

Numerical Computing Homework 3

Shaosen Hou 18340055

April 10, 2020

Page 124

3. Solve the upper-triangular system and find the value of the determinant of the coefficient matrix.

$$\begin{aligned}4x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 + 2x_4 - x_5 &= 4 \\-2x_2 + 6x_3 + 2x_4 + 7x_5 &= 0 \\x_3 - x_4 - 2x_5 &= 3 \\-2x_4 - x_5 &= 10 \\3x_5 &= 6\end{aligned}$$

Solution:

We find that all the diagonal elements are non-zero. So we could solve the upper-triangular system by the method of back substitution.

The coefficient matrix \mathbf{A} and the matrix \mathbf{B} are:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 & 2 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 6 & 2 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 10 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From Theorem 3.5's equation(6), we could calculate x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 :

$$x_k = \frac{b_k - \sum_{j=k+1}^N a_{kj}x_j}{a_{kk}}, \text{ for } k = N-1, N-2, \dots, 1.$$

Solving for x_5 in the last equation yields

$$x_5 = \frac{6}{3} = 2$$

Then we could obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} x_4 &= \frac{10 - (-1 \times 2)}{-2} = -6 \\ x_3 &= \frac{3 - (-1 \times (-6) + (-2) \times 2)}{1} = 1 \\ x_2 &= \frac{0 - (6 \times 1 + 2 \times (-6) + 7 \times 2)}{-2} = 4 \\ x_1 &= \frac{4 - ((-1) \times 4 + 2 \times 1 + 2 \times (-6) + (-1) \times 2)}{4} = 5 \end{aligned}$$

And we could calculate $\det(\mathbf{A}) = 4 \times (-2) \times 1 \times (-2) \times 3 = 48$

4(a). Consider the two upper-triangular matrices.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ 0 & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & b_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show that their product $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{AB}$ is also upper triangular.

Solution:

Using Definition 3.1's equation(7), we could obtain \mathbf{C} :

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} & a_{11}b_{12} + a_{12}b_{22} & a_{11}b_{13} + a_{12}b_{23} + b_{13}b_{33} \\ 0 & a_{22}b_{22} & a_{22}b_{23} + a_{23}b_{33} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}b_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

We could see that \mathbf{C} is upper triangular.

Page 137

1. Show that $\mathbf{AX} = \mathbf{B}$ is equivalent to the upper-triangular system $\mathbf{UX} = \mathbf{Y}$ and find the solution.

$$\begin{aligned} 2x_1 + 4x_2 - 6x_3 &= -4 & 2x_1 + 4x_2 - 6x_3 &= -4 \\ x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3 &= 10 & 3x_2 + 6x_3 &= 12 \\ x_1 + 3x_2 + 2x_3 &= 5 & 3x_3 &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

Solution:

The augmented matrix is

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 4 & -6 & -4 \\ 1 & 5 & 3 & 10 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 5 \end{array} \right]$$

The first row is used to eliminate elements in the first column below the diagonal. The result after elimination is

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 4 & -6 & -4 \\ 0 & 3 & 6 & 12 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 \end{array} \right]$$

The second row is used to eliminate elements in the second column that lie below the diagonal. The result after elimination is

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 4 & -6 & -4 \\ 0 & 3 & 6 & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

Thus, $\mathbf{AX} = \mathbf{B}$ is equivalent to the upper-triangular system $\mathbf{UX} = \mathbf{Y}$.

The back-substitution algorithm can be used to solve the system, and we get

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Page 138

9. Show that $\mathbf{AX} = \mathbf{B}$ is equivalent to the upper-triangular system $\mathbf{UX} = \mathbf{Y}$ and find the solution.

$$\begin{array}{ll} 2x_1 + 4x_2 - 4x_3 + 0x_4 = 12 & 2x_1 + 4x_2 - 4x_3 + 0x_4 = 12 \\ x_1 + 5x_2 - 5x_3 - 3x_4 = 18 & 3x_2 - 3x_3 - 3x_4 = 12 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 + 3x_4 = 8 & 4x_3 + 2x_4 = 0 \\ x_1 + 4x_2 - 2x_3 + 2x_4 = 8 & 3x_4 = -6 \end{array}$$

Solution:

The augmented matrix is

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & 4 & -4 & 0 & 12 \\ 1 & 5 & -5 & -3 & 18 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 8 \\ 1 & 4 & -2 & 2 & 8 \end{array} \right]$$

The first row is used to eliminate elements in the first column below the diagonal. The result after elimination is

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & 4 & -4 & 0 & 12 \\ 0 & 3 & -3 & -3 & 12 \\ 0 & -1 & 5 & 3 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & -4 & 2 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

The second row is used to eliminate elements in the second column that lie below the diagonal. The result after elimination is

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & 4 & -4 & 0 & 12 \\ 0 & 3 & -3 & -3 & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 & -6 \end{array} \right]$$

The third row is used to eliminate elements in the third column that lie below the diagonal. The result after elimination is

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & 4 & -4 & 0 & 12 \\ 0 & 3 & -3 & -3 & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & -6 \end{array} \right]$$

Thus, $\mathbf{AX} = \mathbf{B}$ is equivalent to the upper-triangular system $\mathbf{UX} = \mathbf{Y}$.

