PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING OF DECEMBER 10, 2020

COMMISSIONER AUSTIN F. CULLEN

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS		
Witness	Description	Page
	Proceedings commenced at 10:30 a.m.	1
	Discussion re witnesses	1
Bryanna Gateley (for the commission) Joel Gibbons (for the commission) Sushile Sharma (for the commission)	Examination by Ms. Latimer Discussion re technical issues	3 30
(Proceedings adjourned at 11:11 a.m. Proceedings reconvened at 11:15 a.m.	31 31
Bryanna Gateley (for the commission) Joel Gibbons (for the commission) Sushile Sharma (for the commission)	Examination by Ms. Latimer (continuing)	31
(for the commission)	Proceedings adjourned at 12:43 p.m. Proceedings reconvened at 12:52 p.m.	98 98
Bryanna Gateley (for the commission) Joel Gibbons (for the commission) Sushile Sharma (for the commission)	Discussion re scheduling	99 101 160 165
	Proceedings adjourned at 2:32 to December 11, 2020	

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION	
Letter	Description	Page

No exhibits for identification marked.

INDEX OF EXHIBITS			
No.	Description	Page	
342	Curriculum Vitae of Joel Gibbons	4	
343	Curriculum Vitae of Sushile Sharma	7	
344	Curriculum Vitae of Bryanna Gateley	10	
345	Government of Canada, Trade-Based Money Laundering Overview, presented April 1, 2020	15	

1	December 10, 2020
2	(Via Videoconference)
3	(PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 10:30 A.M.)
4	THE REGISTRAR: Good morning. The hearing is now
5	resumed. Mr. Commissioner.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. And
7	thank you to the participants and the panelists
8	for their patience this morning. We have had
9	some technical tribulations that have delayed
10	the start of this hearing, and I do understand
11	that the connectivity issues that bothered us
12	this morning haven't been fully resolved. So if
13	one of you or more is dropped off from the
14	connection please contact our IT expert Shay
15	Matters immediately and she will get you back on
16	or we will stop the proceedings to await your
17	return to the feed. So her number is at the
18	bottom of the chat sent around by our
19	coordinator. Thank you.
20	So I think we are ready to proceed then,
21	Ms. Latimer.
22	MS. LATIMER: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. This
23	morning we have a panel of three witnesses.
24	It's Joel Gibbons, Acting Staff Sergeant Sushile
25	Sharma and Bryanna Gateley.

- 1 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
- 2 THE REGISTRAR: May I ask the witnesses to turn on
- 3 the videos and unmute yourselves. Would each of
- 4 you please state your full name and spell your
- first and last name for the record. I'll start
- 6 with Ms. Gateley. Sorry, Ms. Gateley, we can't
- 7 hear you.
- 8 THE WITNESS: (BG) Can you hear me okay now?
- 9 THE REGISTRAR: It's a little bit quiet.
- 10 THE WITNESS: (BG) Let's see. How about now?
- 11 THE REGISTRAR: Still a little -- can you turn up the
- 12 volume?
- 13 THE WITNESS: (BG) Yes, I have it turned up as far as
- it will go. Is that better?
- 15 THE REGISTRAR: Yes, thank you.
- 16 THE WITNESS: (BG) How about that? Perfect. My name
- is Bryanna Gateley. That's spelled
- B-r-y-a-n-n-a, first name. And last name is
- G-a-t-e-l-e-y.
- THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. And Mr. Gibbons.
- THE WITNESS: (JG) Yes. My name is Joel Gibbons.
- First name is spelled J-o-e-l, and the surname
- is G-i-b-b-o-n-s.
- THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. And Sergeant Sharma.
- THE WITNESS: (SS) Hello. Good morning. It's

25

correct?

1		Sushile Sharma. First name is S-u-s-h-i-l-e.
2		Surname Sharma, S-h-a-r-m-a.
3	THE	REGISTRAR: Thank you. Ms. Gateley, can you
4		please unmute yourself again. Thank you.
5		BRYANNA GATELEY, a
6		witness for the
7		commission, sworn.
8		JOEL GIBBONS, a witness
9		for the commission,
10		affirmed.
11		SUSHILE SHARMA, a
12		witness for the
13		commission, affirmed.
13	MS.	commission, affirmed. LATIMER: Thank you. Madam Registrar, could we
	MS.	
14	MS.	LATIMER: Thank you. Madam Registrar, could we
14 15		LATIMER: Thank you. Madam Registrar, could we please have the redacted document at tab 1
14 15 16		LATIMER: Thank you. Madam Registrar, could we please have the redacted document at tab 1 placed before the panel.
14 15 16 17	EXAM	LATIMER: Thank you. Madam Registrar, could we please have the redacted document at tab 1 placed before the panel. INATION BY MS. LATIMER:
14 15 16 17	EXAM	LATIMER: Thank you. Madam Registrar, could we please have the redacted document at tab 1 placed before the panel. INATION BY MS. LATIMER: Mr. Gibbons, do you recognize this as your
14 15 16 17 18	EXAM	LATIMER: Thank you. Madam Registrar, could we please have the redacted document at tab 1 placed before the panel. INATION BY MS. LATIMER: Mr. Gibbons, do you recognize this as your curriculum vitae with personal information
14 15 16 17 18 19	EXAM	LATIMER: Thank you. Madam Registrar, could we please have the redacted document at tab 1 placed before the panel. INATION BY MS. LATIMER: Mr. Gibbons, do you recognize this as your curriculum vitae with personal information redacted and which was produced to this
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAM	LATIMER: Thank you. Madam Registrar, could we please have the redacted document at tab 1 placed before the panel. INATION BY MS. LATIMER: Mr. Gibbons, do you recognize this as your curriculum vitae with personal information redacted and which was produced to this commission?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAM Q	LATIMER: Thank you. Madam Registrar, could we please have the redacted document at tab 1 placed before the panel. INATION BY MS. LATIMER: Mr. Gibbons, do you recognize this as your curriculum vitae with personal information redacted and which was produced to this commission? (JG) Yes, I do.

- 1 A (JG) It does.
- MS. LATIMER: Mr. Commissioner, I ask that this be
- 3 marked as the next numbered exhibit, please.
- 4 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be
- 5 exhibit 342.
- 6 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 342.
- 7 EXHIBIT 342: Curriculum Vitae of Joel Gibbons
- 8 MS. LATIMER: Madam Registrar, I don't need that
- 9 displayed any longer on the screen.
- 10 Q Mr. Gibbons, could you walk us briefly please
- 11 through your relevant professional experience?
- 12 A (JG) Yes, I'd be happy to. So I have been
- working with the Canada Border Services Agency
- since the year 2008 in a number of different
- 15 capacities. However relevant to the testimony
- 16 today starting in the year 2010 I worked as a
- 17 strategic risk analyst for the strategic risk
- determination section at the Canada Border
- 19 Services Agency and was responsible in that
- 20 capacity for producing assessments on border
- 21 related risks. And it was in that capacity that
- I began to develop some understanding of
- trade-based money laundering as an emerging risk
- to the border.
- 25 I worked in that capacity from 2010 until

1		2016, and that at that point I moved to the
2		CBSA's criminal investigations division where I
3		was responsible for leading a small team in the
4		production of investigative leads regarding
5		trade fraud, which we'll be talking about today
6		in the context of TBML, and I worked in that
7		capacity until 2019 and actually early
8		January of 2020, pardon me. And at that point I
9		moved from the CBSA's criminal investigations
10		division to its intelligence and targeting
11		directorate as a result of the creation of the
12		Canada Border Services Agency's new Trade Fraud
13		and Trade-Based Money Laundering Centre of
14		Expertise. So effectively moved the work that I
15		had been doing within the criminal
16		investigations division into the as yet then not
17		established centre of expertise but started to
18		do some of the building work for that new centre
19		up until it formally launched on April the 1st
20		of 2020. And as of April of 2020 to present I
21		continue to be employed as a senior program
22		advisor or senior analyst within the Trade Fraud
23		and Trade-Based Money Laundering Centre of
24		Expertise.
25	Q	If I could just highlight a couple other of your

1		professional accomplishments, is it the case
2		that from 2012 to 2016 you led the CBSA's
3		working level participation in the Financial
4		Action Task Force mutual evaluation of Canada's
5		anti-money laundering and anti-terrorist
6		financing regime?
7	А	Yes, that is correct.
8	Q	And were you also the CBSA representative for
9		the 2017, 2018 parliamentary review of the
10		proceeds of crime money laundering?
11	А	(JG) I was one of several representatives from
12		the CBSA that participated in that process yes,
13		that's correct.
14	Q	And did you also lead the development of
15		border-related proposals, including a proposal
16		for the CBSA Trade Fraud and Trade-Based Money
17		Laundering Centre for Expertise?
18	А	(JG) I did lead in the development of a number
19		of proposals that the Canada Border Services
20		Agency put forward in that context; however, I
21		was not the overall lead for the proposal that
22		subsequently became the Trade Fraud and Trade
23		Trade-Based Money Laundering Centre of
24		Expertise. I contributed the original concept,
25		but the actual memorandum to cabinet and

1	treasurer board submission that ultimately led
2	to the creation of the centre was carried out by
3	a different area within the Canada Border
4	Services Agency.
5	Q Thanks very much.
6	Madam Registrar could I please now have the
7	redacted document which is at tab 2 placed
8	before the panel.
9	And Acting Staff Sergeant Sharma, do you
10	recognize this as your curriculum vitae with
11	personal information redacted produced to the
12	commission and dated September 2nd, 2020?
13	A (SS) I do so recognize it.
14	Q And does this CV accurately set out a summary of
15	some of your professional experiences?
16	A (SS) Yes, it does.
17	MS. LATIMER: I'd ask in this be mark as next
18	numbered exhibit, please.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 343.
20	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 343.
21	EXHIBIT 343: Curriculum Vitae of Sushile Sharma
22	MS. LATIMER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. I don't
23	need that displayed any longer on the monitor.
24	Q And Acting Staff Sergeant Sharma, could you walk

us through briefly some of your relevant

25

1		professional experience, please.
2	А	(SS) Yes, certainly. So I started my
3		professional policing career with being posted
4		to Coquitlam RCMP detachment in 2004. From 2004
5		to 2015 I was a member of the Coquitlam RCMP,
6		but within that time frame I had various areas
7		of responsibility and I'll just itemize and list
8		those through. From 2004 to 2008 I was a front
9		line uniformed police officer in the
10		jurisdiction municipality of Coquitlam,
11		British Columbia. From 2008 to 2015 I went on
12		to become a plainclothes investigator in the
13		drug section, marijuana enforcement team, and
14		then the criminal intelligence section, all in
15		the jurisdiction of Coquitlam with Coquitlam
16		RCMP. Simultaneously while I was at Coquitlam
17		RCMP's drug section and marijuana enforcement
18		team I was also cross trained as a proceeds of
19		crime and asset forfeiture investigator, and I
20		held that position simultaneously from 2008 to
21		2015. To be specific about it, as I was a CBSA
22		investigator and a criminal code investigator I
23		was also investigating proceeds of crime
24		investigations within my position as a drug
25		investigator at the local municipal level.

1	Moving on to 2016 I transferred from Coquitlam
2	RCMP to "E" Division headquarters, Surrey,
3	British Columbia, where I was posted to the
4	major crime section with custody of cold case
5	homicide investigations as well as major crime
6	investigations across the province of
7	British Columbia. And from 2016 until the
8	present time I have been posted at "E" Division
9	headquarters Federal Serious and Organized Crime
10	section, specifically the financial integrity
11	unit, and that is where I presently am. And in
12	my present position I am the NCOIC or the
13	supervisor of an investigative unit of
14	15 investigators that had conduct of
15	transnational organized crime investigations
16	with a financial crime component to it. So I
17	have oversight responsibility as a lead
18	investigator as well as a supervisor for high
19	priority domestic and international
20	investigations, and we use a variety of
21	different investigative techniques ranging from
22	any number of common investigative techniques to
23	some sensitive and complex areas of police
24	investigation. Currently I have custody of a
25	number of investigations but in experience with

25

both handling as a lead investigator and as a 1 2 supervisor with some trade-based money 3 laundering investigations both within Canada and 4 with parallel investigations with other 5 agencies. 6 Q Thank you very much. Madam Registrar, could we 7 please have the redacted document at tab 3 8 placed before the panel. 9 Ms. Gateley, do you recognize this as your curriculum vitae with personal information 10 redacted which was produced to the commission? 11 12 We can't hear you. 1.3 (BG) Yes, I do. Α 14 Q Okay, great. Does this CV accurately set out a 15 summary of some of your professional 16 experiences? 17 (BG) Yes, it does. Α MS. LATIMER: Mr. Commissioner, I ask that this be 18 19 marked as the next numbered exhibit, please. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well 344. 21 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 344. 22 EXHIBIT 344: Curriculum Vitae of Bryanna 23 Gateley 24 I don't need that displayed any longer, MS. LATIMER:

Madam Registrar.

Ms. Gateley, could you walk us briefly, please, 1 Q 2 through your relevant professional experience. 3 Α (BG) Sure. Good morning. I'm Bryanna Gateley, a civilian member with the RCMP since 2004. 4 I've been a criminal intelligence analyst for 5 6 over ten years. I'm currently analyst 7 supervisor for the RCMP federal border and 8 integrity section in British Columbia. My 9 experience providing analytical assistance to financial investigations started in 2013. At 10 that time I took a leave from the RCMP in 11 British Columbia and relocated to Ottawa where I 12 1.3 worked for FINTRAC as a financial intelligence 14 analyst. There I produced tactical disclosures 15 for our partner agencies in Western Canada, 16 including British Columbia. At the same time I 17 worked on a masters degree at Carlton 18 University, double majored in international 19 economic policy and intelligence and national 20 security studies. My research focus was 21 trade-based money laundering. At that time I 22 noticed TBML to be an emerging area of interest 23 and it was something that I wanted to get out in 24 front of and develop some expertise in. So I drafted a research paper on trade-based money 25

1	laundering from a Canadian perspective with
2	input from practitioners at FINTRAC and CBSA,
3	including Mr. Gibbons, who is on the panel with
4	me today. This paper was reviewed by my peers
5	in academia and I've relied on it heavily for
6	portions of what I present here today and at
7	other presentations I've done on trade-based
8	money laundering in the past. Many of the key
9	findings in this research paper still hold true.
10	Once I completed my masters degree I returned to
11	the RCMP and national headquarters in Ottawa and
12	worked as an intelligence analyst for the
13	Federal Policing Criminal Operations section on
14	their financial integrity team. Then in 2018 I
15	returned to the RCMP in British Columbia and
16	worked as intelligence analyst for the Federal
17	Serious and Organized Crime section. I worked
18	for the major projects team and was regularly
19	asked to provide financial intelligence and
20	insight to federal investigations that I was
21	assisting. The OAC of FSOC financial integrity
22	at the time was alerted to my background in
23	trade-based money laundering and asked me to
24	participate in the trade-based money laundering
25	interagency BC working group that had been stood

1		up. I was also asked to present on the
2		trade-based money laundering topic to our
3		national money laundering working group and then
4		internationally as well with my colleagues on
5		the panel here today at the first FinCEN
6		conference on the topic in the United States.
7		Over the last few years I've presented on
8		trade-based money laundering on a number of
9		occasions, including to RCMP investigative
10		teams, confidential informant handlers, federal
11		and province RCMP analysts and students on the
12		proceeds of crime course at the Justice
13		Institute of BC. Although I'm now in a
14		supervisory role for the federal border
15		integrity team in British Columbia, I do try to
16		keep a thumb on what's going on in trade-based
17		money laundering and continue to receive
18		requests to provide overviews on the topic,
19		including to the commission here today.
20	Q	Thank you. Could you tell us a little bit more
21		about BC's interagency trade-based money
22		laundering working group and who are the
23		participants in that group or what's its
24		purpose.
25	А	(BG) Yeah. So that group was stood up circa

25

1	summer of 2018. It was initiated or a key
2	player in that was the OAC of financial
3	integrity FSOC at the time. He is no longer
4	with the unit. But he had coordinated a working
5	group at the director level of directors from a
6	number of different agencies, including RCMP,
7	CBSA, CSIS was there as well, the CRA. I think
8	that was the bulk of the group. And the intent
9	was to come together at a senior management
10	level to talk about issues with trade-based
11	money laundering and see if there were
12	opportunities that our agencies could work
13	together on.
14	Q Okay.
15	MS. LATIMER: Madam Registrar, could I have the
16	document at tab 4 placed before the panel,
17	please.
18	Q I put the question to the I guess I'll put
19	the question to Mr. Gibbons just for
20	convenience, but you recognize this as a
21	presentation addressing trade-based money
22	laundering that was prepared to accompany the
23	evidence of this panel today; is that right?
24	A (JG) Yes, I do.

