

SYSTEM ESTIMATION METHODS II: STRUCTURED ESTIMATION

ADVANCED TOPICS

- **Optimal one-step ahead predictor for LTI systems**
- **ML estimation of LTI models**
- **Concentrated ML for MIMO models**
- **Exact likelihood function for an AR(1) model**

OPTIMAL ONE-STEP AHEAD PREDICTOR FOR LTI SYSTEMS

$$y_t = G(q)u_t + H(q)w_t = G(q)u_t + [H(q) - I]w_t + w_t$$

$G(q)u_t$ depends on u_{t-1}, \dots , so it can be computed at time $t-1$.

Notice that the elements of $H(q) - I$ have relative degree > 0 , so $[H(q) - I]w_t$ depends on past values of w_t . This term can be computed by noting that

$$w_t = H^{-1}(q)[y_t - G(q)u_t]$$

Note that w_t depends on y_t, y_{t-1}, \dots and u_{t-1}, u_{t-2}, \dots , so it *cannot* be computed at time $t-1$. But w_{t-1}, \dots *can* be computed at time $t-1$.

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= G(q; \theta)u_t + [H(q; \theta) - I]\{H^{-1}(q; \theta)[y_t - G(q; \theta)u_t]\} + w_t \\ &= \underbrace{[I - H^{-1}(q; \theta)]y_t + H^{-1}(q; \theta)G(q; \theta)u_t}_{\hat{y}_{t|t-1}(\theta) := E\{y_t | Y_{t-1}, U_{t-1}\}} + \underbrace{w_t}_{\varepsilon_t} \end{aligned}$$

ML ESTIMATION OF LTI MODELS

To compute the MLE of θ given $U_N := \{u_N, \dots, u_1\}$ and $Y_N := \{y_N, \dots, y_1\}$, we need $P\{Y_N | U_N, \theta\}$. By Bayes' Theorem,

$$P\{Y_N | U_N, \theta\} = \prod_{t=1}^N P\{y_t | Y_{t-1}, U_t; \theta\}$$

Now, by the rule for transforming random variables:

$$P\{y_t | Y_{t-1}, U_t; \theta\} = P_{\varepsilon}\{\varepsilon_t | Y_{t-1}, U_t; \theta\} \Big|_{\varepsilon_t = y_t - f(Y_{t-1}, U_t, t; \theta)} \cdot \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_t}{\partial y_t} \right) \right| = P_{\varepsilon}\{\varepsilon_t(\theta); \theta\}$$

where $\varepsilon_t(\theta) := y_t - f(Y_{t-1}, U_t, t; \theta)$, and $P_{\varepsilon}\{\cdot; \theta\}$ is the conditional density for ε_t given Y_{t-1} and U_t , and may depend on θ . Thus,

$$P\{Y_N | U_N, \theta\} = \prod_{t=1}^N P_{\varepsilon}\{\varepsilon_t(\theta); \theta\}$$

ML ESTIMATION OF LTI MODELS (CONT.)

When $\{\varepsilon_t\}$ are IID Gaussian with covariance Σ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P\{Y_N | U_N, \theta\} &= \prod_{t=1}^N \left([(2\pi)^m \det \Sigma]^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_t^T(\theta) \Sigma^{-1} \varepsilon_t(\theta) \right\} \right) \\ &= [(2\pi)^m \det \Sigma]^{-N/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^N \varepsilon_t^T(\theta) \Sigma^{-1} \varepsilon_t(\theta) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the log likelihood function is

$$l(\theta) = \ln P\{Y_N | U_N, \theta\} = -\frac{Nm}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{N}{2} \ln \det \Sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^N \varepsilon_t^T(\theta) \Sigma^{-1} \varepsilon_t(\theta)$$

Therefore, the MLE is

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} l(\theta) = \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{t=1}^N \varepsilon_t^T(\theta) \Sigma^{-1} \varepsilon_t(\theta)$$

CONCENTRATED ML FOR MIMO MODELS

If Σ is unknown, it has to be estimated together with θ . Here we can use the fact that

$$\max_{\theta, \Sigma} l(\theta, \Sigma) = \max_{\theta} \left[\max_{\Sigma} l(\theta, \Sigma) \right]$$

Now,

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \Sigma} = -\frac{N}{2} \Sigma^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^{-1} \left[\sum_{t=1}^N \varepsilon_t(\theta) \varepsilon_t^T(\theta) \right] \Sigma^{-1}$$

Forcing $\partial l / \partial \Sigma = 0$ gives

$$\boxed{\hat{\Sigma}_{ML} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N \varepsilon_t(\theta) \varepsilon_t^T(\theta)}$$

CONCENTRATED ML FOR MIMO MODELS (CONT.)

Substituting $\hat{\Sigma}_{ML}$ in $l(\theta, \Sigma)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} l(\theta, \hat{\Sigma}_{ML}) &= -\frac{Nm}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{N}{2} \ln \det \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N \varepsilon_t(\theta) \varepsilon_t^T(\theta) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^N \varepsilon_t^T(\theta) \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=1}^N \varepsilon_s(\theta) \varepsilon_s^T(\theta) \right]^{-1} \varepsilon_t(\theta) \\ &= -\frac{Nm}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{N}{2} \ln \det \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N \varepsilon_t(\theta) \varepsilon_t^T(\theta) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=1}^N \varepsilon_s(\theta) \varepsilon_s^T(\theta) \right]^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^N \varepsilon_t(\theta) \varepsilon_t^T(\theta) \right\} \\ &= -\frac{Nm}{2} (\ln 2\pi + 1) - \frac{N}{2} \ln \det \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N \varepsilon_t(\theta) \varepsilon_t^T(\theta) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\hat{\theta}_{ML}$ satisfies

$$\boxed{\hat{\theta}_{ML} = \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \det \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N \varepsilon_t(\theta) \varepsilon_t^T(\theta) \right]}$$

EXACT LIKELIHOOD FUNCTION FOR AN AR(1) MODEL

$$y_t + ay_{t-1} = w_t, \quad |a| < 1$$

with $\{w_t\}$ Gaussian white noise of zero mean and variance λ^2 . We have measurements $\{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$, i.e.

$$y_1 = -ay_0 + w_1$$

$$y_2 = -ay_1 + w_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_N = -ay_{N-1} + w_N$$

Possible assumptions on initial condition y_0 :

- $y_0 = 0$ \Rightarrow *Conditional likelihood*
- y_0 is a parameter to be estimated
- y_0 has a prior (Bayesian) distribution
- $\{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$ are taken from a stationary process \Rightarrow *Exact likelihood*

EXACT LIKELIHOOD FUNCTION FOR AN AR(1) MODEL (CONT.)

$$\begin{aligned} P\{y_1, \dots, y_N; a\} &= P\{y_N, \dots, y_2 \mid y_1; a\} P\{y_1; a\} \\ &= P\{y_N, \dots, y_3 \mid y_1, y_2; a\} P\{y_2 \mid y_1; a\} P\{y_1; a\} \\ &= \left[\prod_{t=2}^N P\{y_t \mid Y_{t-1}; a\} \right] P\{y_1; a\} \end{aligned}$$

For $t \geq 2$:

$$P\{y_t \mid Y_{t-1}; a\} = P_w\{\varepsilon_t(a)\} \Big|_{\varepsilon_t(a)=y_t+ay_{t-1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\lambda} \exp\left[-\frac{(y_t + ay_{t-1})^2}{2\lambda^2}\right]$$

and

$$P\{y_1; a\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{y_1^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]; \quad \sigma^2 = E\{y_1^2\} = \frac{\lambda^2}{1-a^2}$$

EXACT LIKELIHOOD FUNCTION FOR AN AR(1) MODEL (CONT.)

The *exact* log likelihood function is, then,

$$\begin{aligned} l_{exact}(a) &= \sum_{t=2}^N \ln \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\lambda} \exp \left[-\frac{(y_t + ay_{t-1})^2}{2\lambda^2} \right] \right] + \ln \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left[-\frac{y_1^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \right] \\ &= -\frac{N}{2} \ln 2\pi - (N-1) \ln \lambda - \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \sum_{t=2}^N (y_t + ay_{t-1})^2 - \ln \frac{\lambda^2}{1-a^2} - \frac{1-a^2}{2\lambda^2} y_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

In contrast, for the conditional log likelihood function (obtained by assuming $y_0 = 0$) we have

$$P\{y_1; a\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\lambda} \exp \left[-\frac{y_1^2}{2\lambda^2} \right]$$

I.e.,

$$l_{cond}(a) = -\frac{N}{2} \ln 2\pi - N \ln \lambda - \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \sum_{t=2}^N (y_t + ay_{t-1})^2 - \frac{y_1^2}{2\lambda^2}$$

EXACT LIKELIHOOD FUNCTION FOR AN AR(1) MODEL (CONT.)

Notice that $l_{exact}(a) = O(N)$ and $l_{cond}(a) = O(N)$, but $l_{exact}(a) - l_{cond}(a) = O(1)$, hence

$$\hat{a}_{exact} = \hat{a}_{cond} + O(N^{-1})$$

(A rigorous derivation of this follows e.g. from P. M. Robinson, “The stochastic difference between econometric statistics”, *Econometrica*, vol. 56(3), 1988)