
Relational Calculus

- Relational calculus query specifies *what* is to be retrieved rather than *how* to retrieve it.
- No description of how to evaluate a query.
- In first-order logic (or predicate calculus), *predicate* is a truth-valued function with arguments.
- When we substitute values for the arguments, function yields an expression, called a *proposition*, which can be either true or false.
- If predicate contains a variable (e.g. 'x is a member of staff'), there must be a range for x.
- When we substitute some values of this range for x, proposition may be true; for other values, it may be false.
-
- When applied to databases, relational calculus has forms: *tuple* and *domain*.
- Comes in two flavors: Tuple relational calculus (TRC) and Domain relational calculus (DRC).
- Calculus has variables, constants, comparison ops, logical connectives, and quantifiers.
- **TRC**: Variables range over (i.e., get bound to) *tuples*.
- **DRC**: Variables range over *domain elements* (= field values).
- Both TRC and DRC are simple subsets of first-order logic.
- Expressions in the calculus are called **formulas**. An answer tuple is essentially an assignment of constants to variables that make the formula evaluate to **true**.
- Interested in finding tuples for which a predicate is true. Based on use of tuple variables.
-
- Tuple variable is a variable that 'ranges over' a named relation: i.e., variable whose only permitted values are tuples of the relation.
- Specify range of a tuple variable S as the Staff relation as:
Staff(S)
- To find set of all tuples S such that P(S) is true:
{S | P(S)}
- **Query** has the form: { T | p(T) }
- **Answer** includes all tuples T that
make the *formula* p(T) be *true*.
- **Formula** is recursively defined, starting with
simple **atomic formulas** (getting tuples from
relations or making comparisons of values),
and building bigger and better formulas using
the logical connectives.
- To find details of all staff earning more than £10,000:
{S | Staff(S) ∧ S.salary > 10000}
- To find a particular attribute, such as salary, write:
{S.salary | Staff(S) ∧ S.salary > 10000}
- Can use two *quantifiers* to tell how many instances the predicate applies to:
- Existential quantifier \exists ('there exists')
- Universal quantifier \forall ('for all')
-
- Tuple variables qualified by \forall or \exists are called *bound* variables, otherwise called *free* variables.
- Universal quantifier is used in statements about every instance, such as:
("B) (B.city \forall 'Paris')
- Means 'For all Branch tuples, the address is not in Paris'.
- Can also use $\neg(\exists B)$ (B.city = 'Paris') which means 'There are no branches with an address in Paris'.

- Formulae should be unambiguous and make sense.
- A (well-formed) formula is made out of atoms:
- $R(S_i)$, where S_i is a tuple variable and R is a relation
- $S_i.a_1 \text{ } q \text{ } S_j.a_2$
- $S_i.a_1 \text{ } q \text{ } c$
- Can recursively build up formulae from atoms:
- An atom is a formula
- If F_1 and F_2 are formulae, so are their conjunction, $F_1 \wedge F_2$; disjunction, $F_1 \vee F_2$; and negation, $\neg F_1$
- If F is a formula with free variable X , then $(\exists X)(F)$ and $(\forall X)(F)$ are also formulae.

- List the names of all managers who earn more than £25,000.

$\{S.fName, S.lName \mid Staff(S) \wedge$
 $S.position = 'Manager' \wedge S.salary > 25000\}$

- List the staff who manage properties for rent in Glasgow.

$\{S \mid Staff(S) \wedge (\exists P) (PropertyForRent(P) \wedge (P.staffNo = S.staffNo) \wedge P.city = 'Glasgow')\}$

- List the names of staff who currently do not manage any properties.

$\{S.fName, S.lName \mid Staff(S) \wedge (\neg (\exists P) (PropertyForRent(P) \wedge (S.staffNo = P.staffNo)))\}$
 Or

$\{S.fName, S.lName \mid Staff(S) \wedge ((\forall P) (\neg PropertyForRent(P) \vee$
 $\neg (S.staffNo = P.staffNo)))\}$

- Expressions can generate an infinite set. For example:

$\{S \mid \neg Staff(S)\}$

- To avoid this, add restriction that all values in result must be values in the domain of the expression.

Domain Relational Calculus

- Uses variables that take values from domains instead of tuples of relations.

- If $F(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ stands for a formula composed of atoms and d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n represent domain variables, then:

$\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n \mid F(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)\}$

is a general domain relational calculus expression.

- Find the names of all managers who earn more than £25,000.

•

$\{fN, lN \mid (\exists sN, posn, sex, DOB, sal, bN)$
 $(Staff(sN, fN, lN, posn, sex, DOB, sal, bN) \wedge$
 $posn = 'Manager' \wedge sal > 25000)\}$

- List the names of staff who currently do not manage any properties for rent.

$\{fN, lN \mid (\exists sN)$
 $(Staff(sN, fN, lN, posn, sex, DOB, sal, bN) \wedge$
 $(\neg (\exists sN1) (PropertyForRent(pN, st, cty, pc, typ,$
 $rms, rnt, oN, sN1, bN1) \wedge (sN = sN1))))\}$

- List the names of clients who have viewed a property for rent in Glasgow.

$\{fN, IN \mid (\$cN, cN1, pN, pN1, cty)$
 $(Client(cN, fN, IN, tel, pT, mR) \dot{\vee}$
 $Viewing(cN1, pN1, dt, cmt) \dot{\vee}$
 $PropertyForRent(pN, st, cty, pc, typ,$
 $rms, rent, oN, sN, bN) \dot{\cup}$
 $(cN = cN1) \dot{\cup} (pN = pN1) \dot{\cup} cty = 'Glasgow')\}$

- When restricted to safe expressions, domain relational calculus is equivalent to tuple relational calculus restricted to safe expressions, which is equivalent to relational algebra.
- Means every relational algebra expression has an equivalent relational calculus expression, and vice versa.

•Encoding Relational Algebra

Let's consider the first direction of the equivalence: can the relational algebra be coded up in the (domain) relational calculus?

- This translation can be done systematically, we define a translation function [-]

•Simple case:

$[R] = \{ \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in R \}$

•Assume

$[e] = \{ \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid F \}$

•Then

$[s_c(e)] = \{ \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid F \dot{\vee} C' \}$

where C' is obtained from C by replacing each attribute with the corresponding variable

- Can we code up the relational calculus in the relational algebra?
- At the moment, **NO!**
- Given our syntax we can define 'problematic' queries such as
 $\{S \mid \dot{\vee} (S \in \text{Sailors})\}$
- This (presumably) means the set of all tuples that are not sailors, which is an infinite set... ☹

Safe queries

- A query is said to be **safe** if no matter how we instantiate the relations, it always produces a finite answer
- Unfortunately, safety (a semantic condition) is **undecidable**
- That is, given a arbitrary query, no program can decide if it is safe
- Fortunately, we *can* define a restricted syntactic class of queries which are guaranteed to be safe
- Safe queries can be encoded in the relational algebra

- Transform-oriented languages are non-procedural languages that use relations to transform input data into required outputs (e.g. SQL).
- Graphical languages provide user with picture of the structure of the relation. User fills in example of what is wanted and system returns required data in that format (e.g. QBE).