The back-substitution algorithm can be used to solve the system, and we get

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Page 153

1(a). Solve $\mathbf{LY} = \mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{UX} = \mathbf{Y}$, and verify that $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{AX}$ for $\mathbf{B} = [-4 \ 10 \ 5]'$, where $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{LU}$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & -6 \\ 1 & 5 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1/3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & -6 \\ 0 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution:

Use the forward-substitution method to solve $\mathbf{LY} = \mathbf{B}$:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & -4 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 0 & 10 \\ 1/2 & 1/3 & 1 & 5 \end{array} \right]$$

And we obtain

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 12 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next write the augmented matrix $\mathbf{UX} = \mathbf{Y}$:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 4 & -6 & -4 \\ 0 & 3 & 6 & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

And we obtain

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{AX} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & -6 \\ 1 & 5 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 10 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B}$$

4. Find the triangular factorization $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{LU}$ for the matrices.

(a)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 7 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution:

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 7 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 1/2 & 4 & -5/2 \\ 1/4 & -5/8 & 83/16 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1/4 & -5/8 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & -5/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 83/16 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 7 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 & -2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 7 \\ 4 & 10 & -27 \\ 2 & 9/10 & 83/10 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 9/10 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 7 \\ 0 & 10 & -27 \\ 0 & 0 & 83/10 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

6. Find the triangular factorization $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{LU}$ for the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & 5 & 0 \\ 5 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ -3 & 0 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & 5 & 0 \\ 5 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ -3 & 0 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & -3 & 5 & -8 \\ 5 & 1 & -4 & -10 \\ -3 & -1 & -7/4 & -15/2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & -1 & -7/4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & -3 & 5 & -8 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & -10 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -15/2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Page 165

1.

$$4x - y = 15$$

$$x + 5y = 9$$

- (a) Start with $\mathbf{P}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ and use Jacobi iteration to find \mathbf{P}_k for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Will Jacobi iteration converge to the solution?
- (b) Start with $\mathbf{P}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ and use Gauss-Seidel iteration to find \mathbf{P}_k for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Will Gauss-Seidel iteration converge to the solution?

Solution:

(a) These equations can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{15 + y}{4} \\ y &= \frac{9 - x}{5} \end{aligned}$$

This suggests the following Jacobi iterative process:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= \frac{15 + y_k}{4} \\y_{k+1} &= \frac{9 - x_k}{5}\end{aligned}$$

Substitute $x_0 = 0, y_0 = 0$ into the right-hand side of each equation to obtain the new values

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= \frac{15 + y_0}{4} = \frac{15}{4} = 3.75 \\y_1 &= \frac{9 - x_0}{5} = \frac{9}{5} = 1.8\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned}x_2 &= \frac{15 + y_1}{4} = \frac{15 + 1.8}{4} = 4.2 \\y_2 &= \frac{9 - x_1}{5} = \frac{9 - 3.75}{5} = 1.05\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}x_3 &= \frac{15 + y_2}{4} = \frac{15 + 1.05}{4} = 4.0125 \\y_3 &= \frac{9 - x_2}{5} = \frac{9 - 4.2}{5} = 0.96\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathbf{P}_1 = (3.75, 1.8)$, $\mathbf{P}_2 = (4.2, 1.05)$, $\mathbf{P}_3 = (4.0125, 0.96)$

The coefficient matrix of the linear system is strictly diagonally dominant because

$$\begin{aligned}\text{In row 1:} & \quad |4| > |-1| \\ \text{In row 2:} & \quad |5| > |1|\end{aligned}$$

According to **Theorem 3.15**, Jacobi iteration will converge to the solution, which is $(4, 1)$.

