Lesson 104: Matrix diagonalization



Singular Value Decomposition

• The Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of a $n \times n$ matrix M is given by:

$$M = U\Sigma V^T$$

- ullet U and V are orthogonal matrices and Σ is a diagonal matrix of singular values
- There are many methods to calculate SVD, Jacobi method is one of them
- The **Jacobi method** seeks to systematically reduce the off-diagonal elements to zero. This is done by applying a sequence of plane rotations to M which transforms M into Σ .
- ullet Several sweeps over the entire matrix M may be necessary to complete the SVD.
- Within each sweep, the matrix elements need to be paired and appropriate rotations needs to be calculated. The $n \times n$ matrix is partitioned in $n/2 \times n/2$ blocks, each block being a 2×2 matrix.



Singular Value Decomposition – Jacobi method

• Assume the following matrix *M*:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{00} & \dots & m_{0i} & \dots & m_{0j} & \dots & m_{0n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{i0} & \dots & m_{ii} & \dots & m_{ij} & \dots & m_{in} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{j0} & \dots & m_{ji} & \dots & m_{jj} & \dots & m_{jn} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{n0} & \dots & m_{ni} & \dots & m_{nj} & \dots & m_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Choose (i,j) such that $|m_{ij}|$ is the maximum non-diagonal element
- ullet For the following matrix, force m_{ij} and m_{ji} to vanish

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_{ii} & m_{ij} \ m_{ji} & m_{jj} \end{pmatrix}$$

Propagate the computation effects along the rows and columns



Singular Value Decomposition – Jacobi method

- Major drawback: Jacobi method requires at each step the scanning of n(n-1)/2 numbers for one of maximum modulus
 - This can be time consuming for large matrices
- Cyclic Jacobi method: select the pairs (i, j) in some cyclic order
- Try the following order (cyclic-by-rows):

$$1-2, 1-3, \ldots, 1-n, 2-3, \ldots, 2-n, 3-4, \ldots (n-1)-n$$

- More than one sweep may be needed!
- Although some on-diagonal energy may go off-diagonal at some iterations, the process is known to converge in a small number of sweeps
- It is not needed to vanish a non-diagonal element completely!
 - Think in terms of off-diagonal energy going on-diagonal



Singular Value Decomposition – the core operation

• The basic operation is the two-sided rotation of each 2×2 matrix.

$$R(\theta_l)^T \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} R(\theta_r) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where θ_l and θ_r are the left and right rotation angles, respectively.

• The input 2×2 matrix subject to diagonalization is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

• A rotation matrix has the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

- Two issues need to be addressed:
 - Calculation of the rotation angles
 - Performing the rotations



Singular Value Decomposition – operation budget

- Calculation of the rotation angles requires:
 - The evaluation of arctan
- arctan is a transcendental function
 - Is series expansion appropriate to evaluate arctan?
- Performing the rotations requires:
 - The evaluation of \cos and \sin
 - Matrix multiplication
- cos and sin are transcendental functions
 - Is series expansion appropriate to evaluate \cos and \sin ?
- Matrix multiplication can be carried out within the standard instruction set



Singular Value Decomposition (cont'd)

- The efficient computation of the rotation parameters is essential.
- The direct two-angle method calculates θ_l and θ_r by computing the inverse tangents of the data elements of M:

$$\theta_{\text{SUM}} = \theta_r + \theta_l = \arctan\left(\frac{c+b}{d-a}\right)$$

$$\theta_{\text{DIFF}} = \theta_r - \theta_l = \arctan\left(\frac{c-b}{d+a}\right)$$

- The two angles, θ_l and θ_r , can be separated from the sum and difference results and applied to the two-sided rotation module to diagonalize M.
- In a typical serial computer, the **calculation of the rotation angles** and **performing the rotations** are both expensive tasks.
- Provide architectural support (define a new instruction and deploy the associated computing unit) for arctan, cos, sin



How to calculate $\arctan(x)$?

- The function $\arctan: (-\infty, \infty) \longrightarrow \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$
 - Integer representation: we clearly don't like a domain like $(-\infty,\infty)$
 - An idea!
 - * Calculate $\arctan(x)$ when $|x| \leq 1$
 - * Calculate $\operatorname{arccot}(x)$ when |x| > 1 and adjust the angle accordingly
- In C using floating point:

How to calculate $\arctan(x)$?

