# MODEL QUALITY EVALUATION

- Bias / Variance Tradeoff
- Stein's Paradox and Biased Estimators
- Confidence Intervals/Regions
- Variance Error Quantification
- Geometric Approach to Variance Analysis

#### **BIAS / VARIANCE TRADEOFF**

**Def:** The *mean square error* (MSE) of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}_N$  of a parameter  $\theta$  is

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_{N}) := E\left\{ \left\| \hat{\theta}_{N} - \theta \right\|^{2} \right\}$$

$$= E\left\{ \left\| \hat{\theta}_{N} - E\{\hat{\theta}_{N}\} \right\|^{2} \right\} + \left\| E\{\hat{\theta}_{N}\} - \theta_{0} \right\|^{2}$$

$$\text{tr}\left\{ \cot \hat{\theta}_{N} \right\} \qquad \left\| \text{bias } \hat{\theta}_{N} \right\|^{2}$$

Here the (parametric) bias on  $\hat{\theta}_N$  is  $E\{\hat{\theta}_N\} - \theta_0$ 

## **BIAS / VARIANCE TRADEOFF (CONT.)**

In terms of G, we have, for N large enough,

$$\begin{split} MSE(\hat{G}_{N}(e^{j\omega})) &= E\left\{ \left\| \hat{G}_{N}(e^{j\omega}) - G_{0}(e^{j\omega}) \right\|^{2} \right\} \\ &\approx E\left\{ \left\| \hat{G}_{N}(e^{j\omega}) - G_{*}(e^{j\omega}) \right\|^{2} \right\} + \left\| G_{*}(e^{j\omega}) - G_{0}(e^{j\omega}) \right\|^{2} \end{split}$$

In system identification it is common to define  $G_*(e^{j\omega}) - G_0(e^{j\omega})$  as the (asymptotic) bias of  $\hat{G}_N(e^{j\omega})$ . Because of the convergence of PEM under mild conditions, this bias is due exclusively to undermodelling

#### **Bias/Variance Tradeoff:**

By increasing the model set, we can in general reduce the bias of G and H. However, the variance of G and H will increase (recall  $\operatorname{var} \hat{G}_N \approx (n/N)(\Phi_v/\Phi_u)$ , which increases with n)

#### STEIN'S PARADOX AND BIASED ESTIMATORS

The C-R bound establishes a lower bound for the MSE of unbiased estimators Is it possible to obtain better results with biased estimators?

#### **Stein's Paradox:**

Let  $Y \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2 I)$ , where  $\sigma^2$  is known, and  $Y, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The MVU estimator of  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta}_{MVU} = Y$ . James and Stein (1961) proposed

$$\hat{\theta}_{JS} = \left(1 - \frac{(n-2)\sigma^2}{\|Y\|^2}\right) Y$$

and showed that for n > 2,  $MSE(\hat{\theta}_{JS}) < MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MVU})$  for every  $\theta$ !

The idea of James and Stein was to scale the  $\hat{\theta}_{\scriptscriptstyle MVU}$   $\implies$  Shrinkage Estimators

## STEIN'S PARADOX AND BIASED ESTIMATORS (CONT.)

The shrinkage idea can be extended to general estimators: (Kay and Eldar, 2008) If  $\hat{\theta}_u$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  (scalar), take

$$\hat{\theta}_b = (1+m)\hat{\theta}_u$$

Then:

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_b) = (1+m^2) \operatorname{var} \hat{\theta}_u + m^2 \theta^2$$

which is minimized at:

$$m = -\frac{1}{1 + \theta^2 / \operatorname{var}\{\hat{\theta}_u\}}$$

If  $\theta^2/\text{var}\{\hat{\theta}_u\}$  is constant, this can be easily obtained. Otherwise, if  $\theta \in \Theta$  consider

$$m^* = \arg\min_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \max_{\theta \in \Theta} [MSE(\hat{\theta}_b) - MSE(\hat{\theta}_u)]$$

