

TITLE

Johannes Hahn

May 26, 2018

1 Some categorial flavour to algebraic notions

1.1 Definition (Projective and injective objects):

$P \in Ob(\mathbf{A})$ is called projective iff for every epimorphism $B \twoheadrightarrow A$ and every morphism $P \rightarrow A$ there is a morphism $P \rightarrow B$ making the triangle commutative.

Dually $I \in Ob(\mathbf{A})$ is called injective iff for every monomorphism $A \hookrightarrow B$ and every morphism $A \rightarrow I$ there is a morphism $B \rightarrow I$ making the triangle commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ & \downarrow & \\ B & \twoheadrightarrow & A \rightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & I & \\ & \uparrow & \\ B & \hookrightarrow & A \leftarrow 0 \end{array}$$

1.2: In both cases, the morphisms need not be unique and in many cases they aren't.

2 Some homological algebra

2.1 Definition (Chain complexes):

Let \mathbf{A} be an additive category. A chain complex (A_*, ∂) is a pair consisting of a graded object $A_* \in \mathbf{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and a morphism $\partial : A \rightarrow A$ of degree -1 , i.e. $\partial_n : A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1}$, such that $\partial \circ \partial = 0$.

The category of chain complexes is denoted $Ch(\mathbf{A})$.

2.2 Definition (Homology):

Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category and $A_* \in Ch(\mathbf{A})$ a chain complex. Then its homology is defined to be the graded object $H_n := \underbrace{\ker(\partial_n)}_{=: Z_n} / \underbrace{\operatorname{im}(\partial_{n+1})}_{=: B_n}$.

2.1 Mapping cone

2.3 Definition:

Let $f : (A_*, \partial^A) \rightarrow (B_*, \partial^B)$ be a chain-map. The mapping cone $C(f) = (C(f)_*, \partial^{C(f)})$ is the chain complex given by

$$C(f)_n := A_{n-1} \oplus B_n \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_n^{C(f)} := \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{n-1}^A & 0 \\ -f_{n-1} & \partial_n^B \end{pmatrix}$$

2.4 Lemma (Mapping cones vs. quasi-isomorphisms):

Let $f : (A_*, \partial^A) \rightarrow (B_*, \partial^B)$ be a chain-map.

- a.) $0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{i} C(f) \xrightarrow{q} A[-1] \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of chain complexes.
- b.) The induced long exact sequence in homology

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(B) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{n+1}(C(f)) \xrightarrow{q_*} \underbrace{H_{n+1}(A[-1])}_{=H_n(A)} \xrightarrow{\delta} H_n(B) \rightarrow H_n(C(f)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

has f_* as connecting morphism δ .

- c.) f quasi-isomorphism $\iff C(f)$ is acyclic.

2.5 Lemma (Mapping cones vs. chain homotopy):

Let $f : (A_*, \partial^A) \rightarrow (B_*, \partial^B)$ be a chain-map.

- a.) f is a homotopy-equivalence $\iff C(f)$ is contractible.
- b.) TFAE:
 - i.) f is null-homotopic.
 - ii.) f factorises through $A \hookrightarrow C(\text{id}_A)$.
 - iii.) f factorises through a contractible complex.
 - iv.) The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \hookrightarrow C(f) \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ splits.

Proof. a. A map

$$H := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{c} A_{n-1} \\ \oplus \\ B_n \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} A_n \\ \oplus \\ B_{n+1} \end{array}$$

is a homotopy $\text{id}_{C(f)} \simeq 0$ iff $H\partial^{C(f)} + \partial^{C(f)}H = \text{id}$, that is iff

$$- \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\partial + \beta f + \partial\alpha & -\beta\partial + \partial\beta \\ \gamma\partial + \delta f + f\alpha - \partial\gamma & -\delta\partial + f\beta - \partial\delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{id} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{id} \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e. iff $\beta : B \rightarrow A$ is a chain-map, $-\alpha$ is a homotopy $\text{id} \simeq (-\beta)f$, δ is homotopy $\text{id} \simeq f(-\beta)$ and γ is some map satisfying the last equation.