- Integer arithmetic required!
 - C standard library (math.h): arctan() is a floating-point function
 - "/" is not a good option to divide integers
 - $-\pi$ is a fractional number
- Implement our own $\arctan()$ routine what algorithm shall we use?
 - Taylor series expansion about a point approximation good for 1 point

$$\arctan(x) = x - \frac{1}{3}x^3 + \frac{1}{5}x^5 - \frac{1}{7}x^7 + \dots$$

- Tchebishev polynomial approximation good for an interval (homework)
- Piecewise linear approximation with three middle points:

$$\arctan(x) = \begin{cases} 0.644 \, x + 0.142 & \text{if } 0.5 < x \le 1.0, \\ 0.928 \, x & \text{if } -0.5 \le x \le 0.5, \\ 0.644 \, x - 0.142 & \text{if } -1.0 \le x < -0.5. \end{cases}$$



$\arctan(x)$ – piecewise linear approximation

The formula using fractional numbers

$$\arctan(x) = \begin{cases} 0.644 \, x + 0.142 & \text{if } 0.5 < x \le 1.0, \\ 0.928 \, x & \text{if } -0.5 \le x \le 0.5, \\ 0.644 \, x - 0.142 & \text{if } -1.0 \le x < -0.5. \end{cases}$$

- From fractional to integer (assume 12-bit signed integer representation):
 - 1.0 is represented as 2^{11} (in fact, as $2^{11} 1$)
 - 0.928 is represented as $1900=76C_{\rm h}$
 - 0.644 is represented as $1319=527_{\rm h}$
 - 0.142 is represented as $291 = 123_h$
 - 0.5 is represented as $1024 = 400_h$
 - x is represented as $X = 2^{11} x$



$\arctan(x)$ – piecewise linear approximation

• Piecewise linear approximation using integer arithmetic

$$\arctan(X) = \begin{cases} 1319 \, X + 291 & \text{if } 1024 < X \leq \textbf{2048}, \\ 1900 \, X & \text{if } -1024 \leq X \leq 1024, \\ 1319 \, X - 291 & \text{if } -2048 \leq X < -1024. \end{cases}$$

- $\arctan(X)$ is a signed integer ranging $-1,350,947\cdots+1,350,947$, which in hex is $-149\mathrm{D}23_\mathrm{h}\cdots+149\mathrm{D}23_\mathrm{h}$
 - Homework: how many bits are needed to represent arctan(X)?
- Questions that can be posed:
 - Computing time: software implementation versus hardware implementation
 - Precision of the piecewise linear approximation using integer arithmetic
- Same problem for sin(x) and cos(x)



Jacobi method – side effects

- It works fine with rectangular matrices, too.
- If the matrix is symmetric, the algorithm finds the eigenvalues.
- Matrix triangularization can be achieved with one-side rotations
 - Upper triangularization with left-side rotations
 - Lower triangularization with right-side rotations



Jacobi method – bibliography

• Professor Richard P. Brent:

http://web.comlab.ox.ac.uk/oucl/work/richard.brent/

Any textbook on linear algebra



Matrix diagonalization – project requirements

- Build the testbench: the input is a square matrix of integers
- Assume the piecewise linear approximation for \arctan , $\sin(x)$, and $\cos(x)$, and determine the maximum error for an approximation with three middle points.
- Implement piecewise linear approximation using integer arithmetic for \sin , \cos , and \arctan in:
 - software (write C routines)
 - horizontal firmware with two issue slots
 - custom hardware (write VHDL/Verilog)
- Define a new instruction that will return the trigonometric function
 - You must comply with the ARM architecture (you can have at most two arguments and one result per instruction call)



Matrix diagonalization – project requirements

- Rewrite the high-level code and instantiate the new instruction
 - Use assembly inlining
- Diagonalize a square matrix using piecewise linear approximation of trigonometric functions and estimate:
 - the performance improvement of hardware-based solution versus softwarebased solution
 - the performance improvement of a 2-issue slot firmware-based solution versus software-based solution
- Estimate the penalty in terms of number of gates for the hardware solution



Questions, feedback



