# Programming Techniques for Scientific Simulations I

More on classes

#### Review: Classes

- Are a way to create new data types ("extended data structure")
  - E.g. vector, matrix, genome, animal, frog, ... type
- Object oriented programming:
  - Instead of asking: "What are the subroutines?"
  - We ask:
    - What are the abstract entities?
    - What are the properties of these entities?
    - How can they be manipulated?
    - Then we implement these entities as classes
- Principles
  - High level of abstraction possible (Abstraction)
  - Hiding of representation dependent details (Encapsulation)
  - Basing one identity upon another retaining similar properties (Inheritance)
  - Single interface to identities of different types (**Polymorphism**)

#### Review: What are classes?

- Classes are collections of "members" representing one entity
- Members can be
  - Functions
  - Data
  - Types
- These members can be split into
  - public: accessible interface to the outside. Should not be modified later!
  - private: hidden representation of the concept. Can be changed without breaking any program using the class
  - protected: like private but also available to derived types. Should be used only for member functions (not data!)
- Objects are instances of a class
- Objects of this type can be modified only through these member functions -> localization of access, easier debugging

# Classes: Special member functions

#### Default constructor

Creates objectsC(); // default ctor

#### Destructor

Destroys objects

```
~C(); // dtor
```

#### Copy constructor

• Creates (new) copies of (existing) objects

```
C(C const&); // copy ctor
```

#### Copy assignment

• Copies (existing) objects into other (existing) objects

```
C& operator=(C const&); // copy assignment
```

- Move constructor (C++11)
  - Moves (temporary) objects into (new) objects

```
C(C&&); // move ctor
```

- Move assignment (C++11)
  - Moves (temporary) objects into (existing) objects
     C& operator=(C&&); // move assignment

Special because they may be generated implicitly by the compiler under certain circumstances (more on that soon)

#### Classes: Constructors

- Default constructor
  - Called when objects of a class are declared, but not initialized with any arguments
  - Default because it could be called with no arguments

```
class C {
  public:
    C() {/* ... */ }
  private:
    // ...
};

class Complex {
  public:
    Complex(double re = 0., double im = 0.) {/* ... */ }
  private: // ...
};
Can take
```

Can take arguments, but has default arguments!

- Constructors can be overloaded
- If **no** constructor defined, a default one is generated by the compiler
- Declared explicit: prevents implicit type conversions (example later)

#### Classes: destructors

- Destroys objects by performing the necessary cleanup (if needed!)
  - Dynamic memory, Files, Locks, ...
- Signature: Tilde "~" + class name, no arguments and no return

```
~C() {
    // cleanup ...
}
```

- Called automatically when an object goes out of scope
- They are called in reverse order of construction (imagine like a stack of objects)
- The guaranteed destruction is ideal to handle resources (Resource Acquisition Is Initialization (RAII) idiom)

# Classes: copy constructor

- Creates a (new) object by copying an existing one
- Signature: Class name, (constant) reference to the class itself and no return

```
C(C const& c) {
   // create "this" object & copy c into "this" object
}
```

• Get's invoked on:

```
C c1(c);
C c1 = c; // that's not assignment, it's copy construction
C c1{c}; // uniform initialization form (C++11)
```

- Implicitly generated if no custom copy or move constructors/ assignment are provided
  - Member-wise: Does only so-called shallow copies of each member

# Classes: copy assignment

- Copies an existing object into another object
- Signature: (constant) reference to the class itself, returns a reference to itself

```
C& operator=(C const& c) {
  // copy c into "this" object return *this;
}
```

Get's invoked on:

```
C c1;  // ctor
C c2(c1);  // copy ctor
C c3 = c2;  // copy ctor
c1 = c3;  // copy assignment
c3 = c2 = c1; // chain of assignments
c3 = c3;  // self assignment!
```

- Implicitly generated if no custom copy or move constructors/ assignment are provided
  - Member-wise: Does only so-called shallow copies of each member

- Move constructor
  - Creates an object by moving (stealing!) a temporary object into the new object

```
C(C&& c) {
  // create "this" object and move c into "this" object
  // leave c in a "moved-from state", e.g. "empty"
}
```

