

# Nomenclature of Measure Theory

Crosby Collins  
crosbyqcollins@gmail.com

**Definition 1.1.** A subset  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  of a set  $\Omega$  is called a  $\sigma$ -algebra if

- (i)  $A \in \Sigma \Rightarrow A^c \in \Sigma$
- (ii) If  $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Sigma$  then  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \in \Sigma$
- (iii)  $\Omega \in \Sigma$

Consequently, the *smallest*  $\sigma$ -algebra containing a family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  is

$$\sigma(\mathcal{F}) =: \bigcap_j \Sigma_j,$$

where  $\Sigma_j \supseteq \mathcal{F}$ . A *measure* is a function  $\mu : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that

- (i)  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
- (ii)  $\mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$

A subset is said to be *measurable* if it is an element of a  $\sigma$ -algebra of the set containing it. We can equip a measure and a  $\sigma$ -algebra to a sample space  $\Omega$ . This is called a *measure space* written as the triple  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . A measure space is  $\sigma$ -finite if there are countably many sets  $A_j \in \Sigma$  such that  $\mu(A_j) < \infty$  and  $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$ . Given two measure spaces  $(\Omega_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \Sigma_2, \mu_2)$  their *product  $\sigma$ -algebra* is

$$\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 = \sigma(\{A_1 \times A_2 : A_j \in \Sigma_j\}).$$

This  $\sigma$ -algebra has the *section property* namely, if we take an arbitrary  $A \in \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$  such that if we define

$$A_1(x_2) =: \{x_1 \in \Omega_1 : (x_1, x_2) \in A\} \in \Sigma_1,$$

for all  $x_2$ . An analogous property holds for  $A_2(x_1) \in \Sigma_2$ . Similarly, we have the unique *product measure* of the two measure spaces  $\mu =: \mu_1 \times \mu_2$  with the property that

$$\mu(A_1 \times A_2) = \mu(A_1)\mu(A_2).$$

A collection of sets  $\mathcal{M}$  is a *monotone class* if

- (i)  $A_j \in \mathcal{M}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \cdots \implies \bigcup_j A_j \in \mathcal{M}$
- (ii)  $B_j \in \mathcal{M}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } B_1 \supset B_2 \supset B_3 \cdots \implies \bigcap_j A_j \in \mathcal{M}$

Lastly, a collection of sets  $\mathcal{A}$  forms an *algebra of sets* if for two arbitrary elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , their relative complements and their union are also elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ .