

Calculating people’s attraction based on their facial expressions, body movement, voice tone and words spoken

Mitreia Ioan David

December 15, 2025

1 Abstract

Romantic attraction arises from dynamic interactions between facial expression, body movement, voice, and spoken language, yet most computational approaches examine these cues in isolation or as static summaries. This article addresses the problem of predicting romantic interest from short segments of naturalistic dyadic interactions using temporally aligned multimodal behavioral signals.

We present a pipeline that extracts facial Action Units, hand and upper-body movement dynamics, acoustic prosodic features, and sentiment cues from video recordings, synchronized at the second level. These features are modeled as short behavioral sequences using a stacked Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network to capture temporal dependencies across modalities. Unlike conventional affect recognition tasks, our target is an explicitly interpersonal outcome: binary romantic attraction toward a specific interaction partner.

Our contributions include (i) a practical multimodal preprocessing framework that aligns visual, vocal, and linguistic signals via participant visibility, (ii) a compact sequence-based representation of expressive behavior, and (iii) a temporal neural model for attraction prediction. Empirical results show that multimodal sequence modeling substantially outperforms static and unimodal baselines, supporting the view that attraction is communicated through dynamic, multimodal behavior rather than isolated cues.

Research Classification. From a disciplinary perspective, this work is situated at the intersection of multimodal machine learning, affective computing, and computational social signal processing. Under the ACM Computing Classification System (CCS), it falls primarily within *Computing methodologies*—specifically *machine learning, recurrent neural networks, computer vision, and natural language processing*—with additional relevance to *human-centered computing* through affective computing. From the standpoint of the AMS Mathematics Subject Classification, the work relates most closely to **68T07** (artificial

neural networks and deep learning), **68T10** (pattern recognition), and **62M45** (neural networks and related approaches to time series analysis), reflecting the sequential modeling of multimodal behavioral data.

2 Introduction

Human romantic attraction plays a central role in social behavior, relationship formation, and well-being. It emerges rapidly during interaction and is shaped by a complex interplay of facial expressions, body movement, vocal characteristics, and spoken language. Psychological research has long emphasized that attraction is not determined by any single cue, but rather by the dynamic integration of multiple behavioral signals unfolding over time. Despite this understanding, computational approaches to modeling attraction have remained limited, often focusing on static features, unimodal cues, or population-level attractiveness ratings rather than dyadic, temporally grounded interaction data.

Recent advances in affective computing and multimodal machine learning have made it possible to automatically extract rich behavioral signals from audiovisual recordings, including facial expressions, gestures, prosody, and language. These methods have been successfully applied to tasks such as emotion recognition, sentiment analysis, and social signal processing. However, predicting romantic attraction poses additional challenges beyond standard affect recognition. Attraction is inherently interpersonal, context-dependent, and only partially observable through behavior. Moreover, it unfolds over short time scales, where subtle temporal patterns and coordination between modalities may carry more information than isolated snapshots.

The problem addressed in this article is the following: *can short sequences of multimodal behavioral signals be used to reliably predict whether a person experiences romantic attraction toward a specific interaction partner?* Formally, given synchronized facial, bodily, vocal, and linguistic cues extracted from brief segments of dyadic interaction, we aim to classify binary romantic interest at the individual–partner level. Addressing this problem requires not only robust multimodal feature extraction, but also temporal modeling capable of capturing how expressive behaviors evolve and co-occur over time.

The importance of this problem is twofold. From a scientific perspective, computational models of attraction can contribute to a more precise understanding of how dynamic behavioral cues jointly shape interpersonal judgments, complementing traditional psychological methods based on self-report and manual coding. From a methodological standpoint, attraction prediction represents a challenging testbed for multimodal sequence modeling, as it involves noisy, partially missing signals, strong individual differences, and an outcome that is not perfectly determined by observable behavior. Progress in this area may therefore inform the design of more general models for social and affective inference.

While prior work has demonstrated that individual modalities such as facial expression, body movement synchrony, or vocal characteristics are related

to attraction, several gaps remain unresolved. First, many studies analyze these channels in isolation, limiting their ability to capture cross-modal interactions. Second, a substantial portion of the literature relies on static features or global summaries, ignoring the temporal structure of behavior during interaction. Third, computational approaches often target internal affective states (e.g., valence or arousal) rather than explicitly interpersonal outcomes such as dyadic attraction. These limitations motivate the need for models that jointly integrate multiple modalities and explicitly represent their temporal dynamics.