- Move assignment
  - Moves (stealing!) a temporary object into another object

```
C& operator=(C&& c) {
   // move c into "this" object
   // leave c in a "moved-from state", e.g. "empty"
}
```

• "&&" (pronounced ref-ref) denotes a so-called rvalue reference, which refers to a "temporary" object

• How is the following evaluated?

```
A a;

a = (a + a) + a;
```

```
class A {
  public:
    A() { std::cout << "A::ctor\n"; }
    ~A() { std::cout << "A::dtor\n"; }
    A(A const& a) {
      std::cout << "A::copy ctor\n";
    }
    A& operator=(A const& rhs) {
      std::cout << "A::copy assignment\n";
      return *this;
    }
};

A operator+(A a1, A const& a2) {
    std::cout << "A::operator+\n";
    return a1;
}</pre>
```

• How is the following evaluated?

```
A a;
a = (a + a) + a;
  (tmp1 + a)
           Copy ctor
      tmp2 + a
             Copy ctor
        tmp3
          Copy assignment
a
```

```
class A {
  public:
    A() { std::cout << "A::ctor\n"; }
    ~A() { std::cout << "A::dtor\n"; }
    A(A const& a) {
      std::cout << "A::copy ctor\n";
    }
    A& operator=(A const& rhs) {
      std::cout << "A::copy assignment\n";
      return *this;
    }
};

A operator+(A a1, A const& a2) {
    std::cout << "A::operator+\n";
    return a1;
}</pre>
```

• How is the following evaluated?

```
В а;
a = (a + a) + a;
  (tmp1 + a)
           Move ctor
     tmp2 + a
             Move ctor
       tmp3
         Move assignment
a
```

```
class B {
  public:
    B() { std::cout << "B::ctor\n"; }
    ~B() { std::cout << "B::dtor\n"; }
    B(B const& b) {
      std::cout << "B::copy ctor\n";</pre>
    B& operator=(B const& rhs) {
      std::cout << "B::copy assignment\n";</pre>
      return *this;
    B(B&& b) {
      std::cout << "B::move ctor\n";</pre>
    B& operator=(B&& rhs) {
      std::cout << "B::move assignment\n";</pre>
      return *this;
};
B operator+(B b1, B const& b2) {
  std::cout << "A::operator+\n";
  return b1:
```

# Value categories: It can be confusing...

- Rvalues, lvalues, glvalues, prvalues, xvalues, values, values, values, values, &, && ???
- For information on value categories see e.g.
  - https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/value\_category

- A good explanation of rvalue references, see e.g.
  - http://thbecker.net/articles/rvalue\_references/section\_01.html

# Classes: Special member functions

#### Summary

<b>Member function</b>	Implicitly defined	Default definition
Default constructor	If no other constructors	Nothing (Default ctor memberwise)
Destructor	If no destructor	Nothing (Default ctor memberwise)
Copy constructor	If no move constructor and no move assignment	Copies memberwise
Copy assignment	If no move constructor and no move assignment	Copies memberwise
Move constructor	If no destructor, no copy constructor and no copy nor move assignment	Moves memberwise
Move assignment	If no destructor, no copy constructor and no copy nor move assignment	Moves memberwise

- Keywords default and delete allow for explicit generation or non-generation (C++11)
- Deletion can also be forced by declaring the respective special member function private (C++98, C++03)

#### Guidelines

#### Rule of three

• When you require a destructor or a copy constructor or a copy assignment operator, you most probably need to explicitly define all three

#### • Rule of five (C++11)

• When you require a destructor or a copy constructor or a copy assignment operator or a move constructor or a move assignment operator, you most probably need to explicitly define all five

#### Rule of zero

• Classes that don't require explicit destructors, copy/move constructors and copy/move assignments operators are easier to handle

Consider (again) the point class

```
class Point {
  public:
    using coord_t = double;
    Point(coord_t x = 0., coord_t y = 0.) : x_(x), y_(y) {} // ctor
    coord_t x() { return x_; }; // x coordinate
    coord_t y() { return y_; } // y coordinate
    coord_t abs(); // distance from origin aka polar radius
    coord_t angle(); // polar angle
    private:
    coord_t x_, y_; // Cartesian coordinates
};
```

