# **Definite integrals**

#### Riemann sum #definition

$$egin{aligned} \operatorname{Let}\ [a,b] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \ \operatorname{Let}\ P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\} \ a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b \ \operatorname{Let}\ C = \{c_i | orall i \in [1,n] : c_i \in [x_{i-1} + x_i]\} \ \operatorname{Let}\ \Delta x_i = x_{i-1} - x_i \ S_R(f,P,C) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i) \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i) \Delta x_i \end{aligned}$$

 $S_R(f, P, C)$  is called a Riemann sum and is an approximation of area under function f. This sum is the best approximation when all chosen rectangles' areas tend to 0

$$\mathrm{Let}\ \lambda(P) = \max\{\Delta x_i\}$$

When  $\lambda(P)$  tends to 0, all  $\Delta x$  tend to 0 and thus all rectangles' areas tend to 0

## Riemann-Integrable function #definition

Let f be a function on [a, b] f is called integrable by Riemann if: 1. f is bounded

$$2. \quad orall P,C: S_R(f,P,C) \mathop{
ightarrow}_{\lambda(P) 
ightarrow 0} L$$

Same definition via sequences:

$$f ext{ is integrable on}[a,b] ext{ if } \ orall \{P_n\}: \lambda(P_n) \mathop{\longrightarrow}\limits_{n o \infty} 0: orall \, \{C_n\}: S_R(f,P_n,C_n) o L$$

## **Definite integral #definition**

If such limit L exists, it is called definite integral of f on [a, b]

And denoted as 
$$\int_a^b f(x)dx = L$$

#### **Example**

$$egin{aligned} \operatorname{Let} f(x) &= C ext{ on } [a,b] \ S_R(f,P,C) &= \sum_{i=1}^n C \Delta x_i = C \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i \ orall P,C &: \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n [x_i - x_{i-1}] = x_n - x_0 = b - a \ \implies \int_a^b f(x) dx = C(b-a) \end{aligned}$$

# Oscillation of a function #definition

Let f be a bounded function on I (for example on [a,b])

Oscillation of f is a measure of how function varies between its extreme values

And is denoted as  $\omega(I) = \sup f - \inf f$ 

Let f be a bounded function on [a, b]Then f is integrable on [a, b] iff

$$orall \left\{ P_n 
ight\} : \lambda(P_n) \mathop{
ightarrow}_{n o \infty} 0 : \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \Delta x_i o 0$$

Where  $orall i \in [1,n]: w_i = \omega([x_i,x_{i-1}])$ 

Exaplanation (not proof):

 $\Longrightarrow$  Let f be integrable on [a,b]

 $\implies$  All Riemann sums tend to L

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (\sup_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f - \inf_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f) \Delta x_i = \ = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f \Delta x_i}_{ ext{Upper Riemann sum}} - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \inf_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f \Delta x_i}_{ ext{Lower Riemann sum}} 
ightarrow L - L = 0$$

Why is it not formal proof:

There might be no point  $c_i$  such that  $f(c_i) = \sup_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f$ 

⇒ These sums might not be Riemann sums at all

$$\biguplus \text{Let } \forall \left\{P_n\right\} : \lambda(P_n) \underset{n \to \infty}{\to} 0 : \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \Delta x_i \to 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (\sup_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f - \inf_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f) \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f \Delta x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \inf_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f \Delta x_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \Delta x_i \to 0 \implies \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f \Delta x_i \to L \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \inf_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f \Delta x_i \to L \end{cases} \\ c_i \in [x_{i-1},x_i] \implies \inf_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f \leq f(c_i) \leq \sup_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f \\ \implies \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \inf_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f \Delta x_i}_{\to L} \leq S_R(f,P,C) \leq \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} f \Delta x_i}_{\to L} \\ \implies S_R(f,P,C) \to L \implies f \text{ is Riemann-integrable} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Why is it not formal proof:} \\ x_n - y_n \to 0 \implies \begin{cases} x_n \to L \\ y_n \to L \end{cases}$$

 $x_n$  and  $y_n$  might not have a limit at all