FPGA Routing

Outline

- Constraints and objectives
- Routing resource graph
- Global routing and detailed routing
- VPR router
- Timing-driven routing



Introduction

- FPGA routing must make use of prefabricated routing resources.



- #1 objective: 100% routing completion.
- Can be performed in two phases (*global routing*, *detailed routing*) or combined.

Routing in FPGA

- Must consider *switch-module architectural constraints*.



Each channel has a capacity of 2 tracks.

- For *performance-driven routing*,
  - **Minimize # of switches passed.**
  - Minimize the maximum wire length.
  - Minimize the maximum path length.

Routing-Resource Graph

- A *graph model* for routing
  - Wire/pin → node
  - Unidirectional switch → a directed edge
  - Bidirectional switch → two directed edges



Global Routing

- Find a *routing tree* for each net.
- Select a set of channels, but not specific routing tracks.
- Subject to *channel capacity*.



Coarse Routing Resource Graph

- A graph model for global routing
  - Associate a capacity to a node
  - Capacity of a node = corresponding channel capacity



Detailed Routing

- Find a track assignment for each net under its given global routing configuration.



Detailed Routing via SAT

- Formulate a routing instance as a *Boolean satisfiability problem* in conjunctive normal form.
- Consider all nets simultaneously, and can prove unroutability.
- Connectivity constraints: each net must be connected.
- Exclusivity constraints: each track must be used by ≤ 1 net.
- Rely on efficient SAT-solver (SAT is NP-hard).

Detailed Routing via SAT



Net A: [pin 1 of CLB(2,0), C-block(1,0), S-block(1,1), C-block(1,2), S-block(1,3), C-block(2,3), pin 2 of CLB(2,2)]

Net B: [pin 3 of CLB(0,0), C-block(1,0), S-block(1,1), C-block(0,1), pin 0 of CLB(0,2)]

Net C: [pin 0 of CLB(0,4), C-block(0,3), S-block(1,3), C-block(1,2), pin 3 of CLB(0,3)]

enum {0, 1, 2} *AV*, *AH*, // Net A track variables
BV, *BH*, // Net B track variables
CH, *CV*; // Net C track variables

$$Conn(A) = [(AV \equiv 0) \vee (AV \equiv 1) \vee (AV \equiv 2)] \wedge \quad \text{// Vertical channel 1}$$
$$[AV = AH] \wedge \quad \text{// S-block(1,3)}$$
$$[(AH \equiv 0) \vee (AH \equiv 1) \vee (AH \equiv 2)] \quad \text{// Horizontal channel 3}$$

$$Excl(V1) = (AV \neq BV) \wedge$$
$$(AV \neq CV)$$

VPR Router

- Combined global and detailed routing.
- Routing algorithm based on PathFinder.
- Use maze expansion to construct routing tree from a signal's driver to its loads in the routing graph.
- Congestion component is used to gradually resolve congestion by encouraging nets to take *detours around congested resources*.

Details of VPR Router

- VPR router is based on PathFinder which is an *iterative negotiation-based* routing approach.
- In each iteration, route all nets independently w/ minimum cost (nets may share same routing resource)
- Costs of over-congested routing resources will be increased.
- Nets that can use lower congestion alternatives are forced to do so in subsequent iterations.

Negotiated Congestion Routing Flow



Details of VPR Router

- Cost of using a resource is based on its *current & historical congestion*.
- Cost of resource n is

$$c_n = (b_n + h_n) * p_n$$

where b_n is the base cost for delay of using n,

p_n is #nets presently using n,

h_n is historical congestion of n (at i-th iteration, $h_n^i = h_n^{i-1} + 1$ if n has an overflow, or h_n^{i-1} otherwise)

Routing Two-Terminal Nets in VPR

- Use maze expansion to route two-terminal net:



Labelling (breadth-first traversal)



Connection after labelling

Routing Multi-Terminal Nets in VPR

- Use an *incremental* technique for faster multi-terminal net routing.



(a) Expansion reaches a sink



(b) Traditional method: restart wavefront



(c) VPR method: maintain wavefront and expand around new wire

Timing-Driven VPR Router

- Take delay into account
- Use *Elmore delay* model
- Initially, route all nets in minimum delay independently.
- Subsequently, use a weighted sum of *congestion* and *delay* as cost.

Timing-Driven VPR Router

- At the end of each routing iteration, *timing analysis* is performed.
- From timing analysis, the timing *criticality* $Crit_{ij}$ of every connection *(i,j)* is computed as follows:

$$Crit_{ij} = 1 - Slack_{ij} / \text{CriticalPathDelay}$$

- Cost of using resource *n* for routing net *i* to its sink *j*:

$$Cost_n(i,j) = Crit_{i,j} * delay\_cost(n) + [1 - Crit_{i,j}] * cong\_cost_n$$

Timing-Driven Routing

- Common techniques:
  - Route timing *critical nets first* for sequential routing
  - Routing tree *topology optimization* (e.g. shortest-path tree, bounded-delay minimum-cost Steiner tree)
  - *Delay penalty* (e.g. VPR)
  - *Static slack distribution* given the path delay constraints
  - *Dynamic net weighting* (e.g. VPR)

Placement Evaluation

- One often needs to evaluate a placement e.g. SA will generate many placement solutions.
- Interested to *estimate routability and/or performance* quickly.





References

- "PathFinder: A Negotiation-Based Performance-Driven Router for FPGAs", in *FPGA'*95.
- "VPR: A New Packing, Placement and Routing Tool for FPGA Research," in *FPL'97*
- "A Fast Routability-Driven Router for FPGAs", in *FPGA'98*
- "A Comparative Study of Two Boolean Formulations of FPGA Detailed Routing", *IEEE Trans. on Computers, June 2004.*