• What is?

```
Point p0; //
Point p1(1.,2.); //
Point p2(p1); //
Point p3; //
p3 = p2; //
```

Implicitly generated copy ctor & copy assignment

Consider (again) the point class

```
class Point {
  public:
    using coord_t = double;
    Point(coord_t x = 0., coord_t y = 0.) : x_(x), y_(y) {} // ctor
    coord_t x() { return x_; }; // x coordinate
    coord_t y() { return y_; } // y coordinate
    coord_t abs(); // distance from origin aka polar radius
    coord_t angle(); // polar angle
    private:
    coord_t x_, y_; // Cartesian coordinates
};
```

• What is?

```
Point p0; // default construction: p0 = (0,0)

Point p1(1.,2.); // p1 = (1,2)

Point p2(p1); // copy construction: p2 = (1,2) (= p1)

Point p3; // default construction: p3 = (0,0)

p3 = p2; // copy assignment p3 = (1,2) (= p2)
```

Implicitly generated copy ctor & copy assignment

- Overloading the compound assignment operators @=
  - Can only be implemented as member functions (see table!)
  - Should always return a reference to itself ("do as the ints")

```
a = b @= c;
```

Plus assignment

```
Point& Point::operator+=(Point const& rhs) {
  x_ += rhs.x_;
  y_ += rhs.y_;
  return *this;
}
```

- Binary arithmetic operators a@b
  - Best implemented as non-member (i.e., free) functions

```
Point operator+(Point const& p1, Point const& p2) {
  Point result(p1.x() + p2.x(), p1.y() + p2.y());
  return result;
}
```

- Overloading the compound assignment operators @=
  - Can only be implemented as member functions (see table!)
  - Should always return a reference to itself ("do as the ints")

```
a = b @= c;
```

Plus assignment

```
Point& Point::operator+=(Point const& rhs) {
  x_ += rhs.x_;
  y_ += rhs.y_;
  return *this;
}
```

- Binary arithmetic operators a@b
  - Best implemented as non-member (i.e., free) functions

```
Point operator+(Point p1, Point const& p2) {
  return p1 += p2;
}
```

Best implemented in terms of their compound assignments!

# Argument Dependent Lookup (ADL)

Consider in demos/week05/Point/ADL

```
namespace Geometry {
class Point { /* as before... */ };
}
int main() {
  Geometry::Point p1(1., 2.);
  std::cout << "p1 = " << p1 << '\n';
  Geometry::func(p1);
  func(p1);
}</pre>
```

- Looks in "associated classes and namespaces": namespaces of the arguments
- Applies only to unqualified calls
  - abs(x), but not std::abs(x)
- Also known as Koenig lookup (after Andrew Koenig)
- See documentation for the (ugly?) details
  - https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/adl

Let's build our own simple array class

```
class SArray {
  public:
    using sz_t = unsigned int; // size type
    using elem_t = double; // element type
    // ctors
    SArray() : size_(0), elem_(nullptr) {}
  private:
    sz_t size_; // size of array
    elem_t* elem_; // pointer to (dynamic) memory of array
};
```

- Public array size type and element type
- Private size and pointer to array elements
- Default ctor

Let's build our own simple array class

- Add ctor for given size array allocating the memory
- What next?

Let's build our own simple array class

- Add ctor for given size array allocating the memory
- Dtor for cleanup!

Let's build our own simple array class

So let's try it:

```
SArray a; // empty array OK

SArray b(10); // array of size 10 OK

SArray c = 10; // array of size 10 PROBABLY NOT OK
```

Let's build our own simple array class

So let's try it:

```
SArray a; // empty array OK
SArray b(10); // array of size 10 OK
SArray c = 10; // array of size 10 PRO
```

Let's build our own simple array class

Copy ctor & copy assignment?

```
SArray a;
SArray b(a);
SArray c;
c = b;
```

Let's build our own simple array class

```
class SArray {
  public:
   // ... as before ...
   // copy ctor
   SArray(SArray const& a) : size_(a.size_), elem_(new elem_t[a.size_]) {
    // copy elements
    for (sz_t i = 0; i < size_; ++i) {
       elem [i] = a.elem [i];
   // copy assignment
   SArray& operator=(SArray const& rhs) {
     delete[] elem_;
     size_ = rhs.size_;
     elem_ = new elem_t[size_];
    for (sz_t i = 0; i < size_; ++i) {
       elem_[i] = rhs.elem_[i];
     return *this;
};
```

Now?

