

# “I Keep Sweet Cats In Real Life, But What I Need In The Virtual World Is A Neurotic Dragon”: Virtual Pet Design With Personality Patterns

Hongni Ye

hongni.ye@mail.polimi.it  
Duke Kunshan University  
China

Yili Wen

yili.wen@dukekunshan.edu.cn  
Duke Kunshan University  
China

Ruoxin You

echoyou67@outlook.com  
Duke Kunshan University and  
University College London  
China/UK

Kaiyuan Lou


midstream.lou@gmail.com  
Duke Kunshan University  
China

Xin Yi

yixin@tsinghua.edu.cn  
Tsinghua University, Zhongguancun  
Laboratory  
China

Xin Tong\*

xin.tong@dukekunshan.edu.cn  
Duke Kunshan University  
China



**Figure 1: Design of Cat and Dog Clusters. The pet side view pictures used for reference were obtained from [25] and [26].**

## ABSTRACT

Virtual Pets serve as companionships and meaningful in-game narratives in the metaverse. Players have unique personalities and

\*Corresponding author.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

CHCI 2023, November 13–16, 2023, Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia

© 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.  
ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-1645-4/23/1...\$15.00  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3629606.3629626>

personality preferences for their pets. However, the design of virtual pets often relies on designers’ individual experiences without considering the virtual pets’ personalities. We designed the virtual pets’ visual appearances by following the design guidelines from the Five Factor Model (FFM) in voxel format. We conducted a study to investigate people’s perceptions of virtual pets’ personalities and appearances through two user studies. Our findings suggest that voxel-style virtual pets better represented agreeableness than realistic pet pictures. Additionally, users prefer virtual pets (voxel-style pets generated with machine-learning techniques) that share similar personalities. The study’s results provide valuable insights for game designers and researchers for future pet game design and understanding of how people perceive virtual pets based on their appearance and behavior.

## CCS CONCEPTS

- Human-centered computing → Interaction design theory, concepts and paradigms; User studies;
- Computing methodologies → Machine learning.

## KEYWORDS

machine learning, virtual pet, visual design, voxel style

### ACM Reference Format:

Hongni Ye, Ruoxin You, Kaiyuan Lou, Yili Wen, Xin Yi, and Xin Tong. 2023. “I Keep Sweet Cats In Real Life, But What I Need In The Virtual World Is A Neurotic Dragon”: Virtual Pet Design With Personality Patterns. In *Chinese CHI 2023 (CHCHI 2023), November 13–16, 2023, Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 15 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3629606.3629626>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

As technology advances, there is a growing demand for artificial companions [12] with diverse personalities[11], particularly in virtual pets. These virtual pets have gained immense popularity in digital games, serving as entertainment [9, 36, 47] and offering potential benefits for mental health[8, 43, 64] and skill development [7, 10, 20, 34], especially in children. For various reasons, virtual pets provide a unique opportunity for individuals who cannot keep real pets, such as allergies or lack of resources. Interacting with virtual pets has been linked to positive emotional outcomes, such as reduced anxiety[27].

Various styles are used for designing virtual pet characters, the most popular being the realistic[43] and cartoon styles, for instance, Tamagotchi[4] and Pokemon Go[2] use sketch and cartoon 3D styles, respectively, and 3D voxel-style virtual pets are present in games like Minecraft[1] and Sandbox[3].

Virtual pet games often lack personality diversity, and they fail to provide an immersive experience akin to raising a real pet since the virtual pets' personalities are not correlated with their appearances. While previous studies have explored personality differences in real pets, particularly in cat and dog breeds[13, 38, 51, 62], there remains a notable gap in the literature concerning cross-species personality traits in pets. The scarcity of research in this area hinders our comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing personality development in different species, limiting our ability to design virtual characters that can effectively emulate and respond to these traits. As such, our study aims to address this knowledge gap and investigate how users perceive and interact with virtual pets with varying personalities and appearances.

To bridge these research gaps, our study is centered on creating virtual pets that exhibit diverse personalities while investigating how players perceive their behaviors and appearances. Our research goals are threefold: Firstly, how do users perceive the personalities of virtual pets in different styles and representations? Secondly, what are individuals' perceptions of the appearance and personality of virtual pets generated through machine-learning techniques? Thirdly, how do virtual pets' personalities relate to their appearance, and how can we utilize machine-learning techniques to generate virtual pets involving their personalities efficiently? By achieving these objectives, we aspire to harness the power of machine learning to improve the efficiency of creating a wide array of virtual pets

while enhancing our understanding of how players perceive and engage with these generated companions.

To address the gaps in current research, our study focuses on creating virtual pets that display variations in personality and exploring how players perceive their behaviors and appearance. We aim to answer three primary research questions: (1) How do users perceive the personalities of virtual pets in different styles and representations? (2) How do virtual pets' personalities relate to their appearance, and how can we use machine learning techniques to generate virtual pets involving their personalities? (3) What are individuals' perceptions of the appearance and personality of virtual pets generated through machine learning techniques?

Our research consists of two distinct studies aimed at understanding how users perceive virtual pets and exploring the relationship between their appearances and personalities. In the first study, we designed virtual pets with various personalities and collected user feedback to gain insights into their perceptions. This valuable feedback was a foundation for our second study, where we leveraged machine-learning techniques to generate virtual pets with specific personality labels. An intriguing finding from the second study was that users had difficulty distinguishing between machine-generated pets and manually designed pets, with the machine-generated pets receiving a higher preference from the participants. Through both studies, we observed that the style and presentation of virtual pets significantly influenced users' perceptions of their personalities. Additionally, visual cues, such as skin color and body shape, were pivotal in shaping these perceptions. By applying pets' personality variations to virtual pet design and combining traditional methods with machine learning for generating appearances, we offered novel approaches for game character design. Moreover, we explored the potential of using voxel pets' appearances as virtual companions to enhance mental well-being. Our contributions highlight the importance of visual appearance in virtual pet personalities and provide valuable insights into creating more engaging and interactive virtual companions. These findings demonstrate the potential of utilizing machine learning techniques to efficiently generate diverse virtual pets, enriching the overall user experience in virtual pet games.

## 2 RELATED WORK

Below, we describe the design examples, and machine learning techniques for generating models of virtual pets.

### 2.1 Virtual Pet Design and Generation

Pet serves the important function as a human companion, a strong and healthy human-animal relationship will be beneficial for both entities[59]. As suggested by previous researchers, appearance is an important consideration in pet owners' decision-making[61]. One tenant of folk psychology is that people tend to select pet dogs that have a similar appearance to themselves[48]. And in the artificial animal design field, a study about human–alloanimal relations highlighted that cartoon animals can lead to people wanting to be close to the depicted animal, for the reason that their appearances are designed as approachable, cuddly, friendly, and fun beings[16]. Thus, the appearance design deserves our attention when conducting virtual pet design work.

In the Virtual Reality (VR) pet game domain, to promote better game experience and immersion, pets' appearance design has an intimate connection with user preferences. As introduced by Chao-ran Lin et al., there are three user types based on user's motivations and expectations: (1) pet-keepers, (2) animal teammates, and (3) cool hunters[36]. The user type model inspired us to do case studies of virtual pets' appearance according to their target user types. We proposed three virtual pet types: (1) natural; (2) intelligent; and (3) fantasy. We conduct case studies based on the different types. Through the case study, we discovered most cases are about natural pets and took the accessibility of pets' personality knowledge into consideration, and we decided to focus on the natural pets' design as our target pet type in this study.

Machine learning techniques have gained widespread image and model generation adoption in recent years. Neural networks, including VAEs[32], DCGANs[46], and the diffusion model[29, 56], have demonstrated impressive image generation capabilities. These models have also been extended to 3D model generation by increasing the dimension of the data[45, 65]. Generating 3D mesh is another popular approach to 3D generation, which can also be accomplished with neural networks, such as VAEs[19]. Mesh-based models offer an alternative means of 3D representation that can be more efficient and suitable for certain applications. However, all of these generation approaches require a large amount of high-quality training data, which restricts their usage in Virtual Pet generation. So, we finally decided to use the Neural Cellular Automaton (NCA) in generation[40]. By combining the NCA with the traditional generation process which recombines the different parts of models, we can get plenty of high-quality models with few training data.

