Bumpless pipe dreams meet Puzzles

(joint with Neil J.Y. Fan and Peter L. Guo)

Rui Xiong

December 23, 2023

meet



Linear algebra

Denote the *standard opposite flag*

$$F^0 = \mathbb{C}^n \geq \cdots \geq F^{n-2} = \langle \mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_{n-1} \rangle \geq F^{n-1} = \langle \mathbf{e}_n \rangle \geq F^n = 0.$$

For each $V \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ of dimension k, we have a decreasing flag

$$V = F^0 \cap V \geq \cdots \geq F^{n-2} \cap V \geq F^{n-1} \cap V \geq F^n \cap V = 0.$$

We can assign the set of "jumping indices" λ, i.e.

$$\lambda_i = 1 \iff \dim(F^{i-1} \cap V) > \dim(F^i \cap V)$$
$$\lambda_i = 0 \iff \dim(F^{i-1} \cap V) = \dim(F^i \cap V)$$

Grassmannians

Denote

$$\mathrm{Gr}(k,n) = \{V \le \mathbb{C}^n \mid \dim V = k\}.$$

Let us denote *Schubert cell* for $\lambda \in \binom{[n]}{k}$

$$\Sigma_\lambda^\circ = \left\{ V \in \mathrm{Gr}(k,n) \,\middle|\, \text{jumping indices of } V = \lambda \right\}.$$

$$\Sigma_\lambda = \text{closure of } \Sigma_\lambda^\circ, \qquad \sigma_\lambda = [\Sigma_\lambda^\circ] \in H^\bullet(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)).$$

It is known that

$$H^\bullet(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \sigma_\lambda \qquad \text{(as a vector space)}$$

Example

Let us identify

$$\mathrm{Gr}(2,4) = \left\{ \text{lines in } \mathbb{P}^3 \right\}.$$

ALL LINES 1100 	 1010 	 0110 
 1001 	 0101 	A LINE 0011 

Littlewood–Richardson coefficients

Assume

$$\sigma_\lambda \cdot \sigma_\mu = \sum_{\mu \in \binom{[n]}{k}} c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} \cdot \sigma_\nu.$$

The coefficients $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ are known as *Littlewood–Richardson (LR) coefficients*.

It also appears in the study of representation theory and symemtric functions. These coefficients admit a lot of combinatorial models like



Robinson–Schensted correspondence



jeu de taquin



crystal

Schur operators

Geometric meaning

Let us denote

$$c_{\lambda\mu\nu} = c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu^{op}}$$

Then for generic $x, y, z \in \mathrm{GL}_n$

$$c_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \#\left\{ v \in \mathrm{Gr}(k,n) \,\middle|\, xV \in \Sigma_\lambda,\ yV \in \Sigma_\mu,\ zV \in \Sigma_\nu \right\}.$$

If it is empty or infinite, then it is understood as zero.

Examples



We can compute:





$c_{1010,1010,0110} = 1 \quad c_{1010,1010,1001} = 1$

As a result,

$$\sigma_{1010} \cdot \sigma_{1010} = \sigma_{0110} + \sigma_{1001}.$$

Puzzles

Let us use the following convention

$$\text{red} = 1, \qquad \text{blue} = 0.$$

Theorem (A. Knutson, T. Tao, and C. Woodward)
The number $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}$ is the number of puzzles



using



Warning:  are not allowed (we cannot reflect puzzles).

Examples



$$\sigma_{1010} \cdot \sigma_{1010} = \sigma_{0110} + \sigma_{1001}.$$

Generalization A $\quad H^\bullet(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)) \rightsquigarrow K(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$

Let us denote

$$\mathcal{O}_\lambda = [\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_\lambda}] = \text{structure sheaf for } \Sigma_\lambda.$$

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda = [\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_\lambda}(-\partial\Sigma_\lambda)] = \text{ideal sheaf for } \partial\Sigma_\lambda = \Sigma_\lambda \setminus \Sigma_\lambda^\circ.$$

It is known that they are dual basis under the Poincaré pairing.