This already proves one direction: If $C(f) \simeq 0$, then $f(-\beta) \simeq \text{id}$ and $(-\beta)f \simeq \text{id}$ so that $A \simeq B$.

Conversely, if $f(-\beta) \simeq \text{id}$ and $(-\beta)f \simeq \text{id}$ via homotopies δ and $-\alpha$ respectively, then setting $\gamma := 0$ for the moment, we instead get a homotopy \tilde{H} of 0 with $\psi := \begin{pmatrix} \text{id} & 0 \\ \delta f + f\alpha & \text{id} \end{pmatrix}$ which is obviously an isomorphism on the level of modules. ψ is in fact a chain map:

$$\partial^{C(f)}\psi - \psi\partial^{C(f)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \partial\delta f + \partial f\alpha + \delta f\partial + f\alpha\partial & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This is zero because

$$\partial\delta f + \delta f\partial = \partial\delta f + \delta\partial f = (-\text{id} + f\beta)f$$

and

$$\partial f\alpha + f\alpha\partial = f\partial\alpha + f\alpha\partial = f(\text{id} - \beta f)$$

Therefore $H := \psi^{-1}\tilde{H}$ is a homotopy $0 \simeq \text{id}_{C(f)}$ so that $C(f)$ is contractible as claimed.

b. i. \Leftarrow ii. \Leftarrow iii. is trivial. We show i. \Leftarrow iii.: f factorises over the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow C(\text{id}_A)$, $a \mapsto (0, a)$ iff there is a chain-map

$$(h_{n-1}, f_n) : \begin{matrix} A_{n-1} \\ \oplus \\ A_n \end{matrix} \rightarrow B_n$$

And for a family $(h_n : A_n \rightarrow B_{n+1})$ to induce such a chain map $C(\text{id}_A) \rightarrow B$ is equivalent to $\partial^B h = -h\partial^A - f$, i.e. to h being a homotopy $f \simeq 0$.

i. \Leftarrow iv.: $B \hookrightarrow C(f)$ splits iff there is a chain map

$$(r, \text{id}) : \begin{matrix} A_{n-1} \\ \oplus \\ B_n \end{matrix} \rightarrow B_n$$

And for a family $(r_n : A_n \rightarrow B_{n+1})$ to induce such a chain map $C(f) \rightarrow B$ is equivalent to $\partial r = -r\partial - f$, i.e. to r being a homotopy $f \simeq 0$. \square

2.2 Replacing objects and complexes by projective or injective resolutions

2.6 Lemma:

Chain maps between projectives / acyclic complexes are unique up to homotopy:

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>a.) Homology: If $C_* \in Ch^-(\mathbf{A})$ is acyclic and $P_* \in Ch^-(Proj(\mathbf{A}))$ all morphisms $P_* \rightarrow C_*$ are null-homotopic.</p> | <p>b.) Cohomology: If $C^* \in Ch^+(\mathbf{A})$ is acyclic and $I^* \in Ch^+(Inj(\mathbf{A}))$ all morphisms $C^* \rightarrow I^*$ are null-homotopic.</p> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta : P_* \rightarrow C_*$ be two chain maps. Inductively we construct a homotopy $h : P_* \rightarrow C_*[1]$ between the two.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_2 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \alpha_2 - \beta_2 & & \alpha_1 - \beta_1 & & \alpha_0 - \beta_0 \\
 & \swarrow h_2 & \downarrow & \swarrow h_1 & \downarrow & \swarrow h_0 & \downarrow \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & C_2 & \longrightarrow & C_1 & \longrightarrow & C_0 \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

We begin with setting $h_n := 0$ for all $n < 0$. First step is to construct h_0 . Since C is exact, $C_1 \rightarrow C_0$ is epi so that $\alpha_0 - \beta_0$ lifts to some $h_0 : P_0 \rightarrow C_1$ by projectivity, so that $\partial_1 h_0 + \partial_0 = \alpha_0 - \beta_0$ is satisfied.

If h_0, \dots, h_{n-1} are already known and a partial homotopy, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_n(\alpha_n - \beta_n) &= (\alpha_{n-1} - \beta_{n-1})\partial_n \\
 &= (\partial_n h_{n-1} + h_{n-2}\partial_{n-1})\partial_n \\
 &= \partial_n h_{n-1}\partial_n
 \end{aligned}$$

So that $\partial(\alpha_n - \beta_n - h_{n-1}\partial_n) = 0$. Therefore $\alpha_n - \beta_n - h_{n-1}\partial_n$ maps into $Z_n(C)$ which equals $B_n(C) = \text{im}(\partial_{n+1})$ by exactness. By projectivity, we can find h_n so that

$$\alpha_n - \beta_n - h_{n-1}\partial_n = \partial_{n+1}h_n$$

is satisfied which proves the lemma. \square

2.7 Corollary (Fundamental lemma of homological algebra):

“Objects can be replaced by their projective or injective resolutions”

- a.) Homology: Assume that \mathbf{A} has enough projectives and that a projective resolution has been fixed for every object.
- b.) Cohomology: Assume that \mathbf{A} has enough injectives and that an injective resolution has been fixed for every object.

Any $f : A \rightarrow B$ extends to a chain map between the augmented complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 P_*(A) & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow f & & \\
 P_*(B) & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

ϕ is unique up to homotopy.

In particular: $\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{P_*} K^-(\text{Proj}(\mathbf{A}))$ is a well-defined functor with $H_0 \circ P_* \cong \text{id}_{\mathbf{A}}$.

Any $f : A \rightarrow B$ extends to a chain map between the augmented complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & I^*(A) \\
 & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \phi \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & I^*(B)
 \end{array}$$

ϕ is unique up to homotopy.

In particular: $\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{I^*} K^+(\text{Inj}(\mathbf{A}))$ is a well-defined functor with $H_0 \circ I^* \cong \text{id}_{\mathbf{A}}$.

As a consequence, projective and injective resolutions are unique up to homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Uniqueness up to homotopy follows from the lemma. We only have to show existence. Again, we work inductively:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & P_2(A) & \longrightarrow & P_1(A) & \longrightarrow & P_0(A) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi_1 & & \downarrow \phi_0 & & \downarrow f \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & P_2(B) & \longrightarrow & P_1(B) & \longrightarrow & P_0(B) \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

We set $P_{-1}(A) := A$, $\phi_{-1} := f$, and $P_{-1}(B) := B$ for notational convenience. If ϕ_{n-1} is already constructed, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
P_n(A) \xrightarrow{\partial} P_{n-1}(A) & & P_n(A) \xrightarrow{\partial} P_{n-1}(A) \xrightarrow{\partial} P_{n-2}(A) \\
\downarrow \phi_{n-1} & = & \downarrow \phi_{n-2} = 0 \\
P_{n-1}(B) \xrightarrow{\partial} P_{n-2}(B) & & P_{n-2}(B)
\end{array}$$

Therefore $\phi_{n-1} \circ \partial_n : P_n(A) \rightarrow P_{n-1}(B)$ maps into $Z_{n-1}(P_*(B))$ which equals $B_{n-1}(P_*(B)) = \text{im}(\partial_n)$ by exactness. By projectivity, we get a lift $\phi_n : P_n(A) \rightarrow P_n(B)$. \square

2.8 Lemma (Horseshoe lemma):

“ P_* and I^* are exact”

a.) Homology: Every diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& P_*(A) & & P_*(C) & & & \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & & & 0
\end{array}$$

with exact first row and projective resolutions in the columns can be extended with some projective resolution $P_*(B) \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & P_*(A) & \rightarrow & P_*(B) & \rightarrow & P_*(C) \rightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

in which all rows are exact.

b.) Cohomology: Every diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& 0 & & 0 & & & \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
& & I^*(A) & & & & I^*(C)
\end{array}$$

with exact first row and injective resolutions in the columns can be extended with some injective resolution $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow I^*(B)$ to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & I^*(A) & \rightarrow & I^*(B) & \rightarrow & I^*(C) \rightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

in which all rows are exact.

Proof. Set $A_{-1} := A$ and $A_n := P_n(A)$, $C_{-1} := C$ and $C_n := P_n(C)$ as well as $B_{-1} := B$. Then define $P_n(B) := B_n := A_n \oplus C_n$.

For the vertical maps consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & A_n & \longrightarrow & A_n \oplus C_n & \longrightarrow & C_n \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & A_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f} & B_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & C_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & A_{n-2} & \longrightarrow & B_{n-2} & \longrightarrow & C_{n-2} \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

$\swarrow \quad \quad \quad \searrow$
 $g \quad \quad \quad h$

We define $g : A_n \oplus C_n \rightarrow B_{n-1}$ separately on the two components. Define $g : A_n \oplus 0 \rightarrow B_{n-1}$ to be the composition $A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1} \rightarrow B_{n-1}$.

The map $g : 0 \oplus C_n \rightarrow B_{n-1}$ we choose in two steps. First choose $h : C_n \rightarrow B_{n-1}$ to make the triangle on the right side commute. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 \oplus C_n & & 0 \oplus C_n \xrightarrow{\cong} C_n \\
\downarrow h & & \downarrow \\
B_{n-1} & = & C_{n-1} = 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B_{n-2} \rightarrow C_{n-2} & & C_{n-2}
\end{array}$$

d.h. $\partial h(C_n) \subseteq A_{n-2}$ because the $(n-2)$ th row is exact and of course $\partial \partial h = 0$ so that $\partial h(C_n) \subseteq Z_{n-2}(A_*) = B_{n-2}(A_*)$ by exactness of A_* . Using projectivity once again, we can lift ∂h to $f : C_n \rightarrow A_{n-1}$ and finally define $g : 0 \oplus C_n \rightarrow B_{n-1}$ as $h - f$. Note that $\overline{g(c_n)} = \partial c_n$ still holds because $\text{im}(f) \subseteq \ker(B_{n-1} \rightarrow C_{n-1})$.

This ensures $\partial g = 0$ which proves that the middle column is a(n incomplete) complex. We still have to show exactness. So let $b_{n-1} \in B_{n-1}$ with $\partial b_{n-1} = 0$.

Then its image $c_{n-1} = \overline{b_{n-1}}$ also satisfies $\partial c_{n-1} = 0$ so that a c_n exists with $c_{n-1} = \partial c_n$ by exactness of C_* . Then $\overline{b_{n-1} - g(0 \oplus c_n)} = c_{n-1} - \partial c_n = 0$ so that $b_{n-1} - g(0 \oplus c_n) \in \ker(B_{n-1} \rightarrow C_{n-1})$ which is $\text{im}(A_{n-1} \rightarrow B_{n-1})$ by exactness of the $(n-1)$ th row so that $b_{n-1} - g(0 \oplus c_n) = a_{n-1}$. Then $0 = 0 - 0 = \partial b_{n-1} - \partial g(0 \oplus c_n) = \partial a_{n-1}$ so that $a_{n-1} = \partial a_n = g(a_n \oplus 0)$. That shows $b_{n-1} = g(a_n \oplus c_n)$. \square

2.9 Corollary:

“Complexes can be replaced by double complexes of projectives/injectives”

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>a.) Homology: For every $K_* \in Ch(A)$ exists a commutative double complex $P_{*,*}$ and maps $P_{n,*} \rightarrow K_n$ such that</p> | <p>$P_{*,n} \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow 0$ is a projective resolution.</p> |
| <p>b.) Cohomology: For every $K^* \in Ch(A)$</p> | |

exists a commutative double complex $I^{*,*}$ and maps $K^n \rightarrow I^{n,*} \rightarrow K^n$ such

that $0 \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow I^{n,*} \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0$ is an injective resolution.

Proof. Consider the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

and choose projective resolutions $P''_{n,*} \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow 0$ and $P'_{n,*} \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow 0$. Apply the horseshoe lemma to obtain a projective resolution $P_{n,*} \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow 0$ fitting in the exact sequence.

Now apply the fundamental lemma of homological algebra to get a chain-map $P'_{n,*} \rightarrow P''_{n-1,*}$ that extends the canonical map $B_{n-1} \rightarrow Z_{n-1}$ and let $P_{n,*} \rightarrow P_{n-1,*}$ be the composition $P_{n,*} \rightarrow P'_{n,*} \rightarrow P''_{n-1,*} \hookrightarrow P_{n-1,*}$. Since $P' \rightarrow P \rightarrow P''$ are short exact sequences, we obtain a commutative double complex in this way. \square

2.10 Theorem:

“Complexes can be replaced by projective / injective resolutions”

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>a.) Homology: For any bounded above complex $K_* \in Ch^-(A)$ there is a $P_* \in Ch^-(Proj(A))$ and a quasi-isomorphism $P_* \rightarrow K_*$.</p> | <p>b.) Cohomology: For any bounded below complex $K^* \in Ch^+(A)$ there is a $I^* \in Ch^+(Inj(A))$ and a quasi-isomorphism $K^* \rightarrow I^*$.</p> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Proof. Take the total complex of $P_{*,*}$ in the previous statement. \square

3 Derived functors

3.1 (The Problem): Given a right-exact functor $F : A \rightarrow B$, and exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

gives a exact sequence

$$F(A) \rightarrow F(B) \rightarrow F(C) \rightarrow 0$$

We want to find functors $L_n F$ and natural transformations δ_n (natural w.r.t. the short exact sequence) such that this sequence extends to a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow L_2 F(C) \xrightarrow{\delta_2} L_1 F(A) \rightarrow L_1 F(B) \rightarrow L_1 F(C) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \underbrace{F(A)}_{=L_0 F(A)} \rightarrow \underbrace{F(B)}_{L_0 F(B)} \rightarrow \underbrace{F(C)}_{=0} \rightarrow 0$$

And similarly for left-exact functors.

Of course, we want the universal solution to this problem.

3.2 Definition (δ -Functors & Derived functors):

A family $(F_n, \delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functors $\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{F_n} \mathbf{B}$ and natural transformations $F_n(C) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} F_{n-1}(A)$ for every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ that transforms such short exact sequences into long exact sequences as above is called a (homological) δ -functor.

A morphism of δ -functors is a family (t_n) of natural transformations $F_n \xrightarrow{t_n} G_n$ which induces morphisms between the long exact sequences, i.e. $t_n \delta_n^F = \delta_n^G t_n$.

Cohomological δ -Functors (F^n, δ^n) are analogously defined for left-exact functors.

3.3 Definition (Universal δ -functors and derived functors):

Let $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be right-exact. A δ -functor (F_n, δ_n) is called the universal δ -functor extending F or left derived functor of F if there is an iso $F_0 \xrightarrow{\tau} F$ and $((F_n, \delta_n), \tau)$ is the final object in the category of all such δ -functors.

Similarly the right derived functor of a left exact F is defined as an initial object in the appropriate category of cohomological δ -functors with fixed iso $F \xrightarrow{\tau} F^0$.

3.4 Lemma (Extending natural transformations):

Let $(F_n, \delta_n), (\tilde{F}, \tilde{\delta}_n)$ be two δ -functors $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$.

a.) Homology: Assume $F_n(P) = 0$ for all $n \leq 1$ and all $P \in \text{Proj}(\mathbf{A})$.

Then every $\tilde{F} \xrightarrow{t_0} F$ extends uniquely to a family of natural transformations $\tilde{F}_n \xrightarrow{t_n} F_n$ which induces a morphism between the long exact sequences.

b.) Cohomology: Assume $F^n(I) = 0$ for all $n \leq 1$ and all $I \in \text{Inj}(\mathbf{A})$.

Then every $F \xrightarrow{t_0} \tilde{F}$ extends uniquely to a family of natural transformations $F^n \xrightarrow{t_n} \tilde{F}^n$ which induces a morphism between the long exact sequences.

Proof. Assume that unique transformations t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} have already been constructed. Fix $C \in \mathbf{A}$ and choose a short exact $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{j} P \xrightarrow{q} C \rightarrow 0$ with P projective. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \tilde{F}_n(P) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{F}_n(C) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_n} & \tilde{F}_{n-1}(K) \longrightarrow \tilde{F}_{n-1}(P) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \scriptstyle \vdots & & \downarrow \scriptstyle \vdots & & \downarrow \scriptstyle t_{n-1} \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \underbrace{F_n(P)}_{=0} & \longrightarrow & F_n(C) & \xrightarrow{\delta_n} & F_{n-1}(K) \longrightarrow F_{n-1}(P) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

It follows that $F_n(C) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} \ker(F_{n-1}(j))$ and since t_{n-1} is natural, there is a unique $t_n : \tilde{F}_n(C) \rightarrow F_n(C)$ that makes the square commute. This t_n does not depend on the choice of K and P by Schanuel's lemma.

Naturality of t_n follows from a simple diagram chase using naturality of δ_n and $\tilde{\delta}_n$, naturality of t_{n-1} and that $F_n(A) \rightarrow F_{n-1}(K)$ is mono.

It remains to show that t_n commutes with the deltas for an arbitrary short exact $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$. This also follows from a simple diagram chase. \square

3.5 Theorem (Derived functors exist.):

Let $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be right / left exact functor.

a.) Homology:

- i.) If \mathbf{A} has enough projectives, then F has a left derived functor.
- ii.) $L_i F(P) = 0$ for all projectives P and all $i > 0$.
- iii.) Deriving is a functor $L_i : \mathbf{Fun}_{r.e.}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}_{add}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$.

b.) Cohomology:

- i.) If \mathbf{A} has enough injectives, then F has a right derived functor.
- ii.) $R^i F(I) = 0$ for all injectives I and all $i > 0$.
- iii.) Deriving is a functor $R^i : \mathbf{Fun}_{l.e.}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}_{add}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$.

Proof. Existence: Define $L_n F := H_n \circ P_*$. Note that this does not depend on the choice of the projective resolutions P_* because all choices are homotopy equivalent and homology forgets homotopy. Note that $L_i F(P) = 0$ for P projective and $i > 0$ because $0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\text{id}} P \rightarrow 0$ is a projective resolution of P .

Horseshoe lemma implies that every short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

lifts to exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_*(A) \rightarrow P_*(B) \rightarrow P_*(C) \rightarrow 0$ which implies a long exact sequence in homology. Therefore $LF = (L_i F, \delta_i)$ is a δ -functor extending F .

We still have to show universality. Let $((\tilde{F}_n, \tilde{\delta}_n), \tilde{\tau})$ be another δ -functor extending F . The above lemma shows that there is a unique morphism of δ -functors $t : \tilde{F} \rightarrow F$ which extends $t_0 := \tau^{-1} \circ \tilde{\tau}$.

It also proves functoriality of deriving. □

3.6: Projective / injective resolutions work for all functors to construct derived functors. With a bit more care one can make also resolutions work that consist of more general “ F -acyclic” objects.

4 Examples

4.1 Example (Snake lemma):

Taking kernels is a left-exact functor $\mathbf{A}^{* \rightarrow *} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$. Its right derived functor is the cokernel in degree 1 and zero further up.

Dually taking cokernels is right-exact and its left derived functor is the kernel in degree 1 and zero everywhere else.

This is a manifestation of the snake lemma.

4.2 Example (Sheaf (co)homology):

Sheaf cohomology $H^*(X, \mathcal{F})$ is the right derived functor of the global section functor $\Gamma : Sh(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$.

4.3 Example (DeRham cohomology):

$H_{\text{dR}}^*(M)$ is Sheaf cohomology of the sheaf $\Omega^0(M)$ of locally constant functions $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

4.4 Example (Singular cohomology):

$H_{\text{sing}}^*(X; G)$ is sheaf cohomology of the sheaf $\underline{G}_X \in Sh(X)$ of locally constant G -valued functions.

4.5 Example (Étale cohomology):

Étale cohomology is the Sheaf cohomology for sheafs on the étale site, i.e. the right derived functor of global sections $\mathbf{Sh}_{\text{ét}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$.

4.6 Example (Ext and Tor):

$\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) := (R^i \text{Hom}_A(M, -))(N)$.

$\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N) := (L_i M \otimes_A -)(N) = (L_i - \otimes_A N)(M)$.

4.7 Example (Group (co)homology):

$H_*(G, M)$ is the left derived functor of the functor of coinvariants $k \otimes_{kG} -$, i.e. it is $\text{Tor}_*^{kG}(k, M)$.

$H_k^*(G, -)$ is the right derived functor of the functor of fixed points $(-)^G = \text{Hom}_{kG}(k, -)$, i.e. it is $\text{Ext}_{kG}^*(k, M)$.

4.8 Example (Hochschild (co)homology):

Let $A^e := A \otimes_k A^{op}$ be the enveloping algebra of the k -algebra A .

$HH_n(A, M) := \text{Tor}_n^{A^e}(A, M)$, i.e. it is the left derived functor of the functors of coinvariant $M/[A, M] = A \otimes_{A^e} M : (A, A)\text{-Bimod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$.

$HH^n(A, M) := \text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, M)$, i.e. the right derived functor of invariants $Z(M) := \text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, M) : (A, A)\text{-Bimod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$.

4.9 Example (Lie-algebra (co)homology):

$H_n(\mathfrak{g}, M) := \text{Tor}_n^{U(\mathfrak{g})}(k, M)$, i.e. left derived of taking coinvariants.

$H^n(\mathfrak{g}, M) := \text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}^n(k, M)$, i.e. right derived of taking invariants.

5 The derived category and total derived functors

5.1 Theorem:

Let $p_A^? : K^?(A) \rightarrow D^?(A)$ be the projection functor from the homotopy category onto the derived category.

The total left/right derived functor of $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is the “best approximation” of $K^\pm(F) : K^\pm(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow K^\pm(\mathbf{B})$ on the level of derived categories, i.e. it fits into the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^\pm(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{K(F)} & K^\pm(\mathbf{B}) \\ p_A^\pm \downarrow & & \downarrow p_B^\pm \\ D^\pm(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow[\quad LF \quad]{\quad RF \quad} & D^\pm(\mathbf{B}) \end{array}$$

5.2: In this situation LF / RF is also both the left and right Kan-extension of $Q_B \circ K(F)$ along the localisation p_A . Concretely: LF fits into a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^-(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{p_B^- \circ K(F)} & D^-(\mathbf{B}) \\ p_A^\pm \searrow & \swarrow f & \uparrow \\ & D^-(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{G} \end{array} \quad = \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K^-(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{p_B^\pm \circ K(F)} & D^-(\mathbf{B}) \\ p_A^- \searrow & \swarrow \cong & \uparrow \\ & D^-(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{G} \end{array}$$

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Dotted arrow from } D^-(\mathbf{A}) \text{ to } D^-(\mathbf{B}) \text{ labeled } LF \\ \text{Dashed arrow from } D^-(\mathbf{A}) \text{ to } D^-(\mathbf{B}) \text{ labeled } \exists! \end{array}$

which is commutative up to natural isomorphism $p_B \circ K(F) \xrightarrow{\cong} LF \circ p_A$ such that for every other functor $D^-(\mathbf{A}) \xrightarrow{G} D^-(\mathbf{B})$ every natural transformation $G \circ p_A \xrightarrow[\cong]{f} p_B \circ K(F)$ factors uniquely through this iso.

Therefore some authors *define* LF of *any* additive functor F as the right Kan extension $Ran_{p_A^-}(p_B^- \circ K(F))$ and RF as the left Kan extension $Lan_{p_A^+}(p_B^+ \circ K(F))$. In this situation however, LF and RF do in general not extend F .