### **CONFIDENCE INTERVALS/REGIONS**

**Def:** A confidence interval of a parameter  $\theta_0$  is an interval  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ , where  $\theta_i = g_i(y)$ . It has a confidence coefficient of  $100\alpha\%$  if  $P\{\theta_1 < \theta_0 < \theta_2\} = \alpha$ .  $1-\alpha$  is called the confidence level of  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ 

 $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are not unique for a given  $\alpha$ , so we prefer  $E\{|\theta_2-\theta_1|\}$  to be minimum

These concepts can be generalized to multi-dimensional confidence regions

### **Asymptotic Regions**

If  $\hat{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is asymptotically normal, then for *N* large enough,

$$P\{(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^T P_{\theta}^{-1}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) < \chi_{\alpha}^2(p)\} \approx \alpha$$

where  $\chi_{\alpha}^{2}(p)$  is the  $\alpha$ -percentile of the  $\chi^{2}(p)$  distribution

Then, an confidence ellipsoid for  $\theta_0$  of level  $1-\alpha$  is  $\{\theta_0: (\hat{\theta}-\theta_0)^T P_{\theta}^{-1}(\hat{\theta}-\theta_0) < \chi_{\alpha}^2(p)\}$ 

## VARIANCE ERROR QUANTIFICATION

#### **Covariance Estimators:**

 $S \in \mathcal{M}$ : The (normalized by N) covariance matrix of  $\hat{\theta}_N$  can be estimated as:

$$\hat{P}_N \coloneqq \hat{\lambda}_N \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N \psi_t(\hat{\theta}_N) \psi_t^T(\hat{\theta}_N) \right]^{-1}$$
 $\hat{\lambda}_N \coloneqq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N \varepsilon_t^2(\hat{\theta}_N)$ 

 $S \notin \mathcal{M}$ : "Sandwich" estimator (White, 1982)

$$\hat{P}_{N} := [V_{N}''(\hat{\theta}_{N})]^{-1} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{N-1} V_{t}'(\hat{\theta}_{N}) V_{t}'^{T}(\hat{\theta}_{N}) \right] [V_{N}''(\hat{\theta}_{N})]^{-1}$$

See also (Hjalmarsson and Ljung, 1992)

## VARIANCE ERROR QUANTIFICATION (CONT.)

## Confidence Regions for $\theta$ :

Asymptotic confidence ellipsoid:  $U_{\theta} := \{\theta : N(\hat{\theta}_N - \theta)^T \hat{P}_N^{-1} (\hat{\theta}_N - \theta) < \chi_{\alpha}^2(p)\}$ 

 $U_{\theta}$  contains  $\theta_0$  with confidence  $\alpha$  (assuming  $S \in \mathcal{M}$ )

#### Confidence Regions for G and H:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\operatorname{Re} \hat{G}_{N}(e^{j\omega}) \\
\operatorname{Im} \hat{G}_{N}(e^{j\omega})
\end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix}
\operatorname{Re} G_{0}(e^{j\omega}) \\
\operatorname{Im} G_{0}(e^{j\omega})
\end{bmatrix} + \Gamma(e^{j\omega})[\hat{\theta}_{N} - \theta_{0}], \quad \Gamma(e^{j\omega}) = \begin{bmatrix}
\partial \operatorname{Re} G_{\theta}(e^{j\omega}) / \partial \theta^{T} \\
\partial \operatorname{Im} G_{\theta}(e^{j\omega}) / \partial \theta^{T}
\end{bmatrix}_{\theta = \theta_{0}}, \text{ so}$$

$$Confidence \ ellipsoid: \ U_G(e^{j\omega}) \coloneqq \left\{ G : \ N \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \hat{G}_N - \operatorname{Re} G \\ \operatorname{Im} \hat{G}_N - \operatorname{Im} G \end{bmatrix}^T [\Gamma \hat{P}_N \Gamma^T]^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \hat{G}_N - \operatorname{Re} G \\ \operatorname{Im} \hat{G}_N - \operatorname{Im} G \end{bmatrix} < \chi_\alpha^2(p) \right\}$$