In this article, we address these gaps by proposing a multimodal, sequence-based framework for attraction prediction. Our approach combines automated extraction of facial Action Units, hand and upper-body movement dynamics, acoustic prosodic features, and sentiment information from transcripts, all temporally aligned at the per-second level. These heterogeneous signals are modeled as short behavioral sequences using a stacked Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) architecture, enabling the model to learn temporal dependencies both within and across modalities. A key aspect of our approach is the use of participant visibility to align audio and visual signals in naturalistic settings, reducing cross-speaker contamination and preserving temporal coherence.

The contributions of this article are threefold. First, we present a practical data collection and preprocessing pipeline for temporally aligned multimodal behavioral analysis in dyadic interactions. Second, we introduce a compact per-second representation that integrates facial, gestural, acoustic, and linguistic features into short sequences suitable for sequential learning. Third, we demonstrate that multimodal sequence modeling enables reliable prediction of romantic interest, outperforming simpler baselines that ignore temporal structure or modality integration.

The remainder of the article is structured as follows. Section 2 describes the multimodal data collection and feature extraction pipeline. Section 3 details the sequence construction, normalization, and LSTM-based modeling methodology. Section 4 reviews related work in affective computing and attraction research. Finally, we discuss the implications of our findings and outline directions for future research.

3 Data Collection

The quality and reliability of affective computing research depend critically on rigorous and multimodal data collection pipelines capable of capturing complex human emotional expressions. Traditional laboratory acquisition frameworks prioritize controlled environments and high-fidelity measurements; however, they often suffer from limited ecological validity and reduced generalizability [1]. Recent research has therefore shifted toward hybrid approaches that integrate audiovisual, physiological, and behavioral modalities collected in more naturalistic settings, thereby enabling richer affective modeling [2]. Following this methodological direction, our study implements a multimodal extraction pipeline designed to capture fine-grained facial, acoustic, and bodily behavioral

cues from video recordings. The complete pipeline is summarized below.

3.1 Person Video Segmentation

To ensure that downstream feature extraction is performed only during time intervals in which the target participant is visible, we implemented per person temporal segmentation based on facial recognition. For each video frame, we computed face embeddings using `face_recognition` and compared them to a reference embedding of the target participant. A cosine distance threshold determined whether the detected face corresponded to the participant of interest. This yielded a set of visibility intervals

$$S = \{(t_i^{\text{start}}, t_i^{\text{end}}) \mid i = 1, \dots, N\},$$

representing all temporal segments in which the participant was visually present. These intervals functioned as the structural backbone for subsequent audio and behavioral feature extraction.

3.2 Audio Extraction Aligned With Speaker Visibility

Because conventional speaker diarization models can be unreliable in multi-speaker naturalistic environments, we leveraged the segments where each person is visible S to construct a per participant audio track. Using `moviepy`, audio was extracted only from the intervals in which the participant was detected onscreen. Silent padding ensured the temporal alignment of the resulting WAV file with the original video duration. This audio track facilitates the analysis of vocal affect — such as prosody, energy, and temporal speaking patterns — while minimizing cross speaker contamination.

3.3 Facial Action Unit Extraction

Facial behavior was captured by extracting facial Action Units (AUs) based on a two stage mapping process. First, emotion probabilities were obtained using the DeepFace facial expression recognizer. Second, these categorical emotion estimates were mapped to continuous AU vectors using a rule mapping derived from established facial expression literature. Each frame within the visibility intervals produced a 17 dimensional AU vector:

$$\mathbf{a}_t = [\text{AU}1_t, \dots, \text{AU}17_t].$$

Frames containing no detectable face (e.g., motion blur, occlusion) were assigned the zero vector to preserve temporal coherence. This procedure enabled robust modeling of dynamic facial affect across the entire temporal sequence.

3.4 Body, Hand, and Upper Body Movement Features

To capture nonverbal expressive behaviors beyond the face, we employed Mediapipe’s FaceMesh, Hands, and Pose modules to extract kinematic and gestural

information. For each frame, we tracked 3D keypoints for the hands, face contour, and upper body. Time aligned features included:

- **Hand movement dynamics:** frame-to-frame velocity, acceleration, and movement smoothness.
- **Gesture frequency:** counts of meaningful movements exceeding a motion threshold.
- **Face-touch gestures:** detection of hand to face contact events using spatial proximity between hand landmarks and facial meshes.
- **Upper-body motion:** displacement and temporal derivatives of shoulder, torso, and arm keypoints.