MS. LATIMER: And I ask that this document be marked

25

as the next numbered exhibit, please. 1 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be 345. 3 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 345. EXHIBIT 345: Government of Canada, Trade-Based 4 5 Money Laundering Overview, presented April 1, 6 2020 7 MS. LATIMER: 8 What I'm now going to ask of the panel is that 9 you walk the Commissioner through this 10 presentation, please, at your own pace and just 11 let Madam Registrar know when you need a slide 12 advanced. (JG) Very well. It's Joel Gibbons speaking 1.3 Α 14 right now. If you could please, Madam 15 Registrar, move to the second slide. 16 (BG) Hello there, it's Bryanna Gateley. I 17 can present on this slide. So slide 2. 18 definition of trade-based money laundering that 19 our panel is putting forth today is a fairly 20 intuitive one that is really an adaptation of 21 the FATF's TBML definition. That is to say that 22 trade-based money laundering is the process of 23 disguising illicit financial flows and moving 24 their value through the trade transactions in an

attempt to legitimize their origin. Essentially

25

in a boiled down form this definition is talking 1 2 about transferring value that's packaged in a 3 good or service instead of money itself through 4 the system of trade. And that value that's being transferred is derived from an illicit 5 6 source. And one additional thing worth 7 mentioning here I think, although not included 8 in this slide but it helps in understanding what 9 trade-based money laundering is, that when considered trade-based money laundering it's 10 helpful to situate it within the money 11 laundering model of placement layering and 12 1.3 integration. Essentially trade-based money 14 laundering primarily occurs in that layering 15 stage, that second stage of the money laundering 16 model, and is just really a layering technique 17 that can be simple or infinitely complex, all in an attempt to make it difficult for authorities 18 19 to follow the money and confirm it's illicit 20 origins. 21 (JG) So now that Bryanna has introduced the 22 broad concept of the money laundering cycle I'd 23 like to just quickly review for the commission 24 the established three main money laundering

methods. There are many variants, obviously,

1	but the three broad categories of money
2	laundering and this is coming from the
3	Financial Action Task Force largely, and for
4	your benefit, the Financial Action Task Force is
5	the international body that's responsible for
6	establishing global anti-money laundering and
7	anti-terrorist financing norms and best
8	practices. And as part of their work they
9	produce a lot of typology documents on all
10	manner of money laundering variants, and
11	according to the FATF there really are three
12	types as you can see on the screen in front of
13	you. The vast majority of the popular
14	conception of money laundering I think is most
15	closely associated with number 1 and number 2
16	that you can see on the screen. So money
17	laundering that occurs through financial
18	institutions. Banks more specifically I think
19	in the popular knowledge.
20	And when we're talking about money
21	laundering that occurs in financial institutions
22	one thing to understand is because money
23	laundering is very well known to be occurring
24	within financial institutions, those financial
25	institutions over time, independently as well as

1	operating under guidance provided by
2	organizations like the Financial Action Task
3	Force, have built up quite elaborate and quite
4	robust controls to try to detect, deter and
5	disrupt money laundering. Money laundering
6	through financial institutions leaves a paper
7	trail and AML controls are designed to try to
8	pick up on those indicators and to identify the
9	activity. The second broad money laundering
10	method occurs more at the placement stage and
11	that's primarily about cash smuggling, so this
12	is the stereotypical dropping bags full of cash
13	of proceeds of crime. Bulk cash has been
14	occurring for many, many, many years and it
15	occurs still to this day. The issues
16	surrounding bulk cash from the criminal
17	prospective is that bulk cash is really risky.
18	And it is quite logically challenging to move
19	proceeds of crime through bulk cash depending on
20	the quantities of bulk cash that you're looking
21	to move. There's a lot of risk of detection,
22	particularly at borders when money is moved
23	across borders because of controls that are in
24	place at border control points looking for bulk
25	cash. Which leads us and so which leaves us

Exam by Ms. Latimer

1	with the third broad category, and that's the
2	one we're really going to focus most of our time
3	on today, which is money laundering through the
4	international trade system. And I think as
5	you'll see as we go through our presentation
6	today, of those three options money laundering
7	through the trade system presents the lowest
8	risk and offers criminal actors the highest
9	potential reward. So I just before we finish
10	this slide I just wanted to briefly talk about
11	the definition that we're using, and it's the
12	definition that you see on the screen in front
13	of you. This is largely based on a definition
14	that is provided by the Financial Action Task
15	Force, although in Canada we've made a slight
16	modification to that definition. The Financial
17	Action Task Force uses the words "disguising
18	proceeds of crime" in their definition. And we
19	don't use that here. We actually use the term
20	"illicit financial flows," which is a term that
21	was coined by several different international
22	organizations to basically represent any illicit
23	value. So rather than strictly the proceeds of
24	some kind of predicate crime, this is a little
25	bit of a broader term, and we use that

1	intentionally because we think that when it
2	comes to trade-based money laundering much more
3	than just the proceeds of crime is being
4	transferred through and legitimized through
5	illicit trade. The definition allows us to
6	include other forms of semi-licit and illicit
7	activities and that would include topics such as
8	capital flight. So when a foreign country has
9	currency controls imposed on the regime,
10	trade-based money laundering can be used to
11	subvert those capital controls to move excess
12	beyond the imposed limits outside of a given
13	jurisdiction. And also that broader term of
14	illicit financial flows captures the proceeds of
15	corruption and also sanctions evasion.
16	If there are no questions we can advance to
17	the next slide.
18	(BG) Excellent. So trade-based money
19	laundering involves a variety of schemes that
20	essentially range from the very basic to
21	infinitely complex and the whole purpose, as we
22	mentioned in the prior slide, is really just to
23	transport value between the importer and the
24	exporter. When we think about the most basic
25	schemes of trade-based money laundering it's

25

1	really involving the transfer of a single good
2	or even providing a service to finance illicit
3	product or activities, and this could include
4	activities such as sending stolen vehicles or
5	even legitimately purchased vehicles to areas
6	such as Africa to pay for illicit narcotics that
7	they are bringing back into Canada or
8	British Columbia specifically. It could also
9	include the sale of antiquities or oil to
10	finance illicit activities, and this has come up
11	in past years during discussions around
12	terrorist financing. It could also include,
13	say, billing me for consulting services. You
14	provided to me as payment for the drugs that I
15	received from you. And specifically in the case
16	of BC or British Columbia it could include
17	sending me precursor chemicals, so a good or a
18	regulated and legal good in some cases used in
19	synthetic drug production here as payment for
20	the synthetic drugs that I produce and then
21	export abroad. And I think at this point we're
22	ready to move on to the next slide.
23	(JG) Thanks, Bryanna, I'll take this one.
24	So I'll talk now about moderately complex TBML

schemes. And it's when we start to talk about

1	the more moderately complex TBML schemes that's
2	where we start to talk about customs fraud,
3	which can also be known as commercial trade
4	fraud or trade fraud. That's really where these
5	terms enter into the picture.
6	Let me start by talking about trade fraud.
7	What is trade fraud? It's the intentional
8	misrepresentation of information that is
9	declared to custom services like the CBSA and
10	often the shipping and the sales documents that
11	are related to those, the goods in question.
12	Trade fraud has really been happening for as
13	long as there have been customs authorities.
14	It's a form of crime that enables a wide variety
15	of criminal activity. In the more traditional
16	customs context trade fraud techniques are
17	primarily used to evade paying import duties or
18	to evade tariff quotas on certain goods. So
19	it's really to evade controls that are
20	established to ensure that the Government of
21	Canada is collecting the appropriate amount of
22	revenue for goods that are entering into the
23	country. And when we talk about trade fraud
24	techniques what we're primarily talking about is
25	misdescription. And we're talking about

1.3

misdescribing a number of different elements on, again, primarily customs documents but also shipping documents as well. And some of the elements that we would be talking about include the price of goods or the value of goods, the description of the goods both in terms of its text-based description as well as the harmonized system tariff classification that's applied to those goods. We could be talking about the quantity of the goods, the weight of the goods, potentially even the quality of goods that are declared on customs documents.

So that's trade fraud briefly. But with TBML we're not -- trade fraud techniques are not really being used to evade duty, so it's not really that classic customs-oriented trade fraud that's occurring. With TBML in fact money launderers would likely pay any duties on the goods that they were seeking to, for example, import because their goal is not really to evade these payments. With most TBML schemes the goal is to use those trade fraud techniques to layer and integrate money into the legitimate financial system through international trade, through the trade in those goods. So let me

23

24

25

1	give you a few examples of how this could work
2	in practice.
3	So say you're a money launderer and you want
4	to move money out of Canada and you own an
5	import/export company. You could deflate the
6	real value of your goods that you're seeking to
7	export of say we'll use the example of timber
8	in this case. So you could deflate the export
9	value of your timber on customs and shipping
10	documents. The timber so then gets purchased
11	when it's received on the other end in the
12	foreign jurisdiction at its true value which is
13	higher than as declared on the customs
14	documents. And when that purchase goes through
15	you have effectively just laundered that excess
16	value out of Canada. You can also deflate the
17	real value of your export of, say sorry,
18	another way to do this would be to value your
19	timber correctly, but you would send more of it,
20	more quantity of timber than you've actually
21	declared on export. And again the excess value
22	is now out of Canada and the funds are laundered

once sold at market. So those are just a few

high level examples when the intention is to

launder funds out of Canada. But you can use

24

25

variants of these same techniques in reverse in 1 2 order to move money into Canada. So if you 3 wanted to move money into Canada, you would 4 simply overvalue the timber that's entering Canada or you could just declare less timber on 5 6 your customs declarations and again when the 7 sales go through for those imported goods, the 8 laundering has concluded and that excess value 9 has now arrived into Canada from a foreign 10 jurisdiction. But could you also misdescribe the goods 11 themselves. So one common example that's 12 1.3 offered in the typologies are declaring your 14 import of lead as gold, or you could declare 15 your import of gold as lead, and it would really 16 all depend on the direction that you want that 17 dirty money to flow in, whether it's into a 18 country or out of a country. Just to note, 19 these same trade fraud techniques that we've 20 just talked about, so they can be used for duty 21 and tax evasion, they can be used to launder 22 money, but they can also be used through 23 misdescribing the descriptions of the goods

themselves to conceal the movement of restricted

or dual-use goods, and those are products or

1	technologies that generally have some form of
2	military application. And that is known as
3	proliferation. So not money laundering per se
4	but another quite serious use of the
5	international trade system that poses a lot of
6	concern to Canada and Canadians.
7	So in many TBML schemes real goods are in
8	play. And real sales do take place, just using
9	fraudulent information. But what I wanted to
10	make sure that I mentioned was that in extreme
11	cases real goods are not even necessary, and
12	this bring me to a key point when talking about
13	TBML which is that shipping real goods and going
14	to the trouble of having to sell what are
15	effectively front goods either in Canada or
16	abroad isn't even necessary as long as the
17	paperwork can be generated to at least create
18	the appearance of trade that's necessary to
19	receive the payment for these goods. And this
20	is commonly known as phantom shipping, sometimes
21	referred to as well as ghost shipping. And
22	there are many variants to phantom shipping. I
23	think we'll talk about some of these a little
24	bit later in the presentation, like multiple
25	invoicing. Multiple invoicing would occur when

25

two sales invoices are being presented for only 1 2 one real shipment of goods. So that second 3 invoice is completely fictitious, but what it 4 does is it creates enough of a pretext for money to be remitted in payment for those goods. 5 6 you've got a whole bunch of excess value that is 7 now being remitted in payment for that second 8 fictitious shipment of goods. And in very 9 extreme cases customs paperwork can be filed for 10 goods but there are no real goods that actually 11 exist, so no physical movement of goods either into or out of a country actually takes place. 12 1.3 And just one final note about the trade 14 fraud techniques that I've spoken about that 15 really do underlie most trade-based money launderers. Launderers can also misdescribe the 16 17 originator and the recipients of a goods 18 shipment. So they can manipulate who the goods 19 appear to be coming from and who they're going 20 to, which can help them to conceal the true 21 parties to a laundering scheme. I don't have 22 anything else to add on that slide. If there 23 are no questions we can move to slide number 5. 24 (BG) Excellent. Thank you, Joel. So now if

we move along the spectrum to complex

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trade-based money laundering schemes. The more complex schemes involve methods that are really just diverse and creative and often combine various forms of customs fraud, as Joel just mentioned, with layering, or the second stage of money laundering, which creates a complex web of transactions and movements of goods that can be very difficult to analyze and investigate. But these schemes can be further complicated with the use of shell companies, offshore accounts, nominees, legal trusts, third party payment methods, freight forwarders, transit through free trade zones or non-cooperative jurisdictions, using the use of cryptocurrency, or commingling these transactions with real estate transactions, casinos, or even legitimate goods.

So at this point I'd like to just make a note that despite being very difficult to analyze and investigate, which is really the essential point of trade-based money laundering, as analysts and investigators we're certainly aware of these areas of concern that could be utilized in TBML schemes and we have to work our way through addressing and reconciling them as

1	we would do with any other challenge as we kind
2	of build and progress through our TBML
3	investigations. But by using a variety of these
4	methods, the TBML scheme can just become
5	infinitely complex very quickly. That was the
6	end of this slide, I think we're ready to move
7	on to the next one. That would be slide 6.
8	So when we think about key features of
9	trade-based money laundering it first requires a
10	complicit seller and a buyer working together to
11	complete a trade transaction. Or it can also
12	include a dual presence of one entity but that
13	has tentacles in both countries. So one entity
14	is controlling both sides of the trade
15	transaction. To tie this to British Columbia, I
16	think some of the work that was done by my
17	colleagues at CISBC circa 2018 indicates that
18	high level organized crime groups in
19	British Columbia certainly operate and have the
20	capability of operating transnationally and are
21	also known to work with other organized crime
22	groups in other countries. So this provides
23	them with the capabilities to either have
24	potentially a dual presence in both countries
25	where these trade transactions are starting and

Bryanna Gateley (for the commission)
Joel Gibbons (for the commission)
Sushile Sharma (for the commission)
Exam by Ms. Latimer
Discussion re technical issues

25

ending or work with a complicit seller and buyer 1 2 in another country. 3 And another very important key feature of trade-based money laundering to consider is that 4 we briefly touched on it, but not only does it 5 include goods, which is what we often think 6 7 about when we think of trade-based money 8 laundering, but services. And trade in services 9 is what I would consider to be a very particular 10 concern because there is no tangible good or 11 paper trail associated to that good to analyze. 12 It's a service, and often you're getting the 13 paperwork for it or the payment for it after 14 that service has been provided. It's very 15 difficult to verify that that service has 16 actually occurred. And I think this is likely 17 to be certainly a more salient issue in 18 the years ahead as our economy continues to transition to become even more service based 19 20 than it already is. 2.1 MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, I'm just going to 22 interrupt. I have been passed a message that 23 we're having some audio issues and it might be advisable to stand down for a few minutes. 24

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We'll take

1	five minutes, then. Thank you.
2	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is adjourned for five
3	minutes until 11:16 a.m. Please mute your mic
4	and turn off your video. Thank you.
5	(WITNESSES STOOD DOWN)
6	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 11:11 A.M.)
7	(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 11:15 A.M.)
8	BRYANNA GATELEY, a
9	witness for the
10	commission, recalled.
11	JOEL GIBBONS, a
12	witness for the
13	commission, recalled.
14	SUSHILE SHARMA, a
15	witness for the
16	commission, recalled.
17	THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing
18	is now resumed. Mr. Commissioner.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. Yes,
2,0	Ms. Latimer.
21	MS. LATIMER: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
22	EXAMINATION BY MS. LATIMER (continuing):
23	Q Ms. Gateley, I think when we left off you were
24	just walking us through your comments on this
25	key features slide, and I'll hand it back over

've

Bryanna Gateley (for the commission)
Joel Gibbons (for the commission)
Sushile Sharma (for the commission)
Exam by Ms. Latimer

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1		to you to complete that thought. I believe
2		you're muted.
3	A	(BG) There we go. Thank you very much. So I'
4		touched on two points. Hopefully my mic is
5		fixed now and you can hear me a little bit
6		better. I touched on the point number 1 and

8 on the last two points of the slide.

