

1a. Prove that “Implication Introduction” (the opposite of Implication Elimination) is a sound rule of inference (ROI) using a truth table. If you have a Horn clause, with T positive literal and n-T negative literals, like $(\neg X \vee Z \vee \neg Y)$, you can transform it into a conjunctive rule by collecting the negative literals as positive antecedents, e.g. $X \wedge Y \rightarrow Z$. It is sufficient to prove this for n-T=2 antecedents. (In fact, this is a truth-preserving operation, hence sound.)

X	Y	Z	$\neg X \vee Z \vee \neg Y$	$X \wedge Y \rightarrow Z$
T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F
T	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T

Because Z is always true, it does not matter what the truth value of $X \wedge Y$ is, the statement $X \wedge Y \rightarrow Z$ is always true. Thus, if we have premises X and Y and derive $(X \wedge Y) \rightarrow Z$ using Implication Introduction, Z is true on X and Y. Since the implication is always true, we can conclude that Implication Introduction is a sound ROI.

1b. Prove that $(A \wedge B \rightarrow C \wedge D) \vdash (A \wedge B \rightarrow C)$ ("conjunctive rule splitting") is a sound rule-of-inference using a truth table.

A	B	C	D	$A \wedge B$	$C \wedge D$	$A \wedge B \rightarrow C \wedge D$	$A \wedge B \rightarrow C$
F	F	F	F	F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	F	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F	F	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	F	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	T	F	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	T	F	F	F
T	T	F	T	T	F	F	F
T	T	T	F	T	F	F	T
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T

From this truth table, we can conclude that the statement $(A \wedge B \rightarrow C \wedge D) \vdash (A \wedge B \rightarrow C)$ is a sound ROI, as the conclusion is always true if the premise is true.

1c. Also prove $(A \wedge B \rightarrow C \wedge D) \models (A \wedge B \rightarrow C)$ using Natural Deduction. (hint: use 1a above)

1. $A \wedge B$ (Assumption)
2. $A \wedge B \rightarrow C \wedge D$ (Premise)
3. $C \wedge D$ (Modus Ponens)
4. C (AndElimination)
5. $A \wedge B \rightarrow C$ (Implication Introduction)

1d. Also prove $(A \wedge B \rightarrow C \wedge D) \models (A \wedge B \rightarrow C)$ using Resolution.

Convert to CNF

1. $\neg(A \wedge B) \vee C \wedge D$ (Implication Elimination)
2. Distribute negation: $(\neg A \vee \neg B) \vee (C \wedge D)$
3. $(\neg A \vee \neg B \vee C) \wedge (\neg A \vee \neg B \vee D)$
 - a. $(\neg A \vee \neg B \vee C)$
 - b. $(\neg A \vee \neg B \vee D)$

Negate the query

1. (IE) $\neg(A \wedge B) \vee C = \neg A \vee \neg B \vee C$
2. $\neg(\neg A \vee \neg B \vee C) = A \wedge B \wedge \neg C$ (DML)
 - a. A
 - b. B
 - c. $\neg C$

Now we have:

1. A
2. B
3. $\neg C$
4. $\neg A \vee \neg B \vee C$
5. $\neg A \vee \neg B \vee D$
6. C (resolve 4 with 1 and 2)
7. \emptyset (Resolve 6 and 3, empty clause)

2a.

Initial facts: O1Y, L1W, O2W, L2Y, O3Y, L3B

Using x to represent a color in our domain (W, Y, B).

Using z to represent a box in our domain (1, 2, 3)

KB = {

Boxes are definitely labeled wrong

1. $L1Y \rightarrow \neg C1Y$
2. $L1W \rightarrow \neg C1W$
3. $L1B \rightarrow \neg C1B$
4. $L2Y \rightarrow \neg C2Y$
5. $L2W \rightarrow \neg C2W$
6. $L2B \rightarrow \neg C2B$
7. $L3Y \rightarrow \neg C3Y$
8. $L3W \rightarrow \neg C3W$
9. $L3B \rightarrow \neg C3B$

If we observe a color out of a particular box, the box is that color or both

10. $O1Y \rightarrow C1Y \vee C1B$
11. $O1W \rightarrow C1W \vee C1B$
12. $O2Y \rightarrow C2Y \vee C2B$
13. $O2W \rightarrow C2W \vee C2B$
14. $O3Y \rightarrow C3Y \vee C3B$
15. $O3W \rightarrow C3W \vee C3B$

Colors not the same between boxes

16. $C1Y \rightarrow \neg C2Y \wedge \neg C3Y$
17. $C1W \rightarrow \neg C2W \wedge \neg C3W$
18. $C1B \rightarrow \neg C2B \wedge \neg C3B$
19. $C2Y \rightarrow \neg C1Y \wedge \neg C3Y$
20. $C2W \rightarrow \neg C1W \wedge \neg C3W$

