#### SYSTEMATIC REVIEW PROTOCOL

# **REVIEW TITLE AND TIMESCALE**

# 1. review title

How effective is photobiomodulation with low power laser on the postoperative sensitivity of composite resin restorations? A systematic review.

# 2. ORIGINAL LANGUAGE TITLE (somente preencher se for fazer a revisão em outro idioma que não o inglês)

Qual a eficácia da fotobiomodulação com laser de baixa potência sobre a sensibilidade pós operatória de restaurações em resina composta? Uma revisão sistemática.

3. antecipated or actual start date	4. antecipated completion date	search DATE
15/12/2022	15/12/2024	

# STAGE OF REVIEW AT TIME OF THIS SUBMISSION

5. Review stage	Started	COMPLETED
Preliminary searches		
Piloting of the study selection process		
Formal screening of search results against eligibility criteria		
Data extraction		
Risk of bias (quality) assessment		
Data analysis		

# **REVIEW TEAM DETAILS**

6. Named contact / Email salutation for correspondence	7. named contact email
Breno Bevilaqua e Silva Ribeiro	bevilaquaribeiro@gmail.com

# 8. named contact address

Travessa São Francisco, 246, Apartamento 1301. Belém, Pará, Brasil. CEP 66023-530.

# 9. named contact phone number

+5591989246151

# 10. Organizational affiliation of the review / website address

Centro Universitário do Estado do Pará - CESUPA

11. Rev	11. Review team members and their organizational affiliations				
TITLE	NAME	AFFILIATION	CONTACT (E-MAIL)	CONTRIBUTIONS*	
DDS	Alessandra Silva de Vasconcelos	CESUPA	alessandravasco@outlook.com.br	1R	
DDS	Igor Pereira Soares	CESUPA	igorsoares678@hotmail.com	2R	
DDS.	Breno Bevilaqua e Silva Ribeiro	CESUPA	bevilaquaribeiro@gmail.com	3R	
PhD	Aryvelto Miranda e Silva	UFJF	aryveltomirand@gmail.com	CO ADVISOR	
PhD	Sandro Cordeiro Loretto	CESUPA	sandroloretto@hotmail.com	CO ADVISOR	
PhD	Patrícia de Almeida Rodrigues	CESUPA	patriciasouza@cesupa.br	CO ADVISOR	
PhD	Cristiane de Melo Alencar	CESUPA	Cristiane_melo_alencar@hotmail.co	ADVIDOR	

<sup>\*1</sup>R=First reviewer (Study conceptualization and design/ Search and selection/ Data collection/ Data analysis/ Manuscript preparation). 2R=Second Reviewer (Search and selection/ Data collection/ Data analysis/ Manuscript preparation). 3R=Third Reviewer (Data analysis). E=Expert (Study conceptualization and design/ Data analysis). C=Coordinator (Study conceptualization and design/ Data analysis). All authors: Review of the manuscript.

# 12. Funding sources/sponsors (grant number)

None

#### 13. Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### 14. collaborators

None.

# **REVIEW METHODS**

# 15. Review question(s)

- P Participants/Population: composite resin restorations
- I Intervention: photobiomodulation with low power laser
- C- Control: Other treatments negative control, placebo, other desensitizers
- O Outcomes: decreased postoperative sensitivity
- S Study design: Randomized controlled clinical trials (RCTs) and quasi randomized controlled clinical trials

QUESTION: How effective is photobiomodulation with low power laser on the postoperative sensitivity of composite resin restorations?

#### 16. Searches

Studies considering human permanent wich have investigated the use of photobiomodulation with low power laser on the postoperative sensitivity of composite resin restorations. Detailed individual search strategies (MeSH terms, synonyms, and keywords related to the intervention – photobiomodulation with low power laser on the postoperative sensitivity of composite resin restorations for each of the following bibliographic databases will be developed: EMBASE, PubMed, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, Scopus and Open Gray. All references will be managed by Endnote software and duplicate hits removed. There will be no restrictions regarding language or publication period.