(JG) Thanks, Bryanna. Just to close off the slide a few other things I'd like to note about some key TBML features. And this is really just re-emphasizing something that I've already mentioned which is that when we're talking about TBML, we're not talking strictly about the movement of proceeds of crime to and from Canada. TBML can also be a really effective technique for evading international sanctions as I've mentioned. It can also be used to defraud governments of tax revenue through false tax rebates for imported and exported goods. We believe that professional money laundering networks, which is something that we'll touch on later in the presentation, see particular value in using TBML schemes to effectively conduct something that is known as underground banking.

number 2 and then Joel my colleague will touch

1

2 we're primarily in this context talking about 3 capital flight, which I touched on earlier. 4 Underground banking systems can be used for many different things and often have legitimate uses 5 6 just operating outside of the more formal 7 financial system. Think about the movement of 8 remittances from typically developed countries 9 to lesser developed countries. That can be one 10 use of underground banking systems. But in the trade-based money laundering context what 11 underground banking and trade-based money 12 1.3 laundering enables is capital flights, so 14 getting around strict domestic currency controls 15 that are in place in certain jurisdictions, and 16 I'll just mention China as one example. 17 Chinese money launderers can accept domestic 18 currency from their clients in Mainland China 19 and they use -- they can exchange it through 20 something known as a mirror transfer with money 21 sitting in what are known as cash pools in 22 countries -- typically western countries outside 23 of China. And typically these money pools that 24 are outside of China consist of proceeds of 25 crime that are often controlled by drug

And when we're talking about underground banking

1 trafficking carte	ls.
---------------------	-----

2 So using mirror trades what happens is the 3 money that's accepted domestically in China 4 never actually leaves China; it stays in a Chinese money pool. But an associate of the 5 Chinese money launderer located in a foreign 6 7 country accepts to provide the equivalent value, 8 usually in the local currency, to the person or 9 group of people that the money is ultimately destined to. So you might be asking well, where 10 does international trade come in here and where 11 does TBL come in in this context? What TBML 12 1.3 does is it helps both replenish and balance 14 these pools of dark money. So really it's a way 15 of balancing money launderers' ledgers. So 16 goods are sent to move a particular money pool 17 back into balance and to make sure that the two parties to the transaction have books that are 18 19 in balance with one another. And so they're 20 simultaneously not only are they facilitating 21 capital flight but effectively what's happening 22 in a lot of underground banking now is the 23 funding for these underground banks is coming 24 from the proceeds of transnational organized 25 crime activity. That's all I have for this

1	particular slide. We can move on to slide
2	number 7 unless there are questions.
3	So let's talk now about some key
4	commodities. These are commodities that have
5	been observed as being associated with
6	trade-based money laundering schemes in Canada
7	as well as in other jurisdictions, notably
8	amongst many of our key allies around the world.
9	And so I'll just quickly read through the list.
10	We've taken some of these commodities and we'll
11	profile them for you in a little bit more detail
12	later in the presentation. So what you can see
13	are a range of very different types of goods,
14	phones and electronics, vehicles through to
15	agrifood products. When I say agrifood you can
16	really all manner of food products fresh and
17	frozen. You can think meat, you can think
18	dairy, you can think produce. That is what we
19	mean when we say agrifood. Also used clothing,
20	garments and textiles. Lumber and paper-based
21	products. Scrap metal, also scrap plastic.
22	Precious metal and stones and antiquities. So a
23	very, very wide variety of commodities. And
24	really one thing to emphasize is that when we're
25	talking about trade-based money laundering and

1	the commodities associated with them, any good
2	will do. But what we've observed over time is
3	that the goods that are most conducive to
4	trade-based money laundering have the
5	characteristics that you see on the right side
6	of the screen, which is that they can be easily
7	sold. They're in high demand. So they can be
8	sold quite quickly and rapidly in the target
9	jurisdictions, so in other words, very easy to
10	liquidate. From a customs perspective they can
11	be very difficult to examine. So consider the
12	customs officer's perspective with respect to a
13	shipment of scrap metal. The customs officer
14	has to determine whether the scrap metal meets
15	its description on a customs declaration,
16	whether it is correctly weighed, but even more
17	significantly how it is valued and whether it's
18	valued appropriately. And that can be extremely
19	difficult to do. Another example of valuation
20	difficulty comes up when we think about precious
21	metals or precious stones. Again from the
22	customs perspective when a customs officer, when
23	a border services officer is looking at gold or
24	silver, or what is purported to be gold or
25	silver, or diamonds, for example, it is

1	incumbent upon them to determine whether the
2	value for those goods as declared matches what
3	they see in front of them, and without
4	knowledge, experience and expertise that can be
5	extraordinarily difficult to do. Another key
6	category really is variable price ranges. So a
7	good example of that would be used clothing.
8	Now, used clothing can effectively be scraps.
9	It can be extremely low value, almost worthless
10	really, except in very, very, very significant
11	quantity. On the other hand it could be
12	actually quite high value so that the pricing
13	range is so large that averaging prices using
14	unit prices, for example, to try and detect
15	whether a shipment may be anomalous becomes
16	extremely difficult to do. Textiles are another
17	great example where the pricing range on, for
18	example, a brand-new cotton T-shirt could be as
19	low as pennies or even less of a penny at the
20	individual unit level all the way up to the tens
21	or even hundreds of dollars at the individual
22	unit level. And it can be extraordinarily
23	difficult to pin down a precise value and
24	determine whether you're looking at something
25	that could be indicative of trade fraud or

1 trade-based money laur	ndering.
--------------------------	----------

2 I'll leave it there unless there are any 3 questions and we can move on to the next slide. Let's step back a little bit now and talk 4 about international context, TBML globally. 5 point of this slide is really just to emphasize 6 7 to you all that trade-based money laundering is 8 not unique to any one country. It is certainly 9 not unique to Canada. It is a global problem. And while we don't know the precise scale, I 10 don't think there are any truly accurate and 11 12 reliable statistics globally on the scope and 1.3 scale of trade-based money laundering. We do 14 believe that the scale of it is extremely large. 15 The biggest factor driving the success of -- so 16 why is it so large? What is our reason for 17 saying that? What's driving the success of TBML 18 is paradoxically the success of international 19 trade. And so as international trade has bloomed exponentially over the last 40 to 20 21 50 years it's really become beyond the ability 22 of most nations and the customs services of most 23 nations to examine more than just a very small 24 percentage of the goods that are actually 25 crossing their borders, to physically examine

those goods. And TBML thrives on that basic 1 2 inability to compare physical goods against 3 their shipping, the corresponding shipping 4 documents, and then corresponding customs paperwork or financial information regarding the 5 6 payment for those goods. So that inability to 7 examine all but a very, very thin slice of goods 8 coupled with the extraordinary volume of 9 international trade is what creates the conditions for TBML to thrive. So I understand 10 11 that the commission may have received testimony 12 from Mr. John Cassara recently. You see on the 1.3 slide in front of you the cover of his book, 14 which is really quite seminal, and for those 15 interested in the topic I would recommend 16 picking it up. It's a fantastic foundational 17 document on trade-based money laundering. 18 And Mr. Cassara actually is one of the 19 experts who proclaimed trade-based money 20 laundering, as you see on the screen, to be the 21 largest money laundering method in the world but 22 also the least known and the least understood, 23 and probably one of the largest methods in the 24 world because of that lack of knowledge and that lack of understanding. So there are a range of 25

1	estimates out there. Some of the ones that I'm
2	a little bit more interested in come from
3	organizations like Global Financial Integrity,
4	and that's a non-governmental organization in
5	the United States that does a lot of work around
6	trade mispricing, and they've used a couple of
7	techniques to try and elaborate estimates of the
8	scope and the scale of that trade fraud activity
9	that I talked to you about earlier, those
10	misdescription techniques that enable all manner
11	of criminal activity, including money
12	laundering, sanctions, evasion, capital flight,
13	corruption, terrorist financing. And they've
14	done some excellent work estimating the amount
15	of illicit financial flows that are emanating
16	from developing countries in the world to
17	developed countries. And their estimate was
18	that in several of their reports, including most
19	recently in early 2020, was that approximately
20	80 percent of the illicit financial flows from
21	developing nations are being perpetrated through
22	those trade fraud techniques that I outlined
23	earlier. And in terms of assigning dollar
24	values to that, over approximately a ten-year
25	period you're talking about very significant

1	amount of money; you're talking in the
2	neighbourhood of a trillion dollars. So there's
3	been some other estimates that have been cast
4	about by various international organizations,
5	United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. I
6	believe the World Trade Organization as well,
7	and based on the figures that I've been exposed
8	to the common consensus seems to be that
9	approximately 1 to 7 percent of annual global
10	GDP, gross domestic product, that's effectively
11	the cost of trade fraud. It accounts for as
12	much as 1 to 7 percent of global GDP. But
13	again, these are very end high estimates. There
14	are a range of them and they are of varying
15	degrees of quality. I think the bottom line is
16	that we truly the international community
17	still doesn't have an accurate and precise
18	estimate of the scope and scale of this
19	activity.
20	I'll move on now to slide number 9. And in
21	this slide I'll talk about some of the harms to
22	Canada but really any country where TBML is
23	occurring because the effect is the same
24	regardless of the jurisdiction. The
25	consequences of successful TBML schemes for

1	Canada and for Canadians can really be
2	understood when categorized into four buckets.
3	The first one is national security, and what
4	TBML can do is it can provide criminals,
5	including terrorists or extremists, with a
6	relatively risk-free mechanism to repatriate
7	their proceeds of crime. Narcotics proceeds,
8	the proceeds of corruption, terrorist financing
9	proceeds, many of the other illicit activities
10	that I talked about, evading international
11	sanctions. And the movement of these proceeds,
12	of these criminal proceeds, is what enables the
13	continuing activity of these very sophisticated
14	often transnational actors, and so that poses a
15	high degree of harm on the health, safety and
16	security of our country as well as citizens of
17	our country.
18	The second category that I'll talk about is
19	really reputational harms. And Canada, I think
20	as you're coming to learn through the course of
21	the commission, has by various parties been
22	branded as soft on money laundering. In fact
23	there's a term you've probably heard through the
24	course of your work that's been coined
25	specifically to the Canadian context which is

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

snow-washing. Countries like the United States, 1 2 in probably the most notable example through 3 their annual International Narcotics Control 4 Strategy Report, have identified Canada as a major money laundering jurisdiction of primary 5 6 concern in every iteration of that report that 7 I've reviewed going back to at least 2009 and that causes tremendous harm to the international 8 9 reputation of our country.

The third category we would characterize as economic security harms to the country. trade-based money laundering can weaken the integrity of Canadian and the reliability of Canadian financial institutions. Let's stop a moment and think about undervaluation, which I talked about earlier, where you intentionally undervalue a given good for the purposes of committing money laundering. If that happens at significant enough scale, you can imagine how it has the capability to undermine legitimate economic competition here in Canada and it can directly harm domestic industry. It effectively acts as dumping, as economic dumping. So if a whole group of commodities, if a whole sector is captured through money laundering activity it

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

really does have the ability to undermine 1 2 Canadian industry. Not only that but 3 trade-based money laundering, if it's occurring 4 significantly enough, can actually distort the trade data that an agency like mine, the Canada 5 Border Services Agency, collects and provides to 6 7 the Government of Canada and it's that data that 8 becomes the basis of making macroeconomic policy 9 decisions. So if the data has been corrupted 10 enough through this illicit activity and if it's skewed enough the data is -- you're providing a 11 flawed foundation for your policy makers to make 12 1.3 decisions implicating our country. 14 And last but certainly not least there are 15

And last but certainly not least there are revenue implications. Despite the fact that I mentioned that the purpose of trade-based money laundering is, as the name implies, to launder money, it's not to necessarily evade the payment of duties and taxes, but in certain circumstances dutiable goods are targeted in TBML schemes whether intentionally or not, and when customs documents are being misdescribed to enable the money laundering, a byproduct of that is the potential for revenue loss to the Government of Canada, and so there are revenue

1	implications as well. Obviously the more a
2	country relies on customs duty as the basis of
3	its operating budget, the more of an impact the
4	trade-based money laundering will have on that
5	given jurisdiction.
6	We can move on now to slide number 10. Here
7	I'll start off by quickly going through the
8	mandates of the relevant Government of Canada
9	entities. There are numerous Government of
10	Canada at the federal level entities that have a
11	stake in trade-based money laundering. We've
12	just really isolated sort of the four key
13	players at the federal level, and the first is
14	FINTRAC. So FINTRAC is, as you no doubt know,
15	Canada's financial intelligence unit. It's
16	mandated to detect, to deter and prevent money
17	laundering and terrorist financing. And it does
18	that in two key ways. It plays a role as
19	Canada's anti-money laundering and
20	anti-terrorist financing regulator, so FINTRAC
21	examines reporting entities to ensure compliance
22	with the Proceeds of Crime (Money Laundering)
23	and Terrorist Financing Act. And the second key
24	way that FINTRAC delivers on its mandate is
25	through the production of tactical and strategic

financial intelligence, and they do that not 1 2 just for law enforcement agencies, not just for 3 the Canadian security and intelligence community 4 with you, but they also do this for the public and for key regime partners and decision-makers. 5 They are able to produce at the strategic level 6 7 broad trend in typology reports that assist 8 reporting entities as well as government 9 officials in carrying out their anti-money laundering and anti-terrorist financing due 10 diligence efforts. That's FINTRAC in a 11 12 nutshell. Again, I want to emphasize I'm not an employee of FINTRAC; I'm just speaking about my 1.3 14 knowledge of FINTRAC as a result of working 15 quite closely with that organization for a 16 number of years and being a recipient of much of 17 FINTRAC's financial intelligence outputs. Let's move now to the trade dimension of 18 19 trade-based money laundering, and here you're 20 really talking about the organization that I do 21 work for, the Canada Border Services Agency. 22 And just at a very high level, the CBSA, as 23 we're known, is Canada's border management 24 agency. So our mission is to ensure Canada's 25 security and its prosperity by managing the

1	access of people and, particular with
2	trade-based money laundering, goods to and from
3	Canada. So we manage Canada's ports of entry
4	and all the various modes, the land border, air,
5	marine, rail, postal for example. And we have
6	approximately 117 land border crossings. We man
7	13 international airports. We're located at 27
8	different rail sites. And we also have staff at
9	Canada's three major international mail
10	processing centres in the Greater Toronto,
11	Greater Montreal and Greater Vancouver areas.
12	You should know that the CBSA administers more
13	than 90 acts and regulations and international
14	agreements, but many of those are on behalf of
15	other federal government departments and
16	agencies as well as the provinces and
17	territories. And really when it comes down to
18	it, though, CBSA, especially in the context of
19	the topic at hand of trade-based money
20	laundering, can be thought of as Canada's
21	customs service. And so in fulfilling our role
22	as Canada's custom service the primary
23	legislative instrument that we use is the
24	Customs Act. And it's really to bring it down
25	to the specifics of the trade fraud that

1

2 what we administer and enforce on traders is 3 that requirement outlined in section 7.1 of the 4 Customs Act where any information that's being provided to our organization to assist in the 5 6 administration and the enforcement of the act or 7 the tariff or the other customs-related 8 legislation under our purview as well as any 9 other act of parliament that either prohibits or 10 controls or regulates the import or the export 11 of goods must be -- and these are really the key words -- shall be true and accurate and 12 1.3 complete. And that is the sort of the 14 fundamental section of our act that we use as 15 sort of the off point to the enforcement of 16 trade fraud, which, as I mentioned, underlies 17 much trade-based money laundering. 18 What I want to emphasize for you all today 19 is that it may be a common misconception -- I'm 20 actually now sure, but there may be a perception 21 out there particularly because you have someone 22 from the CBSA talking about trade-based money 23 laundering, my organization does not investigate 24 money laundering or terrorist financing. Our 25 area of focus is on investigating the trade

underlies so much trade-based money laundering,

1		fraud, that customs fraud that underlies money
2		laundering and terrorist financing, so
3		trade-based money laundering or trade-based
4		terrorist financing, that's our responsibility.
5		The enforcement of the money laundering activity
6		itself remains the purview of the Royal Canadian
7		Mounted Police. But you can see that because of
8		our privileged position as effectively Canada's
9		trade gatekeeper that there's a requirement
10		really for the CBSA to be involved in helping to
11		identify import or export transactions that
12		could present anomalies indicative of money
13		laundering activity. We have the knowledge and
14		the experience and the expertise to detect that
15		trade fraud that underlies the trade-based money
16		laundering. I just want to be very clear on
17		that front.
18		So I'll leave it there and I'll pass it over
19		to my colleagues to speak about the RCMP and CRA
20		mandate with respect to TBML.
21	Q	Sorry, just before you move on, just one
22		question about you've mentioned a couple of
23		times about your organization's role in
24		detecting anomalies in trade data and I was just
25		wondering if you could give us a bit more of a

1		clear understanding about what resources the
2		CBSA has to look at trade data and detect
3		pricing anomalies and the like.
4	А	(JG) Right. At this moment in time and based on
5		my knowledge and my experience, in order to
6		uncover trade fraud that could be characteristic
7		of trade-based money laundering we're largely
8		reliant on external sources of information and
9		so one of the ways that we can come to be aware
10		of suspected trade fraud, one of the largest
11		sources of information for us is financial
12		intelligence disclosures from FINTRAC. So we
13		receive significant quantities of financial
14		disclosures from FINTRAC on a proactive as well
15		as a responsive basis, and that can often be the
16		jumping off point for further exploration of
17		instances that could be indicative of
18		trade-based money laundering. And so key in
19		that information really is where a financial
20		institution has flagged certain financial
21		transactions as suspicious. And those financial
22		transactions purport to be in relation to
23		international trade. So payments for goods
24		either imported or goods exported. And when
25		FINTRAC develops the grounds to suspect money