## 2.2 Exploring Personality Traits in Animals

As illustrated by Yerkes[66], it is commonplace to regard individual animals as possessing distinct personalities. Other researchers have proved that personality differences do exist and can be measured in animals other than humans[22]. There are a great number of works that studied pet's personalities, including cats[33], dogs[18], ferrets[57], dolphins[42], and other reptile animals[60]. Through the literature review, we discovered the breeds of cats and dogs had been investigated the most. Thus, we further researched the work about personality traits among cat and dog breeds. For the cat breeds, Milla Salonen et al. suggested that cat breeds grouped into four clusters by analyzing their personality traits with three components named aggression, extraversion, and shyness[52]. Other researchers ranked dog breeds on ten behavioral characteristics in three factors (aggression, reactivity, trainability), considering breeds of the three most closely related groupings, the wolf-like, guarding, and herding groups[23]. Referring to Fédération Cynologique Internationale (FCI)[30], there are ten dog groups based on various discriminators such as appearance or role. The existing variations of personality traits among different cat and dog breeds motivated us to apply personality analysis to virtual pet design.

The Five-Factor Model (FFM) is one of the most commonly used instruments for measuring personality for humans[39]. The FFM comprised the dimensions of Neuroticism (N), Extraversion (E),

Openness to Experience (O), Conscientiousness (C), and Agreeableness (A). And some researchers also applied the FFM to different species' personality tests. For example, Samuel D et al. built a preliminary framework with the human Five-Factor Model plus Dominance and Activity for measuring the personalities of 12 non-human species, and their results indicated that various primates, nonprimate mammals, and even guppies and octopuses all show individual differences that can be organized along dimensions akin to E, N, and A.[21]. Another work presented the "Feline Five," which was adapted from FFM with a 5-factor analysis: Neuroticism, Extraversion, Dominance, Impulsiveness, and Agreeableness. And the "Feline Five" has been proven to introduce a more comprehensive overall pet domestic cat personality structure. Therefore, the "Feline Five" has great potential to measure our designed virtual pets' personalities. We adapted these instruments in our pet design method, which will be introduced in section 4.

## 3 VIRTUAL PET DESIGN IN VOXEL STYLE

To investigate the influence of pets' appearance traits on human perception of personality, we developed virtual pet characters inspired by real-life pets. Our methodology involved categorizing primary cat and dog breeds into six distinct clusters based on their appearance traits and creating a virtual pet character to represent each cluster. Using this approach, we aimed to make virtual pets with unique and recognizable physical characteristics while incorporating diverse appearance traits.

### 3.1 Design Objective

To address the research questions, our design objective was to create virtual pet characters with a broader range of appearance traits and associated perceived personality traits. We intended to develop a mapping guideline that linked the appearance traits of the pets with their corresponding personality traits. As such, we hypothesized an appearance-personality mapping to guide our design process. We recognized that individual perceptions might vary. Hence we aimed to ensure a degree of consistency in the perceptions of people towards our virtual pets.

### 3.2 Design Baseline: Clusters of Cats and Dogs

After conducting a case study on three types of virtual pets (see Fig.1), we decided to begin our initial design with dogs and cats, among the most popular and common domestic pets [5]. To achieve our design objective of creating virtual pets with a broader range of appearance traits and perceived personality traits, we compiled a list of common domestic cats and dog breeds. We categorized them into clusters based on the distinctive characteristics of different body parts. In doing so, we referred to the classification systems of FCI [30] and Cat Breeds [44]. We then mapped personality traits to different breeds to identify consistencies within each cluster. Personality traits were transferred from previous studies on cats' and dogs' behavior traits [24, 52]. We merged groups with similar appearance and behavior traits while excluding those with various behavior traits, dividing the different breeds of cats and dogs into six clusters. Fig.1 displays the appearance traits, typical breeds, hypothesized personalities, and our corresponding virtual pet characters for each cluster.

### 3.3 Design Baseline: Visual Expression

In addition to character archetypes, visual expression plays a crucial role in shaping people's perception of virtual pets [58]. To ensure that our designed pets are displayed in a multi-dimensional form and have a wide range of appearance traits, we created them in 3D using voxel style. This approach increases our efficiency in character modeling and eliminates the potential negative impact of low aesthetic qualities and intermedia rendering realism on people's perceptions of virtual animal characters [53]. In addition to these benefits, we were inspired by the potential of 3D voxel-style modeling in virtual pet design. We aimed to extend 3D generation techniques into this field, building on the success of voxel-based models in Minecraft[1] and Sandbox[3] games. 3D generation techniques would be more capable of generating low-fidelity virtual pet characters. During our follow-up interviews, we found that people preferred virtual pets in the voxel style compared to realistic styles, further confirming the potential of the voxel style.

Visual elements, including shapes, volumes, and colors, are essential components of character design that significantly impact creating emotional experiences [55]. To avoid potential influence on the diversity of perceived personality traits between realistic and virtual pets, we controlled the visual elements by following realistic dogs and cats' body structure, proportions, and color palettes in our character designs. This approach ensures that any differences in perceived personality traits between realistic and virtual pets can be attributed to factors other than visual expression.

### 3.4 Character Design

We created six characters based on the clusters, selecting one breed within each cluster as the model sample. We used Magicavoxel<sup>1</sup> to create voxel-style characters and render static pictures. We limited the model sizes to 31x31x31 voxels to facilitate pet generation.

In addition, previous research showed the potential impact of animation on people's perception of virtual pets[54]. Therefore, we designed another version of the virtual pets with natural movement to study the effect of additional expressiveness on people's perceptions. We did not involve facial animation because of the potential negative reaction caused by the animal uncanny valley [53]. We designed a walking animation for the cat clusters and a running animation for the dog clusters based on the nature of these two pet species. We used VoxEdit<sup>2</sup> to build and Blender<sup>3</sup> to render the animation clips.

## 4 STUDY 1

The study aimed to understand users' perspectives on virtual pet characters, focusing on three primary goals: 1) comparing participants' perceived personalities of different styles of pets within the same cluster, 2) evaluating how our designed pet attracts people, and 3) understanding their perceptions toward keeping real pets and virtual pets. The research employed surveys and interviews to gather data from participants. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Duke Kunshan University.

<sup>1</sup><https://ephtracy.github.io/>

<sup>2</sup><https://www.voxedit.io/>

<sup>3</sup><https://www.blender.org/>

The study design involved examining the influence of appearance (realistic and voxel visual style) and behavior (pets with or without animation) on participants' perceived personality and overall feeling (preference for offered pet images). The independent variables were appearance and behavior, while the dependent variables were participants' perceived personality and overall feeling. Participants were randomly assigned to one of six pet clusters, and each participant evaluated all three conditions: real static pets (pet photos presented in a realistic style), static virtual pets (pet rendering pictures depicted in a voxel style), and animated virtual pets (animation clips showcasing rendering pets). To ensure group control, participants attended the test within the same pet clusters in all three conditions, refer to Fig.2. This design allowed for a comparison of participants' perceptions of different pet styles within the same cluster. By using this mixed 3x6 design (pet character styles \* pet clusters), the study could explore the interactions between appearance and behavior and their impact on perceived personality and overall feeling.

### 4.1 Participants

A total of 33 participants voluntarily self-selected to complete the survey, after providing informed consent. The participants were recruited via social media and word of mouth, and the study underwent a pilot test to ensure the survey and interview procedures were well-designed and easily understandable. The final user study was conducted after refining the methodology based on the feedback received during the pilot test. The participant group consisted of 12 males, 20 females, and 1 non-binary individual. The age distribution included 24 participants aged 18-24 and 9 participants aged 25-34. Among the participants, 24 reported having experience in keeping real pets, with 8 having dogs, 10 having cats, and others having rabbits, fish, and birds. Additionally, 13 participants reported having played virtual pet games before, including Animal Crossing, Tamagotchi, Tencent QQ Pet, and others.


### 4.2 Measurement

**4.2.1 Quantitative Data.** The quantitative measurement of the user study utilized the online survey platform Qualtrics. Participants were randomly assigned to one of six pet clusters, ensuring group control and facilitating comparisons of different pet styles within the same cluster. The survey was structured into two main parts to gather comprehensive data on participants' perceptions and preferences toward pets:


(1) Perceptions of offered pet pictures.

- **Perceived personality of pets in three different conditions.** We utilized an adapted 7-point scale to measure the perceived personality, drawing inspiration from the Feline Five model [37] and incorporating items from previous personality assessments on cats [35] and dogs [50]. The scale comprised four measurement dimensions (Neuroticism, Extraversion, Agreeableness, and Impulsiveness.), commonly used to assess pets' personalities, each containing four contrasting descriptions.

- **Recognition of pet breeds.** Participants were prompted with the question, "Are you familiar with the breed of this



**Figure 2: Illustration of the three conditions participants are required to assess within a single cluster: a) Real: pet photos presented in a realistic style; b) Voxel: pet rendering pictures depicted in a voxel style; c) Animation: animation clips showcasing rendering pets.**



**Figure 3: Procedure of Study1 and Study 2**

cat?" They were requested to specify the breed's name if they answered affirmatively.

