X}_{\mathsf{mini}} <= \mathsf{a}$ set of random samples $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$'s from \mathbf{X}




Figure 4-11. Gradient Descent paths in parameter space

Exercise: L04/et_and_gd.ipynb