1	laundering as well as the use of the customs
2	process to potentially launder, they can
3	disclose information to us on a proactive basis.
4	And so that is one of the key ways in which
5	potential trade-based money laundering is raised
6	to our attention and that, like I said, can
7	become the jumping off point for many
8	trade-based money laundering cases. We also,
9	though, have a number of different areas within
10	the CBSA that are the eyes and ears of my area
11	within the organization. So what I mean
12	specifically by that is we have a commercial
13	program so we have border services officers that
14	are positioned at all of Canada's ports of entry
15	who are responsible for processing the
16	importation or the exportation of goods into or
17	out of Canada. And they can be our eyes and
18	ears. So when they have the grounds to suspect
19	that particular transactions that they may be
20	reviewing show some indicators of trade fraud
21	where a description doesn't seem to match goods
22	that they've examined, where the pricing doesn't
23	seem to match the shipments, where an importer
24	or an exporter who's in one business line is
25	presenting customs documents for goods that are

1	in a completely separate sector, these types of
2	indicators, this becomes other key way in which
3	anomalies, trade-based anomalies, can be flagged
4	for what we would call lead development within
5	our organization as either suspected trade
6	fraud, that traditional trade fraud where
7	there's a duty and tax implication, or whether
8	it could be something in addition to that or in
9	place of that such as trade-based money
10	laundering. CBSA also has a trade program and
11	CBSA's trade program is actually quite different
12	from its commercial program. So the commercial
13	program is responsible for making determinations
14	on whether goods can be released into Canada,
15	but the trade program is responsible for the
16	final accounting of those goods once they've
17	arrived in Canada to make sure that any
18	appropriate duties or taxes have been paid on
19	those goods, and so they are the compliance arm
20	of the Canada Border Services Agency and they
21	are also a key source of information for us
22	within the organization. As a part of their
23	compliance review mandate if they develop the
24	grounds to suspect that the potential
25	non-compliance that they may have uncovered may

1	be willful, they can make referrals to the
2	intelligence and the enforcement branch of our
3	agency, which is the branch that I work in, for
4	further review and for analysis. Other sources
5	of information can come from our law enforcement
6	partners whether it's at the federal provincial
7	or the municipal level or from our international
8	partners. We will sometimes receive requests
9	from our key international partners to take a
10	look into Canadian companies that appear to be
11	engaging in trade fraud or trade-based money
12	laundering activity in that foreign jurisdiction
13	and in some cases that becomes the jumping off
14	point four us to initiate a Canadian based
15	either intelligence probe or investigation into
16	those entities in partnership with that foreign
17	jurisdiction. So there are a number of
18	different potential sources of the information
19	that could yield anomalies that eventually
20	become leads and potentially downstream for the
21	compliance reviews or referrals for enforcement,
22	whether it's criminal investigation under the
23	Customs Act or potentially even under the
24	Criminal Code. We are also our agency is
25	just at the cusp of implementing some new IT

1		systems to manage particularly imported goods
2		coming into the country, and this is where we're
3		starting to see the introduction of advanced
4		analysis, advanced data analysis, where
5		transactions can be reviewed in aggregate at far
6		beyond the capacity of any one or many
7		individuals to review manually for potential
8		indicators of trade fraud or trade-based money
9		laundering. One example could be for anomalous
10		unit pricing where the individual unit price for
11		a good that's being declared is inconsistent
12		with the aggregate pricing ranges for previous
13		importations of that same commodity. That would
14		be one example. Or an importer who purports to
15		be in one line of business but is declaring
16		goods that are in a completely different sector.
17		Just a few high level examples of some of the
18		capabilities that will be coming into our agency
19		in the near term and then increasing in their
20		scope and their complexity over time.
21	Q	You are familiar with the work of Professor
22		Zdanowicz?
23	А	Yes, I am, I have some familiar with his work,
24		not extensive, but I have reviewed some of his
25		publications in the past, although not recently.

1	Q	He's going to be giving evidence before the
2		commission this week and I'm wondering this IT
3		solution that you've described, is that akin to
4		his statistical analysis that he presents?
5	А	(JG) I'm not actually in my personal
6		experience I'm not intimately involved with the
7		new IT system that I just spoke about. I have
8		some high-level knowledge of that system and
9		some of the analytics capabilities that are
10		either being designed or contemplated for
11		introduction into that system. I wouldn't feel
12		comfortable speaking in-depth about whether
13		Mr. Zdanowicz's statistical analysis techniques
14		are specifically being contemplated or some
15		variant of them for incorporation into that
16		system.
17	Q	You mentioned that the new system is to be
18		applied to imports, and I'm wondering why is it
19		not also being applied to exports?
20	А	(JG) They're separate programs within the Canada
21		Border Services Agency and so CBSA's trade
22		program, which will be the primary users of the
23		system are basically assuring compliance with
24		revenue requirements so the duty and tax
25		payments that I referred to before. And duty

1		and tax payments, duty payments are largely
2		collected on imported, not exported goods. It's
3		basically two separate operating environments,
4		and the export program, CBSA's export program
5		uses a separate system called a Canadian export
6		reporting system, and that's a brand-new system
7		that was just launched formally, I believe, in
8		June of 2020. So it's a separate system
9		entirely. This new system is focused on the
10		import environment.
11	Q	Is it the case that exports, though, are more
12		vulnerable to trade-based money laundering than
13		imports already?
14	A	(JG) It would be my opinion based on my
15		experience with looking at complex trade fraud
16		including trade-based money laundering that,
17		yes, the export environment would be more
18		vulnerable or more susceptible to trade-based
19		money laundering than to the import environment.
20	Q	In that sense wouldn't it make sense if you are
21		going to introduce an IT solution with that kind
22		of analytic capacity to target it at the
23		exports, not the imports?
24	A	(JG) Well, I'm not sure that we're talking
25		about two completely separate programs and

1		Canada first and foremost has that or the
2		CBSA has that responsibility to collect duty and
3		taxes at the border. And I think what we're
4		looking at is the export system has undergone a
5		degree of modernization quite recently, whereas
6		on the import side considering the huge volumes
7		of goods that are processed inbound are still
8		reliant on quite archaic IT infrastructure and
9		old and legacy systems that are getting close to
10		decommission date. And I think I don't want to
11		speak on behalf of the agency. This is from my
12		personal experience as an employee who works in
13		the agency; I'm not privy to any of the
14		discussions around why now and why with this
15		system. But it is my belief that that system
16		was at risk because it's reaching the end of its
17		lifespan and there was a more perhaps imminent
18		need given a lot of that IT infrastructure had
19		been updated with the new system that was put in
20		place on the export side.
21	Q	You've mentioned import duties a number of
22		times. Is it the case that the new solution is
23		being introduced to imports and not exports
24		because there's a revenue upside for imports
25		whereas for exports it's really just an

1		anti-money laundering concern?
2	А	(JG) I'm sorry, could you rephrase the question.
3		I don't quite follow.
4	Q	The question is are imports being targeted for
5		the new IT solution because there's money to be
6		captured?
7	А	(JG) I would say that in my experience that
8		would be just one of a number of factors that
9		are being contemplated. I am aware at a
10		peripheral level that there are some new
11		features that are being enabled within this new
12		system that will put our agency in a much better
13		position to detect all manner of non-compliant
14		activity with respect to the import of goods and
15		not just with respect to potential for revenue
16		evasion but also to potentially detect
17		non-compliant activity that could be indicative
18		of something such as trade fraud or trade-based
19		money laundering. And the example that I would
20		give is unit price analysis. Unit price
21		analysis is a technique that's applied and it's
22		really agnostic, an agnostic technique. It's
23		not within the purview of duty or tax
24		collection; it applies to all imported goods.

And it will potentially identify anomalies

25

1		across a range of different fronts, not just
2		potential revenue evasion. So one thing it can
3		identify are extreme pricing anomalies for
4		non-dutiable goods and it is possible that in
5		some of those circumstances with these extreme
6		pricing anomalies that the price irregularity in
7		some cases was willful. So in other words a
8		customs declaration was manipulated to either
9		raise or lower the value potentially for the
10		purposes of trade-based money laundering.
11	Q	Thank you. I've taken you off. You were just
12		about to pass the torch to your colleagues and
13		I'll invite them to finish off the slide.
14	А	(SS) Thank you, Ms. Latimer. Thank you, Joel.
15		I'll just take the baton, so to speak here, and
16		finish off the slide of intersecting mandates
17		and talk about the criminal mandate and
18		specifically with the RCMP, and I'll also speak
19		a little bit about the CRA mandate.
20		As you can see from the bullet points there
21		for the RCMP there's two bullet points there.
22		To just sort of contextualize I'll just say that
23		the overall slide here really points towards an
24		intention of being a comprehensive Government of
25		Canada approach where we're hoping that the

1	federal policing operations from the RCMP
2	perspective are supported in form by relevant
3	intelligence, making sure that operational
4	decisions are really based upon the best
5	information and intelligence provided with our
6	agency partners wherever that's possible. It
7	really falls in line with what I would call our
8	scope of service elements, issues that are
9	threats to Canada's economic integrity, and I
10	would place the layering aspects, to which we
11	alluded to earlier in our first few slides where
12	trade-based money laundering primarily resides
13	in that middle portion of layering, as a threat
14	to Canada's economic integrity, which is one of
15	our scope or service elements. There's threats
16	to critical infrastructure from the lack of
17	reporting on funds and the slippage of revenue
18	that could be generated that supports social
19	programs. Also talking about scope of service
20	elements that touch on they're international
21	in scope, interjurisdictional in scope and
22	national implications. And I would be remiss if
23	I didn't mention the longest undefended border
24	with our biggest economy in the world, which is the
25	United States. So trade-based money laundering

1

touches on a number of points, especially on a 2 scope of service elements from our agency, and 3 as well as a number of priority themes, for 4 example for transnational and serious organized crime, which the RCMP defines as criminal 5 6 activity involving organizations, networks or 7 entities either in Canada or abroad that use Canada as a destination, transit point, safe 8 9 haven or thoroughfare for illicit activities across international and/or provincial borders. 10 11 So within that priority theme of transnational and serious organized crime, we're targeting key 12 1.3 activities, organized crime, i.e., major 14 criminal operations linked to the movement of 15 illicit commodities and their proceeds, 16 including but not limited to drug trafficking, human trafficking, weapons trafficking at the 17 18 interprovincial and even the -- sorry, and as 19 well the international level. So then we move 20 on to the other key activities targeted within 21 the priority theme of transnational, serious and 22 organized crime, and we're talking about money 23 laundering. Networks. We're talking about 24 money controllers, associates involved in movement of criminal proceeds to, from or 25

1	through Canada as well as the transnational
2	networks that support them. So it's quite a big
3	comprehensive area of operations, but the
4	intersecting mandates between FINTRAC, CBSA, the
5	RCMP, and I'll move on to the last bullet point
6	there with Canada Revenue Agency, what it really
7	all points to is that this is a high volume area
8	that requires a lot of scrutiny. And the level
9	of scrutiny that can be applied with the
10	different sort of skill sets with different
11	agencies as well as their mandates all
12	supplements a variety of responses, whether it's
13	an intelligence probe generated to gather an
14	insight into a certain area or enforcement level
15	action or assisting another agency, whether they
16	be a foreign agency that has pointed out areas
17	of deficits and/or deficiency in our own
18	awareness of TBML. Before I move on to the next
19	slide I'll just talk about CRA's mandate.
20	Again, I'm not a member of CRA. I'm
21	representing the RCMP here today. This was a
22	slide that we co-presented on a number of
23	occasions with our Canada Revenue Agency
24	colleagues. And so you'll see that they
25	specified their mandate, investigating potential

1	criminal violations of the acts administered by
2	the Canada Revenue Agency, focusing on promoters
3	of sophisticated and organized tax schemes,
4	international tax evasion and working jointly
5	with law enforcement on money laundering.
6	Unless there's any questions I'm happy to turn
7	it over to the next slide.
8	(JG) Thank you very much. I'll quickly go
9	over for you, we're starting to move into some
10	typologies with respect to trade-based money
11	laundering. And I would just call your
12	attention back to some of my colleague Bryanna's
13	earlier comments about complex trade-based money
14	laundering schemes and black market peso
15	exchanges and that criminal trade craft is what
16	can turn what might be a more mundane
17	trade-based money laundering scheme into a
18	highly complex trade-based money laundering
19	scheme. So a black market peso exchange,
20	sometimes just referred to as a BMPE by its
21	acronym, are essentially what can be thought of
22	as illicit foreign currency exchanges, and it's
23	the trade in goods that enables the foreign
24	exchange to take place. So what do they do.
25	They help criminal networks to solve one of

1	their biggest problems, and the problem is how
2	do you get your proceeds or your profits of your
3	crime that's conducted in one jurisdiction into
4	another with minimal risk of exposure and the
5	key really is in the local currency at your base
6	of operations so that it can be used to fund
7	your activities. So it might be helpful, and
8	the example that I'll go through here, to think
9	of transnational drug trafficking activity.
10	That's really the example I'll walk through
11	here. So when we're talking about profits we're
12	talking about either wholesale or street sale
13	proceeds from drug trafficking activities taking
14	place in this example in the United States,
15	although you can sub in Canada for the United
16	States because it happens in Canada and most
17	other jurisdictions, western jurisdictions, as
18	well. So a black market peso exchange scheme
19	can get US dollar proceeds of narco-trafficking
20	back to source jurisdictions typically we're
21	talking about Colombia or Mexico in the local
22	currency, the Colombian or the Mexican peso,
23	because the US dollar if that was to be moved,
24	say, through bulk cash smuggling across borders
25	and into Colombia or Mexico wouldn't be very

helpful to narco-trafficking cartels, especially 1 2 in quantities that we're talking about with the 3 potential proceeds. To be useful it needs to be 4 in local currency and that's what a black market 5 peso exchange scheme fundamentally helps. That's the problem it helps to solve for these 6 7 criminal actors. 8 If I could draw your attention to 9 approximately -- if you think of the graphic in 10 front of you as a clock to approximately the 11 10 o'clock position, the grey square saying "drugs are smuggled into the US and sold for US 12 1.3 dollars." This is where our scheme starts. And 14 so again we're talking about Mexican drug 15 trafficking activity in the United States and 16 then the repatriation of the proceeds. So our scheme starts with a Mexican cartel whose 17 18 sending drugs for street distribution in the US. 19 Wholesale, street sale, it doesn't really 20 matter. Once the drugs are sold they're going 21 to be sold in US dollars. What happens is the 22 cartel would contact someone known as a peso 23 broker and the peso broker will assist in 24 brokering a deal that gets those proceeds back 25 to Mexico, in this case in Mexican pesos.