Let's build our own simple array class

```
class SArray {
  public:
   // ... as before ...
   // copy ctor
   SArray(SArray const& a) : size_(a.size_), elem_(new elem_t[a.size_]) {
    // copy elements
    for (sz_t i = 0; i < size_; ++i) {
       elem [i] = a.elem [i];
   // copy assignment
  SArray& operator=(SArray const& rhs) {
     delete[] elem_;
     size_ = rhs.size_;
     elem_ = new elem_t[size_];
    for (sz_t i = 0; i < size_; ++i) {
       elem_[i] = rhs.elem_[i];
     return *this;
};
```

• Now? What if self-assignment? What if memory allocation fails? 28

- Solution: Copy & swap technique!
- Introducing new member function swap

```
public:
    // ... as before ...
    void swap(SArray& other) {
        using std::swap; // let C++ determine which swap to call!
        swap(size_, other.size_);
        swap(elem_, other.elem_);}
    }
};

See https://isocpp.github.io/CppCoreGuidelines#c165-use-using-for-customization-points
```

Copy assignment reduces to

class SArray {

```
class SArray {
  public:
    // ... as before ...
    SArray& operator=(SArray const& rhs) {
        SArray tmp(rhs); // copy
        swap(tmp); // swap
        return *this;
    }
};
```

Compound arithmetic operators (have to be member functions)

```
class SArray {
  public:
    // ... as before ...
    // compound arithmetic operators
    SArray& operator+=(SArray const& rhs) {
       assert(size_ == rhs.size_); // check if compatible sizes!
       for (sz_t i = 0; i < size_; ++i) {
          elem_[i] += rhs.elem_[i];
       }
       return *this;
    }
};</pre>
```

Binary arithmetic operators (non-member)

```
SArray operator+(SArray a, SArray const& b) {
  return a += b;
}
```

Implement the others...

- We also want to access individual elements with the subscript operator
- Typically needs two variants: const and non-const (why?)
- Overload over const-ness!

```
class SArray {
  public:
    // ... as before ...
    // subscript operator
    SArray::elem_t& operator[](sz_t index){
        assert(index < size());
        return elem_[index];
    }
    // const subscript operator
    SArray::elem_t const& operator[](sz_t index) const {
        assert(index < size());
        return elem_[index];
    }
};</pre>
```

- Allow classes to have members that use template parameters as types
- Syntax:

```
template <typename T>
class Point {
  public:
    using coord_t = T;
    Point(coord_t x = 0., coord_t y = 0.) : x_(x), y_(y) {} // ctor
    coord_t x() { return x_; }; // x coordinate
    coord_t y() { return y_; } // y coordinate
    coord_t abs(); // distance from origin aka polar radius
    coord_t angle(); // polar angle
    private:
        coord_t x_, y_; // Cartesian coordinates
};
// ...
Point<float> pf;
Point<double> pd;
```

- Allow classes to have members that use template parameters as types
- Syntax:

- Allow classes to have members that use template parameters as types
- Syntax:

- Class templates
  - Type parameters: typename or class (most common case)
  - Non-type parameters (values, mostly integers)
  - Template-template parameters (passing a template as a template parameter)
- Class templates specialization
  - Concrete/special implementation for specific template parameters

```
template <typename T> class SArrayT { ... };
template <> class SArrayT <char> { ... };
```

Note that all members have to be specialized (even those identical to the generic template class!), because there is no "inheritance" of members from the generic template

Partial specialization possible (remember: for function templates only full specialization!)

# Example: Simple array class template

See demos/week05/SArrayT

- Add type aliases similar to STL conventions
  - E.g. https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/container/vector