

the relatively crude method of energy scale calibration of the early work. Also, the cross-section is about one order of magnitude lower than the value previously estimated ( $9.4 \times 10^{-18}$  versus  $2.0 \times 10^{-16}$  cm<sup>2</sup>). Finally, dissociative electron attachment to H<sub>2</sub> (Krishnakumar et al., 2011), CH<sub>3</sub>CCH (Janečková et al., 2012), NH<sub>3</sub> (Rawat et al., 2008), HNC (Chourou and Orel, 2009) and C<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (Graupner et al., 2008) are now included, as some data became available since our previous publication.

The radiative electron attachment rate coefficients are essentially the same as those presented in Table 2 of Vuitton et al. (2009), with the exception of those for H (Stancil and Dalgarno, 1998), C<sub>4</sub>H, C<sub>6</sub>H (Carelli et al., 2013) and C<sub>5</sub>N (Walsh et al., 2009), which have been updated. The changes made for the radiative electron attachment rates are not significant (factor of 2 at most).

**Ion-Neutral Reactions.** Ion-neutral reactions are presented in Table B.18. Some reaction rates have been updated since Vuitton et al. (2009): Biennier et al. (2014); Martinez et al. (2010), Su & Chesnavich at 150 K (cf. positive ions).

Mackay et al. (1977) measured the rate coefficient for the proton transfer reaction of H<sup>-</sup> with C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and reported  $k = 4.4 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-9}$  cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, a value that we used in Vuitton et al. (2009). Recently, Martinez et al. (2010) obtained  $k = 3.1 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{-9}$  cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> with a similar technique. Although the measurements overlap within combined error bars, the faster rate of Mackay et al. (1977) can be rationalized by the presence of acetone (used to safely store acetylene) as a contaminant in their experiment and we prefer the recent rate of Martinez et al. (2010).

Because HCN is less acidic than HC<sub>3</sub>N, we assumed in Vuitton et al. (2009) that the products of the reaction between CN<sup>-</sup> and HC<sub>3</sub>N are C<sub>3</sub>N<sup>-</sup> and HCN and that the reaction occurs at every collision. This assumption has since been validated experimentally (Biennier et al., 2014).

We finally include the reaction of C<sub>4</sub>H<sup>-</sup> and C<sub>6</sub>H<sup>-</sup> with N atoms, which primarily form CN<sup>-</sup> but are rather slow, with rate coefficients close to  $10^{-11}$  cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Eichelberger et al., 2007).

**Negative Ion Loss.** Loss mechanisms for negative ions include photodetachment (cf. Table B.12), recombination with positive ions (cf. Table B.17) and associative detachment with neutrals (cf. Table B.19).

For the photodetachment calculations, we adopt a cross-section  $\sigma$  (cm<sup>2</sup>) that depends on the photon energy  $\epsilon$  (eV), according to the empirical formula:

$$\text{for } \epsilon \geq \text{EA}, \sigma = \sigma_{\infty}(1 - \text{EA}/\epsilon)^{0.5}, \quad (\text{E24})$$

where  $\sigma_{\infty}$  denotes the asymptotic cross-section (cm<sup>2</sup>) for large photon energies and EA the electron affinity (eV) of the corresponding neutral (Millar et al., 2007). Ion traps have recently been successfully employed to study absolute photodetachment cross-sections for O<sup>-</sup> and OH<sup>-</sup> (Hlavenka et al., 2009), C<sub>2</sub>H<sup>-</sup>, C<sub>4</sub>H<sup>-</sup> and C<sub>6</sub>H<sup>-</sup> (Best et al., 2011), and CN<sup>-</sup> and C<sub>3</sub>N<sup>-</sup> (Kumar et al., 2013).

For these ions,  $\sigma_\infty$  is derived from fits to the measured cross-sections using Equation (E24) and literature electron affinities. For the other ions for which no experimental data are available, we assume  $\sigma_\infty$  to be equal to  $10^{-17}$  cm<sup>2</sup>. The electron affinities and asymptotic cross-section values are given in Table (B.12).

