



international crypto services agency

SMART CONTRACT

Security Audit Report



international crypto services agency

Project

MEDICOINSWISS

medicoinswiss⁺

Audit Details

Project: MEDICOINSWISS

Blockchain: Ethereum

Project website:
medicoinswiss.com

Authors: ICSA Audit team DM

Date: March 24th, 2023

Disclaimer

ICSA audits and reports should not be considered as a form of project's "advertisement" and does not cover any interaction and assessment from "project's contract" to "external contracts" such as Pancakeswap or similar.

ICSA does not provide any warranty on its released reports.

ICSA should not be used as a decision to invest into an audited project please do your own research. ICSA provides transparent reports to all its "clients" and to its "clients,participants" and will not claim any guarantee of bug-free code within its SMART CONTRACT.

ICSA presence is to analyze, audit and assess the client's smart contract's code. Each company or project shall be liable for its own security flaws and functionalities.

Scope of Work & Background

The main scope of this report/audit, is to document an accurate assessment of the condition of the smart contract and whether it has any security flaws in the implementation of the contract.

MEDICOINSWISS team agreed and provided us with the files that needed to be tested (Through Github, Etherscan, files, etc.). ICSA will be focusing on contract issues and functionalities along with the projects claims from smart contract to their website, whitepaper and repository where available, which has been provided by the project. Code is reviewed manually and with the use of software using industry best practices.

Background

ICSA was contracted by **MEDICOINSWISS** team to perform the security audit of the smart contract code:

- Contract Address **0xD86fA5C4c5508fe6f796a850b88C8775e6d8B72**

The purpose of the audit was to achieve the following:

- Ensure that the claimed functions exist and function correctly.
- Identify any security vulnerabilities that may be present in the smart contract.

The information in this report should be used to understand the risk exposure of the smart contract, and as a guide to improve the security posture of the smart contract by remediating the issues that were identified.

Project Description from Dev's

Preventing dangerous counterfeit drugs
with blockchain technology

Social Media Links

Telegram: [medicoinswissportal](#)

Twitter: [medicoinswiss1](#)

Medium: N/A

Facebook: [Medicoinswissd](#)

Discord: Click [Here](#)

Contracts details

Contract details for March 25th, 2023

Contract/Project name: Medicoinswiss

Description: ICO Contract

Compiler version: v0.4.26 (this version is over 4 years old)

Contract address: 0xD86fA5C4c5508fe6f796a850b88C87755e6d8B72

Contract deployer address: 0x41eD34229f7Cb9dc00cD268347cA0797F1E00766

Contract's current owner address: 0x41eD34229f7Cb9dc00cD268347cA0797F1E00766

Dev's KYC: Yes ICSA

Contract write functions details

Owner privileges:

Contract contains owner control, which does not make it fully decentralised , the owner has privileges, and has authority to make any changes now.



Centralization

This smart contract has some functions which can be executed by the Admin (Owner) only. . Following are Admin functions:

1. `updateRate`
2. `releaseHeldCoins`
3. `changeCreator`
4. `Sale`
5. `changeTransferStats`
6. `contribute`
7. `closeSale`
8. `setup`

To make the smart contract 100% decentralized, we suggest renouncing ownership in the smart contract once its function is completed.

SWC Registry: Smart Contract Weakness/Vulnerabilities

<u>SWC-136</u>	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	PASSED
<u>SWC-135</u>	Code With No Effects	PASSED
<u>SWC-134</u>	Message call with hardcoded gas amount	PASSED
<u>SWC-133</u>	Hash Collisions with Multiple Variable Length Arguments	PASSED
<u>SWC-132</u>	Unexpected Ether balance	PASSED
<u>SWC-131</u>	Presence of unused variables	PASSED
<u>SWC-130</u>	Right-To-Left-Override control character (U+202E)	PASSED
<u>SWC-129</u>	Typographical Error	PASSED

<u>SWC-128</u>	DoS With Block Gas Limit	PASSED
<u>SWC-127</u>	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable	PASSED
<u>SWC-126</u>	Insufficient Gas Griefing	PASSED
<u>SWC-125</u>	Incorrect Inheritance Order	PASSED
<u>SWC-124</u>	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	PASSED
<u>SWC-123</u>	Requirement Violation	LOW ISSUE
<u>SWC-122</u>	Lack of Proper Signature Verification	PASSED
<u>SWC-119</u>	Shadowing State Variables	PASSED

<u>SWC-118</u>	Incorrect Constructor Name	PASSED
<u>SWC-120</u>	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	LOW ISSUE
<u>SWC-117</u>	Signature Malleability	PASSED
<u>SWC-116</u>	Block values as a proxy for time	PASSED
<u>SWC-115</u>	Authorization through tx.origin	PASSED
<u>SWC-114</u>	Transaction Order Dependence	PASSED
<u>SWC-121</u>	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks	PASSED
<u>SWC-113</u>	DoS with Failed Call	MEDIUM SEVERITY

<u>SWC-112</u>	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	PASSED
<u>SWC-111</u>	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	LOW ISSUE
<u>SWC-110</u>	Assert Violation	PASSED
<u>SWC-109</u>	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	PASSED
<u>SWC-108</u>	State Variable Default Visibility	PASSED
<u>SWC-107</u>	Reentrancy	LOW ISSUE
<u>SWC-106</u>	Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	PASSED
<u>SWC-105</u>	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	HIGH SEVERITY

<u>SWC-104</u>	Unchecked Call Return Value	PASSED
<u>SWC-103</u>	Floating Pragma	LOW ISSUE
<u>SWC-102</u>	Outdated Compiler Version	PASSED
<u>SWC-101</u>	Integer Overflow and Underflow	PASSED

MythX failing

Low issue = Low-level weakness/vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused etc. code snippets, that can't have significant impact on execution

Medium severity = Medium-level vulnerabilities are more important; however, they can't lead to tokens lose

High severity = critical, immediate danger of exploitation

SOLHINT LINTER, Solidity Static Analysis using REMIX IDE did not find any serious issues.

Contract Deployed and tested on Remix VM.

Issue Checking

Manual code review is satisfactory. Although we have flagged a Medium severity issue we do not believe that will cause token loss or other problems with this particular contract. The High severity issue is N/A due to no Ether being stored within the contract. We have therefore give this a satisfactory assessment.

CLOSING NOTES

Investors Advice: Technical audit of the smart contract does not guarantee the ethical nature of the project. Any owner controlled functions should be executed by the owner with responsibility. All investors/users are advised to do their due diligence before investing in the project.

Always make sure to always inspect all values and variables.

This includes, but is not limited to: • Ownership • Proper Ownership Renouncement (if any) • Any other owner-adjustable settings or variables.

OVERALL ASSESSMENT **SATISFACTORY**