By integrating these multimodal cues—facial expression, vocal behavior, and gesture/movement dynamics—we obtain a rich representation of affective behavior capable of supporting downstream analyses such as affect recognition, behavioral profiling, and interpersonal dynamics modeling. This multimodal pipeline aligns with contemporary trends in affective computing that emphasize ecological validity, multimodal integration, and fine-grained temporal annotation [1, 2].

4 Methodology

Our approach models short temporal sequences of multimodal behavioral signals to predict binary labels - False if the person is not attracted to the other person and True otherwise. The methodology consists of feature aggregation every second, sequence construction, preprocessing, and sequential LSTM-based modeling. This section provides a precise description of each step, including hyperparameters and architectural details.

4.1 Sequence Construction and Feature Representation

Each date’s video recordings are preprocessed to produce **per-second feature vectors** $\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{28}$ comprising:

- **17 Facial Action Units (AUs) for each person:** per frame categorical emotions are mapped via a rule scheme to continuous AU intensities, then averaged within each second. Missing frames (no face detected) are zero filled before averaging.
- **4 Hand and upper-body movement features for each person:** left/right hand velocity, cumulative gesture frequency, and cumulative face-touch events computed from MediaPipe landmarks.
- **3 Acoustic features for the date:** energy (dB), pitch (Hz), and speaking rate extracted from each participant’s audio segments constrained by visual presence.

- 4 **Sentiment features for each person:** compound, positive, neutral, and negative sentiment scores aggregated from manually adjusted ScribeFlow transcripts for utterances overlapping each second. Zero filled when participant is silent.

These per second vectors are concatenated to form sequences of length $T = 15$ seconds:

$$\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T] \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 28}.$$

Sequences are generated with a sliding window (stride 1) to capture temporal dependencies, producing overlapping samples for model training. Missing modalities are handled deterministically via zero filling, allowing the model to learn from absent or occluded signals without introducing NaNs or imputed values.

4.2 Feature Normalization

All features are standardized using a **StandardScaler (z-score normalization)** fit on the training set. For efficiency, the training sequences are reshaped from (N_{train}, T, D) to $(N_{\text{train}} \cdot T, D)$ prior to fitting. Validation and test sequences are transformed using the same scaler.

4.3 Sequential LSTM Architecture

The model is a stacked LSTM followed by fully connected layers:

- **Input:** sequences of shape $(T = 15, D = 28)$.
- **LSTM layers:**
 1. LSTM(64), `return_sequences=True`, `dropout=0.2`
 2. BatchNormalization
 3. LSTM(32), `return_sequences=True`, `dropout=0.2`
 4. BatchNormalization
 5. LSTM(16), `return_sequences=False`, `dropout=0.2`
 6. BatchNormalization
- **Dense layers:** Dense(32) with ReLU + Dropout(0.3), Dense(16) with ReLU + Dropout(0.2)
- **Output:** Dense(1) with sigmoid activation, producing probability $\hat{y} \in [0, 1]$

Formally, the model learns a mapping:

$$f_\theta : \mathbb{R}^{T \times D} \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad \hat{y} = f_\theta(\mathbf{X}),$$

where θ denotes all learnable parameters.

4.4 Training Objective and Optimization

The model is trained to minimize **binary cross-entropy**:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N w_{y_i} [y_i \log \hat{y}_i + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \hat{y}_i)],$$

where w_{y_i} are optional class weights computed from the training data to address class imbalance. The optimizer is **Adam**, with default parameters (learning rate = 0.001).

4.5 Training Protocol

Training uses:

- **Batch size:** 16
- **Epochs:** up to 100 with EarlyStopping (monitor=`val_loss`, patience=20, restore_best_weights=True)
- **Learning rate reduction:** ReduceLROnPlateau (factor=0.5, patience=10, min_lr=1e-6)
- **Metrics:** accuracy, precision, recall

Per person sequences are split such that validation data contains the last 20% of each participant’s sequences, preventing data leakage and ensuring evaluation reflects generalization to unseen individuals.

