

References

- Cramer, P. (1970). A study of homographs. In L. Postman & G. Keppel (Eds.), *Norms of Word Association*. NY: Academic Press.
- Goodmon, L. B. & Nelson, D. L. (in press). Strengthening the activation of unconsciously activated memories. *Memory & Cognition*.
- Howes, D. A. (1957). On the relation between the probability of a word as an association and in general linguistic usage. *Journal of Abnormal & Social Psychology*, 54, 75- 85.
- Kucera, H. & Francis, W. N. (1967). *Computational Analysis of Present-day American English*. Providence, RI: Brown University Press.
- Nelson, D. L., Bennett, D. J. & Leibert, T. W. (1997). One step is not enough: Making better use of association norms to predict cued recall. *Memory & Cognition*, 25, 785-796.
- Nelson, D. L., McEvoy, C. L. & Pointer, L. (2003). Spreading activation or spooky action at a distance? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition*, 29, 42-52.
- Nelson, D. L., McEvoy, C. L., Walling, J. W. & Wheeler, J. W. (1980). The University of South Florida homograph norms. *Behavior Research Methods & Instrumentation*, 12, 16- 37.
- Nelson, D. L., McKinney, V. M., Gee, N. R. & Janczura, G. A. (1998). Interpreting the influence of implicitly activated memories on recall and recognition. *Psychological Review*, 105, 299-324.

Nelson, D. L. & Schreiber, T. A. (1992). Word concreteness and word structure as independent determinants of recall. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 31, 237-260.

Nelson, D. L. & Xu, J. (1995). Effects of implicit memory on explicit recall: Set size and word frequency effects. *Psychological Research*, 57, 203-214.

Nelson, D. L. & Zhang, N. (2000). The ties that bind what is known to the recall of what is new. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 7, 604-617.

Paivio, A., Yuille, J.C. & Madigan, S. (1968). Concreteness, imagery, and meaningfulness values for 925 nouns. *Journal of Experimental Psychology Monograph Supplement*, 76, (1, Pt.2), 1-25.

Perfetti, C. A., Lindsey, R. & Garson, B. (1971). *Association and Uncertainty: Norms of Association to Ambiguous Words*. Learning Research and Development Center, University of Pittsburgh.

Rubin, D. C. & Friendly, M. (1986). Predicting which words get recalled: Measures of free recall, availability, goodness, emotionality, and pronunciability for 925 nouns. *Memory & Cognition*, 14, 79-94.

Steyvers, M. & Tenenbaum, J. (in press). The large scale structure of semantic networks: Statistical analysis and a model of semantic growth. *Cognitive Science*.

Toglia, M.P. & Battig, W.F. (1978). *Handbook of Semantic Word Norms*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Tulving, E. & Thomson, D. M. (1973). Encoding specificity and retrieval processes in episodic memory. *Psychological Review*, 80, 352-373.

Twilley, L. C., Dixon, P., Taylor, D. & Clark, K. (1994). University of Alberta norms of relative meaning frequency for 566 homographs. *Memory & Cognition*, 22, 111- 126.

Watts, D. J. & Strogatz, S. H. (1998). Collective dynamics of 'small world' networks. *Nature*, 393, 440-442.

Wollen, K. A., Cox, S. D., Coahran, M. M., Shea, D. S. & Kirby, R. F. (1980). Frequency of occurrence and concreteness ratings of homograph meanings. *Behavior Research Methods & Instrumentation*, 12, 8-15.

Woods, C. (1971). *Wood's Rhyming Dictionary*. NY: The World Publishing Company.

Author's Note

This research was supported by Grant MH16360 from the National Institute of Mental Health to Douglas L. Nelson and by Grants MH45207 and AG13973 to Cathy L. McEvoy. In order of appearance in the lab, our special thanks go to David Brooks, Joseph Wheeler, Jr., Richard Borden, Maria-Teresa Bajo, Pepe Canas, Charlotte Hall, Nancy Gee, Patricia Holley, Amie Wilbanks, and Leilani Goodmon for helping us on this project.

Correspondence concerning these norms should be addressed to Douglas L. Nelson, Department of Psychology, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida, 33620-8200. dnelson2@chuma1.cas.usf.edu.