

Norwegian University of Science and Technology Department of Electronic Systems

TFE4171 Design of Digital Systems 2 Spring 2019

Exercise set 2

Delivery time: Friday 22nd February, 23:59

Learning outcome

Constrained randomisation:

- Classes for data structures
- Stimulus generation

Coverage:

- Functional coverage
- Bins
- Cross coverage
- Covering transitions
- Coverage options

Reference literature

Chapter 18 in The Power of Assertions in SystemVerilog (Cerny et al., 2015).

http://www.doulos.com/knowhow/sysverilog/tutorial/constraints/

1 Lab 7

Create a directory for this exercise in you home directory. After logging in, execute the

mkdir ex-2

command and then enter this directory by

cd ex-2

Now you can copy the code for this first task by

cp -r /home/courses/desdigsys2/2018s/dd2master/ex-2/lab7 .

and enter the lab7 directory. Under this directory the first version of the lab7 case is in the v0 directory. You can either make new version in new directories (v1, v2, ...) as you change the source code, or apply more advanced revisioning tools if you prefer such.

The ex1-1.v file defines a module of a state machine controller that is clocked with the clk signal, reset data_out with the rst=1 signal, and has the following active functionality:

• When validi=1 in three consecutive clk cycles, compute data_out=a*b+c where a is data_in three clk cycles ago, b is data_in two clk cycle ago, and c is the previous (one clock cycle go) data_in. Also set valido=1 when this occurs to flag valid data_out. Else valido=0 which means data_out is not valid.

The test-ex1-1.sv file contains the instantiation of the ex1_1 module together with a rudimentary testbed and also the binding of the modules to assertions in a property module. The property module is defined in the ex1-1-property.sv file.

- a) First run the simulation with no assertion checks with ./run_check 0 to familiarize yourself with the functionality.
 - Then define the check1 property and assertion to check that data_out is correct after rst=1 is asserted. Run the simulation with ./run_check 1 and report results and comment.
- b) Define the check2 property and assertion to check that the valido flag is correct after validi has been asserted for three consecutive cycles. Run the simulation with ./run_check 2 and report results and comment.
- c) Define the check3 property and assertion to check that the valido flag is 0 for any other combination of validi=1 such as two, one or none in a row. It is smart to only include the "fail" printout since you will not need dozens of passes cluttering up the output. Run the simulation with ./run_check 3 and report results and comment.
- d) Define the check4 property and assertion to check that the value of the data_out is correct with respect to the specification. Run the simulation with ./run_check 4 and report results and comment.
- e) Now extend the testbed (stimuli) by removing the comments in the stimuli code in the file test-ex1-1.sv and run the valid check test (check2) by the ./run_check 2 command. You should now see several assertion fails. Analyse these fails, and find out what is the reason for them. Report and comment.
- f) The functional fault that was exposed in the prior task shall now be fixed by changing the state machine functionality. First it is smart to make a revision of the code, either you can use a revision system or you can make a new directory copy by:

```
cd ..; mkdir v1; cd v1; cp ../v0/* .
```

Make a state diagram with transitions of the original state machine to understand how it works. Now you should probably add one or two more states in order to fix the working with overlapping valid sequences. This is helpful, but optimization/clever design makes it possible to revise the functionality even within the same number of states. This is not strictly expected, though, an

increase in the number of states will be accepted for the exercise. Code the new state machine in the same file in the v1 directory. Now try verifying the functionality by running the check2 assertion again. Repeat this until you see correct behaviour. Now you can run all the assertions checks with the command ./run_check 5 to verify that they all (hopefully) pass. Report and comment on both the new functionality and verification results.

2 Creating constrained random stimuli

Now you can copy the code for exercise 2 lab8 by

cp -r /home/courses/desdigsys2/2015s/dd2master/ex-2/lab8 . and enter the directory for lab8:

cd lab8

- a) Use your editor of choice(vim, vi emacs, gedit..) to open alu_packet.sv.

 Make a struct called "data t", that contains three random variables a, b, op.
- b) Now we are going to construct the class "alu_data". Initialize the struct inside the class "alu_data" as random and call it "data".
- c) Make a task "get" that has three variables a,b and op, and that is used to get the random variables contained in data t.
- d) Make three constraints c1, c2 and c3 and constrain a inside 0-127, b inside 0-255 and op inside 0-6.
 Save and exit.

3 Generating Constrained random signals

If you want to compile your design just run this bash script in the terminal(not inside your editor) ./simulate.sh At this stage it will compile but the simulation might fail or will not return anything. If you want to exit after compilation use ctrl-c.

- a) Open the file alu_tb.sv and make a vector of alu_data called test_data with the parameter-length "NUMBERS".
- b) Make an "initial begin" called "data_gen" that contains a for-loop from 0 to NUMBERS. Put a delay of 20 before the loop. Generate random signals inside the loop using the "new" operator and the built in "randomize" function. Use the get function(from alu_packet) inside the loop to send the random signals to the alu. Lastly, put a delay of 20 inside the loop.
 - Run the simulation. You shall now be able to generate random input to the VHDL alu.

4 Making a covergroup

- a) Make an enumeration called opcode that contains the opcodes in the ALU (ADD, SUB, MULT, NOT, NAND, NOR, AND, OR).
- b) Make a covergroup called alu_cg that triggers on the positive edge of clk. Now you will make a couple of coverpoints inside the covergroup:

- op, that uses the opcode enumeration
- a, that has the bins: zero, small(1-50), hunds[](100, 200), large(200 and larger) and others(as default). The hunds[] should cover each case and both in order to show coverage for each and for both.
- Crosscoverage of a and b.
- c) Initialize the covergroup.
- d) Sample the covergroup. This can be done in two ways, either by declaring a fixed sampling edge for the group directly, or by using the sample() task in an always-block. Choose one of these for your design.
- e) Use ./simulate.sh to compile and run. Make sure everything is working accordingly. Deliver code, log file, and coverage txt file on Blackboard.

5 Lab 9

Go back to the ex-2 directory, and create and enter a new directory lab9. To this place copy the corrected and verified state machine code from lab7 and the alu code and wrapper from lab8.

- a) Now you shall rewrite this state machine in such a way that it uses the alu of lab8 for the addition and multiplication operation. Create a new top level module where you instantiate both the state machine and 2 alu's (since there will sometimes be both an add and a mult at the same time), and connect them with signals and buses for the control and data during addition and multiplication. You might have to rewrite the code a bit in order to be able to use two alu instances.
- b) Validate the new state mechine and alu combination module with the full assertions set from lab7 and the last and most comprehensive test pattern set. Comment the result. If any errors are detected, find them and fix them. Repeat until correct.
- c) Run the coverage analysis from lab8 on the new combination state machine and alu but use the same test pattern set as for the assertions above. What results would you expect? Would you expect the coverage to be better or worse? Comment on this.