1	the peso broker and this peso broker could be
2	operating in the United States but could be
3	operating in really any jurisdiction around the
4	world. What the peso broker will do is contact
5	either another broker or can directly contact
6	business owners in Mexico who are willing to buy
7	goods from US based vendors but they would need
8	US dollars, that the US vendors obviously need
9	US dollars to acquire the inventory to export to
10	Mexico. So what happens is the peso broker
11	would make arrangements for the proceeds of
12	crime, the US cash to be delivered to those US
13	based vendors of goods, to those US-based
14	import/export companies, and those vendors would
15	use cash. So it would get typically funneled
16	into US bank accounts. The US bank accounts
17	divided the individuals involved or the
18	import/export companies that they actually own
19	or control and those proceeds would be used to
20	buy goods that are conducive to TBML. And just
21	think back to some of the commodities that I
22	talked about earlier, scrap metal, garments,
23	some other notable examples from the US are toys
24	and clothing. Those are notable examples.
25	There's been a couple of very high profile TBML

1	schemes that were broken up in Los Angeles known
2	as the fashion district case in particular where
3	both clothing and toys were used as part of this
4	black market peso exchange scheme. So the
5	illegally obtained dollars are used to pay for
6	the goods that are ordered by the Mexican
7	customers, and so your US vendor ships the goods
8	in question to Mexico and then those goods are
9	sold by the Mexican business owners in exchange
10	for pesos. And the proceeds of those sales are
11	ultimately funneled back to, in this case, the
12	Mexican cartel through the peso broker. Peso
13	broker obviously taking a commission for
14	transacting the deal. And that really completes
15	the black market peso exchange scheme cycle.
16	That's, I should just note, a very high level
17	example. There are many, many variants to the
18	black market peso exchange scheme and some of
19	those variants could include things that we've
20	either talked about before, so using customs
21	fraud, the misdescription of goods, including
22	phantom shipments that we talked about before
23	and we'll talk about a little bit more coming
24	up, the use of convoluted shipping routes,
25	exploiting free trade zones. So this example is

23

24

25

very simple and it shows the goods going
directly from the United States to Mexico.
In my experience based on the files that
I've had the chance to review and to work on
that's rarely the case. More often than not
goods are routed through multiple different
countries all around the world, even in often
times nonsensical trading routes before they
ultimately arrive back at the jurisdiction where
the criminal proceeds are destined. And so
Canada, for example, can be used as just one
node in a very complex international black
market peso exchange scheme where the US could
be involved, Canada, and imagine any number of
countries around the world, and shipments are
broken up at specific locations around the world
to further obfuscate the trail of those goods.
And so a customs service like mine may only be
able to see just one leg in the international
routing of goods that are involved in black
market peso exchange schemes, and criminal
actors are well aware of that and they exploit

it to their advantage. So by breaking up one of

these schemes into multiple jurisdictions where

Canada or the United States doesn't really have

25

1		any knowledge of how those goods are being
2		declared in those foreign jurisdictions, the
3		trail goes cold, and it's one of the many
4		reasons that black market peso exchange schemes
5		are such a concern and used to the extent that
6		we believe they are by criminal actors. I don't
7		have anything else to add to that. Sorry, yes?
8	Q	[Indiscernible] they are used by criminal actors
9		from the criminal actors' perspective is a
10		benefit of this kind of typology that it allows
11		them to get the profits back into their local
12		currency but now in a legitimate form?
13	A	(JG) Yes, that's correct. That's the
14		fundamental purpose of the black market peso
15		exchange scheme. So as I said at the outset,
16		it's about illicit foreign currency exchange.
17	Q	And it's also a way for the money controllers to
18		balance their books; is that right?
19	А	(JG) That is that can be the case in certain
20		cases, yes. It doesn't have to be, but it
21		certainly can be. If you have nothing further
22		I'll move on.
23		So we'll continue on with some of the TBML
24		typologies. This slide, what it does is it

really just re-emphasizes I think a pretty

1	important point that Bryanna mentioned earlier
2	which is that TBML schemes require complicit
3	buyers and sellers or one group that's able to
4	control both sides of a trade transaction. But
5	I think what this slide also highlights are some
6	key vulnerabilities in the Canadian system that
7	allow the scheme, the trade-based money
8	laundering scheme, to succeed. This is not
9	exclusive to Canada but it is some of these
10	vulnerabilities have been observed here in
11	Canada. The scenario on the slide in front of
12	you presents an instance of suspected
13	overvaluation, and this is taken from a real
14	case. And what this situation allows for is an
15	excess of \$20,000 in value to be laundered into
16	Canada. So in this case you have an importer
17	and an exporter who agree that an export of
18	Canadian goods is going to be worth \$100,000.
19	An export declaration for the Canadian goods is
20	filed with the CBSA, but it's only filed for
21	\$80,000, so you've got that \$20,000
22	differential. However, the payment of that full
23	\$100,000 is sent through a wire transfer. So
24	the payment is made for the full \$100,000. A
25	declaration is made for only \$80,000 and now you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have that excess of value that's being laundered into Canada.

So I want to talk about why this particular scheme succeeded. In this case and as I've talked about earlier in the presentation, the CBSA only exams a very small percentage of the goods that enter or leave the country. It's not for lack of want, it's a matter of capacity and it's again all about that exponential growth in international trade that is well beyond any jurisdiction around the world to effectively examine any more than a small percentage of goods that are either entering or leaving the country. But even assuming that an examination took place, a few things that I wanted to note. So in this case, the commodity that was chosen was difficult to value and it was also very difficult to examine. And even if it had been examined the fraud would more likely than not have not been detected. So the CBSA doesn't have any systematic method to learn the value of the goods that are being declared in the foreign country. We only know what's being presented to us on export, and in this case we have a declaration that says \$80,000. How those goods

1	are presented on import in the foreign
2	jurisdiction is oftentimes out of our ability to
3	know. And typically our agency would need the
4	grounds to suspect some form of non-compliance
5	in order to request that information from the
6	foreign country. And so the CBSA does have a
7	number of what are known as customs mutual
8	assistance agreements with a variety of
9	countries around the world. But we may not in
10	this case have had an agreement with that
11	foreign country to determine the value as
12	declared on import, and if we had that
13	information, that could have been a giveaway
14	that there was this \$20,000 discrepancy if it
15	was declared at its true value in the foreign
16	jurisdiction. But in the absence of that
17	initial grounds to suspect, there would be no
18	reason to undertake that outreach with the
19	foreign partner.
20	So we also don't have a systematic method to
21	determine how the goods were described to the
22	shipper, so the actual company that is carrying
23	the goods from Canada to the foreign country.
24	Again, generally speaking we would require some
25	grounds to suspect non-compliant activity to

1	request shipping documents in this instance.
2	What we have are the customs declarations, not
3	the shipping documents, and sometimes, and I'll
4	talk about this a little more later on in the
5	presentation, one of the most effective ways and
6	really a best practice for detecting trade-based
7	money laundering is the layering of multiple
8	different data points from multiple different
9	sources throughout the trade chain and it's that
10	layering of data that can yield anomalies that
11	are indicative of trade-based money laundering.
12	So in this case, a layering of the customs
13	documentation and the shipping documentation,
14	which also lists the value of the goods, the
15	type of goods, basically all those elements that
16	are on the customs declaration are largely found
17	in the shipping documentation, that could have
18	been an opportunity to detect the anomaly, the
19	irregularity, and to conduct further activity to
20	build grounds to suspect some kind of
21	non-compliant activity and to validate whether
22	there is non-compliant activity taking place.
23	But again without that initial grain of
24	suspicion it would not be routine for our agency
25	to request those shipping documents.

1		And I would also just conclude the slide by
2		saying that in addition my agency does not have
3		a systematic method to determine the amount that
4		was actually wired in payment for those goods.
5		So in this particular case the settlement, the
6		payment for the goods was effected through an
7		international wire transfer, and my organization
8		does not have access on a routine and systematic
9		basis, without grounds we don't have access to
10		financial information underlying the payments
11		for these goods. So if we had some grounds to
12		suspect, we could certainly put in a request for
13		financial information and intelligence from
14		FINTRAC on the Canadian entity or even the
15		foreign entity in this case, but matching in
16		this case the wire transfer information, the
17		payment for the goods with the data for the
18		goods themselves is not straightforward and not
19		possible without that grain of suspicion.
20	Q	Just addressing the first information gap that
21		you identified which was about not having the
22		information from the other jurisdiction, the
23		foreign jurisdiction, and you had earlier talked
24		about Mr. Cassara's work and you are aware I'm
25		sure that Mr. Cassara is a proponent of a trade

1		transparency units; correct?
2	А	(JG) Yes, I am aware.
3	Q	Is that a kind of gap that would be filled by
4		having a trade transparency unit in Canada?
5	А	(JG) It's possible that a trade transparency
6		unit would fill a gap, theoretically speaking.
7		Practically speaking I don't think a trade
8		transparency unit would be possible as currently
9		conceived in Canada, and I can explain what I
10		mean by that. A trade transparency unit, just
11		for the benefit of the commission and for the
12		benefits of the Commissioner, is a United States
13		Homeland Security investigations initiative
14		that's been in place for approximately 15 to
15		20 years. I apologize. I'm not sure precisely
16		when the first trade transparency unit was
17		created. But it operates under the premise of
18		an exchange of primarily customs data from the
19		US government and the foreign government who has
20		entered into a trade transparency unit agreement
21		with the United States. So these are bilateral
22		agreements that the US strikes with foreign
23		countries. I haven't looked at the total number
24		of these trade transparency units in some time.
25		It's been a number of years. The last I recall

1	was that there were approximately 18 to 20 of
2	these bilateral agreements that had been struck
3	by the US and foreign countries since the
4	concept originated. And the way they work is
5	that the US and the foreign country make an
6	agreement to exchange their customs data. So
7	import and export data pertaining to one
8	another's countries. And the method of exchange
9	is through an advanced analytic system. It's
10	called DARTTS. I apologize. I forget what the
11	acronym stands for. It's data analytics for
12	trade transparency. Not precisely that but
13	something along those lines. And the DARTTS
14	system has the ability to automatically cross
15	compare trade transactions. An example I often
16	give when talking about trade transparency units
17	are banana exportations from Colombia. So think
18	of a marine container that has bananas in it
19	that's destined for the United States, it's
20	destined for the port of Miami. The Colombian
21	government gathers export information on the
22	bananas that are departing Columbia and that are
23	outbound for the United States, and on the US
24	side the US government gathers import data for
25	that same transaction. And what DARTTS does

25

1	it's able to cross compare those two data
2	points, so the Colombian export transaction and
3	the US import transaction, and it will cross
4	compare the elements of the customs
5	declarations, the Colombian export, the US
6	import to see if they match. That's a
7	relatively simply and simplistic explanation,
8	but that's the fundamental underpinnings of the
9	trade transparency unit concept.
10	So if the bananas were declared as being
11	valued at the equivalent of \$100,000 US in
12	Colombia but on the US side on import they're
13	being declared to the US authorities as
14	\$2 million worth of bananas, you can see that
15	you've now enabled the movement of the
16	difference, so 1.9 equivalent US dollars, out of
17	Colombia and into the United States. And the
18	DARTTS system, the TTU system is designed to
19	detect those anomalies, so it's a form of
20	proactive lead generation really for Homeland
21	Security investigations to try to uncover trade
22	fraud, including possibly trade-based money
23	laundering.
24	I think one thing that's really important to

emphasize when we're talking about that

1	distinction between trade fraud and trade-based
2	money laundering is a custom service or a law
3	enforcement agency won't necessarily know just
4	because there's an irregularity between import
5	data and export data that trade-based money
6	laundering is happening. What we know is that
7	there's an anomaly with the trade data and it's
8	possible that that anomaly could be generated
9	for all manner of different reasons, not just
10	something untoward. It could be a data error in
11	the export filing. It could be a data error in
12	the import filing. It could be a mistake that's
13	being made by a customs broker. It could be
14	attributable to differences in the way in which
15	the values for those goods was actually
16	calculated because there are several different
17	methods for how goods are valued and that are
18	recognized internationally by the World Trade
19	Organization. And so I do want to emphasize
20	that anomalies are not synonymous with trade
21	fraud or trade-based money laundering. It's the
22	human effort that comes in after those anomalies
23	have been detected that will determine whether
24	in fact you're looking at, for example, trade
25	fraud for the purposes of duty evasion if that

1	happens to be the case or trade fraud for the
2	purposes of trade-based money laundering. So
3	really just want to re-emphasize that point.
4	Anomalies are just anomalies. It means that
5	something has been identified that doesn't
6	match. But back to the trade transparency unit
7	concept and your question of could it be
8	effective in Canada, and it's my considered
9	opinion that yes, it could be in principle
10	effective in Canada. However, the reason that
11	Canada has not one of the key reasons that
12	Canada has not engaged in a trade transparency
13	unit concept is that we don't have the actual
14	means to. There are technical limitations that
15	are preventing us from considering that as a
16	potential one tool amongst many analytics-driven
17	tools that could identify trade fraud, including
18	trade-based money laundering. To be more
19	specific, the limitation is that when we're
20	talking about Canada's trading relationship with
21	the United States I'm sure everyone can
22	appreciate that as significant trading partners
23	with one another we have a unique relationship
24	between Canada and the United States, and one of
25	the unique elements of that relationship

1	involves exports to one another's countries.
2	And essentially the two countries agreed back in
3	the late 1980s to enter into a memorandum of
4	understanding that was signed by at the time the
5	equivalent of the CBSA and the equivalent of
6	what is now the customs and border patrol in the
7	US and the two statistics agencies, the Census
8	Bureau in the US and Statistic Canada, or as
9	they were known at that time. So this
10	four-party MOU basically led to a decision to
11	only gather aggregate information on exports for
12	goods that originate in Canada that are destined
13	to the United States and for goods that
14	originate in the United States that are destined
15	to Canada. And so unlike every other country in
16	the world where the CBSA under most
17	circumstances there are some exceptions, but
18	under most circumstances the CBSA would mandate
19	that a Canadian exporter provide an export
20	declaration. That's not the case with the vast
21	majority of Canadian exports destined to the US
22	or for US exports that are destined to Canada.
23	So we don't actually gather sufficient export
24	data between one another's countries to enable a
25	system like the trade transparency unit, like

1		DARTTS to work because for DARTTS to work you
2		need unique sets of import data for both
3		countries and unique sets of export data for
4		both countries. And when we're talking about
5		Canada and the United States, we each have
6		unique import data sets, but we don't have the
7		corresponding export data. So recall my banana
8		example from earlier, the Colombia to US
9		example, the detection of that anomaly occurred
10		when the Colombian export data was matched
11		against the US import data and it was that data
12		matching that resulted in the anomaly. Whether
13		it was trade fraud or not, we don't know in this
14		case, but we don't have that same data to enable
15		that same data matching in Canada. All we would
16		have is an import of bananas, let's say, from
17		the US, but we wouldn't have the corresponding
18		export data to the export data to match that
19		import transaction. So the idea of a TTU I
20		think in principle has merit, but in
21		practicality there are some structural barriers
22		between Canada and the US that are preventing
23		that from occurring.
24	Q	But just like with the United States Canada
25		could have is a trade transparency unit with any

1		other jurisdiction except with United States;
2		correct?
3	А	(JG) It is conceivable that Canada could because
4		with most other countries we have much more
5		robust export data. We have more than just
6		aggregate data on exports. We have unique
7		transactions, in other words. So it is
8		hypothetically possible that a tool like that
9		could be entertained.
10	Q	Okay. Thank you. I didn't mean to take you off
11		your presentation.
12	А	(JG) It's no problem at all. I had concluded my
13		last point on the slide, so if there are no
14		other questions or no comments from my
15		co-panelist, we can move on.
16		So continuing on with typologies. I want to
17		get back to the concept of the phantom shipment
18		scheme. And I talked about phantom shipments
19		earlier where in that case when I talked about
20		them I talked about paperwork that's being
21		submitted to the CBSA, so a declaration is being
22		made to the CBSA but there's no corresponding
23		shipments of goods. I want to talk about one of
24		the variants because it's something that has
25		been observed in Canada and it's an emerging

1	concern I think from a TBML perspective here in
2	Canada, and that's more the concept of the
3	financial phantom shipment because it really is
4	at the extreme end of the phantom shipment
5	method that's employed in trade-based money
6	laundering. So in this particular scheme the
7	phantom shipping is occurring purely in the
8	financial realm. So as you can see from the
9	chart here there's no actual goods being
10	shipped. What you have is money that's being
11	routed from a foreign company in US dollars via
12	a trading company located in a free trade zone
13	in the United Arab Emirates that is then being
14	routed, those US dollars are being routed
15	through corresponding banking relationships to a
16	Canadian beneficiary bank. So money is coming
17	from a foreign jurisdiction, it's cycling
18	through the United Arab Emirates. It's ending
19	up back in Canada. And then if you look at the
20	extreme right side of the graphic you'll see
21	that the money is received in the US dollar
22	business account of the Canadian entity and then
23	it's wired out to a US business bank account.
24	So that's what's actually happening here.
25	What's not happening here is there's no movement

1	of actual goods. So in addition to the lack of
2	the physical shipping it's gone even further
3	where the fraudsters haven't even gone to the
4	trouble of filing customs declarations. So
5	there's no paperwork of any kind from a customs
6	perspective. There's no customs declarations.
7	There's no shipping documents. All we have is
8	the movement of money. You've got those US
9	dollars that are wired from the foreign importer
10	to your exporter in Canada, and those wire
11	instructions indicate so in wire transfers
12	there's a free text, what's called a remittance
13	field, and basically a note section of the wire
14	transfer where the sender can indicate any note
15	that they really want to place into a wire, and
16	it's usually what we'll typically see are
17	payment instructions, something to the effect of
18	this is to settle invoice 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. As
19	simple as that, typically. And because the
20	payment method is it's to settle a trade on open
21	account through a wire transfer, banks have very
22	limited stake in that transaction. So they're
23	not extending any kind of financing to either
24	the Canadian exporter, the foreign importer.
25	All they're doing really is collecting a small

1	fee to facilitate the wire transfer itself. So
2	from the bank's perspective unless there's some
3	form of automated risking taking place on the
4	transaction and there are flags that go off
5	because of a potential red flag identified in
6	the system, there's no real due diligence that's
7	being placed on that transaction. And in the
8	absence of any other strong indicators it
9	wouldn't necessarily raise red flags from the
10	financial institution's perspective.
11	Now, in this case, the CBSA is never going
12	to know about the purported trade because no
13	declaration is ever being filed, and as I
14	mentioned earlier, we don't have that routine
15	access to wire transfer information. In order
16	to meet FINTRAC's information disclosure
17	thresholds, in order to obtain financial
18	intelligence, my agency has to meet their dual
19	disclosure threshold, so we already have to have
20	a suspicion of money laundering or terrorist
21	financing, and then one of sort of three
22	categories that pertain specifically to the
23	CBSA. So the money has to be in service of some
24	form of immigration inadmissibility, or there
25	has to be some kind of an allegation of

