

Georg-Simon-Ohm-University of Applied Sciences Nuremberg

Report of the application project at the Faculty of AMP

Simulation of a medical therapy method with finite elements

Martin Michel

Keßlerplatz 12

DE-90489 Nuremberg

Advisor: Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Tim Kröger

Advisor: Prof. Dr. rer. nat. habil. Jörg Steinbach

Advisor: Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Thomas Lauterbach

Nuremberg, 01. January 1900

Contents

| | |
|--|----------|
| 1. Introduction | 3 |
| 2. Computer-aided simulation of radio frequency ablation with finite elements | 3 |
| 2.1. About Errors in numerical approaches | 3 |
| 2.2. Theory of finite elements | 4 |
| 2.3. This part is about the concrete PDEs itself | 4 |
| 2.4. PDE for Electric potential | 5 |
| 2.4.1. Inner Domain | 5 |
| 2.4.2. Electrodes | 5 |
| 2.4.3. Outer boundary | 5 |
| 3. Applied FEM-Simulation | 6 |
| 3.1. Grid generation / Triangulation | 6 |
| 3.2. Graphical output | 6 |
| 3.3. Numerical challenges | 6 |
| 3.4. Optimization | 6 |
| 3.5. MatLab vs C++ | 6 |
| 3.6. Interpretation of numerical solutions | 6 |
| 4. Summary and Outlook | 6 |
| 4.1. Project Summary | 6 |
| 4.2. Current Research | 6 |
| 4.3. Other FEM projects and software | 6 |
| A. Source code Visual C++ | 8 |
| B. Source code MatLab | 8 |

List of Figures

List of Tables

Listings

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| 1. Demo | 8 |
|-------------------|---|

1. Introduction

Lets talk about:

- Medical Treatment of Tumor
- Radio frequency ablation
- Why RFA Simulation is important
- Motivation
- This project in General

2. Computer-aided simulation of radio frequency ablation with finite elements

Introduction

2.1. About Errors in numerical approaches

- see TUM dissertation
- There are different sources for errors following the simulation from the line from the real problem down to the discrete solution
- Idealization error: discrepancy between reality and the idealized reality and the idealized constitutive laws and boundary conditions -> Systems are often way more complex in reality, every patient is different
- Modeling errors: discrepancy between mathematical formulation and physical model -> e.g. using dimensionally reduced approaches, like linear dependencies or even constant parameters
- Discretization errors: discrepancy between the continuous description and discrete description of the model

- Solution errors: using iterative approximation methods and rounding errors
- It's basically a butterfly effect
- Optimizing one error source often conflicts with another one -> e.g. handling nonlinearity can cause fatal numerical errors (at least that's what Kroeger said ...)

2.2. Theory of finite elements

- Elliptical problems
- Using the cylindric domain
- Axial symmetrie - Torus elements
- Rewrite the equations to zylindric coordinates

2.3. This part is about the concrete PDEs itself

Laplace in kartesian coordinates:

$$\nabla^2 := \Delta := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \quad (1)$$

Laplace in cylindric coordinates:

$$\Delta := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2} \quad (2)$$

Laplace in polar coordinates:

$$\Delta := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} \quad (3)$$

2.4. PDE for Electric potential

Three parts are interesting: - Inner domain

- Fixed Potential of electrodes
- Inner domain
- Outer boundary -> Robin

Constant material parameters:

2.4.1. Inner Domain

The PDE :

$$-\nabla \cdot (\sigma(x, y, z, t) \nabla \phi(x, y, z, t)) = 0 \quad (4)$$

- Elliptical boundary problem
- Assuming constant material parameters: Equation becomes Laplace's equation, ϕ becomes time independent

$$\sigma \Delta \phi(x, y, z) = 0 \quad (5)$$

- Using cylindrical domain, we can use cylindrical coordinates

2.4.2. Electrodes

2.4.3. Outer boundary

The inner domain:

(6)

3. Applied FEM-Simulation

3.1. Grid generation / Triangulation

3.2. Graphical output

3.3. Numerical challenges

3.4. Optimization

3.5. MatLab vs C++

- Basically the performance advantages of using C++

3.6. Interpretation of numerical solutions

4. Summary and Outlook

4.1. Project Summary

This is the conclusion part

4.2. Current Research

- Research in the simulation of medical therapy methods

4.3. Other FEM projects and software

References

- [1] Tim Kröger et. al. *Numerical Simulation of Radio Frequency Ablation with State Dependent Material Parameters in Three Space Dimensions*. Springer, 2006.
- [2] Michael McLaughlin. *C++ Succinctly*. Syncfusion Inc., 2012.
- [3] Physicists like to think that all you have to do is say 'These are the conditions now what happens next?'. *Richard Feynman*. The Character of Physical Law, 1965.
- [4] Not only is the Universe stranger than we think it is stranger than we can think. *Werner Heisenberg*. Across the Frontiers, 1972.

A. Source code Visual C++

Listing 1: For loop to print numbers from 1 to 10

```
1 // Print numbers from 1 to 10
2 #include <stdio.h>
3 int main() {
4     int i;
5     for (i = 1; i < 11; ++i)
6     {
7         printf("%d_", i);
8     }
9     return 0;
10 }
```

B. Source code MatLab

TODO