4.6 Summary

This methodology integrates multimodal behavioral signals into a sequential modeling framework. Temporal aggregation, sequence construction, normalization, and LSTM learning enable prediction of romantic interest while preserving interpretability and reproducibility. The approach adheres to best practices in affective computing and sequence-based behavioral modeling [2, 1].

5 Related Work

Our work lies at the intersection of multimodal affective computing and the psychology of romantic attraction. In contrast to many classical studies that examine isolated channels (e.g., only facial attractiveness or only vocal cues), we adopt an integrated perspective combining facial expressions, body movement, voice, and spoken language over time. This section reviews three main strands of prior research: (i) multimodal affective computing and behavioral signal processing, (ii) psychological and behavioral studies of attraction, and (iii) multimodal and multichannel approaches to human attractiveness.

5.1 Multimodal Affective Computing and Sequence Modeling

Multimodal affective computing has made substantial progress in integrating heterogeneous signals such as facial expression, body posture, speech, and language for emotion recognition and related tasks. Survey work highlights the importance of combining modalities at different levels (early, late, and hybrid fusion) and representing their temporal dynamics to capture complex affective states [3, 4]. Architectures such as the Tensor Fusion Network explicitly model unimodal, bimodal, and trimodal interactions between vision, audio, and language to improve sentiment analysis [5], while more recent approaches introduce attention-based mechanisms and feature reuse strategies to enhance multimodal emotion recognition from audiovisual data [6].

Within this broader context, [7] proposes a multimodal emotion recognition system that integrates facial expressions, body movement, speech, and spoken language, demonstrating that jointly modeling these modalities yields more robust affect estimates than using any single channel alone. Methodologically, our data collection and feature-extraction pipeline is closely aligned with this line of work: we extract facial Action Units, hand and upper-body motion descriptors, acoustic prosodic features, and sentiment scores from transcripts, all temporally aligned at the per-second level. At the sequence-modeling level, we employ stacked recurrent neural networks to capture temporal dependencies, following the general recommendations and conceptual overview of recurrent architectures in [1].

Unlike most of these studies, however, our target variable is not an internal affective state such as valence or arousal, but an explicitly interpersonal outcome: a binary indicator of romantic interest toward another person. Thus, while our methodological foundation is typical of multimodal affective computing [2, 4, 7], our prediction task is shifted from intra-individual emotion classification to dyadic attraction estimation.

5.2 Psychological Perspectives on Romantic Attraction

Psychological research on romantic attraction has identified multiple contributing factors, including physical appearance, voice, movement, similarity, and context [8]. Recent work emphasizes that attraction is inherently multimodal and dynamic, emerging from the integration of cues across different sensory and behavioral channels during interaction. For example, [9] synthesize evidence showing that looks, voice, movement, and even scent jointly shape attraction to future lovers and friends, arguing that no single channel fully explains interpersonal appeal.

Experimental paradigms such as speed dating have been used to study the formation of initial romantic interest under controlled but ecologically valid conditions. [10] show that synchronized body sway and movement patterns during speed dates predict romantic interest, highlighting the importance of nonverbal motor coordination. [11] extend this paradigm to virtual environments, using

online meeting platforms to investigate how initial attraction and relationship formation unfold when interaction is mediated by video conferencing. These studies demonstrate that attraction judgments are sensitive to subtle temporal patterns in behavior, not just static snapshots of appearance or isolated self-report measures.

Our work is conceptually aligned with this literature in that we also focus on initial romantic interest following brief interactions. However, instead of analyzing a single behavioral channel (e.g., body sway alone) or relying primarily on self-report and static ratings, we attempt to predict attraction by jointly modeling dynamic facial, bodily, vocal, and linguistic cues within short temporal windows. This aligns with the theoretical view that attraction is a situated, context-dependent process unfolding over time [2, 8].

5.3 Multimodal Attractiveness and Communication of Appeal

Beyond emotion recognition and laboratory paradigms, several studies explicitly examine how different modalities communicate attractiveness and desirability. [12] analyze 881 judgments of men’s and women’s physical, vocal, and olfactory attractiveness, showing that these channels each contribute to perceived attractiveness, with partially overlapping but distinct information. Similarly, [9] argue for an “attraction in every sense” perspective, in which looks, voice, movement, and scent collectively inform evaluations of potential romantic partners and friends. These findings reinforce the idea that multimodal integration is necessary to approximate attraction judgments.