- **Willingness to keep the pets.** Participants were asked to provide a rating in response to the question, "To what extent do you desire to keep this pet?" They were required to rate their level of desire on a scale from 1 to 10.
- (2) Previous petting experience. we inquired about participants' prior experiences with virtual and real pets. We encouraged participants to share the types of pets they have owned in the past and their experiences with virtual pet games.

**4.2.2 Qualitative Data.** In addition to the quantitative assessment, a qualitative dimension was incorporated into the study to delve deeper into participants' insights. Nine participants were strategically selected based on their petting experience and a strong preference for a specific pet cluster, aiming to capture diverse perspectives and experiences. During the semi-structured interview, participants

were engaged in card-sorting activities involving three steps: (1) selecting the pet pictures they liked, (2) ranking the pictures based on preference, and (3) writing and ranking their preferred interaction approaches with the pets, such as feeding or chatting. The interview questions were structured into four main parts:

- **Pet Personality Recall and Comparison:** This section aimed to understand further how different appearances in various styles influenced participants' perceptions of pet personality. Participants were encouraged to recall and compare their perceptions of pets in the three conditions to identify trends or differences.
- **Pet Type and Interaction:** Participants were asked about their preferences for specific pet types and interaction approaches. This part sought to uncover the reasons behind their preferences and shed light on their ideal interactions with virtual pets.

- **Link between Pet Appearance and Their Personality:**

This section explored participants' understanding of cuteness and how they linked personality traits with pet appearances. Participants' perspectives on the relationship between pet appearance and perceived personality were examined.

- **Summary and Advice for Pet Design:** Participants were allowed to evaluate the pet design and provide suggestions in the final part of the interview. Their feedback on the design and potential improvements offered valuable insights for future pet character development.

The qualitative measurement complemented the quantitative findings through its rich and nuanced data, offering a comprehensive understanding of participants' feelings, preferences, and thought processes regarding virtual pet characters. This combined approach allowed for a holistic exploration of users' perspectives, informing future virtual pet design and interactions in pet games.


### 4.3 Procedure

Participants were randomly assigned to one of six control conditions and then asked to complete a Qualtrics form. At the end of the survey, we invited them to participate in a follow-up interview. After carefully analyzing the survey data, we emailed ten participants whose responses provided valuable insights, either supporting or contradicting our data results. Among them, nine participants willingly agreed to take part in the interview. The interviews were conducted online, with participants given five minutes to read and sign the consent form before proceeding with a 40-minute semi-structured interview. Participants received compensation as a token of appreciation for their time and contribution.

### 4.4 Results

The quantitative and qualitative results showed that the style and appearance of virtual pets significantly impact participants' perceptions of their personalities. We also found that participants connected perceived characters with the appearances of virtual pets. We concluded that the design suggestions involve expected pet types, personality presentation factors, and interaction with pets, which benefit future virtual pet design.

**4.4.1 Perceived Personality in Different Styles.** The results of the repeated-measures ANOVA test show that the style of pets (realistic, virtual) and the presentation (static, animation) significantly affected people's perceptions of their personality traits, especially for Neuroticism and Agreeableness. Fig 4 shows the distribution of scores on four personality traits. People's perception of agreeableness is primarily influenced by pet styles ( $F(2, 29) = 8.10, p < 0.01$ ). The results indicated that participants thought voxel pets are much more agreeable ( $mean = 40.58, SD = 6.95$ ) than realistic pets ( $mean = 33.7, SD = 8.42$ ). For voxel animations, they received an agreeableness score close to static voxel pets ( $mean = 37.7, SD = 7.82$ ). For Neuroticism, realistic pets receive the highest score ( $mean = 31.6, SD = 5.74$ ), and both static voxel pets and voxel animations are less neurotic (static voxel pets:  $mean = 26.8, SD = 5.42$ , animation:  $mean = 27.87, SD = 5.77$ ). Participants' perceptions of pets' extraversion are not significantly influenced by pets' style ( $F(2, 29) = 1.28, p = 0.28$ ). All three style receives extraversion score relatively close to each other (realistic pets:



**Figure 4: Distribution of scores on four personality traits from 30 people.**

$mean = 36.67, SD = 7.61$ , static voxel pets:  $mean = 33.73, SD = 7.47$ , animation:  $mean = 34.5, SD = 5.45$ ). Impulsiveness keeps stable when the style changes ( $F(2, 29) = 0.59, p = 0.55$ ). The low standard division illustrates that most participants give similar scores to all three styles (realistic pets:  $mean = 36.67, SD = 7.61$ , static voxel pets:  $mean = 33.73, SD = 7.47$ , animation:  $mean = 34.5, SD = 5.45$ ).

Through the interview, we discovered three main reasons for these results: Firstly, the design details played a significant role in expressing agreeableness (N=8). For example, a participant evaluated the voxel pet with high agreeable scores explained to us, "*I might think voxel is a little bit chubby and silly, but I think it's a little more friendly*" (P15). Secondly, their decision-making for the evaluation was influenced by their previous experience and was related to individual differences in spending time with pets (N=3). A participant rated the realistic pets with the highest score in neuroticism and said "*If it was a real pet, it reminded me that it could do things that were threatening to me. I was bitten by a dog when I was a child, whereas these virtual things couldn't really threaten me.*" (P25). Thirdly, some participants rated their personality by inferring the pet breeds (N=3). P33 told us, "*The real pet photo is of a Muppet cat, albeit well-behaved. But voxel's static and animated features are not reminiscent of a cat running amok.*" Thus, we could understand why voxel has the best effect for showing agreeableness, while real pet pictures make the pets look more neurotic.

**4.4.2 Association between Personality and Appearance.** Our goal was to create pets with various personality types based on appearance and perceived personality traits, resulting in six pet clusters, as depicted in Fig.1. Our survey results indicated that participants' perceptions of pets' personalities aligned with our design intentions. For instance, participants rated the first cat cluster (fat yellow cat) and the second dog cluster (medium-sized yellow-white dog) as having the highest agreeableness scores, consistent with our pet personality design goals.

Moreover, our analysis of participants' extroversion rankings for the cat and dog clusters aligned with our initial design intentions. Specifically, participants' extroversion rankings for the cat clusters were in the order of cat cluster 2, cat cluster 3, and cat cluster 1. In contrast, their rankings for the dog clusters were in the order of dog cluster 3, dog cluster 2, and dog cluster 1. These results suggest that our virtual pet design successfully conveyed various personality traits through appearance and that participants could perceive and rate these traits accurately.

**4.4.3 People prefer pets with cute appearances but neurotic personalities.** Cuteness was the word repeatedly mentioned by participants during the interview. We received the answers for why people like cute pets because cute looks make people feel safe, and another explanation is that pets that look cute are easier to keep. For instance, one participant liked cut pet and explained to us, "*Cute looking pets are better behaved and easy to keep, while naughty pets may be more nerve-racking.*" (P16). Cuteness has some common distinct appearance patterns, one is the body shape. Participants associated these traits with cuteness: small size, short and fat, short legs, and round ear shape. "*The pet's small size makes it less aggressive*", the quote by P4. And "*The ears of this pet are round, which makes me think she is very friendly.*" said by P16. Another key factor that makes pets look cute is the coat color. As mentioned by interviewees, warm and bright colors, heterochromous and clean colors are the color of cuteness in their minds. And other factors that contribute to a pet's cuteness consist of special shapes, such as a lightning-shaped tail. And the pets with beards and dimples are definitely plus points for being cute. All interviewees treated cuteness as a sign of agreeableness; however, some participants preferred a contrast between personality and appearance ( $N=5$ ). Specifically, they like virtual pets who have cute appearances but are inclined to be neurotic and cold in personality. One interviewee told us, "*I like those crazy pets. They're more neurotic. Because animals don't do it like that, you might have difficulty understanding it, and there's a great sense of mystery.*" (P16).

In a word, we intended to construct a relationship between virtual pets' appearances and personalities. Through the user study, we proved the pieces of evidence we showed through our design work. And we found all interviewees thought of cuteness as a sign of agreeableness. Further, we also discovered that people prefer virtual pets with cute looks but with neurotic personality traits.

**4.4.4 Suggestions for Virtual Pet Type and Interaction Design in Pet Game.** Through the open questions in the survey, we found 3 participants expected to keep fantasy pets, such as dragons, dinosaurs, and sci-fi pets that can not be found in real life. And 7 participants expected to keep cats and dogs. We asked why they decided on the expected pet type selection, and we discovered some people have experienced petting a pet type, and (s)he ( $N=1$ ) decided to continue to have the same type as a virtual pet. However, other participants do the opposite. We conclude with two main reasons for this. One is that pet keepers recall their memories with pets, this could be both positive and negative, which leads to their decision-making on keeping the same pet type or not. Another reason is mainly about the specific pet personality traits; that is to say, people would be addicted to certain personality traits of pets, such as agreeableness,

as a consequence, they regard pets who possess these traits as the first choice.