The parameterization of Hickman (1979) for the recombination of negative with positive ions has been revised by Miller et al. (2012), following a new set of measurements. We therefore use the updated expression,

$$k = 2.8 \times 10^{-7} EA^{-0.13} \mu^{-0.5} (T/300)^{-0.9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (\text{E25})$$

where  $EA$  is the electron affinity of the corresponding neutral,  $\mu$  is the reduced mass of the collision partners and  $T$  is the temperature of the gas. For the ions of interest here, we obtain rate coefficients varying from  $5 \times 10^{-8}$  to  $3 \times 10^{-7}$  cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 300 K.

We now consider reaction products and, in the absence of data, we use the general scheme:  $A^- + BH^+ \rightarrow A + B + H$ . In the case of  $\text{HCNH}^+$ , it is assumed that the product is only HCN (no HNC), the most stable isomer (cf. Table B.20).

We consider associative detachment with H and CH<sub>3</sub> as in Vuitton et al. (2009), and now also include reaction with N atoms that exhibit an abundance similar to CH<sub>3</sub> in the ionosphere. Gerlich et al. (2012) studied the formation of H<sub>2</sub> via associative detachment in  $\text{H}^- + \text{H}$  collisions between 10 and 135 K and reports a rate coefficient of  $5.5 \times 10^{-9}$  cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 135 K, which we prefer to the room temperature value of Fehsenfeld et al. (1973).

We also update the rate coefficients for  $\text{CN}^-$ ,  $\text{C}_3\text{N}^-$  and  $\text{C}_5\text{N}^-$  with H atoms (Yang et al., 2011; Snow et al., 2009). For  $\text{CN}^-$ , the reported rate coefficient ( $6.3 \times 10^{-10}$  cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) agrees well with the previous results of Fehsenfeld et al. (1973):  $8 \times 10^{-10}$  cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>  $\pm$  factor of 2. We note that in Vuitton et al. (2009), we reproduced an incorrect value ( $1.3 \times 10^{-9}$  cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) cited in Fehsenfeld (1975). For  $\text{C}_3\text{N}^-$  and  $\text{C}_5\text{N}^-$ , the rate coefficient is a factor of  $\sim 2$  smaller than the assumption (after Petrie and Herbst (1997)) reported in our previous paper.

The reactions with N atoms use the rate coefficients reported in Eichelberger et al. (2007) and Ferguson (1973) for  $\text{C}_2\text{H}^-$  and  $\text{OH}^-$ , respectively. For the other ions, we assume that the rate coefficient is the same as that for the reaction with H atoms.

Again, we now consider reaction products and, in the absence of data, use the general scheme:  $A^- + B \rightarrow AB + e^-$ . In the case of  $\text{C}_3\text{H}_4$ , it is assumed that the products are equal amounts of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCH}$  and  $\text{CH}_2\text{CCH}_2$  (cf. Table B.14).

#### 2.7.4. <sup>15</sup>N Species

In order to take into account <sup>15</sup>N bearing species, we start from our <sup>14</sup>N chemistry and generate analogous reactions in which <sup>14</sup>N is replaced by <sup>15</sup>N. Because they do not impact nitrogen chemistry, we do not include reactions of <sup>15</sup>N species with oxygen species and negative ions. Reactions in which both reactants contain nitrogen or in which a species contains more than one nitrogen

Table B.12: Mass-to-charge ( $m/z$ ), electron affinities (EA) and asymptotic cross-sections  $\sigma_0$  used in the calculation of the photodetachment cross-sections.

Ion species	$m/z$ (u)	EA (eV)	$\sigma_0$ ( $\text{cm}^2$ )	Ref.
$\text{H}^-$	1	0.75	$1.0 \times 10^{-17}$	[1, 2]
$\text{CH}_2^-$	14	0.65	$1.0 \times 10^{-17}$	[3, 2]
$\text{CH}_3^-$	15	0.08	$1.0 \times 10^{-17}$	[4, 2]
$\text{C}_2\text{H}^-$	25	3.0	$8.8 \times 10^{-18}$	[5]
$\text{C}_4\text{H}^-$	49	3.6	$7.7 \times 10^{-18}$	[6, 5]
$\text{C}_6\text{H}^-$	73	3.8	$4.8 \times 10^{-18}$	[6, 5]
$\text{CN}^-$	26	3.9	$2.8 \times 10^{-17}$	[7, 8]
$\text{C}_3\text{N}^-$	50	4.3	$5.2 \times 10^{-17}$	[9, 8]
$\text{C}_5\text{N}^-$	74	4.5	$1.0 \times 10^{-17}$	[9, 2]
$\text{O}^-$	16	1.5	$1.2 \times 10^{-17}$	[1, 10]
$\text{OH}^-$	17	1.8	$3.3 \times 10^{-17}$	[11, 10]