Similarly, we have

$$K(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \mathcal{O}_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \mathcal{I}_\lambda.$$

We call the coefficients of their expansion the *structure constants*.

Theorem (Vakil)

The structure constant for $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ is the number of puzzles



using



Theorem (Wheeler and Zinn-Justin)

The structure constant for $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ is the number of puzzles



using



Examples



$$\mathcal{O}_{1010} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{1010} = \mathcal{O}_{0110} + \mathcal{O}_{1001} + \mathcal{O}_{0101}$$



$$\mathcal{I}_{1010} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1010} = \mathcal{I}_{0110} + \mathcal{I}_{1001} + \mathcal{I}_{0101} + \mathcal{I}_{0011}.$$

Generalization B $\quad H^\bullet(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)) \rightsquigarrow H_T^\bullet(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$

Here we are considering the *toric equivariant cohomology*. We have

$$H_T^\bullet(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \ldots, t_n] \cdot \sigma_\lambda$$

Similarly, we have *toric equivariant K-theory*

$$\begin{aligned} K_T(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))) &= \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \mathbb{Q}[\tau_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, \tau_n^{\pm 1}] \cdot \mathcal{O}_\lambda, \\ &= \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \mathbb{Q}[\tau_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, \tau_n^{\pm 1}] \cdot \mathcal{I}_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Knutson and Tao)

The structure constant for $H_T^\bullet(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$ can be computed by



using



Theorem (Pechenik and Yong, Wheeler and Zinn-Justin)

The structure constant for $K_T(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$ can be computed by



for $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$



for $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$

Examples



$$\sigma_{1010} \cdot \sigma_{1010} = (t_3 - t_2) \cdot \sigma_{1010} + \sigma_{0110} + \sigma_{1001}.$$

Summary

tiles	K-tiles	equivariant tiles
	 or 	



Flag varieties

Now we turn to *flag varieties*

$$\mathrm{Fl}(n) = \{0{=}V_0 < V_1 < \cdots < V_n{=}\mathbb{C}^n\}.$$

For each flag $V_\bullet \in \mathrm{Fl}(n)$, we can similarly assign a permutation w such that

$$w(i) = j \iff \dim \frac{F^{i-1} \cap V_j + F^i}{F^{i-1} \cap V_{j-1} + F^i} = 1.$$

We can similarly define

$$\Sigma_w^\circ = \{V_\bullet \in \mathrm{Fl}(k,n) \,|\, \text{permutations of } V = w\}.$$

$$\Sigma_w = \text{closure of } \Sigma_w^\circ, \qquad \sigma_w = [\Sigma_w] \in H^\bullet(\mathrm{Fl}(n)).$$

Littlewood–Richardson coefficients

It is known that

$$H^{\bullet}(\mathrm{Fl}(n)) = \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \sigma_w \qquad \text{(as a vector space)}$$

The central problem in Schubert calculus is to compute the coefficients c_{uv}^{w} in the expression

$$\sigma_u \cdot \sigma_v = \sum_{w \in S_n} c_{uv}^{w} \cdot \sigma_w.$$

There is no general combinaotrial model for c_{uv}^{w} up to now.

Schubert poylnomials

To study it, we define *Schubert polynomials*. For $w \in S_\infty$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n\cdots 21} = x_1^{n-1} x_2^{n-2} \cdots x_{n-1},$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{w(i,i+1)} = \frac{\mathfrak{S}_w - \mathfrak{S}_w|_{x_i \leftrightarrow x_{i+1}}}{x_i - x_{i+1}}, \qquad w_i < w_{i+1}.$$

It turns out the structure constant can be computed by

$$\mathfrak{S}_u \cdot \mathfrak{S}_v = \sum_{w \in S_\infty} c_{uv}^w \cdot \mathfrak{S}_w.$$

Thus we translate a geometric problem to an algebraic problem.