We also discovered interesting findings about pet animation through the interview. On the one hand, the animation of the pet combined with the sound can convey its personality more directly. There is a quote from P4: "*I think animation is very important for the expression of personality, especially the voice, when it is happy and when it is angry, and when it is threatening, the voice is completely different.*" On the other hand, some movements of specific body parts, for instance, ear, tail, and leg movements are important references to the perception of personality ( $N=3$ ), one interviewee told us, "*I think sometimes the tail of a dog is more informative, that is, you can tell if he is happy or unhappy by his tail, so you can tell what kind of mood he is in, maybe he is extroverted.*" (P16).

In addition to the pet type, we investigate the expected interaction with virtual pets in the virtual world. Through the survey's open questions and interview, we concluded the three most popular interactions: Talking (9 votes), touching (6 votes), and feeding (6 votes). And "why do you want to talk with your virtual pet in the virtual pet as the main interaction" is that they want to communicate in the same language to understand the pet better. For example, "*I want to be able to talk to him and he understands and it can react. I'm more interested in the behavior of his feedback than the content of the conversation.*" (P25). Moreover, other popular interaction types among the participants are treasure hunting and adventure.


## 5 PET GENERATION

We have developed a unique 3D model generator that automatically creates virtual pets by hybridizing existing models and creating new ones based on input. The following section will explain the generator's process, which includes dividing and recombining models, dyeing them for harmonious colors, and texturing them for unique patterns.

### 5.1 Generation

Building on the insights gleaned from our study 1 results, we now delve into the features that guided our generation process. These findings provide a strong foundation for our approach to generating virtual pet models. Notably, we have focused our generation work on cat clusters, a choice influenced by the outcomes from section 4.4.4, which highlighted the widespread popularity of dogs and cats as real-life pets. To experiment with our generation work, we initiated the process with cat pet types. Furthermore, we meticulously selected three fundamental cat clusters for generation, which had garnered high preference scores during study 1. Additionally, our color selection process, including the creation of a specific coat color palette, is rooted in the insights from study 1, particularly section 4.4.3, where we discovered that individuals often associate warmth with cuteness in color choices. These findings are instrumental in shaping our generation techniques and are instrumental in achieving more appealing virtual pets.

With the development of our search, the demand for a 3D model generator was increasing. Firstly, the number of manually created pet models is too small, and more models are needed to prove the universality of the research outcomes. Then, many models must target which feature, color, or combination leads people's impressions

**Figure 5: Generation Procedure**

towards a pet more precisely. Moreover, it is also an exploration of generation techniques since the outstanding generation models are mostly 2D-based nowadays, while the demand for 3D models is increasing rapidly. So, we implemented a generator to generate virtual pets automatically. The generator takes input from several 3D pet models and outputs new models based on the input.

The generator uses existing hybrid models and creates new models based on them. Input models are parent models and generated models are children. Every child model inherits appearances from all their parents (in the generation, a child model can have more than two parent models). Random mutations are applied in the hybrid process to ensure that every newly generated child model is unique even if they have the same parents, guaranteeing variety and a more significant number of generated models.

The generation process is shown in Fig. 5, which is mainly three steps. Divide and recombination, dyeing, and texturing.

#### 5.1.1 Divide and recombination.

The divide and recombination process gives basic shape to newly generated models.

In the research, the generator takes input from three cat models and divides each into five parts: the head, ears, body, tail, and limbs. The generator will loop over all the possible combinations to get the shapes of new models as much as possible. When combined, all the parts will be aligned automatically since the models' size and transformation might differ.

After getting all the possible combinations, the generator will rate the results and pick the reasonable ones to send to the next

step. For example, the combination won't give the effect of a cat with the fattest body and thinnest tail, which didn't make sense in real life.

**5.1.2 Dyeing.** After dividing and recombination, many models with reasonable shapes were generated. But, we can not directly use these models because they might have unharmonic colors. Usually, the inheritance of color follows some rules. The child is more likely to have a mixture of color or show a transition color of its parents. However, the models generated after recombination inherit all the colors and patterns on their parents' skin. A cat can have different colors on their head, body, and limbs, and there is no transition, which makes those models unreal. So, all the models will be repainted after recombination. They can have a color closer to one of their parents or in the middle of their parents' color.

During the dyeing, the generator will give random mutation. After generating the overall color and choosing the palette, the color might mutate several degrees darker or brighter. Also, the larger mutation that gives a model a new palette will happen sometimes. It can prevent models from losing color variety after often dyeing.

#### 5.1.3 Texturing.

Texturing is a random process that can give models unique patterns or textures on the skin.

During texturing, each time a new model was generated after the previous two steps, a new model called "mask" will be generated. The masked model is a real-time generated random voxel model of the same size as the generated pet model. Every newly generated

pet model will have a mask for it. Then, the pet and mask models will be put in the same coordinate. After that, the generator will iterate every voxel on the model, if there is an overlap between mask and pet, the color of that voxel will change.

The different masks can give different patterns to a pet model. For example, the mask of many little floating balls can make a pet spotty, and the mask of many vertical planes draws stripes on the pet. Since the mask is randomly generated, every pet can have its unique pattern.

Neural Cellular Automaton (NCA) was used to generate masks. The 3D NCA model used in the generator takes the input from the voxel 3D model and also outputs voxel 3D models. The network was trained to generate simple objects like spheres or plates from a single dot as a seed. When generating the mask, a random seed (many randomly distributed dots in 3D space) will be sent to the pre-trained network. Then dots will start to grow to the object when running the network. The growing process will stop after random steps, then the output model will be the final mask.

## 6 STUDY 2

We conducted Study 2 through surveys and semi-structured interviews to gather users' feedback on our generated characters. This study explored how participants perceive virtual pets' personalities by observing their appearances using quantitative and qualitative methods.

### 6.1 Participants

We employed convenience sampling by posting our survey link through WeChat subscriptions. 57 participants (19 males, 33 females, 3 non-binaries, and 2 who preferred not to say) voluntarily completed the survey, different from the participants in study one. The age distribution of the participants consisted of participants aged 18-24 years (N=39) and 25-34 years (N=18). 47 participants had previous experience in owning real pets, while 41 had experience in owning virtual pets. Following the survey, 12 participants (6 males, 5 females, and 1 who preferred not to say) voluntarily participated in the interview session. The age distribution of the interviewees included participants aged 18-24 years (N=7) and 25-34 years (N=5). Of these interviewees, 9 had experience owning real pets, while 10 had experience owning virtual pets.

### 6.2 Measurement

The research employed an online survey consisting of four distinct parts, namely the IPIP-20 [15], the Motives for Online Gaming Questionnaire (MOGQ) [14], likability assessment of virtual pets, and demographic data collection. The IPIP-20 is a brief instrument for assessing FFM of personality traits using the International Personality Item Pool (IPIP) resources. This study utilized the questionnaire to identify participants' personalities, as our modified pet personality questionnaire in Study 1 shared four personality traits with the Big Five personality traits. The primary objective was to correlate participants' personality traits with their perceived personality of virtual pets to investigate the link between the two constructs. Both the IPIP-20 and MOGQ have demonstrated reliability and validity in measuring people's personalities and gaming behavior [14, 15].

Given the convenience sampling technique utilized to recruit participants, which may have reached a broad Chinese population, the study included validated Chinese translations of the IPIP-20 [31] and MOGQ [63]. In the likability assessment section, participants were presented with ten images, comprising three manually designed virtual pets (M1, M2, M3) and seven computer-generated ones (G1 to G7), refer to Fig.6, and requested to rate their likability on a scale of 1 to 5. The demographic section of the survey collected data on participants' gender, age, education level, and pet-raising experience.

The follow-up interview consisted of questions parts and one card-sorting session in 45 minutes. The interview guide a series of open-ended questions about the virtual pet's personality, such as "What kind of personality do you think this virtual pet has?" and "What do you like and dislike about this virtual pet's appearance?" refer to the appendix for the interview outline. We created a personality mapping template for the card-sorting session, which consisted of four areas representing four personality traits and pictures of the ten virtual pets as cards that needed to be sorted in Figma.

### 6.3 Procedure

All participants completed a Qualtrics form that contained four test parts. After analyzing the survey data, we contacted twenty participants whose personalities and pet-keeping experiences are diverse via email. Twelve of them agreed to take part in the interview. We conducted the interviews through the VooV Meeting. We informed participants of the study's purpose and procedures and obtained their written consent before the study began. Participants received 100 RMB as compensation.

