

PEX Audit

SMART CONTRACT

Security Audit Report

PEX Audit

Project UXOS NFT



Audit Details

Project: UXOS NFT

Blockchain: BSC

Project website:

uxos-ai.com

Authors: PEX Audit team DM

Date: April 30th, 2023

Disclaimer

PEX audits and reports should not be considered as a form of project's

"advertisement" and does not cover any interaction and assessment from "project's contract" to

"external contracts" such as Pancakeswap or similar.

PEX does not provide any warranty on its released reports.

PEX should not be used as a decision to invest into an audited project please do

your own research. PEX provides transparent reports to all its "clients" and to its

"clients.participants" and will not claim any guarantee of bug-free code within its SMART

CONTRACT.

PEX presence is to analyze, audit and assess the client's smart contract's code.

Each company or project shall be liable for its own security flaws and functionalities.

Scope of Work & Background

Github, Etherscan, files, etc.). PEX will be focusing on contract issues and functionalities along with the projects claims from smart contract to their website, whitepaper and repository where available, which has been provided by the project.

Code is reviewed manually and with the use of software using industry best practices.

Background PEX was contracted by UXOS team to perform the security audit of the smart contract code:

The main scope of this report/audit, is to document an accurate assessment of the condition of the smart contract and whether it has any security flaws in the implementation of the contract.

jiiuxos team agreed and provided us with the files that needed to be tested (Through

• Contract Address 0x3077E6c3D96ffbbc1DD13809aCcbB9665247ceA4

The purpose of the audit was to achieve the following:

• Ensure that the claimed functions exist and function correctly.

• Identify any security vulnerabilities that may be present in the smart contract.

The information in this report should be used to understand the risk exposure of the smart contract, and as a guide to improve the security posture of the smart contract by remediating the issues that were identified.

Project Description from Dev's UXOS NFT minting

Social Media Links

Telegram: uxostoken

Twitter: UXOS AI

Medium: N/A

Facebook: N/A

Discord: N/A

Contracts details

Contract details for April 30th, 2023

Contract/Project name: UXOS NFT

Description: NFT minting contract

Compiler version: v0.8.17

Contract address: 0x3077E6c3D96ffbbc1DD13809aCcbB9665247ceA4

Contract deployer address: 0x0A082068Fa05253eAB2EaeD698edA443C57452c2

Contract's current owner address: 0x923dde2109810fbe4ff08aa14fa09de2ccfabcbb

Dev's KYC: Yes Fuddoxx

Contract write functions details Owner privileges:

Contract contains owner control, which does not make it fully decentralised, the owner has privileges, and has authority to make any changes now.

Centralization

This smart contract has some functions which can be executed by the Admin (Owner) only.

- 1. approve
- 2. mint
- 3. pause
- 4. renounceOwnership
- 5. reveal
- 6. safeTransferFrom
- 7. safeTransferFrom8. setApprovalForAll
- 9. setBaseExtension
- 10. setBaseURI
- 11. setCost
 - setNotReavealedURI
- 13. setmaxMintAmount
- 14. transferFrom
- 15. transferOwnership
- 16. withdraw

12.

To make the smart contract 100% decentralized, we suggest renouncing ownership in the smart contract once its function is completed.

SWC Registry: Smart Contract Weakness/Vulnerabilities

<u>SWC-136</u>	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	PASSED
<u>SWC-135</u>	Code With No Effects	PASSED
<u>SWC-134</u>	Message call with hardcoded gas amount	PASSED
<u>SWC-133</u>	Hash Collisions with Multiple Variable Length Arguments	PASSED
<u>SWC-132</u>	Unexpected Ether balance	PASSED
<u>SWC-131</u>	Presence of unused variables	PASSED
<u>SWC-130</u>	Right-To-Left-Override control character (U+202E)	PASSED
<u>SWC-129</u>	Typographical Error	PASSED

<u>SWC-128</u>	DoS With Block Gas Limit	PASSED
<u>SWC-127</u>	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable	PASSED
<u>SWC-126</u>	Insufficient Gas Griefing	PASSED
<u>SWC-125</u>	Incorrect Inheritance Order	PASSED
<u>SWC-124</u>	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	PASSED
<u>SWC-123</u>	Requirement Violation	LOW ISSUE
<u>SWC-122</u>	Lack of Proper Signature Verification	PASSED
<u>SWC-119</u>	Shadowing State Variables	PASSED

	<u>SWC-118</u>	Incorrect Constructor Name	PASSED
	<u>SWC-120</u>	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	PASSED
	<u>SWC-117</u>	Signature Malleability	PASSED
	<u>SWC-116</u>	Block values as a proxy for time	PASSED
	<u>SWC-115</u>	Authorization through tx.origin	PASSED
	<u>SWC-114</u>	Transaction Order Dependence	PASSED
	<u>SWC-121</u>	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks	PASSED
	<u>SWC-113</u>	DoS with Failed Call	PASSED

Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	PASSED	
Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	PASSED	
Assert Violation	PASSED	
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	PASSED	
State Variable Default Visibility	LOW ISSUE	
Reentrancy	PASSED	
Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	PASSED	
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	PASSED	
	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions Assert Violation Uninitialized Storage Pointer State Variable Default Visibility Reentrancy Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions Assert Violation PASSED Uninitialized Storage Pointer State Variable Default Visibility Reentrancy PASSED Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction PASSED PASSED

<u>SWC-104</u>	Unchecked Call Return Value	PASSED
<u>SWC-103</u>	Floating Pragma	LOW ISSUE
<u>SWC-102</u>	Outdated Compiler Version	PASSED
<u>SWC-101</u>	Integer Overflow and Underflow	PASSED

M MythX passing

Low issue = Low-level weakness/vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused etc. code snippets, that can't have significant impact on execution

Medium severity = Medium-level vulnerabilities are more important; however, they can't lead to tokens lose

High severity = critical, immediate danger of exploitation SOLHINT LINTER, Solidity Static Analysis using REMIX IDE did not find any serious issues. Contract Deployed and tested on Remix VM.

Issue Checking

Manual code review is satisfactory.

CLOSING NOTES

Investors Advice: Technical audit of the smart contract does not guarantee the ethical nature of the project. Any owner controlled functions should be executed by the owner with responsibility. All investors/users are advised to do their due diligence before investing in the project.

Always make sure to always inspect all values and variables.

This includes, but is not limited to: • Ownership • Proper Ownership Renouncement (if any) • Any other owner-adjustable settings or variables.

OVERALL ASSESSMENT SATISFACTORY