**Notes.** The asymptotic cross-sections for  $\text{O}^-$  and  $\text{OH}^-$  are derived from fits to Eq. (E.15) using the literature electron affinities shown in column 2, and measured cross-sections at 1.87 and 2.33 eV for  $\text{O}^-$  and 1.87 and 1.96 eV for  $\text{OH}^-$  (cf. [10]).

## References

- [1] H. Hotop, W. Lineberger, Binding energies in atomic negative ions: II, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 14 (1985) 731–750.
- [2] T. Millar, C. Walsh, M. Cordiner, R. Chuimín, E. Herbst, Hydrocarbon anions in interstellar clouds and circumstellar envelopes, Astrophys. J. 662 (2007) L87–L90.
- [3] D. Leopold, K. Murray, A. Stevens Miller, W. Lineberger, Methylene: A study of the  $X^3B_1$  and  $\tilde{a}^1A_1$  states by photoelectron spectroscopy of  $\text{CH}_2^-$  and  $\text{CD}_2^-$ , J. Chem. Phys. 83 (1985) 4849–4865.
- [4] G. Ellison, P. Engelking, W. Lineberger, An experimental determination of the geometry and electron affinity of methyl radical, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100 (1978) 2556–2558.
- [5] T. Best, R. Otto, S. Trippel, P. Hlavenka, A. von Zastrow, S. Eisenbach, S. Jézouin, R. Wester, E. Vigren, M. Hamberg, W. Geppert, Absolute photodetachment cross-section measurements for hydrocarbon chain anions, Astrophys. J. 742 (2011) #63.
- [6] T. Pino, M. Tulej, F. Güthe, M. Pachkov, J. Maier, Photodetachment spectroscopy of the  $\text{C}_{2n}\text{H}^-$  ( $n=2-4$ ) anions in the vicinity of their electron detachment threshold, J. Chem. Phys. 116 (2002) 6126–6131.
- [7] S. Bradforth, E. Kim, D. Arnold, D. Neumark, Photoelectron spectroscopy of  $\text{CN}^-$ ,  $\text{NCO}^-$ , and  $\text{NCS}^-$ , J. Chem. Phys. 98 (1993) 800–810.
- [8] S. Kumar, D. Hauser, R. Jindra, T. Best, Š. Roučka, W. Geppert, T. Millar, R. Wester, Photodetachment as a destruction mechanism for  $\text{CN}^-$  and  $\text{C}_3\text{N}^-$  anions in circumstellar envelopes, Astrophys. J. 776 (2013) #25.
- [9] T. Yen, E. Garand, A. Shreve, D. Neumark, Anion photoelectron spectroscopy of  $\text{C}_3\text{N}^-$  and  $\text{C}_5\text{N}^-$ , J. Phys. Chem. A 114 (2010) 3215–3220.
- [10] P. Hlavenka, R. Otto, S. Trippel, J. Mikosch, M. Weidemüller, R. Wester, Absolute photodetachment cross section measurements of the  $\text{O}^-$  and  $\text{OH}^-$  anion, J. Chem. Phys. 130 (2009) #061105.
- [11] F. Goldfarb, C. Drag, W. Chaibi, S. Kröger, C. Blondel, C. Delsart, Photodetachment microscopy of the  $P$ ,  $Q$ , and  $R$  branches of the  $\text{OH}^- (v=0)$  to  $\text{OH}(v=0)$  detachment threshold, J. Chem. Phys. 122 (2005) #014308.