### 6.4 Quantitative Results

The statistical results showed that appearance features played a significant role in participants' likability ratings of virtual pets, specifically cobby cats with light-colored coats and decorations. Additionally, we identified significant correlations between certain personality and player-type traits and likability ratings of virtual pets.

**6.4.1 Preference toward Cobby Cats with Light-colored Coat.** We conducted a repeated-measures ANOVA to compare the likability ratings of ten virtual pets. Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics for these ratings, Fig.6 illustrates each virtual pet. The ANOVA revealed a significant main effect for virtual pets ( $F(9, 81) = 12.02, p < .001$ ). To identify which virtual pets differed significantly from each other, we conducted post hoc tests using the Holm correction to adjust for multiple comparisons. The results showed that the likability ratings for G5 (a cobby cat with a white coat and orange coloring on its ears, breast, and tails) and M1 (a cobby cat with an orange coat with brown stripes on the back) were significantly higher than those for all other virtual pets except G6. In turn, the likability rating for G6 was considerably higher than those for G3 and G7, which received the lowest mean ratings. These findings suggest that appearance features significantly influence participants' likability ratings of virtual pets. Specifically, cobby cats with light-colored coats, such as G5, G6, and M1, were rated as more likable than other virtual pets. Additionally, color decorations on the fur, such as the



Figure 6: Generated Virtual Pets and Manual Designed Virtual Pets.

**Table 1: Mean and SD of the Likability of Each Virtual Pet**

Virtual Pet ID	Mean	SD
M1	3.72	1.18
M2	2.82	1.30
M3	2.96	1.35
G1	2.68	1.36
G2	2.70	1.27
G3	2.46	1.38
G4	2.67	1.26
G5	3.70	1.30
G6	3.35	1.79
G7	2.53	1.27

orange coloring on the ears, tail, and breast of G5 and G6 and the brown stripes on the back of M1, also appeared to positively impact participants' likability ratings.

**6.4.2 Effects of Personality and Player Type on Virtual Pet Likability Ratings.** We examined the relationship between participants' personalities and player types and their ratings of virtual pets using Pearson correlation. Before analysis, we checked the normality assumptions of variables using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The distributions of the 21 variables (10 likability, 5 personality traits, 6 player type traits) were assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test, and the results indicated that more than half of the variables ( $N=16$ ) were significantly non-normal ( $p < 0.05$ ). Of the non-normal variables. Given the non-normality of all liabilities of virtual pets, non-parametric tests were used to assess the relationships between variables. The Spearman's rank correlation showed that five pairs of variables were significantly correlated: Extraversion and G2 ( $p = 0.006$ ), Social and G1 ( $p = 0.002$ ), Social and G6 ( $p = 0.05$ ), Social and G7 ( $p = 0.03$ ), Skill Development and G2 ( $p = 0.008$ ), and Skill Development and G7 ( $p = 0.005$ ). The correlation coefficient between Extraversion and G2 was negative ( $Coef = -0.36$ ), while the correlation coefficients between the other five pairs were positive, ranging from 0.26 to 0.41 (see Table 2).

**Table 2: Correlation Coefficient Between Participant's Features and Likability of Virtual Pets**

Scale	Feature	DV	Coef	p-value
IPIP-20	Extraversion	G2	-0.36	0.006*
MOGQ	Social	G1	0.41	0.002*
	Social	G6	0.26	0.05*
	Social	G7	0.29	0.03*
	Skill Development	G2	0.35	0.008*
	Skill Development	G7	0.37	0.005*

## 6.5 Qualitative Results of Interview

The qualitative results show a strong correlation between virtual pets' physical features and personalities attributed to users. Appearance plays a significant role in conveying their personalities, as participants identified specific personality traits based on design elements like body shape, skin color, facial features, and expressions. Additionally, users' personalities can influence their preferred pet personalities, explaining why some prefer pets with corresponding personalities. Most participants found the voxel-style pets more relaxing and easier to create with intricate details than the realistic-style pets. Overall, appearance significantly shapes users' perceptions of virtual pets, and their preferences are related to the emotions evoked by the pet styles.

**6.5.1 Correlation between virtual pets' design elements and personalities attributed by users.** Through our study, we discovered that the appearance of our virtual pets was instrumental in conveying their personalities to participants. Most participants ( $N=7$ ) identified specific personality traits based on certain design elements, such as the shape of the pets' body and their skin color. For instance, participants associated warm, light-colored skin, fat, and round body with agreeableness traits. One participant remarked, "The pets' warm and light appearance made them feel tame and sweet, like dessert. Seven participants ( $N=7$ ) also noted that the facial features and expressions of the pets influenced their perceived personalities. For instance, one participant pointed out, "the kitten's dark middle face

*gave it a neurotic look, which they associated with gloominess and neuroticism.*" We have included a diagram in Fig.7 that showcases all the appearances mentioned in the interview and the traits related to the pets' personalities. In conclusion, our findings indicate that appearance significantly shapes users' perceptions of virtual pets.

**6.5.2 Participants' Personalities were Related to Their Pet Choices.** Our interview reveals a relationship between the personalities of participants' preferred pets and their personalities. Ten participants have similar personalities to the preferred pets ( $N=10$ ). Eight of the participants admitted that they preferred pets with personalities similar to their own ( $N=8$ ), while the rest made unconscious choices ( $N=2$ ). According to the analysis, when participants liked their personalities, they preferred pets that were similar to their personalities ( $N=8$ ). On the contrary, they do not like pets with similar personalities ( $N=2$ ). For instance, P5 mentioned, "*Maybe it's because I'm impulsive, so I don't like (the pets that are impulsive).*" Thus, participants' personality preferences influence their choice of pets.

**6.5.3 Comparison of Participants' Preferences for Voxel Style and Realistic Style.** Most participants preferred the voxel style to the realistic style ( $N=8$ ), while three preferred the realistic style ( $N=3$ ), and two accepted both styles ( $N=2$ ). Based on the analysis, participants' decisions on style preferences were related to the emotions evoked by the pet style. Participants who preferred the voxel style felt that it made them feel more relaxed ( $N=8$ ), while the realistic style made them feel scared and overwhelmed ( $N=4$ ). For instance, P11 explained, "*I think that this cat's eye and its overall appearance makes me feel Uncanny Valley.*" Besides, although seven of the pets are machine-generated (G1 to G7), this does not affect the participants' preference for style. Participants could not distinguish between machine-generated pets and manually designed pets ( $N=4$ ). Also, they believed that machine-generated pets basically had similar characteristics to real pets ( $N=4$ ).

## 7 DISCUSSION

We conducted this study to investigate players' perceptions of virtual pets' personalities and discover the relationship between personalities and appearance. In the following sections, we discussed our findings through the results.

### 7.1 Perceiving Virtual Pet Personalities through Style and Representation

In our first study, we investigated how the style (voxel or realistic) and representation (static or animated) of virtual pets influence users' perceptions of their personalities. Our findings revealed that both factors significantly affected users' perception of virtual pet personalities. Specifically, participants perceived virtual pets with voxel style as friendlier, cuter, and more playful than those with realistic styles in section 4.4.1 of our study 1. This finding is noteworthy because it contrasts with previous research on similar personality ratings for realistic and cartoon avatars in virtual human characters[49]. We suggest that users' preference for the voxel style may be due to its association with an abstract and cartoonish aesthetic, which enhances the presentation of pets' personalities. Our interview results of study 1 further support this interpretation,

as participants expressed a greater attachment to virtual pets with the voxel style, citing their agreeableness and cuteness, and the greater imagination space offered by the voxel style. In contrast, some participants found the realistic style to make virtual pets feel robotic and uncomfortable, reducing their emotional connection to them, a phenomenon known as the uncanny valley[41]. These findings underscore the significance of considering virtual pet style and representation in design, as they can impact users' perceptions of digital characters' personalities.

### 7.2 The Link between Virtual Pets' Personalities and Appearances


We aimed to explore the relationship between virtual pets' appearance and their perceived personality traits. Building upon previous research by Hanna Ekström[6], which suggests that visual cues such as shape and proportions can significantly influence how viewers perceive a character's personality traits, we designed six pet clusters with different visual cues to present various personality traits, as shown in Fig. 1. Study 1 found that participants' perceptions of virtual pets' personalities aligned with our design intentions. Specifically, participants rated cat cluster 1 with a high agreeableness score and cat cluster 2 as more extroverted, consistent with previous research that suggests round and soft shapes are associated with friendliness and warmth. In contrast, angular and sharp shapes convey aggression and danger[6].