21. $C2B \rightarrow \neg C1B \wedge \neg C3B$
22. $C3Y \rightarrow \neg C1Y \wedge \neg C2Y$
23. $C3W \rightarrow \neg C1W \wedge \neg C2W$
24. $C3B \rightarrow \neg C1B \wedge \neg C2B$

If a box is not two distinct colors, it must be the remaining color

25. $\neg C1W \wedge \neg C1Y \rightarrow C1B$
26. $\neg C1W \wedge \neg C1B \rightarrow C1Y$
27. $\neg C1Y \wedge \neg C1B \rightarrow C1W$
28. $\neg C2W \wedge \neg C2Y \rightarrow C2B$
29. $\neg C2W \wedge \neg C2B \rightarrow C2Y$
30. $\neg C2Y \wedge \neg C2B \rightarrow C2W$
31. $\neg C3W \wedge \neg C3Y \rightarrow C3B$
32. $\neg C3W \wedge \neg C3B \rightarrow C3Y$
33. $\neg C3Y \wedge \neg C3B \rightarrow C3W$

If we know the colors (x_1, x_2) of two boxes (z_1 and z_2), then the last box must be the remaining color (z_3 is x_3)

34. $Cz_1x_1 \wedge Cz_2x_2 \rightarrow Cz_3x_3$
 - a. i.e. $C1Y \wedge C2W \rightarrow C3B$

}

2b. Prove that box 2 must contain white balls (C2W) using Natural Deduction.

Premises	Derivations
35. O1Y	(35, 10, and Modus Ponens) 41. $C1Y \vee C1B$
36. L1W	(36, 2, and MP) 42. $\neg C1W$
37. O2W	(37, 13, MP) 43. $C2W \vee C2B$
38. L2Y	(38, 4, MP) 44. $\neg C2Y$
39. O3Y	(39, 14, MP)

	45. $C3Y \vee C3B$
40. L3B	(40, 9, MP) 46. $\neg C3B$
	(40, 39, AndIntroduction) 47. $\neg C3B \wedge (C3Y \vee C3B) = \neg C3B \wedge C3Y$ 48. (AndElimination) $C3Y$
	(22, MP) 49. $\neg C1Y \wedge \neg C2Y$ 50. (49, AE) $\neg C1Y$ 51. (50, 42, AI) $\neg C1Y \wedge \neg C1W$ 52. (51, 25, MP) $C1B$ 53. (48, 52, AI) $C1B \wedge C3Y$ 54. (34, 53, MP) $C2W$

2c. Convert your KB to CNF.

Converted KB using Implication Elimination for every rule (each number corresponds to the original KB rule)

KB_{CNF} = {

1. $\neg L1Y \vee \neg C1Y$
2. $\neg L1W \vee \neg C1W$
3. $\neg L1B \vee \neg C1B$
4. $\neg L2Y \vee \neg C2Y$
5. $\neg L2W \vee \neg C2W$
6. $\neg L2B \vee \neg C2B$
7. $\neg L3Y \vee \neg C3Y$
8. $\neg L3W \vee \neg C3W$
9. $\neg L3B \vee \neg C3B$
10. $\neg O1Y \vee C1Y \vee C1B$
11. $\neg O1W \vee C1W \vee C1B$
12. $\neg O2Y \vee C2Y \vee C2B$
13. $\neg O2W \vee C2W \vee C2B$
14. $\neg O3Y \vee C3Y \vee C3B$
15. $\neg O3W \vee C3W \vee C3B$
16. $\neg C1Y \vee (\neg C2Y \wedge \neg C3Y) = (\neg C1Y \vee \neg C2Y) \wedge (\neg C1Y \vee \neg C3Y)$
 - a. $(\neg C1Y \vee \neg C2Y)$
 - b. $(\neg C1Y \vee \neg C3Y)$
17. $(\neg C1W \vee \neg C2W) \wedge (\neg C1W \vee \neg C3W)$
 - a. $(\neg C1W \vee \neg C2W)$
 - b. $(\neg C1W \vee \neg C3W)$
18. $(\neg C1B \vee \neg C2B) \wedge (\neg C1B \vee \neg C3B)$
 - a. $(\neg C1B \vee \neg C2B)$
 - b. $(\neg C1B \vee \neg C3B)$

$$19. \neg C2Y \vee (\neg C1Y \wedge \neg C3Y) = (\neg C2Y \vee \neg C1Y) \wedge (\neg C2Y \vee \neg C3Y)$$