1

ı	Smugging of of non-compilance with the filling
2	of customs declarations. And we have to meet
3	that dual disclosure threshold, albeit just that
4	the grounds to suspect to enable any information
5	to come back to us from FINTRAC. A long-winded
6	way of basically saying unless we ask for it, we
7	don't already have it, and we would already need
8	to have that information in order to potentially
9	detect the suspicious transaction, but in this
10	case we wouldn't be able to because we don't
11	even have any customs documents that are being
12	filed. So this is a phantom shipment that's
13	occurring strictly from the purview of the
14	financial institution, no actual goods being
15	shipped and no customs declarations that are
16	being made. I'm hoping that I'm giving you an
17	appreciation for just how complex these schemes
18	can become and the many different variations of
19	these schemes. So we've already talked about a
20	couple of different phantom shipment schemes.
21	This is another one that is quite sophisticated
22	because to complicate matters further the US
23	dollars for these non-existing goods is coming
24	from an anonymous UAE-based company in a
25	free-trade zone, but the recipient of these

smuggling or of non-compliance with the filing

1	non-existent goods is not located in the UAE,
2	which is very odd and doesn't really make any
3	business sense for that to be happening.
4	Typically the money to pay for the goods would
5	be coming from the company receiving the goods,
6	and that's not happening here. It's coming from
7	a third party in a third country. And not only
8	that, so this is odd and it's a manner of
9	distancing potentially and concealing the true
10	beneficiaries to that potential trade-based
11	money laundering scheme, but furthermore you'll
12	note that once those US dollars come into Canada
13	they're immediately wired out to bank accounts
14	in the US, and that's sometimes called
15	pass-through or flow-through activity where
16	money is wired in and very quickly same day or
17	the next day wired out, which is another key
18	indicator of trade-based money laundering. And
19	so the money only stays in Canada in this case
20	for a very, very limited period of time, which
21	further obfuscates the paper trail, but because
22	the money has now gone to another jurisdiction,
23	it can potentially complicate any downstream
24	investigative efforts on the part of the
25	Government of Canada because you now in order to

1	understand the full picture have to involve
2	potentially law enforcement or other officials
3	from the foreign jurisdiction, the US in this
4	case.
5	So why is this happening? And when I say
6	"this" I mean why is the money being wired out
7	of Canada so quickly and into the US? Well,
8	think about it from the US perspective. So from
9	the perspective of US authorities that
10	transaction looks like it originates in Canada,
11	not necessarily the UAE. So it's another way of
12	concealing the true beneficiary parties to the
13	transaction.
14	If there are no questions we can move on.
15	I'll quickly touch on professional money
16	launderers. We're at the point in the
17	presentation where we're starting to transition
18	into the threat actors, so who we think may be
19	behind at least some of these schemes. And it
20	is our assessment, it's our judgment that a
21	large portion of the TBML cases that we the
22	Government of Canada has under review are being
23	perpetrated by professional money launderers.
24	In most cases we refer to them as professional
25	money launderers because they don't have

25

1	criminal records in Canada and we can't link
2	them to established organized crime groups.
3	What we do know is that they do not appear to be
4	generating the illicit funds. We can't find any
5	ties to the commission of, for example, a
6	predicate crime, drug trafficking, for example.
7	They appear to be cycling the money as we see
8	increasingly through import/export companies
9	that they either own or control. So
10	professional money launderers can be often
11	they can be accountants or they can be lawyers.
12	I'm sure you've heard some of that discussion
13	throughout the commission's activities thus far.
14	In the TBML context professional money
15	launderers are often in our estimation the
16	owners or the controllers of import/export
17	companies or they position themselves as custom
18	service providers. So, for example, a freight
19	forwarder. And a freight forwarder is merely
20	responsible for the logistics of getting a
21	shipment from point A to point B in a timely
22	manner.
23	So all of the professions that I just
24	mentioned are susceptible to money laundering

because they offer the ability and they offer

1	the means to launder based on their very
2	inherent nature. And why suspected professional
3	money launderers are such a concern, in the
4	Canadian context it's because investigating them
5	can be exceptionally difficult in the absence of
6	a clear link to a predicate crime. So if
7	they're not involved in the predicate crime they
8	can be very, very difficult to try to enforce
9	and to even build a money laundering case in the
10	first place. So we sometimes see professional
11	money launderers that are linked to informal
12	value transfer service networks, so you may have
13	heard of hawala or Fei-chien, flying money,
14	perhaps when Mr. Cassara testified. And
15	professional money launderers and that's
16	really about mirror transfer. It's about money
17	being transferred from one country to another
18	without physical money actually leaving that
19	jurisdiction. And professional money launderers
20	can employ those mirror transfer methods while
21	at the same time operating, for example, an
22	import/export company to conduct trade-based
23	money laundering. As I was talking about
24	earlier with underground banking, trade-based
25	money laundering then being used to basically

1	reconcile and balance the books between the two
2	sides of the informal value transfer service.
3	I'll move on to the next slide. This is
4	picking up from my previous example about the
5	financial phantom shipments. So this is third
6	party invoice settlement. And this is a real
7	key in trade-based money laundering typology.
8	When I think about top indicators of trade-based
9	money laundering, this is really one them. This
10	would be top three or top five in my personal
11	opinion in terms of indicators to look for.
12	So third party invoice settlement, as I said
13	this slide's similar to, it sort of builds on
14	the financial phantom shipment scheme that we
15	talked about earlier, but it's highlighting a
16	different concern, and that's the threat actors
17	who are actually behind the third party payments
18	themselves. So in this scheme, as you'll recall
19	from our earlier slide you've got a third party
20	you've got a United Arab Emirates-based
21	general trading company and actually a fourth
22	party, a United Arab Emirates-based money
23	exchange house, that has no apparent business
24	connection to either the importer or the
25	exporter. So there's no legitimate reason that

1

ı	can be determined for why a payment would be
2	cycling through these third and fourth parties
3	located in a separate country from the vendor of
4	the goods and the recipient of the goods, from
5	the importer and from the exporter. So we're
6	talking about three different countries that are
7	at play here. And a more legitimate trade
8	transaction, typically the payment would be sent
9	from the company that received the goods, so it
10	would be originating from that country, not from
11	a third or a fourth country. So why is this
12	happening? Well, that third party plays a key
13	role and this has I think come up on some of the
14	previous slides. Oftentimes we believe those
15	third parties are linked to international money
16	controller networks and these are the global
17	networks who broker large-scale international
18	laundering deals. They're the ones that can
19	facilitate I talked about capital flight
20	earlier, because they have access to these large
21	pools of dark money that's sort of sitting
22	outside the legitimate financial system. And
23	it's these third parties that are often playing
24	a role as international money controllers. They
25	are the representatives, you can think of them

can be determined for why a payment would be

1	that way, of international money controller
2	networks. So they receive and they transfer
3	oftentimes vast sums of illicit money from a
4	variety of different sources. It could be from
5	proceeds of crime. It could be from money
6	that's subject to sanctions. It could be
7	subject to capital controls. It could be the
8	proceeds of corruption. And this is a really
9	big concern because oftentimes these entities
10	are really difficult to learn about. They're
11	located in jurisdictions that aren't necessarily
12	cooperative to law enforcement where beneficial
13	ownership, real true beneficial ownership can be
14	difficult to determine so we've not sure who
15	we're dealing with and therefore not sure of the
16	source of the funding that is sustaining those
17	financial transactions. But one of the key
18	goals of the Government of Canada is to work
19	with our international partners when we identify
20	suspected international money controllers to try
21	and identify who they are and to try and build
22	up the ability to dismantle those international
23	controllers because they act as the key hubs of
24	these vast and sprawling international money
25	controller networks, so if you can go after them

1	as a key node, you would have potentially a
2	greater ability to effect lasting change on a
3	network's ability to launder money.
4	On the next slide, if there are no
5	questions, this is a real example. It's drawn
6	from a suspicious transaction report that shows
7	how the Government of Canada is able to initiate
8	either intelligence probes or investigative
9	leads into these types of activity. So, what's
10	happening here is you've got a bank that's
11	providing FINTRAC with information through a
12	suspicious transaction report, and they're
13	essentially highlighting what I just profiled on
14	the previous slide. So the reporting that their
15	client has received two wire transfers that came
16	in from the UAE. But the client doesn't trade
17	with the United Arab Emirates. The bank did
18	some due diligence. They questioned their
19	client, who advised that that UAE company is in
20	fact a regular customer but they normally
21	operate out of their Colombian affiliate. They
22	just didn't happen to in this case. But
23	basically the client was not able to explain why
24	they were to really substantiate why they
25	were getting funds from the UAE and that was

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enough for the anti-money laundering arm of the
bank to file an STR with FINTRAC. This is a
perfect example of how an STR, that financial
intelligence that the Government of Canada is
receiving from financial institutions, can be an
initiator, can be a lead generator for potential
intelligence or investigative activity into
trade-based money laundering.
We can move on to the next slide. So I

wanted to talk in a little bit more detail about a few of the commodities that I profiled earlier. And I'll just run through this quickly, but I welcome any questions you may have of course. We'll talk about mobile phones. So why specifically are mobile phones attractive for trade-based money laundering? And I've outlined all of those factors on the screen. So briefly they're very portable products. They're very easy to ship. As I mentioned earlier, many trade-based money laundering related commodities are easy to sell. Smartphones, mobile phones, they have a high value; they have a high demand globally. Certain brands more than others have extremely high value and brand cachet that makes them attractive. They're easy to sell at their

1	destination markets. But their descriptions can
2	be very easily manipulated, getting back to that
3	core trade fraud. Values can be adjusted. You
4	can adjust the size of the memory in a
5	particular Smartphone, and I think any
6	Smartphone purchaser who has bought a phone
7	recently realizes there are many memory
8	configurations in your phones and the more
9	memory you have the expensive the device is.
10	Well, you can manipulate that description on
11	your customs declaration to lower the overall
12	value of the good so it becomes an 8-gigabyte
13	hard drive instead of a 64-gigabyte hard drive
14	built into the phone, or you can do the reverse.
15	So it's just as an example of how you can
16	manipulate the description and use that to
17	either inflate or deflate the value of these
18	goods. So you can take a used phone, you can
19	sell it as new. You can take a new phone or
20	shipments of phones and sell them as used. I
21	mentioned the memory size manipulation. You can
22	recycle shipments, so if you're a launderer you
23	don't have to go out and constantly be seeking
24	new stocks of phones. If your only intention is
25	to launder you can just have the phones cycling,

1

the same shipment of phones cycling around the 2 earth and just declared as a different set of 3 phones with each subsequent trade transaction. 4 So with very limited inventory costs to the criminal network you can achieve maximum 5 6 laundering potential on laundering value. 7 What we've seen in Canada is mobile phones being quite attractive, so we're seeing 8 9 inventories that are being acquired by networks of domestic straw buyers. So a criminal 10 11 network, a professional money launderer will recruit individuals to go out and purchase 12 1.3 inventories of Smartphones using their personal 14 credit cards and in certain cases the personal 15 credit cards are being reimbursed with proceeds 16 of crime. The phones are then taken and they're 17 exported out of the country and helping to 18 complete the laundering cycle. I want to 19 emphasize that this is very much a global 20 problem. It's well known to many governments around the world as a method as well as to 21 22 financial institutions around the world, and 23 thankfully because of the awareness we do 24 receive quite a bit of information about this 25 particular commodity and it helps us to build up

1	a picture of what may be happening.
2	MS. LATIMER: Mr. Commissioner, Mr. Gibbons, if
3	you're finished with this slide, then I was just
4	going to suggest, Mr. Commissioner, just for the
5	comfort of the panelists if we could just take a
6	short break. I believe you're on mute.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry. That took me a little
8	longer than it should have. I was on mute.
9	Yes, I think that's a good idea. Why don't we
10	take ten minutes.
11	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is adjourned for a
12	ten-minute recess until 12:53 p.m. Please mute
13	your mic and turn off your video. Thank you.
14	(WITNESSES STOOD DOWN)
15	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 12:43 P.M.)
16	(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 12:52 P.M.)
17	THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing
18	is now resumed. Mr. Commissioner.
19	BRYANNA GATELEY, a
20	witness for the
21	commission, recalled.
22	JOEL GIBBONS, a witness
23	
20	for the commission,
24	for the commission, recalled.

Bryanna Gateley (for the commission) Joel Gibbons (for the commission) Sushile Sharma (for the commission) Discussion re scheduling

1	witness for the
2	commission, recalled.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you, Madam Registrar.
4	MR. McGOWAN: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. I'm just
5	looking at the time and noting that we lost an
6	hour today. It doesn't appear that we're going
7	to conclude by 1:30. Commission counsel are
8	certainly prepared to carry on and continue with
9	the witnesses after 1:30, but I thought it might
10	be appropriate to canvass your availability and
11	the witnesses' availability.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, that's fine. I'm certainly
13	available, Mr. McGowan, but we should make sure
14	that each of the witnesses, some of whom may be
15	on a different time frame, I'm not sure of that,
16	are available and counsel. Are there any
17	difficulties with any of the three panel
18	members, Mr. Gibbons, Ms. Gateley or Staff
19	Sergeant Sharma, if we were to carry on beyond
20	1:30?
21	THE WITNESS: (JG) Mr. Commissioner, sir, I would be
22	comfortable and able to continue to testify as
23	late as 2:30 Vancouver time. I'm in Ottawa with
24	the three-hour time difference. I could
25	continue if additional time is needed beyond

Bryanna Gateley (for the commission) Joel Gibbons (for the commission) Sushile Sharma (for the commission) Discussion re scheduling

25

that, but I would just ask if possible to take a 1 2 break at around 2:30 just for some personal 3 commitments for 20 to 30 minutes. 4 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Ms. Gateley. THE WITNESS: (BG) Hi there. Yes, I'm available for 5 6 as long as need be. 7 THE COMMISSIONER: And Staff Sergeant Sharma. 8 THE WITNESS: (SS) Mr. Commissioner, panel, I have no 9 issues or concerns. I'm very flexible. 10 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. Any of 11 counsel have difficulty? I think, Mr. Gratl, 12 you're are the only one scheduled to be 1.3 cross-examining. Is that problematic for you? 14 MR. GRATL: No, I have no difficulty with that. 15 Thank you. 16 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. And if 17 anyone else has a difficulty with that, just 18 please let us know. All right. Ms. Latimer, I 19 expect we'll be able to finish by approximately 20 2:30; is that fair? 21 MS. LATIMER: I will do my very best, 22 Mr. Commissioner. I hate to be pinned down like 23 that. I'll do my very best. THE COMMISSIONER: No, I'm not actually trying to pin 24

you down too much. Your questions so far

25

1 haven't been very lengthy. All right. Thank 2 you. 3 EXAMINATION BY MS. LATIMER (continuing): 4 I think when we left off you had just finished Q with this slide, Mr. Gibbons. So I'll invite 5 you to carry on to the next one if that's where 6 7 you are in your presentation? 8 (JG) It is indeed. We can move on to the next 9 slide, thank you. Thank you. So I finished 10 talk about mobile phones. I'll just quickly move on to the agrifood sector. When we're 11 talking about agrifoods, again some 12 1.3 commonalities with the other commodities that 14 we've talked about. Agrifoods are a typical 15 export from Canada. They are typically easy to 16 sell. They have those variable price ranges 17 that can be attractive to launderers. They 18 typically have guite high demand and service 19 markets around the world, which can be 20 convenient depending on where launderers are 21 seeking to move value, to move laundered funds. 22 Again the descriptions and the weights of these 23 types of goods can be very easily manipulated to 24 adjust values. For example if we're talking

about meat, well, is it a cheap cut of meat, is

25

it offal, is it even truly rotten food that's 1 2 described as fresh or a very cheap cut described 3 as a much higher cut of meat or vice versa, just 4 to give you a sense of how easy it can be to manipulate descriptions. 5 Another key factor behind agrifoods is that 6 7 they are subject to spoilage if they are not 8 transported appropriately and in a timely 9 manner, and that makes it very difficult for a customs service to examine at the border and 10 11 makes it very attractive, therefore, as a commodity to use in a laundering scheme. 12 1.3 FINTRAC has provided reporting that's revealed a 14 number of instances where this commodity appears 15 to be featuring in our assessment as part of 16 black market peso exchange schemes, which I talked about earlier. And I believe that 17 18 FINTRAC shares that judgment; that is my 19 understanding. So what has been observed in 20 some of the financial intelligence is that the 21 entities that are involved in the schemes are 22 receiving payments for these goods from 23 unrelated third parties, which we talked about 24 earlier. The trade is being settled through

these third parties. What is also notable is

25

1	that a lot of the goods themselves are being
2	shipped to oftentimes drug source countries, so
3	can be conducive to the movement of contraband
4	and narcotics as well as a mechanism or a
5	vehicle for laundering itself. And so the
6	example that I would offer at a very high level
7	stemming from that suspicious transaction report
8	that we looked at earlier in the presentation
9	where your Colombian client is paying the
10	Canadian exporter through a general trading
11	company in the Emirates, and your Canadian
12	exporter in this case didn't question so a
13	bank may have posed questions to their client,
14	but the Canadian exporter did not question the
15	payment method, so in a certain number of these
16	cases we have to ask ourselves whether there's
17	an amount of willful blindness on the part of
18	Canadian import/export companies when some of
19	these TBML typologies and red flags are making
20	themselves known.
21	We can move on to slide 19 now. I'll pass
22	the floor to my colleague Sushile.
23	(SS) Thank you, Joel. I appreciate that.
24	Mr. Commissioner, panel, the next three slides

really kind of turn our minds towards the

1

2 how global the commodity exchange is. So we'll 3 go from general to specific over the next three 4 slides, but this slide in particular, although the slide is titled "Canadian Schemes: Cars" 5 6 what this actually is is just sort of a topical 7 overview of the United States investigation. In 8 fact it was the United States Drug Enforcement 9 administration investigation and the graphics 10 are provided by FinCEN, which as you may or may not know FinCEN is an arm of the United States 11 Department of Treasuries and it's their 12 1.3 Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, shortened 14 title of FinCEN, and they provide intelligence 15 as well as operational alerts not just to the Americas but to their four law enforcement 16 17 agency partners, of which we are one. 18 So what this is is a graphic that explains 19 movement from North America to Africa of goods, movement from South America of narcotics, the

enforcement aspect as well as to a view towards

movement from South America of narcotics, the
exchange of goods and commodities in Africa, the
transfer of drugs from Africa to Europe and from
Europe over to North America. But as you see on
far right there, the side bar issue is how that
money is moved between different financial