To further explore the relationship between virtual pets' appearance and perceived personality traits, we conducted study 2. Here, we utilized machine learning techniques to generate more voxel cat pictures and evaluated their personality presentation, as shown in Fig.7. Our findings from study 2 suggest that skin color is the most notable visual cue for describing voxel pets' personality traits. Participants perceived cats with warm-tone skin color as more friendly and sweet, while markings on a cat's face were associated with neuroticism. Additionally, participants linked a fat and round body shape with agreeableness and extroversion traits. In contrast, a towering body was associated with impulsiveness traits and a small body was linked to neuroticism. Furthermore, we found that other parts of the virtual pets, such as the head, legs, and tails, could also provide visual cues for conveying personality traits. In Study 2, the findings from Figure7 corroborated the relationship between appearance features and personalities, confirming the results established in Study 1, as elucidated in both Section 4.4.2 and Section 4.4.3.

Overall, our findings suggest that visual cues can significantly influence how virtual pets' personalities are perceived and that different parts of a virtual pet's appearance can provide valuable information for conveying personality traits. These results could be useful for designing virtual pets that accurately convey specific personality traits and enhance user engagement in future virtual pet game design.

### 7.3 Design Implications For Virtual Pet Characters Design

Our analysis of studies one and two leads to several design implications for virtual pet character design. These include considering



**Figure 7:** This figure depicts the relationship between the appearances of virtual pets and their perceived personalities. The left panel shows how different body parts of virtual pets relate to personality traits (A, E, I, N), with the frequency of each trait indicated by the corresponding color. The right panel displays a virtual pet image with labeled body parts for reference.

players' preferences for virtual pets, designing with players' personalities, and developing interacting features in virtual pet games.

**7.3.1 Preference on Virtual Pets.** The results of our study suggest that players generally prefer virtual pets in the form of cats and dogs, with some expressing interest in fantasy pets like dragons. Interestingly, our research also showed that participants preferred virtual pets with a neurotic personality and a cute appearance, with common traits including warm-tone skin colors, large eyes, and fat body shapes. These findings align with previous research that suggests people prefer dogs' features associated with the infant schema[28].

Additionally, our study found that the style and presentation of virtual pets had a significant impact on players' perceptions of their personalities. The majority of participants showed a keen preference for the voxel style, finding its abstract and cute appearance to be calming and potentially effective in reducing anxiety and depression compared to a realistic style. This result is consistent with previous research that suggests virtual animals' MR-based interaction can reduce mental stress and induce positive emotions[43]. However, our interview results revealed that the visual design of the virtual animal used in the previous study was realistic, which potentially caused players to experience the uncanny valley effect. In this phenomenon, a realistic but not quite natural appearance can cause unease or discomfort.

We also identified the most popular interaction schemes with virtual pets, such as talking, touching, and feeding, which can serve as a reference for future virtual pet game design. Additionally, our research showed that players prefer virtual pets to take on the role of companions rather than mentors or enemies, which differs from the suggestions made by previous researchers for non-player character roles in narrative settings.

These findings emphasize the importance of designers considering players' preferences for virtual pets' type, style, and interaction role when designing virtual pets. Designers should aim to create virtual pets with cute and endearing features while also incorporating traits that add depth to their personalities.

**7.3.2 Incorporating Player's Personality and Virtual Pets' Personality in Designing Virtual Pets.** While prior studies have examined pets' personalities, finding that owners were more satisfied with cats that were high in agreeableness and low in neuroticism[17], our research delved into the link between pet and owner personalities, focusing on virtual pets. Specifically, our study found that participants preferred virtual pets with personalities similar to their own, as indicated by the quantitative results of study two. Interestingly, our analysis also revealed that individual personality differences might influence how participants perceive and rate virtual pets. For instance, those with extraversion traits showed a preference for a virtual pet with slim legs and thin bodies (i.e., G2) that was more extroverted.

Our qualitative findings further supported this, showing that individuals with agreeableness traits in their personalities favored friendly and less aggressive virtual pets. Additionally, our results mirrored those of previous researchers, who noted a positive link between owner dominance and cat dominance, extraversion, and neuroticism[17]. However, unlike their work on natural pets, our study examined this relationship among virtual pets. Overall, our findings suggest that pet personality is an essential factor to consider in designing virtual pets and that personality traits of both the pet and owner may influence user preferences and satisfaction.

Our investigation into players' preferences was partly inspired by prior work identifying three user types based on preferences and gameplay styles in VR pet games[36]. In addition, we examined how individual differences in players' in-game behavior influenced their perception and ratings of virtual pets. We found that participants who played the game with a social or skill development purpose rated virtual pets with extraversion traits higher, as identified through interviews. These pets were characterized by cold-tone skin colors, small heads, and ears (G1). Our findings suggest that considering players' in-game models is a promising approach for designing virtual pet characters that align with users' preferences and engagement styles.

## 7.4 Controllable and Cost-Effective Model Generation using Recombination and Repainting to Improve User Response Data Quality

In the discussion section, we analyzed the effectiveness of our machine-generated pet pictures, which were used to collect user responses in our experiment. Our generator utilizes a recombination and repainting approach to produce high-quality results, and the method is relatively inexpensive compared to 3D generative neural networks. While generative neural networks are only minimally used for texturing due to insufficient training data, using them for random texturing can significantly reduce poor generation results. However, relying solely on traditional generation methods for shape and color can lead to less creative and predictable results. However, incorporating new colors and textures can make it difficult for people to identify the origin of the model's parts. In our study, we conducted a semi-structured interview in which participants were unaware that a machine-generated the cat pictures. All participants noted the cat's body and other parts' excellent convergence.

## 7.5 Limitation and Future Work

This study has several limitations that we need to address in future work. Firstly, the limited training sample we used to generate cat pictures using machine learning techniques may have resulted in the lack of diversity in the appearance and personality traits of the voxel pets. To overcome this limitation, we plan to create and label diverse pets' body parts with different visual cues to better capture a broader range of personality traits.

Secondly, our current research is primarily centered on the voxel style, which offers a somewhat limited scope for representing the appearances and behavior of virtual pets. Furthermore, we've concentrated solely on the static aspect of voxel design, overlooking animations and sound, potentially limiting the precise understanding of the pets' personalities. To enhance both the accuracy of personal perception and the overall user experience, we intend to expand our approach. This will involve incorporating animated sequences by rigging the voxel pet models and integrating sounds related to pet behaviors during the virtual pet design process. Additionally, as we move forward, we will also explore various styles, including realism, to further enrich our research and design capabilities.

Furthermore, we plan to use our current voxel pet characters as artificial companions and integrate their personality traits to design an interactive virtual pet game. The game aims to reduce anxiety and stress levels as an intervention tool. It provides users with a fun and engaging way to interact with virtual pets, potentially improving their mental health and well-being.

In conclusion, although our study provides valuable insights into the link between virtual pets' appearances and their perceived personality traits, several limitations must be addressed in future work. By enriching our sample for generating voxel pets, involving animations and sound, and developing an interactive virtual pet game, we hope to provide users with a more authentic and engaging virtual pet experience.

## 8 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study aimed to address the gaps in current research on virtual pets and their potential for promoting mental health and enhancing skill development in individuals who cannot keep real pets. We focused on creating virtual pets with personality traits and exploring how players perceive their personalities. Our research found that appearance variations affect users' perceptions of virtual pet personalities. Players prefer virtual pets like cats and dogs with neurotic personalities and cute appearances. We also developed a novel method for a game character design that combined traditional methods with machine learning techniques. Our study provides several design implications for virtual pet character design. It highlights the potential of using voxel pets' appearances as virtual companions to enhance the mental well-being of young individuals by reducing anxiety levels through interactive engagement with virtual pets. Overall, our study contributes to the understanding of factors contributing to the development of personalities in different species and how we can design artificial companions that mimic and respond to these traits.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Kunshan Government Research Fund (23KKSGR031) and Duke Kunshan University for supporting this research. We also acknowledge the contributions of our study participants and the anonymous reviewers. The contribution of Ruoxin You in this project was made during her transition from Duke Kunshan University to University College of London.