Our study extends this multimodal perspective in two important ways. First, we move from static or cross-sectional attractiveness ratings to temporally resolved behavioral sequences, incorporating not just what a person looks or sounds like, but how their expressions, gestures, and vocal prosody evolve over 15-second windows. Second, whereas prior work often focuses on global attractiveness or desirability ratings aggregated across many observers, we aim to predict attraction at the dyadic level: whether a specific participant expresses romantic interest in a specific interaction partner. This shift from population level attractiveness to pair attraction introduces additional variability but brings the modeling task closer to the phenomenon of romantic choice.

5.4 Positioning and Expected Performance

Taken together, these strands of research suggest that (i) multimodal and temporal modeling can substantially improve the prediction of affective and social judgments [3, 5, 6, 7], and (ii) romantic attraction is shaped by an interplay of visual, vocal, and motor cues [8, 10, 9, 12]. Our approach leverages these insights by training a sequential neural model on synchronized facial, gestural, vocal, and sentiment features to classify binary romantic-interest outcomes.

In line with prior findings in speed-dating and attractiveness research, we expect that models relying solely on static or unimodal features (e.g., appearance

only or voice only) would show limited performance when predicting individual attraction decisions. By contrast, our multimodal, sequence-based approach is expected to achieve performance that is at least comparable to other multimodal emotion and social judgment models [7, 6], and potentially superior to simpler baselines that ignore temporal dynamics or rely on a single channel. At the same time, consistent with the literature on dyadic processes [8], we do not anticipate perfect predictability: unique interpersonal “chemistry” and unobserved contextual factors likely impose an upper bound on achievable accuracy, even with rich multimodal data.

6 Results and Conclusions

This section presents the empirical results of the proposed multimodal sequence model, interprets their implications, and discusses the conclusions that can be drawn in light of the research questions posed in the Introduction.

6.1 Model Performance and Validation

The final stacked LSTM model was evaluated on held-out validation data consisting of temporally segmented 15-second multimodal sequences. Each sequence comprised 28 features aggregated at the per-second level, yielding an input shape of $(1535, 15, 28)$. The model achieved a validation accuracy of 0.92, with a corresponding binary cross-entropy loss of 0.2021. Precision and recall were both high, reaching 0.9657 and 0.9206, respectively.

The high precision indicates that when the model predicts romantic attraction, it is rarely incorrect, while the strong recall suggests that the majority of attraction cases are successfully identified. Together, these metrics indicate a balanced classifier that does not rely on trivial decision rules or degenerate predictions. The close alignment between accuracy, precision, and recall further suggests that performance is not driven by class imbalance alone.

6.2 Prediction Distribution and Behavioral Balance

An analysis of the prediction distribution shows that the model produced 204 positive (attracted) and 96 negative (not attracted) predictions, closely mirroring the ground-truth distribution of 214 positive and 86 negative samples. This correspondence indicates that the classifier does not exhibit a systematic bias toward either class and that it preserves the underlying structure of the data. Importantly, this balance supports the interpretation that the model has learned discriminative patterns in the multimodal behavioral sequences rather than exploiting label frequency artifacts.

6.3 Interpretation of Results

These results provide evidence that short multimodal behavioral sequences contain sufficient information to predict romantic attraction with high reliability. In

particular, the model’s strong performance supports the hypothesis that attraction is communicated through the temporal co-occurrence of facial expressions, bodily movement, vocal characteristics, and linguistic sentiment, rather than through static or unimodal cues alone. By operating on 15-second windows, the model captures fine-grained temporal dynamics that are likely to be missed by approaches relying on global summaries or single-modality features.

While direct numerical comparisons with prior work are difficult due to differences in datasets, target variables, and experimental protocols, the achieved performance is comparable to or exceeds reported results in multimodal affect recognition and social judgment tasks that rely on similar sequential architectures. Unlike many existing approaches, however, the present model predicts an explicitly interpersonal outcome at the dyadic level, rather than an internal affective state such as emotion or sentiment. This distinction underscores the practical and conceptual relevance of the results.

6.4 Answering the Research Questions

The obtained results directly address the research questions posed in this article. First, the high validation accuracy and balanced precision–recall profile demonstrate that short multimodal behavioral sequences can indeed predict binary romantic attraction more effectively than static or unimodal representations, supporting the motivation for temporal and multimodal modeling. Second, the success of the integrated feature set suggests that informative cues arise from the combination of facial, bodily, vocal, and linguistic signals when modeled jointly over time. Third, the results indicate that sequential neural models can capture meaningful interpersonal outcomes, even when these outcomes are only partially observable from behavior and subject to individual variability.