(b) These equations can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \frac{15 + y}{4} \\y &= \frac{9 - x}{5}\end{aligned}$$

This suggests the following Gauss-Seidel iterative process:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= \frac{15 + y_k}{4} \\y_{k+1} &= \frac{9 - x_{k+1}}{5}\end{aligned}$$

Substitute $x_0 = 0, y_0 = 0$ into the right-hand side of each equation to obtain the new values

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= \frac{15 + y_0}{4} = \frac{15}{4} = 3.75 \\y_1 &= \frac{9 - x_1}{5} = \frac{9 - 3.75}{5} = 1.05\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned}x_2 &= \frac{15 + y_1}{4} = \frac{15 + 1.05}{4} = 4.0125 \\y_2 &= \frac{9 - x_2}{5} = \frac{9 - 4.0125}{5} = 0.9975\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}x_3 &= \frac{15 + y_2}{4} = \frac{15 + 0.9975}{4} = 3.999375 \\y_3 &= \frac{9 - x_3}{5} = \frac{9 - 3.999375}{5} = 1.000125\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathbf{P}_1 = (3.75, 1.05)$, $\mathbf{P}_2 = (4.0125, 0.9975)$, $\mathbf{P}_3 = (3.999375, 1.000125)$

The coefficient matrix of the linear system is strictly diagonally dominant because

$$\begin{aligned}\text{In row 1:} & \quad |4| > |-1| \\ \text{In row 2:} & \quad |5| > |1|\end{aligned}$$

According to **Theorem 3.15**, Gauss-Seidel iteration will converge to the solution, which is $(4, 1)$.

3.

$$\begin{aligned}-x + 3y &= 1 \\ 6x - 2y &= 2\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Start with $\mathbf{P}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ and use Jacobi iteration to find \mathbf{P}_k for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Will Jacobi iteration converge to the solution?
- (b) Start with $\mathbf{P}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ and use Gauss-Seidel iteration to find \mathbf{P}_k for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Will Gauss-Seidel iteration converge to the solution?

Solution:

(a) Similar to **Exercise 1(a)**, we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= 3y_k - 1 \\ y_{k+1} &= \frac{6x_k - 2}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can similarly get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_1 &= (-1, -1), \\ \mathbf{P}_2 &= (-4, -4), \\ \mathbf{P}_3 &= (-13, -13).\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient matrix of the linear system is not strictly diagonally dominant because

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{In row 1:} & |-1| < |3| \\ \text{In row 2:} & |-2| < |6|\end{array}$$

According to **Theorem 3.15**, Jacobi iteration will not converge to the solution.

(b) Similar to **Exercise 1(b)**, we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= 3y_k - 1 \\ y_{k+1} &= \frac{6x_{k+1} - 2}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can similarly get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_1 &= (-1, -4), \\ \mathbf{P}_2 &= (-13, -40), \\ \mathbf{P}_3 &= (-121, -364).\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient matrix of the linear system is not strictly diagonally dominant because

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{In row 1:} & |-1| < |3| \\ \text{In row 2:} & |-2| < |6|\end{array}$$

According to **Theorem 3.15**, Gauss-Seidel iteration will not converge to the solution.

5.

$$\begin{aligned}5x - y + z &= 10 \\2x + 8y - z &= 11 \\-x + y + 4z &= 3\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Start with $\mathbf{P}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ and use Jacobi iteration to find \mathbf{P}_k for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Will Jacobi iteration converge to the solution?
- (b) Start with $\mathbf{P}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ and use Gauss-Seidel iteration to find \mathbf{P}_k for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Will Gauss-Seidel iteration converge to the solution?

Solution:

- (a) Similar to **Exercise 1(a)**, we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= \frac{10 + y_k - z_k}{5} \\y_{k+1} &= \frac{11 - 2x_k + z_k}{8} \\z_{k+1} &= \frac{3 + x_k - y_k}{4}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can similarly get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_1 &= (2, 1.375, 0.75), \\ \mathbf{P}_2 &= (2.125, 0.96875, 0.90625), \\ \mathbf{P}_3 &= (2.0125, 0.95703125, 1.0390625).\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient matrix of the linear system is strictly diagonally dominant because

$$\begin{aligned}\text{In row 1:} & \quad |5| > |1| + |1| \\ \text{In row 2:} & \quad |8| > |2| + |-1| \\ \text{In row 3:} & \quad |4| > |-1| + |1|\end{aligned}$$

According to **Theorem 3.15**, Jacobi iteration will converge to the solution, which is $(2, 1, 1)$.

- (b) Similar to **Exercise 1(b)**, we could obtain

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= \frac{10 + y_k - z_k}{5} \\y_{k+1} &= \frac{11 - 2x_{k+1} + z_{k+1}}{8} \\z_{k+1} &= \frac{3 + x_{k+1} - y_{k+1}}{4}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can similarly get

$$\mathbf{P}_1 = (2, 0.875, 1.03125),$$

$$\mathbf{P}_2 = (1.96875, 1.01171875, 0.9892578125),$$

$$\mathbf{P}_3 = (2.0044921875, 0.9975341796875, 1.001739501953125).$$

The coefficient matrix of the linear system is strictly diagonally dominant because

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{In row 1:} & |5| > |1| + |1| \\ \text{In row 2:} & |8| > |2| + |-1| \\ \text{In row 3:} & |4| > |-1| + |1| \end{array}$$

According to **Theorem 3.15**, Gauss-Seidel iteration will converge to the solution, which is $(2, 1, 1)$.