#### GEOMETRIC APPROACH TO VARIANCE ANALYSIS

Consider SISO LTI models with  $G_{\rho}$  and  $H_{\eta}$  in open loop

**Idea:** The (per sample) information matrix for  $\rho$  is a *Gramian* 

$$P_{\rho}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Gamma(e^{j\omega}) \Gamma^{H}(e^{j\omega}) \frac{\Phi_{u}(\omega)}{\Phi_{v}(\omega)} d\omega = \langle \Gamma, \Gamma \rangle_{\Phi_{u}/\Phi_{v}}$$

Hence, if  $J: D_{\mathcal{M}} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function of  $\theta$  (e.g.  $G_{\rho}$ ),

$$\operatorname{var} \hat{J}_{N} \approx \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta^{T}} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Gamma(e^{j\omega}) \Gamma^{H}(e^{j\omega}) \frac{\Phi_{u}(\omega)}{\Phi_{v}(\omega)} d\omega \right]^{-1} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta^{T}} \langle \Gamma, \Gamma \rangle_{\Phi_{u}/\Phi_{v}}^{-1} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}$$

## GEOMETRIC APPROACH TO VARIANCE ANALYSIS (CONT.)

This can be further simplified if there is a function  $\gamma$  such

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} = \langle \Gamma, \gamma \rangle$$

because in this case we have

$$\operatorname{var} \hat{J}_{N} \approx \frac{1}{N} \langle \gamma, \Gamma \rangle_{\Phi_{u}/\Phi_{v}} \langle \Gamma, \Gamma \rangle_{\Phi_{u}/\Phi_{v}}^{-1} \langle \Gamma, \gamma \rangle_{\Phi_{u}/\Phi_{v}} = \frac{1}{N} \left\| \operatorname{Proj}_{\Gamma} \gamma \right\|_{\Phi_{u}/\Phi_{v}}^{2}$$

This expression gives a geometric interpretation of  $\operatorname{var} \hat{J}_N$ , which decomposes the variance error into:

- 1.  $\Gamma$ : information about model structure
- 2.  $\Phi_u / \Phi_v$ : experimental conditions
- 2.  $\gamma$ : quantity of interest ( $\gamma$  can be considered as the *Fréchet derivative*

of 
$$J$$
 w.r.t.  $G_{\theta}$ , " $\gamma(e^{j\omega}) = \partial J/\partial G_{\theta}(e^{j\omega})$ ")

## GEOMETRIC APPROACH TO VARIANCE ANALYSIS (CONT.)

**Example:** Adding parameters increases the variance (Parsimony Principle) Let  $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ , i.e.  $\theta_2 = [\theta_1^T \quad \theta_{\Lambda}^T]^T$ , so that  $\mathcal{M}_2([\theta_1^T \quad 0]^T) = \mathcal{M}_1(\theta_1)$ . Then

$$rowspace{\Gamma_1} = rowspace{\Gamma_1} \oplus X$$

SO

$$\operatorname{var}_{\mathcal{M}_{2}}\{\hat{J}_{N}\} \approx \frac{1}{N} \left\| \operatorname{Proj}_{\Gamma_{2}} \gamma \right\|_{\Phi_{u}/\Phi_{v}}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \left\| \operatorname{Proj}_{\Gamma_{1}} \gamma \right\|_{\Phi_{u}/\Phi_{v}}^{2} + \left\| \operatorname{Proj}_{\chi} \gamma \right\|_{\Phi_{u}/\Phi_{v}}^{2}$$

$$\geq \operatorname{var}_{\mathcal{M}_{v}}\{\hat{J}_{N}\}$$

with equality iff  $\gamma \in \text{rowspace}\{\Gamma_1\}$