## REFERENCES

- [1] 2022. *Minecraft Official Website*. <https://www.minecraft.net/en-us>
- [2] 2022. *Pokemon Go Official Website*. <https://www.pokemon.com/us>
- [3] 2022. *Sandbox Official Website*. <https://www.sandbox.game/en/>
- [4] 2022. *Tamagotchi Official Website*. <https://tamagotchi.com/>
- [5] 2022. *Top 10 Most Popular Pets In The World*. <https://knowinsiders.com/top-10-most-popular-pets-in-the-world-32579.html>
- [6] Hanna af Ekström. 2013. How Can a Character's Personality be Conveyed Visually, through Shape.
- [7] Eric Lewin Altschuler. 2008. Play with online virtual pets as a method to improve mirror neuron and real world functioning in autistic children. *Medical hypotheses* 70 4 (2008), 748–9.
- [8] Raiyah Aminuddin, Amanda J. C. Sharkey, and Liat Levita. 2016. Interaction with the Paro robot may reduce psychophysiological stress responses. *2016 11th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI)* (2016), 593–594.
- [9] Daria S. Bylieva, Nadezhda I. Almazova, Victoria V. Lobatyuk, and Anna V. Rubtsova. 2019. Virtual Pet: Trends of Development.
- [10] Zhi-Hong Chen, Chih-Yueh Chou, Yi-Chan Deng, and T. W. Chan. 2005. Animal companions as motivators for teammates helping each other learn. In *CSCL*.
- [11] Céline Clavel, Caroline Faur, Jean-Claude Martin, Sylvie Pesty, and Dominique Duhaut. 2013. Artificial companions with personality and social role. *2013 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence for Creativity and Affective Computing (CICAC)* (2013), 87–95.
- [12] Matthieu Courgeon, Charlotte Hoareau, and Dominique Duhaut. 2016. Interaction with Artificial Companions: Presentation of an Exploratory Study. In *International Conference on Software Reuse*.
- [13] Mikel M. Delgado, Jacqueline D. Munera, and Gretchen M. Reevy. 2012. Human Perceptions of Coat Color as an Indicator of Domestic Cat Personality. *Anthrozoös* 25 (2012), 427 – 440.
- [14] Zsolt Demetrovics, Róbert Urbán, Katalin Nagygyörgy, Judit Farkas, Dalma Zilahy, Barbara Mervő, Antónia Reindl, Csilla Ágoston, Andrea Kertész, and Eszter Harmath. 2011. Why do you play? The development of the motives for online gaming questionnaire (MOGQ). *Behavior research methods* 43 (2011), 814–825.
- [15] M Brent Donnellan, Frederick L Oswald, Brendan M Baird, and Richard E Lucas. 2006. The mini-IPIP scales: tiny-yet-effective measures of the Big Five factors of personality. *Psychological assessment* 18, 2 (2006), 192.

- [16] Jason Mario Dydynski and Nelly Mäekivi. 2021. Impacts of Cartoon Animals on Human-Alloanimal Relations. *Anthrozoös* 34 (2021), 753 – 766.
- [17] Rebecca Evans, Minna Lyons, Gayle Brewer, and Sonia Tucci. 2019. The purrfect match: The influence of personality on owner satisfaction with their domestic cat (*Felis silvestris catus*). *Personality and Individual Differences* (2019).
- [18] Jamie L. Fratkin, D. L. Sinn, Erika A. Patall, and Samuel D. Gosling. 2013. Personality Consistency in Dogs: A Meta-Analysis. *PLoS ONE* 8 (2013).
- [19] Kyle Genova, Forrester Cole, Avneesh Sud, Aaron Sarna, and Thomas Funkhouser. 2018. Variational Autoencoders for Deforming 3D Mesh Models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 4458–4467.
- [20] Daphna Golan-Shemesh, Tsippy Lotan, Yana Zadorozhnaya, Anna Zamansky, Tamar Brilant, Kira Ablamunits, and Dirk van der Linden. 2021. Exploring Digitalization of Animal-Assisted Reading. *Eight International Conference on Animal-Computer Interaction* (2021).
- [21] Samuel D. Gosling and Oliver P. John. 1999. Personality Dimensions in Nonhuman Animals. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 8 (1999), 69 – 75.
- [22] Samuel D. Gosling, Virginia S. Y. Kwan, and Oliver P. John. 2003. A dog's got personality: a cross-species comparative approach to personality judgments in dogs and humans. *Journal of personality and social psychology* 85 6 (2003), 1161–9.
- [23] Benjamin L Hart and Lynette A Hart (Geyer). 2016. Breed and gender differences in dog behavior.
- [24] Benjamin L Hart and Lynette A Hart. 2016. Breed and gender differences in dog behavior. *The Domestic Dog: Its Evolution, Behavior and Interactions with People*, (2016), 119–132.
- [25] Sarah Hartwell. [n. d.]. CONFORMATION CHARTS. <http://messybeast.com/conformation-charts.htm>. Accessed: 2023-03-28.
- [26] Sarah Hartwell. 2018. What Is Conformation and Does It Matter? Part 1.
- [27] Rina Hayashi. 2020. Influence of Users' Personality Traits on Impression of Robots' Reactions to Approach from Them. *Transactions of the Society of Instrument and Control Engineers* (2020).
- [28] Julie Hecht and Alexandra Horowitz. 2015. Seeing Dogs: Human Preferences for Dog Physical Attributes. *Anthrozoös* 28 (2015), 153 – 163.
- [29] Jonathan Ho, Xiaohua Chen, Aravind Srinivas, Yan Duan, and Pieter Abbeel. 2019. Improved Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 680–689.
- [30] Fédération Cynologique Internationale. [n. d.]. FCI breeds nomenclature. <https://www.fci.be/en/Nomenclature/>. Accessed: 2022-05-30.
- [31] SC Jhu. 2016. The 50-Item IPIP Representation of the Goldberg's Markers for the Big-Five Factor Structure: Development of the Traditional Chinese Version. *National Dong Hwa University: Hualien, Taiwan* (2016).
- [32] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. 2013. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114* (2013).
- [33] Christina M. Lee, Joseph J. Ryan, and David S. Kreiner. 2007. Personality in Domestic Cats. *Psychological Reports* 100 (2007), 27 – 29.
- [34] Hee Rin Lee, Wassa R. Panont, Brian Plattenburg, Jean de la Croix, Dilip Patharachalam, and Gregory D. Abowd. 2010. Asthmaon: empowering asthmatic children's self-management with a virtual pet. *CHI '10 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (2010).
- [35] Jacqui M Ley, Paul McGreevy, and Pauleen C Bennett. 2009. Inter-rater and test-retest reliability of the Monash Canine Personality Questionnaire-Revised (MCPQ-R). *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 119, 1-2 (2009), 85–90.
- [36] Chaolan Lin, Travis Faas, Lynn S. Dombrowski, and Erin L. Brady. 2017. Beyond cute: exploring user types and design opportunities of virtual reality pet games. *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology* (2017).
- [37] Carla A Litchfield, Gillian Quinton, Hayley Tindle, Belinda Chiera, K Heidy Kikillus, and Philip Roetman. 2017. The 'Feline Five': An exploration of personality in pet cats (*Felis catus*). *PLoS One* 12, 8 (2017), e0183455.
- [38] Sarah E. Lofgren, Pamela Wiener, Sarah C Blott, Enrique Sánchez-Molano, John A. Woolliams, Dylan Neil Clements, and Marie J. Haskell. 2014. Management and personality in Labrador Retriever dogs. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 156 (2014), 44–53.
- [39] Rod McCrae and Oliver P. John. 1992. An introduction to the five-factor model and its applications. *Journal of personality* 60 2 (1992), 175–215.
- [40] Alexander Mordvintsev, Ettore Randazzo, Eyvind Niklasson, and Michael Levin. 2020. Growing Neural Cellular Automata. *Distill* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.23915/distill.00023> <https://distill.pub/2020/growing-ca>.
- [41] Masahiro Mori. 2020. THE UNCANNY VALLEY. *The Monster Theory Reader* (2020).
- [42] F Blake Morton, Lauren M Robinson, Sabrina Brando, and Alexander Weiss. 2021. Personality structure in bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Journal of comparative psychology* (2021).
- [43] Heewon Na, Soyeon Park, and Suh-Yeon Dong. 2022. Mixed Reality-Based Interaction between Human and Virtual Cat for Mental Stress Management. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)* 22 (2022).
- [44] The Governing Council of the Cat Fancy. [n. d.]. Cat breeds. <https://www.gccfcats.org/getting-a-cat/choosing/cat-breeds/>. Accessed: 2023-03-28.
- [45] Ben Poole, Ajay Jain, Jonathan T Barron, and Ben Mildenhall. 2022. Dreamfusion: Text-to-3d using 2d diffusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14988* (2022).
- [46] Alec Radford, Luke Metz, and Soumith Chintala. 2015. Unsupervised representation learning with deep convolutional generative adversarial networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06434* (2015).
- [47] Jean-Loup Rault. 2015. Pets in the Digital Age: Live, Robot, or Virtual? *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* 2 (2015).
- [48] Michael Roy and Jeffrey S. Nicholas. 2004. Do Dogs Resemble Their Owners? *Psychological Science* 15 (2004), 361 – 363.
- [49] Kerstin Ruhland, Katja Zibrek, and Rachel McDonnell. 2015. Perception of personality through eye gaze of realistic and cartoon models. *Proceedings of the ACM SIGGRAPH Symposium on Applied Perception* (2015).
- [50] Milla Salonen, Salla Mikkola, Emma Hakaniemi, Sini Sulkama, Jenni Puurunen, and Hannes Lohi. 2021. Reliability and validity of a dog personality and unwanted behavior survey. *Animals* 11, 5 (2021), 1234.
- [51] Milla Salonen, Katarina Vapalahti, Katriina Tiira, Asko Mäki-Tanila, and Hannes Lohi. 2019. Breed differences of heritable behaviour traits in cats. *Scientific Reports* 9 (2019).
- [52] Milla Salonen, Katarina Vapalahti, Katriina Tiira, Asko Mäki-Tanila, and Hannes Lohi. 2019. Breed differences of heritable behaviour traits in cats. *Scientific reports* 9, 1 (2019), 1–10.
- [53] Valentin Schwind, Katharina Leicht, Solveigh Jäger, Katrin Wolf, and Niels Henze. 2018. Is there an uncanny valley of virtual animals? A quantitative and qualitative investigation. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies* 111 (2018), 49–61.
- [54] Alexandra Sierra Rativa, Marie Postma, and Menno Van Zaalen. 2020. The influence of game character appearance on empathy and immersion: Virtual non-robotic versus robotic animals. *Simulation & Gaming* 51, 5 (2020), 685–711.
- [55] Chris Solarski. 2012. *Drawing basics and video game art: Classic to cutting-edge art techniques for winning video game design*. Watson-Guptill.
- [56] Haozhe Song, Ziwei Li, Xiaolei Huang, Gang Hua, and Chen Change Loy. 2020. DDIM: Diffusion for Image Modeling. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 748–765.
- [57] Sarah Talbot, Rafael Freire, and Skye Wassens. 2021. Identifying Behavioural Traits and Underlying Personality Dimensions in Domestic Ferrets (*Mustela putorius furo*). *Animals : an Open Access Journal from MDPI* 11 (2021).
- [58] Sean Thomas, Ylva Ferstl, Rachel McDonnell, and Cathy Ennis. 2022. Investigating how speech and animation realism influence the perceived personality of virtual characters and agents. In *2022 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR)*. IEEE, 11–20.
- [59] Pinar Thorn, Tiffani Josey Howell, Cynthia Brown, and Pauleen C. Bennett. 2015. The Canine Cuteness Effect: Owner-Perceived Cuteness as a Predictor of Human-Dog Relationship Quality. *Anthrozoös* 28 (2015), 569 – 585.
- [60] Rolland M. Waters, Bonnie B. Bowers, and Gordon M. Burghardt. 2017. Personality and Individuality in Reptile Behavior.
- [61] Emily Weiss, Katherine A. Miller, Heather Mohan-Gibbons, and Carla Vela. 2012. Why Did You Choose This Pet?: Adopters and Pet Selection Preferences in Five Animal Shelters in the United States. *Animals : an Open Access Journal from MDPI* 2 (2012), 144 – 159.
- [62] Jacqueline Wilhelmy, James A. Serpell, Dorothy Cimino Brown, and Carlo Siracusano. 2016. Behavioral associations with breed, coat type, and eye color in single-breed cats. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior-clinical Applications and Research* 13 (2016), 80–87.
- [63] Anise MS Wu, Mark HC Lai, Shu Yu, Joseph TF Lau, and Man-Wai Lei. 2016. Motives for online gaming questionnaire: Its psychometric properties and correlation with Internet gaming disorder symptoms among Chinese people. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions* 6, 1 (2016), 11–20.
- [64] Jiang Wu, Yihan Dai, Yuan Yuan, and Jialin Li. 2022. UI/UX Design Methodology of Portable Customizable Simulated Pet System Considering Human Mental Health. *2022 IEEE 4th Global Conference on Life Sciences and Technologies (LifeTech)* (2022), 41–45.
- [65] Jiajun Wu, Chengkai Zhang, Tianfan Xue, William T Freeman, and Joshua B Tenenbaum. 2016. Learning a Probabilistic Latent Space of Object Shapes via 3D Generative-Adversarial Modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.07584* (2016).
- [66] Robert M. Yerkes. 1939. The Life History and Personality of the Chimpanzee. *The American Naturalist* 73 (1939), 97 – 112.