Examples

$$
\begin{tikzcd}[column sep=small]
 & \mathfrak{S}_{321} = x_1^2 x_2 \arrow[dl, dash] \arrow[dr, dash] & \\
x_1 x_2 = \frac{x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^2 x_1}{x_1 - x_2} = \mathfrak{S}_{231} \arrow[d, dash] \arrow[drr, dash] & & \mathfrak{S}_{312} = \frac{x_1^2 x_2 - x_1^2 x_3}{x_2 - x_3} = x_1^2 \arrow[d, dash] \arrow[dll, dash] \\
x_1 = \frac{x_1 x_2 - x_1 x_3}{x_2 - x_3} = \mathfrak{S}_{213} \arrow[dr, dash] & & \mathfrak{S}_{132} = \frac{x_1^2 - x_2^2}{x_1 - x_2} = x_1 + x_2 \arrow[dl, dash] \\
 & \mathfrak{S}_{123} = 1 &
\end{tikzcd}
$$

We have

$$\sigma_{213} \cdot \sigma_{132} = \sigma_{231} + \sigma_{312}.$$

Bumpless pipe dream

There is an amazing combinatorial model for Schubert polynomials called *bumpless pipe dream*.

Theorem (Lam, Lee, and Shimozono)

Schubert polynomial $\mathfrak{S}_w$ is the weighted sum of



using

	
1	x_i

such that each pair of pipes crosses at most once

Examples

$\mathfrak{S}_{321} = x_1^2 x_2$



$\mathfrak{S}_{213} = x_1$



$\mathfrak{S}_{231} = x_1 x_2$

$\mathfrak{S}_{132} = x_1 + x_2$





$\mathfrak{S}_{312} = x_1^2$



$\mathfrak{S}_{123} = 1$



Generalization A $\quad H^\bullet(\mathrm{Fl}(n)) \rightsquigarrow K(\mathrm{Fl}(n))$

Similarly,

$$K(\mathrm{Fl}(n)) = \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \mathcal{O}_w \qquad \text{(as a vector space)}.$$

The structure constant of $\mathcal{O}_w$ is the same as the the structure constant of *Grothendieck polynomials*:

$$\mathfrak{G}_{n\cdots 21} = x_1^{n-1} x_2^{n-2} \cdots x_{n-1},$$

$$\mathfrak{G}_{w(i,i+1)} = \frac{(1+\beta x_{i+1})\mathfrak{G}_w - (1+\beta x_i)\mathfrak{G}_w|_{x_i \leftrightarrow x_{i+1}}}{x_i - x_{i+1}}, \qquad w_i < w_{i+1}.$$

Theorem (Weigandt)

Grothendieck polynomial $\mathfrak{G}_w$ *is the weighted sum of*



using

			
1	β	$1+\beta x_i$	x_i

such that

each pair of pipes crosses at most once

in each , *the J-pipe* $>$ *the* Γ*-pipe*

Generalization B $\quad H^\bullet(\mathrm{Fl}(k,n)) \rightsquigarrow H_T^\bullet(\mathrm{Fl}(n))$

We have

$$H_T^\bullet(\mathrm{Fl}(n)) = \bigoplus_{w\in S_n} \mathbb{Q}[t_1,\ldots,t_n]\cdot \sigma_w$$

$$K_T(\mathrm{Fl}(n)) = \bigoplus_{w\in S_n} \mathbb{Q}[\tau_1^{\pm 1},\ldots,t_n^{\pm 1}]\cdot \mathcal{O}_w$$

The corresponding polynomial is known as *double Schubert/Grothendieck polynomial*.

Theorem (Lam, Lee, and Shimozono)

Double Schubert polynomial $\mathfrak{S}_w$ is the weighted sum of bumpless pipe dreams but with double weight:

	
1	$x_i - t_j$

Theorem (Weigandt)

Double Grothendieck polynomial $\mathfrak{G}_w$ is the weighted sum of bumpless pipe dreams but with double weight:

			
1	β	$1 + \beta(x_i - t_j)$	$x_i - t_j$

Examples



$(x_1 - t_1)(x_1 - t_2)(x_2 - t_1)$



$(x_1 - t_1)$

$(x_1 - t_1)(x_2 - t_1)$



$(x_2 - t_2) + (x_1 - t_1)$



$(x_1 - t_1)(x_1 - t_2)$

1

Seperated descents

Assume $u, v \in S_n$ have seperated descents

$$\max(\mathrm{des}(u)) \leq k \leq \min(\mathrm{des}(v)).$$

There is a very recent combinatorial rule by Knutson and Zinn-Justin for the expansion of

$$\mathcal{O}_u \cdot \mathcal{O}_v = \sum_{w} c_{uv}^{w}(t) \cdot \mathcal{O}_w,$$

We generalize it to the *triple version*.