1	institutions in Central Asia, Asia and then
2	eventually repatriated back to North America.
3	At any point it is a loop and money and capital
4	can be taken out and either reinvested into the
5	cycle or it's taken out ostensibly to be used as
6	a profit for the bad actors. But I'll just go
7	into a little bit more detail here to explain
8	the first just in terms of explanation here. So
9	this graphic really is part of the United States
10	Drug Enforcement, DEA's exposure of a massive
11	money laundering scheme operated by Hezbollah
12	for major drug cartels in South America. The
13	scheme involved Lebanese banks wiring money to
14	the United States for the purchase of used cars.
15	These were transported to West Africa, which is
16	known as a springboard location for the delivery
17	of European-bound drug shipments and sold for
18	cash. The cash from the used cars was mixed
19	with drug proceeds and laundered using from
20	their investigation and from the details there,
21	from using Hezbollah-controlled hawalas. Now,
22	I'm sure the panel has either heard of hawalas
23	before and my colleague Joel mentioned it a few
24	slides ago. It's really an informal method of
25	transferring money without any physical money

25

actually moving. It's defined as money transfer 1 2 without money movement, basically on a principle 3 of trust. A pot of money in one geographical 4 location, a pot of money in another location, and at some point if there's any restitution or 5 6 settlements that need to occur then some sort of 7 value transfer would be required, and we talked about it a little bit, a few slides about how 8 9 that value transfer can occur by either 10 exporting goods out or importing goods in, whichever way those accounts need to be settled. 11 12 Just coming back to the graphic there and 1.3 explanation. We left off at the drug proceeds 14 being laundered using Hezbollah controlled 15 hawalas and exchange houses. From here the 16 money was deposited into accounts at Lebanese 17 Canadian banks, the branches in Lebanon, which 18 has strong links with the Hezbollah. A portion 19 of the funds that were deposited into these bank 20 accounts were then wired back to the US to 21 continue the trade of used cars to West Africa, 22 and this all sustained the convoluted money 23 laundering loop. 24 So as you can see, there's a number of

things happening here from this graphic, this

25

1	slide. We're talking about drug trade. We're
2	talking about the movement of vehicles from
3	North America to Africa. We're then talking
4	about the purchase of drugs on the continent of
5	Africa and then the movement of those drugs into
6	Europe. And as we'll see in the next couple of
7	slides when I give a more specific example of
8	this from a Canadian perspective, the one that I
9	have experiential knowledge of having handled an
10	investigation of that kind, the movement of
11	those drugs back into North America is yet
12	another arm of that. So unless there's any
13	questions about that, this slide was just to
14	show the panel and Mr. Commissioner that this is
15	a very global and integrated sort of a model of
16	criminality. There's a number of things
17	happening at the same time in addition to the
18	layering aspect which you can see on the far
19	right there, which makes the matter even more
20	convoluted for our investigators as well as
21	regulatory agencies to detect. I'll just pause
22	there for a moment if there's any questions for
23	the panel.
24	Okay. Thank you. We can move on to the

next slide, please. Okay. So this next slide

1

is titled "Tangled Web," and it's the first of 2 two. And in this slide what's happening here is 3 an example of an investigation that I can speak 4 to as being the primary investigator of, and it does share some nuances of what we talked about 5 6 in the previous slide. So the takeaway from 7 this slide and the next one is, what I discussed 8 in the previous slide that we talked about, the 9 Hezbollah and the drugs moved from South America into Asia and Africa, is that there are a number 10 of different criminal actions occurring here at 11 the same time that we were alerted to and then 12 1.3 started to investigate. Makes for a very 14 complicated scheme that investigators have to 15 unravel and the next extrapolation from that is 16 a convoluted criminal enterprise is one thing 17 but attributing knowledge and control to an 18 entity or a number of entities is the next step, 19 and then knowledge and control of the assets is the tertiary aspect of it, and all it points 20 21 towards a very complicated endeavour. So in 22 unpacking this slide what I'm going to talk 23 about is first you'll see that there is a green 24 arrow that originates from the Lower Mainland 25 here in Vancouver and goes towards East Africa,

25

1	primarily to the region of Tanzania. And what's
2	happening here is something that we were not
3	initially when I'm saying "we" I'm talking
4	about the RCMP having conduct of an
5	investigation that spanned a number of years.
6	Initially we were alerted to a group that was
7	engaged in drug trafficking activity. So our
8	first point of reference was a group of
9	individuals that was ostensibly dealing in drugs
10	in the Lower Mainland and so we started to
11	initiate our investigative actions through
12	routine investigative techniques. What we saw
13	was that this group was not so much engaging in
14	typical drug trafficking behaviour such as, you
15	know, street level trafficking. We saw them
16	actually not do too much of that and a lot of
17	gathering vehicles, electronics, commodities and
18	putting them into sea containers, loading them
19	up from areas on the Lower Mainland and then
20	finding out through some investigative
21	techniques that we employed that those sea
22	containers full of vehicles and commodities were
23	leaving Canada and destined for East Africa.
24	Tanzania, Nigeria, et cetera.

As we were investigating our primary CBSA

1	investigation, employment of other investigative
2	techniques made a case, primarily intelligence
3	analysis, and our ADOs, analysts deployed
4	overseas, alerted us to the fact that what was
5	happening in Africa was the commodities were
6	being offloaded, sold locally and why that's
7	unique is because while we would consider no
8	longer high value items older vehicles it
9	could be luxury vehicles; they could be, you
10	know, your regular factory stock vehicles that
11	have just five to ten years old while they
12	may not hold their value in the North American
13	market they certainly do hold a value in that
14	part of the world because of the principle of
15	scarcity. So we have a the principle of
16	scarcity meaning there's not many of those types
17	of vehicles over there. We could be talking
18	about used BMW, Mercedes, luxury vehicles, and
19	over there because of the principle of scarcity
20	they still hold quite a significant value. So
21	these items were being sold in Africa and these
22	same bad actors with ties that we were able to
23	connect from various sources of intelligence and
24	information from our analysts deployed overseas
25	as well as from law enforcement agencies that

1

25

2 bad actors had associates that were working in 3 that part of the world in East Africa and these 4 items were being sold, and some of the profits were being used to purchase kilo level heroin on 5 6 the east coast of Africa. Why is that 7 important? That comes into play because it's just good business. Kilo level heroin purchased 8 9 off the east coast of Africa can run anywhere 10 from 15- to \$18,000 per kilo, whereas the kilo level price of heroin in North America would be 11 anywhere from 55- to 70-, sometimes even \$80,000 12 1.3 per kilo. So from a business perspective if you 14 are in the business of drug trafficking it makes 15 a lot of sense to purchase your heroin off the 16 east coast of Africa and then transport it as 17 need be for the purposes of your drug 18 trafficking operation. 19 So what's happening from that green arrow in 20 Africa and then to the red arrow where we took 21 some of the profits out of the vehicle sales and 22 then translated those into the purchase of 23 heroin, was that same group was now also 24 employing employees, I'll call them. In drug

trafficking jargon they're referred to as mules.

were communicating with us, we found that these

1.3

These people were hired specifically for the purpose in Canada to travel to Africa and then transport the drugs on their person in their luggage and over into Europe. Quick stop in Europe and then from Europe over into North America back towards Lower Mainland where they were met by other agents of the same criminal organization and the drugs were then handed over to be packaged, processed for street level drug trade.

North America to Africa, the translation of some of those commodities into the drug trade, the drug trade coming over into Europe and then into North America, particularly back to the Lower Mainland, and that completed one aspect of the loop. While all this was happening and we were still investigating our main CSA investigation but now being surprised by the fact that these vehicles were being offloaded and some of these sales were being used to purchase heroin, we were alerted to the fact that our same bad actors or same criminal organization, especially the ones that were primarily operating out of the Lower Mainland, were also involved in an

1		extensive mass marketing fraud type of a ring
2		that was communicating with different satellite
3		agencies throughout the major metropolitan hubs
4		of Canada. So we're talking Vancouver, Toronto,
5		Montreal, but they also had connections and
6		communications with the other major hubs in
7		North America, specifically New York, Boston,
8		Houston, St. Louis, Chicago and a number of
9		other cities. So all this was part and parcel
10		of an understanding that this investigation was
11		using a number of different criminal
12		initiatives, heroin trafficking, as well as mass
13		marketing fraud, and superimposed on top of that
14		was this value transfer of commodities that the
15		same group was collecting and then transporting
16		to Africa and then using some of the funds to
17		either invest back into the local economy in
18		Africa or to purchase heroin. So I'll just stop
19		right there. I know that was a lot to unpack.
20		Are there any questions for the panel before I
21		move on the next slide?
22	Q	This was an investigation that you had carriage
23		of; is that correct?
24	А	(SS) That's correct.

Did this investigation result in money

25

1		laundering charges?
2	А	(SS) No. The short answer is no, but I can
3		qualify that by saying that the next slide, I'll
4		also delve into the fact that a number of issues
5		came up in this file and some of the similar
6		files have come up since that time that have
7		prevented the investigators from attributing
8		knowledge and control of the assets or the money
9		to those bad actors. So while we were able to
10		have tangible evidence, whether through
11		surveillance or whether through sensitive
12		investigative techniques, we were able to
13		attribute that direct knowledge and control of
14		their handling of the drugs, the trafficking and
15		even sometimes with the mass marketing fraud
16		initiatives we were not able to attribute the
17		knowledge and control of their handling of the
18		money and how it moved through bank accounts
19		because of the different levels of layering that
20		occurred. As well as the difficulties, I'm
21		going to call them geopolitical for lack of a
22		better term, the distance between the recency of
23		the information that we were receiving from
24		overseas and be able to act upon it as well as
25		being able to confirm, corroborate it, find that

the information was credible and then dealing 1 2 with the time delays of foreign law enforcement 3 agencies being able to receive that info and send it to us and other instances we just didn't 4 get that information because of geopolitical 5 6 issues. To wit, sometimes there were bad actors 7 directly employed with the authorities and there 8 were some issues of corruption. So a bit of a 9 long-winded answer, but the short answer was no, 10 we could not attribute any money laundering connectivity, especially with the issue of 11 knowledge and control. So if there's no further 12 1.3 questions on that slide, I'll move on to the 14 next slide, which is "Tangled Web: 2." 15 So here I'm just going to go into a little 16 bit more detail. I kind of alluded to some of 17 this already. I'm going to give the entity, the 18 primary bad actor, the suspect involved, the 19 monicker of X. So if you look at symbol 20 number 1 and I'll cycle all the way through to 21 the five stages here. X was observed on 22 surveillance shipping used cars/furniture, 23 electronics into sea containers destined for 24 Africa. So this came as a bit of a surprise to 25 us and this will come in basically in the

1	oversaw context here of as investigators we were
2	not alive to the issue from 2014 onwards to
3	about 2017 as to what was occurring here. Our
4	perspective bias, if you can call it that, as
5	investigators was geared towards behaviour that
6	we thought would be indicative of drug
7	trafficking, so we were looking at behaviours
8	that that we thought would support our
9	investigative hypothesis of clandestine leaks
10	and everything else that would be part and
11	parcel of a, quote/unquote, normal drug
12	investigation. So when we observed this
13	individual collecting vehicles, electronics,
14	furniture and loading sea containers up in the
15	Lower Mainland, we were initially dismissive of
16	that as a side legitimate business. We came to
17	the investigative hypothesis that perhaps their
18	legitimate business was as mechanics, as
19	collectors of used goods and shippers of these
20	items back to different areas, especially
21	Tanzania and Nigeria. They were of East African
22	origin, so it seems as if it was a legitimate
23	business. So while we focused on our main drug
24	trafficking investigation we were initially
25	dismissive of that anomaly sort of observation

1	that we saw. It was only after a few months and
2	through intelligence as well as analysts
3	deployed overseas and communications with law
4	enforcement agency partners in that part of the
5	world that we were able to attribute some sort
6	of confirmation and credibility to the fact that
7	there was more going on here, especially when we
8	attributed the nexus of those goods now being
9	sold. I'm talking about the vehicles and
10	furniture being sold in East Africa for capital
11	and that same capital being invested into
12	purchase of heroin and sometimes back invested
13	into the local economy there in East Africa,
14	that we thought now we have an investigation
15	that is linked to the predicate offence of CBSA
16	but just something that we initially were
17	dismissive of because it didn't fall within our
18	perspective bias of what would be normal for a
19	drug trafficking investigation. So we move into
20	part 2. And I talked about this in the previous
21	slide. The goods were sold in Africa and for a
22	considerable profit, but the profit was either
23	used to provide capital infusion locally or to
24	purchase heroin off the east coast of Africa.
25	It was considerably cheaper rates per kilo

23

24

25

there, as I described earlier, about \$17,000 per 1 2 kilo versus the amplified and more expensive 3 rate of anywhere between 55- to 70-, sometimes even \$80,000 per kilo if you're buying it in 4 bulk off the coast of North American from 5 6 whatever clandestine sort of shipment or 7 trafficking mechanism made its way over here. So now we're talking about the movement of 8 9 those funds and those -- sorry, those commodities into funds that we used to purchase 10 heroin move on to phase number 3. Our X's 11 associates used female drug mules to transport 12 1.3 heroin from Africa to Canada via flights 14 transiting through Europe, and then over to 15 point number 4 where X received the drug mules 16 themselves or members of its close-knit crime 17 group and then was able to receive the narcotics 18 and specifically the heroin, and then there was 19 those packages of heroin offloaded into stash 20 houses and then trafficked in the local street 21 trafficking economy. 22 So as this was happening, that was one

complete loop, none of this existed in silo.