17. Searc	ch Strategy	
Search	Query	Items found
Pubmed	((((Dental Cavity Preparation[MeSH Terms]) OR (dental restoration, permanent[MeSH Terms])) OR (Cavity Preparations, Dental[Title/Abstract])) OR (Restorations, Permanent Dental[Title/Abstract])) OR (Dental Permanent Filling[Title/Abstract]) AND ((((Low-Level Light Therapy[MeSH Terms])) OR (Low Level Light Therapy[Title/Abstract])) OR (Photobiomodulation Therapy[Title/Abstract])) OR (Low Level Laser Therapy[Title/Abstract])) OR (Laser Phototherapy[Title/Abstract])	36

# 18. condition or domain being studied

Postoperative sensitivity is a very common side effect after dental restoration procedures. Aspects related to adhesive bonding, cavity preparation, composite resin shrinkage and others can lead teeth to a transient pain after dental fillings. Some alternatives have been proposed to reduce/control postoperative sensitivity, and, recently, photobiomodulation therapy with low power laser appears to be a promising strategy.

# 19. Participants/population

Human participants (with no age restriction) who performed dental restorations.

Inclusion criteria: Randomized clinical trials and not randomized

Exclusion criteria: Observational Studies, Review of literature, Study in animals, Case Report, Case

Series, Study In Vitro

# 20. intervention(s), exposure(s)

Any photobiomodulation with low power laser after dental filling

# 21. comparator(s)/control

Other treatments - negative control, placebo, other desensitizers

# 22. types of study to be included

We will be include randomized clinical trials and not randomized

#### 23. context

#### 24. Main outcome(s)

Reduce or elimination of dental sensitivity

#### 24. Measures of effect

Mean difference

#### 25. additional outcome(s)

Longevity in pain relief over 1 or more years

# 25. Measures of effect

Odds ratio/Relative risk

#### 26. Data extraction (selection and coding):

Two independent reviewers (IPS and BBSR) will select the included articles in two phases. Firstly (phase-1), the two reviewer will evalute the titles and abstracts according the eligibity criteria; secondly (phase-2), they will view full-texts and select articles by the same criteria as phase-1; then, they will crosscheck all the information found. If disagreements arise, a third reviewer (ASV) will participate before a final decision is made of both phases.

# 27. risk of bias (quality) ASSESSMENT

Two reviewers (IPS and BBSR) will independently the methodological analysics of each included study. The methodological quality of the included studies will be measured from the search performed

28. STRATEGY FOR DA	ATA SYNTHESIS		
29. ANALYSIS OF SUB	GROUPS OR SUBSETS		
Databases		Additional lite	erature
□ PubMed			olar web search (specify if
⊠ EMBASE		limitations app	
□ Cochrane		☐ Hand searches	hes of bibliographies from included
□ LILACS     □ LILACS		☐ Experts	
		□    □    □    □    □    □    □	
☐ Science Direct			Dissertation and Theses)
☐ CINAHL  ☐ Livivo		. ``	,
<ul><li>☑ LIVIVO</li><li>☑ Scopus</li></ul>			
☐ Other:			
U Otner.			
data management			
⊠ Endnote		□ Zotero	
□ Refworks		☐ Covidence	
☐ Mendeley		☐ Other: Rayy	/an
REVIEW GENERAL INF	FORMATION		
30. TYPE OF REVIEW			HEALTH AREA OF REVIEW
☐ Cost effectiveness	☐ Pre-clinical review		Dentistry
☐ Diagnostic	☐ Prevention		
☐ Epidemiologic	☐ Prognostic		
☐ Intervention	$\square$ Qualitative synthesis		
☐ Methodology	☐ Other:		
☐ Review of reviews			
keywords			
OTHERS			
Previous systematic re	views about the same sul	bject	
,		•	
If yes, why perform anot	her one?		

Suggest journals in which this research could be published		
JOURNAL	IF	QUALIS