## A near-collision attack on BLENDER-256

Vlastimil Klima, http://cryptography.hyperlink.cz

We will describe here a near-collision attack on hash function BLENDER with 256-bit output [1]. This attack demonstrates only how to explore one weakness in the design of this hash function family.

The weakness: When we choose the length of the message carefully, we minimize "padding, filling, parsing and appending" the message according to BLENDER description. In such a way the last message block is processed by a small number of rounds (4 rounds here).

In the example we chose the first message with the length 927 bits and the second one with the same value, but one bit shorter. BLENDER prepares 32 32-bit words W[0],...,W[31] from the message, using "padding, filling, parsing and appending" processes.

For the message lengths such as 926 bits, the "padding, filling, parsing and appending" is minimal. In the example the first 28 words (of 32 bits) W[0],...,W[27] are the same for both messages. The word W[28] differs in the most significant bit (it corresponds to the change in "padding" bit 928). The word W[29] differs in one bit due to the length difference. The words W[30] and W[31] contain checksums of the previous words and could differ in a couple of bits.

These changes are propagated in the last four rounds only, what gives a near collision with high probability. The unoptimized trial program found a near-collision in 30 minutes on a notebook (225 equal bits from 256).

### Example

BLENDER, Hash algorithm for 256-bit message digests

Message 1, 927 bits:

hex:

A5 25 24 D5 F3 87 F5 66 5F 8B 43 B3 51 BD BE F4 B0 B6 63 60 B3 61 F6 35 0C 2C 7B CB 9E 3F 97 03 5D FF B4 41 52 F1 A2 18 64 E3 B4 43 CC C9 0D C6 C4 68 84 18 05 F7 B7 42 98 CB 91 10 03 39 78 19 AE A7 64 75 DD 59 A0 1A CC 4D 38 64 A3 91 D7 02 51 55 9A F1 98 C1 EC FB F5 37 C4 6A A4 1D 3D 03 1C E8 4C 40 54 19 94 72 2E 84 6F 70 9F 14 D8 CB 40 21 0B 5A binary:

### Message 2 = the first 926 bits of Message1

# BLENDER-256 (Message1) =

91 32 2B C9 72 AD 9D 02 BF F5 37 18 67 BE 47 50 CC FA 89 B2 5C 1D AO 7C ED 54 70 BD 35 E7 98 D1

binary:

11111101 10101111 11101100 00011000 11100110 01111101 11100010 00001010 00110011 01011111 10010001 01001101 00111010 10111000 00000101 00111110 10110111 00101010 00001110 10111101 10101100 11100111 00011001 100010

### BLENDER-256(Message2)=

hex:

90 2F 2A C9 76 A9 9D 00 BE F6 36 18 67 BE 45 52 CD F9 88 B2 58 1D A1 7C EC 53 72 BC 34 E7 99 D2

binary:

10110011 10011111 00010001 01001101 00011010 10111000 10000101 00111110 

## BLENDER-256 (Message1) XOR BLENDER-256 (Message2) =

01 1D 01 00 04 04 00 02 01 03 01 00 00 02 02 01 03 01 00 04 00 01 00 01 07 02 01 01 00 01 03

binary:

Hamming weight of the difference: 31

### References

[1] Colin Bradbury: BLENDER, A Proposed New Family of Cryptographic Hash Algorithms, 25th October, 2008

http://ehash.iaik.tugraz.at/uploads/5/5e/Blender.pdf

http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/ST/hash/sha-3/Round1/documents/Blender.zip