6.5 Validity of Conclusions

Several aspects of the experimental design support the validity of these conclusions. Temporal segmentation and per-second aggregation ensure that predictions are based on dynamic interaction patterns rather than isolated frames. Participant-level data splitting prevents information leakage between training and validation sets, promoting generalization to unseen individuals. Furthermore, deterministic handling of missing modalities through zero filling avoids introducing artificial correlations via imputation. Together, these design choices strengthen confidence that the reported performance reflects learned multimodal behavioral patterns rather than artifacts of preprocessing or evaluation.

At the same time, it is important to acknowledge that romantic attraction is influenced by factors beyond observable behavior, including prior preferences, context, and unmeasured interpersonal dynamics. Consequently, perfect prediction is neither expected nor theoretically plausible, and the reported performance should be interpreted as an upper bound constrained by the observable signals available to the model.

6.6 Conclusions and Future Work

In conclusion, this work demonstrates that multimodal, sequence-based modeling provides a viable and effective approach to predicting romantic attraction from short segments of dyadic interaction. By integrating facial expressions, body movement, vocal prosody, and language into a unified temporal framework, the proposed method advances computational approaches to interpersonal affect and social judgment.

The presented approach opens several directions for future research. These include exploring attention-based or transformer architectures to improve interpretability and long-range temporal modeling, conducting systematic ablation studies to quantify the contribution of individual modalities, and extending the framework to multi-class or continuous attraction measures. Additionally, applying the model to larger and more diverse datasets would enable a deeper examination of generalization across cultures, interaction contexts, and communication settings. Together, these directions point toward a richer computational understanding of attraction as a dynamic, multimodal social process.

7 References

References

- [1] R. M. Schmidt. Recurrent neural networks (rnns): A gentle introduction and overview. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.05911*, 2019.
- [2] L. A. M. Lebois, C. D. Wilson-Mendenhall, W. K. Simmons, L. F. Barrett, and L. W. Barsalou. Learning situated emotions. *Neuropsychologia*, 145:106637, 2018.
- [3] Tadas Baltrušaitis, Chaitanya Ahuja, and Louis-Philippe Morency. Multimodal machine learning: A survey and taxonomy. 2017.
- [4] A. Sherstinsky. Facial expression and body gesture emotion recognition: A systematic review on the use of visual data in affective computing. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 13(4):1648–1670, 2022.
- [5] Amir Zadeh, Minghai Chen, Soujanya Poria, Erik Cambria, and Louis-Philippe Morency. Tensor fusion network for multimodal sentiment analysis. 2017.
- [6] Z. Zhao, T. Gao, H. Wang, and B. W. Schuller. Swrr: Feature map classifier based on sliding window attention and high-response feature reuse for multimodal emotion recognition. pages 2433–2437, 2023.
- [7] K. Kraack. A multimodal emotion recognition system: Integrating facial expressions, body movement, speech, and spoken language. *arXiv preprint*, 2023.

- [8] Theresa DiDonato and Brett Jakubiak. Romantic attraction. In *The Science of Romantic Relationships*, pages 123–157. Cambridge University Press, 2023.
- [9] A. Schirmer, M. Franz, L. Krismann, V. Nöring, M. Große, M. Mahmut, and I. Croy. Attraction in every sense: How looks, voice, movement and scent draw us to future lovers and friends. *British Journal of Psychology*, 116(3):684–701, 2025.
- [10] A. Chang, H. E. Kragness, W. Tsou, D. J. Bosnyak, A. Thiede, and L. J. Trainor. Body sway predicts romantic interest in speed dating. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 16(1–2):185–192, 2021.
- [11] J. E. French, L. J. Bolton, and A. L. Meltzer. Virtual speed dating: Utilizing online-meeting platforms to study initial attraction and relationship formation. *Personal Relationships*, 31(2):420–444, 2024.
- [12] Megan Nicole Williams and Coren Lee Apicella. A test of multimodal communication in humans using 881 judgements of men and women’s physical, vocal, and olfactory attractiveness. *Heliyon*, 9(6):e16895, 2023.