## A APPENDIX

## B SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW SCRIPT

### B.1 Semi-structured Interview of Study 1

Thank you for filling out our questionnaire earlier. We'd like to briefly talk with you about your past pet ownership experience and your thoughts on the personality and appearance of virtual pets. This interview is mainly divided into four parts. We will record

the interview process, and some operations will be recorded on the screen. If you agree, let's continue.

First, let's recall how you filled out the questionnaire before. Here are the pictures and animations of pets you saw before: Pet Personality Comparison: (pictures for recall)

#### B.1.1 *pet personality.*

- (1) We found you have to XX this attribute evaluation in XX, which means more XX. Do you think so?
- (2) What are the specific reasons that make you feel that way?
- (3) Regarding virtual pet cats/dogs, do you think animation is a better way to express the pet's personality than static images? And Where is it embodied?
- (4) Do you think virtual pet images (in the form of static and dynamic voxels) are better or worse at expressing a pet's personality than real pet photos?
- (5) You're looking at a picture of a cat/dog, but if you've had a cat/dog in your pet live, does that make a difference to your judgment?
  - If so, where are the main areas affected?
  - If not, why not?

#### B.1.2 *Pet Type and Interaction.*

- (1) We found out that you used to have XXX, but the pet you want to have is still/is XXX. Can you tell us the reason?
- (2) The virtual pet you are looking forward to is XXX. Can you tell me why?
- (3) What characteristics do you think these virtual pets need? In appearance and personality?
- (4) You mentioned that if you can keep a virtual pet, the most important way to interact with him is XXX. Can you explain why you like this kind of interaction?
- (5) What do you find most appealing about this type of interaction?
- (6) Can you talk about the kind of interaction you want?
- (7) In addition, we also found that other ways of interacting are trendy. If you could choose the top three, what would you choose and rank them?

#### B.1.3 *Pet Appearance.*

- (1) You describe your previous pet's personality as XXX. Do you think its physical characteristics are related?
  - If so, what specific characteristics (e.g., body shape, limbs, tail position, movement habits) indicate this personality trait?
  - If you don't feel connected, can you explain why?
- (2) Do you think there is a contrast between his appearance and his real character? Is that a big contrast?
- (3) We found that many people like pets that look cute. Do you agree?
  - If so, why do you like pets that look cute?
  - If not, why not?
- (4) What characteristics do you consider cute?
- (5) We've got some pictures and need your help choosing which ones you think are cute. That's great. We also want you to rank the cuteness of your picks.

#### B.1.4 *Summary and Advice For Pet Design: Summary and advice for pet design:*

- (1) Statistics show that the highest rating for our three pet pictures is XXX. Can you explain why?
- (2) Do you think our virtual pet characters can convey the pet's personality traits?
  - If so, can you tell me how you feel about it? (Color, movement, expression?) Which virtual pet do you prefer to your previous pets? Why?
  - If not, could you tell us the specific reason?
- (3) What do you think of our virtual pet characters?
- (4) Any suggestions on how to improve the design of pet characters or animations?

## B.2 Semi-structured Interview of Study 2

Thank you for participating in this interview. We would like to chat briefly with you about your thoughts on the pet images we designed, as well as a deeper understanding of some of your suggestions for anxiety-relieving applications. The interview will be divided into five parts, about 60 to 90 minutes. We will tape and video the interview. If you agree, let's continue.

- (1) We found that your pet evaluation is: XXX is the highest score. Can you explain why?
- (2) When classifying pets' personalities, we found that the pet you rated highest was XX personality. Can you explain why?
- (3) We have prepared some pictures of voxel pet. Please put them in the best area according to the images you see. We found xxx, could you please explain why?
- (4) What do you think is the difference between xx?

#### B.2.1 *Design.*

- (1) Compared with the painting style of real and voxel pets, which do you prefer? Can you expand on that?
- (2) Which image do you prefer compared to the real and voxel pet? Can you expand on that?
- (3) What is your favorite part of the Voxel pet's look?
  - What's your least favorite part?
  - Can you expand on that?
- (4) Compared with the real and voxel pet, which image do you find more relaxing and relaxing?
- (5) Which do you prefer compared to the real pet and voxel pet scenes? Can you expand on that?