$$a. (\neg C2Y \vee \neg C1Y)$$

$$b. (\neg C2Y \vee \neg C3Y)$$

$$20. (\neg C2W \vee \neg C1W) \wedge (\neg C2W \vee \neg C3W)$$

$$a. (\neg C2W \vee \neg C1W)$$

$$b. (\neg C2W \vee \neg C3W)$$

$$21. (\neg C2B \vee \neg C1B) \wedge (\neg C2B \vee \neg C3B)$$

$$a. (\neg C2B \vee \neg C1B)$$

$$b. (\neg C2B \vee \neg C3B)$$

$$22. (\neg C3Y \vee \neg C1Y) \wedge (\neg C3Y \vee \neg C2Y)$$

$$a. (\neg C3Y \vee \neg C1Y)$$

$$b. (\neg C3Y \vee \neg C2Y)$$

$$23. (\neg C3W \vee \neg C1W) \wedge (\neg C3W \vee \neg C2W)$$

$$a. (\neg C3W \vee \neg C1W)$$

$$b. (\neg C3W \vee \neg C2W)$$

$$24. (\neg C3B \vee \neg C1B) \wedge (\neg C3B \vee \neg C2B)$$

$$a. (\neg C3B \vee \neg C1B)$$

$$b. (\neg C3B \vee \neg C2B)$$

$$25. \neg(\neg C1W \wedge \neg C1Y) \vee C1B = (DML) C1W \vee C1Y \vee C1B$$

$$26. C1W \vee C1Y \vee C1B$$

$$27. C1W \vee C1Y \vee C1B$$

$$28. C2W \vee C2Y \vee C2B$$

$$29. C2W \vee C2Y \vee C2B$$

$$30. C2W \vee C2Y \vee C2B$$

$$31. C3W \vee C3Y \vee C3B$$

$$32. C3W \vee C3Y \vee C3B$$

$$33. C3W \vee C3Y \vee C3B$$

$$34. \neg C_{z_1} x_1 \vee \neg C_{z_2} x_2 \vee C_{z_3} x_3$$

$$35. (\text{Negate query}) \neg C2W$$

}

2d. Prove C2W using Resolution.

Premise	Derivation
36. O1Y	(36, 10, AI) 43. C1Y \vee C1B
37. L1W	(37, 2, AI) 44. \neg C1W
38. O2W	(38, 13, AI) 45. C2W \vee C2B
39. L2Y	(39, 4, AI) 46. \neg C2Y
40. O3Y	(40, 14, AI) 47. C3Y \vee C3B
41. L3B	(41, 9, AI) 48. \neg C3B
42. \neg C2W	(48, 47, AI) 49. C3Y
	(22a, 49, AI) 50. \neg C1Y
	(50, 43, AI) 51. C1B
	(18a, 51, AI) 52. \neg C2B
	(52, 45, AI) 53. C2W

	(53, 42, AI) 54. ∅
--	-----------------------

3. Do Forward Chaining for the CanGetToWork KB below

Facts (with irrelevant facts discarded): { HaveMoutainBike, WorkCloseToHome, HaveMoney, AvisOpen }

Start	HaveMoutainBike, WorkCloseToHome, HaveMoney, AvisOpen
Rule e	HaveMoutainBike, WorkCloseToHome, HaveMoney, AvisOpen, HaveBike
Rule m	WorkCloseToHome, HaveMoney, AvisOpen, HaveBike, CarRentalOpen
Rule k, Rule o	WorkCloseToHome, HaveMoney, AvisOpen, HaveBike, CarRentalOpen, CanRentCar, IsNotAHoliday
Rule j	WorkCloseToHome, HaveMoney, AvisOpen, HaveBike, CarRentalOpen, CanRentCar, IsNotAHoliday, CanDriveToWork,
Rule b	WorkCloseToHome, HaveMoney, AvisOpen, HaveBike, CarRentalOpen, CanRentCar, IsNotAHoliday, CanDriveToWork, CanGetToWork

CanGetToWork is in the final list of inferred propositions

4. Do Backward Chaining for the CanGetToWork KB

Facts (with irrelevant facts discarded): { HaveMountainBike, WorkCloseToHome, HaveMoney, AvisOpen }

Start	CanGetToWork
Pop CanGetToWork, replace with antecedent of rule (a)	CanBikeToWork
Pop CanBikeToWork, replace with antecedent of rule (d)	HaveBike, WorkCloseToHome, Sunny
Pop HaveBike, push HaveMountainBike (rule e)	HaveMountainBike, WorkCloseToHome, Sunny
Pop HaveMountainBike (fact)	WorkCloseToHome, Sunny
Pop WorkCloseToHome (fact)	Sunny
Sunny not provable, backtrack to other rule for CanGetToWork (rule b)	CanDriveToWork
Pop CanDriveToWork, push OwnCar (g)	OwnCar
OwnCar not provable, backtrack to other rule for CanDriveToWork (rule j)	
Push CanRentCar (j)	CanRentCar
Pop CanRentCar, push HaveMoney, CarRentalOpen (rule k)	HaveMoney, CarRentalOpen
Pop HaveMoney (fact)	CarRentalOpen
Pop CarRentalOpen, push HertzOpen	HertzOpen
HertzOpen not provable, backtrack to other rule for CarRentalOpen (rule m)	

Push AvisOpen (m)	AvisOpen
Pop AvisOpen (fact)	Return True