Our main result

single Schubert calculus	double Schubert calculus	triple Schubert calculus
non-equivariant $\mathfrak{S}_u(x)\mathfrak{S}_v(x)$	equivariant $\mathfrak{S}_u(x,t)\mathfrak{S}_v(x,t)$	★ $\mathfrak{S}_u(x,t)\mathfrak{S}_v(x,y)$

We can view triple Schubert calculus as the *universal rule* for

$$\mathfrak{S}_u(x,t)\cdot\mathfrak{S}_v(x,wt)$$

which geometrically corresponds to the intersection of Schubert varieties of different transversality.

Theorem (FGX)

There is a combinatorial rule for $c_{uv}^w(y,t)$ in the expansion

$$\mathfrak{S}_u(x,y)\cdot\mathfrak{S}_v(x,t)=\sum_{w\in S_\infty} c_{uv}^w(y,t)\cdot\mathfrak{S}_w(x,t).$$

Pipe Puzzles

Let us first state the rule for cohomology, i.e. $\beta = 0$.



using

	
1	$t_j - y_i$

in each , the hori. pipe $<$ the vert. pipe

For K-theory, it can be computed by using one more piece .

Example

Recall

$$\mathfrak{S}_{213}(x,y) = x_1 - y_1 \qquad \mathfrak{S}_{132}(x,t) = x_1 + x_2 - t_1 - t_2$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{231}(x,t) = (x_1 - t_1)(x_2 - t_1) \qquad \mathfrak{S}_{312}(x,t) = (x_1 - t_1)(x_1 - t_2)$$

$$k = 1, \qquad u = 2 \mid 13, \qquad v = 1 \mid 32.$$



$t_1 - y_1 \qquad 1 \qquad 1$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{213}(x,y) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{132}(x,t) = (t_1 - y_1)\mathfrak{S}_{132}(x,t) + \mathfrak{S}_{231}(x,t) + \mathfrak{S}_{312}(x,t).$$

On the proof

Our proof is based on the classical *6-vertex model*, and is significantly simple! What we need is to prove

I. induction on y II. induction on t III. initial cases.

Historically, people realized that equivariant cohomology is usually easier than usual cohomology.

$$\boxed{\text{single}} \Longrightarrow \boxed{\text{double}}$$

It turns out the same happens for

$$\boxed{\text{double}} \Longrightarrow \boxed{\text{triple}}$$

Specialization A — seperated descents puzzles

If we set $y_i = t_i$, then on the diagonal  has weight 0. So it suffices to count those with  or  on the diagonal; so all pipes must go straight down under the diagonal. So we only need the upper triangle. This specializes to Knutson and Zinn-Justin's puzzle.



$$\mathfrak{S}_{213}(x,t) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{132}(x,t) = \mathfrak{S}_{231}(x,t) + \mathfrak{S}_{312}(x,t).$$

Specialization B — bumpless pipe dream

If we set $k = n$, then $v = \mathrm{id}$. Taking $x = t$ on both sides of

$$\mathfrak{S}_u(x, y) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_v(x, t) = \sum_{w \in S_\infty} c_{uv}^{w}(y, t) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_w(x, t),$$

we will get

$$\mathfrak{S}_u(t, y) = c_{u\,\mathrm{id}}^{\mathrm{id}}(y, t).$$

By reflecting against the diagonal and changing the labels, we recover the Weigandt's model of bumpless pipe dream.

Specialization C — classical puzzles

When u and v are both k-Grassmannian (i.e. at most one descent at k), we can recover the Grassmannian puzzles introduced in the first part. First, let us color pipes $\leq k$ by red and $\geq k$ by blue. Then we replace



Then rotate 120° anticlockwise.



Thanks