Coincidentally we were contacted by a foreign

law enforcement agency. We were able to say

through deconfliction that we had similar 1 2 targets and what ended up happening was that we 3 associated X as well with his named associates 4 that were dealing with the heroin trafficking as well as the movement of goods here from the 5 6 Lower Mainland into Africa with a very, very, 7 very sophisticated and far reaching mass 8 marketing fraud ring operation extending from 9 the west coast from Los Angeles to the midwest as well as the eastern seaboard of America. 10 11 Those funds were making their way from America into the hands of X and his associates and those 12 1.3 same funds were believed to be used to 14 accumulate more goods, vehicles, furniture and 15 then continue that loop, sustaining that cycle 16 of goods as well as the value transfer from 17 Canada, Africa, purchase of drugs back over into North America. 18 19 So the takeaway, I just reiterate here, is that there was a number of different criminal 20 21 initiatives happening and the difficulty that we 22 had as an investigator was unravelling that for 23 the first instance from our initial perspective 24 bias and then with the geopolitical factors 25 involved with the attributing knowledge and

1		control to the money movement and the transfer
2		of that, we did know that the we did have a
3		closed loop, so to speak, of the goods. I'm
4		talking about the vehicles moved from point A
5		Canada to point B Africa, but what happened with
6		the money aside from the drugs being purchased
7		and where they moved, we were ostensibly removed
8		from that and the intelligence just was not
9		timely enough for us to act upon it in any
10		fashion. The information by the time we
11		received it, just the recency aspect wasn't
12		there. The credibility of it sometimes was an
13		issue, and it was not compelling enough. By the
14		time that info got to the investigators it
15		simply wasn't compelling enough for us to
16		attribute knowledge and control. So I'll stop
17		there.
18	Q	Sorry, so is this another example of an
19		investigation that did not result in a money
20		laundering charge?
21	A	(SS) That's correct.
22	Q	It didn't result in a money laundering charge in
23		Canada. You noted that it was tied to this
24		other illicit activity in other countries. Are
25		you aware whether it resulted in a money

25

laundering charge in any of those other 1 2 jurisdictions? 3 Α (SS) No, it has not. There are still aspects of 4 that that are continuing, but as far as I can tell at this point there is not any attribution 5 6 of money laundering charges at this point for 7 the same reasons, the geopolitical issues, 8 recency, the ability to confirm and corroborate that bad actors' direct involvement as a 9 recipient were having active control over those 10 11 assets. I want to make sure I understand the 12 0 1.3 geopolitical issues. The last tangled web we 14 were looking at you mentioned one of the issues 15 was that there was corruption in law enforcement 16 in some of these other jurisdictions, or that's 17 what I understood you to say. Was that the 18 geopolitical issue here as well, or was it 19 something else? 20 (SS) Yes, it was very similar. Yes, it was very Α similar facts. 21 22 Q Corruption? 23 (SS) As one part of it, not wholly but as one of Α 24 the constellation of factors that resulted in us

not being able to communicate effectively with

- the law enforcement agency partners in that part
- of the world. I'm referring to east Africa.
- 3 Q Okay. Thank you.

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 A (SS) And if you have no further questions we'll on move on to the next slide.

on move on to the next slide.

(JG) Thanks, Sushile. I'll pick things up

now, and we're going to turn our attention to some emerging issues. And when I say "emerging issues" I think what we're really talking about here are some emerging capability gaps that are coming to light as we pay more attention as a country to trade-based money laundering. So we

transactions. And we talked about how they can

talked earlier about those open account wire

be very, very difficult to actually reconcile

against the movement of goods, the import or the

export of goods and that's what often makes that

financing mechanism so easy to abuse for

[indiscernible] against money laundering. So

recall that banks don't see when settlements are

by open account and when wire transfers are

being used they're not seeing sales documents,

they're not seeing shipping invoices, they're

not seeing any form of customs documentation.

It's a bit of a different story when we talk

1	about trade finance where a bank is actually
2	extending credit, some kind of financial service
3	to facilitate trade because that's when the bank
4	actually has, for lack of a better word, skin in
5	the game and there's due diligence and know your
6	customer obligations that come into that. With
7	wire transfers it's very transactional and it's
8	making a small amount of money to facilitate the
9	movement of funds. And recall that customs
10	authorities, most customs authorities don't see
11	that wire transfer information or most sales or
12	shipping invoices unless there's reason to
13	request them because of some kind of suspicion
14	of non-compliance with regulations or
15	legislation. One of the ways that our US
16	counterparts have tackled this challenge is
17	through leveraging wire fraud offences under the
18	US code. And so the wire fraud that's taking
19	place in a lot of these circumstances, recall
20	the phantom shipment scenario where money is
21	flowing but no goods are moving. It's all under
22	the pretense of trade that never actually takes
23	place. Our US counterparts can leverage wire
24	fraud offences in a circumstance like that
25	creating that necessary criminal predicate

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's often absent or difficult to determine, 1 2 especially when we're talking about professional 3 money launderers. And we talked earlier, you 4 recall, that professor money launderers by and large are not engaged in most predicate crimes. 5 6 So this is an avenue of attack that US 7 authorities have and we don't have those same abilities here in Canada. 8

> Carrying on, so if we move to the next slide, I'd like to highlight some additional capability gaps that my colleagues in the RCMP and FINTRAC and within the Canada Border Services Agency have observed again as we're paying more attention and coming to develop and enhance our knowledge around trade-based money laundering schemes. So again using our US counterparts as an example, in the US there are structuring offences so that do not exist in Canada, and what I mean by that is that Canadian businesses are not required to report cash payments over \$10,000. I'm sure this may have come up at some point during the commission's efforts. In the US that requirement is in place and that acts as a control that can help to prevent or at the very least provides an

1	additional source of information that can be
2	leveraged for the purposes of a trade-based
3	money laundering investigation. So another
4	capability that we are lacking in Canada here.
5	Another gap that I'll mention, and I hinted at
6	this in the previous slide when I talked about
7	payments settled on open account terms versus
8	trade financing. Our colleagues at FINTRAC in
9	the course of their work and as authorized under
10	the PCMLTFA have the ability to access financial
11	transactions pertaining to international
12	electronic funds transfers or wire transfers as
13	they're colloquially known. The same is not
14	true when we're talking about trade transactions
15	that are settled through not settled through
16	open account where there's usually some kind of
17	trade finance product that's involved like a
18	letter of credit. So wire transfer information
19	is available within the FINTRAC domain and that
20	information can be made available to law
21	enforcement partners, FINTRAC's law enforcement
22	partners, when that disclosure threshold is met.
23	In the CBSA's case I mentioned the suspicion of
24	money laundering plus three specific provisions
25	that are unique to our mandate as the border

1	agency. Same cannot be said for trade finance
2	because FINTRAC doesn't have access to that
3	information. That's not to say that FINTRAC
4	doesn't have any insights or views into the
5	world of trade finance, which is a distinct,
6	very distinct entity within financial
7	institutions. There is still the obligation of
8	trade finance units at Canadian and
9	international banks. I'll only speak to the
10	Canadian context because it's the one that I'm
11	familiar with. Trade finance arms do have that
12	obligation through the course of their due
13	diligence activities and their know your
14	customer requirement that if they develop
15	grounds to suspect potential money laundering to
16	file what is typically known internally to banks
17	as unusual transaction reports. So these are
18	the reports that are written internally by one
19	of the arms of a bank, in this case the trade
20	finance arm of a bank, to flag to the anti-money
21	laundering division of a bank that there may be
22	concerns about a particular transaction, group
23	of transactions or around a client. And we
24	do as a government FINTRAC does receive
25	suspicious transaction reports that do originate

1	from trade finance arms pointing out
2	irregularities in trade financing arrangements
3	that could be indicative of trade-based money
4	laundering. And that's useful and that's very
5	helpful. It does require officers within in
6	this case the trade financing arm to have a lot
7	of knowledge about trade-based money laundering.
8	I think that's an area that we are actively
9	exploring with our private sector counterparts
10	and looking to build up. I think there's a lot
11	of pre-existing knowledge. We're moving into a
12	space now where we're trying to exchange with
13	them a little bit more in terms of typologies in
14	terms of how we're viewing trade-based money
15	laundering just to make sure, you know, that
16	those unusual transaction reports when anomalies
17	are detected are being filed. But not to say
18	it's not happening; it is happening. What we're
19	lacking, though, is the underlying financial
20	information that we would typically get. When
21	it's a wire transfer we would receive suspicious
22	transaction reports from FINTRAC for entities
23	that are of concern to us, but we would also
24	receive the underlying financial transactions
25	that are associated with those entities, so not

1		just what's suspicious but a pattern of all of
2		the wire transfers over the period of time that
3		we've requested. And what that gives us a
4		really good sense of is it helps us further
5		identify indicators of trade-based money
6		laundering, and that's what absent in the case
7		of trade financing here. We can't do the
8		equivalent. So still getting those suspicious
9		transaction reports but missing some of that
10		information that gives us a sense of the real
11		pattern of activity of some of the entities we
12		may be looking at if they are using trade
13		finance vehicles to finance their shipments of
14		goods.
15	Q	When you say
16	A	(JG) Yes.
17	Q	Sorry, when you say you can't do the equivalent
18		that's because do I understand you correctly
19		that's because FINTRAC does not have the
20		legislative authority to collect that
21		transaction information linked to trade
22		financing?
23	А	(JG) That is my understanding.
24	Q	And is that a significant gap to your

understanding?

25

1	А	(JG) Well, we're still receiving, like I said,
2		we still are receiving those suspicious
3		transaction reports. I think we've noticed that
4		it could be somewhat of a gap, as I've said, in
5		the sense of determining patterns of activity
6		surrounding those entities, being able to
7		potentially identify additional indicators over
8		and above any suspicious transaction reports
9		that have been filed. Like, using our own
10		unique knowledge and experience. So to that
11		extent from my perspective and based on my
12		experience that is somewhat of a gap, but in the
13		absence of that what we've recognized is that
14		there is that need for more proactive and back
15		and forth communication with our colleagues in
16		the financial sector, including in the trade
17		finance divisions of banks, and we are taking
18		steps to make sure that we're communicating and
19		beginning to speak about trade-based money
20		laundering and what mechanisms there may be for
21		knowledge sharing and collaboration.
22		So just a few other capability gaps that I
23		wanted to mention. Traders, and what I mean by

traders, not a formal term but really just

anyone who facilitates, as I say in the slide,

24

25

1	the exchange of goods across national borders,
2	so it could be an importer, an exporter, a
3	customs broker, a freight forwarder. They don't
4	have any obligations as our colleagues in the
5	financial world and financial institutions do to
6	file suspicious transaction reports. So if they
7	are entertaining a trade transaction, if they
8	are a freight forwarder who notices some
9	suspicious patterns in good transactions that
10	they're facilitating, they're making logistical
11	arrangements to move goods and they notice
12	irregularities, there isn't necessarily a portal
13	into the Government of Canada, a formal and
14	established mechanism to provide that
15	information, but there's also no regulatory
16	obligation for them to do that. Again, compare
17	and contrast against the financial institutions
18	which have quite extensive obligations in that
19	regard, regulatory obligations in that regard.
20	And that leads to a bit of a gap from our
21	perspective because it would be of tremendous
22	value to an organization like mine and to my
23	FINTRAC and RCMP colleagues and CRA to be able
24	to learn from the trading community and to have
25	them be able to provide us with their unique

knowledge and insights and to be able to flag to 1 2 us based on their own experiences they're 3 observing transactions that could be suspicious. 4 Again I think one of the ways we're trying to overcome that is developing, starting to 5 6 contemplate now some more targeted outreach to 7 specific sectors within trade chains, freight forwarding communities, customs brokerages and 8 9 so forth. So that will be a big part of the continuing work that our community within the 10 Government of Canada will be obligated to do on 11 a continual basis over time. 12 1.3 Lastly, I just wanted to flag that -- and 14 it's really a bit of a repetition of what I 15 mentioned before that FINTRAC doesn't collect 16 all of the necessary data [indiscernible] any international wire transfers that are under that 17 \$10,000 threshold FINTRAC doesn't necessarily 18 19 receive unless it's been flagged in a suspicious 20 transaction report. Similarly domestic wire 21 transfers, so where there isn't that transborder 22 dimension, again unless they are noted in a 23 bank's suspicious transactions reports to 24 FINTRAC. And last but not least transactions 25 that may be brokered by lawyers. So those are

25

some of the information and capability gaps that 1 2 we're coming to learn as we increasingly focus 3 our attention on the problem of trade-based 4 money laundering. I just wanted to return to the earlier slide and 5 Q you had mentioned that basically that there was 6 7 no customs information available within a wire 8 transfer. I'm just wondering do you know why 9 that isn't mandated, some sort of customs reference to be embedded within that wire 10 transfer remittance. Would that --11 (JG) I'm sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off. I 12 Α 1.3 don't know the answer to that question. From my 14 experience what we observe with wire transfer 15 information, the wire transfer information we 16 obtain from FINTRAC is to the extent there is 17 any references to trade -- to the trade in goods 18 it's typically contained within the remittance 19 field. So the international system that's used 20 to conduct most wire transfer activity, the 21 SWIFT system of money settlements, these wire transfers fall under the 103 series of SWIFT 22 23 messages, and in those 103 messages there is 24 that remittance field that's sort of an open

text field that allows for some information to

1		be entered and oftentimes we'll see blank feeds,
2		so there's nothing in those fields. Other times
3		what we'll see are cryptic references that we
4		can't really parse out. They're just numbers
5		that are not familiar to us. Could be bank
6		account numbers or some other form of numbering.
7		Other times what we'll see are references to,
8		you know, settling sales invoices. But that's
9		really the limits of what we see. And why that
10		is that there aren't any mandatory obligations
11		there to make a link to an actual movement of
12		goods to a customs declaration or to a bill of
13		lading, I cannot answer.
14	Q	But I guess if that was a mandatory field that
15		would fill that gap; is that fair?
16	А	(JG) It would be an effective tool for us to use
17		in our efforts, yes.
18		If there's no other questions about that
19		slide we can move on now to slide 24.
20		(BG) I'll pick up here. On this slide this
21		slide talks about key operational challenges and
22		it's a little bit of a change to what we've been
23		chatting about but still on the topic of
24		challenges, looking at the intelligence aspect
25		and then the investigative aspect and then

1	moving on to the prosecutorial aspect of a
2	potential TBML investigation.
3	So some of these challenges essentially due
4	to the sheer volume of trade transactions and
5	the impossibility of checking every single
6	transaction, it's relatively easy for TBML to
7	hide in plain sight. We're looking for a
8	particular trade transaction or a small number
9	of trade transactions that might flesh out a
10	larger scheme, and it really is, it's been
11	described in academia as looking for a needle in
12	a stack of needles just due to the sheer size of
13	trade. Compounding this is how complex foreign
14	exchange transactions can be and layered and
15	involve different countries and different
16	entities, different jurisdictions that are both
17	cooperative and non-cooperative. Also
18	opportunities to commingle illicit funds with
19	legitimate business transactions. Adding to the
20	challenge is difficulty in getting information
21	from your traditional kind of non-cooperative
22	jurisdictions or those with very differing
23	governance or legal regimes from ours. And the
24	fact that neither of the good nor the associated
25	trade document in and of itself might appear

1	suspicious. It's only when its examined
2	together or in conjunction with other data that
3	an otherwise innocuous shipment appears
4	suspicious.
5	Additional challenges are that, as you would
6	expect, the trade system is very opaque. It's
7	often paper based. There's very long supply
8	chains where you see various documents,
9	including manifests, bills of lading, invoices
10	moving around with the shipment and being
11	processed by various entities, including ports,
12	customs authorities, banks. Though trade data
13	might be collected, the information needed can
14	be buried within multiple databases that's
15	really not readily available to analyze or it's
16	not in a format that can be analyzed, especially
17	if it's paper based. Or the trade data arrives
18	just before or even after the product has been
19	delivered, so it's as my colleague Sushile has
20	mentioned, it's kind of a day late and a dollar
21	short. It's difficult to ascertain what
22	actually happened because it's after the fact
23	and verify what happened. Or additional
24	challenges are software to analyze aggregate
25	data might not be compatible between agencies,

1	so it's a puzzle piece that we have that needs
2	to be shared amongst agencies so that we can
3	build this larger puzzle of what the scheme is
4	and who's involved. But if our basic software
5	systems aren't compatible to be able to analyze
6	that across various platforms that various
7	agencies have that creates a bit of an issue and
8	an information silo. So essentially the upshot
9	here is that we're missing a lot of these
10	foundational pieces that are really needed to
11	build the picture of what our TBML scheme is and
12	who the threat actor is involved, in that
13	information sharing at the domestic and
14	international level is typically very ad hoc,
15	case by case based, very target specific and
16	very manual. So this can make it very difficult
17	to take a macro look or step back as an analyst
18	and extrapolate broader trends, indicators or
19	determine the scope or the true scope of the
20	issue. So those are some of the challenges from
21	an intelligence analysis perspective when we're
22	looking to put together our TBML investigation.
23	I'll turn it over now to my colleague here Sushile
24	who will touch on the investigative and
25	prosecutorial aspects.

1	Q	Thanks. Just before you do that, I have a
2		question. I just want to make sure I understand
3		what you've said about the difficulty with the
4		data collection. I think I understood your
5		point about why it's difficult with your
6		international partners, but I mean within
7		Canadian agencies do we understand is it your
8		evidence that there is no integrated system
9		within and amongst Canadian agencies to detect,
10		deter, investigate and collect data and share it
11		in an integrated way? Is that your evidence?
12	А	(BG) An integrated system like in terms of a
13		software perspective or like a technology
14		perspective or platform that we can
15	Q	I mean a single integrated platform that these
16		agencies can access each other's data that they
17		are collecting compatible data or is each agency
18		off on its own doing its own thing?
19	А	(BG) Yeah, essentially each agency this is my
20		kind of personal opinion and individual take on
21		it, but I can put my FINTRAC hat on for a little
22		bit and then my RCMP hat. There's information
23		sharing processes in place and each agency has
24		its kind of piece of the puzzle and information
25		to provide, and that's why cooperation in this

1	area is so important, multi-agency cooperation
2	because no one agency has access to all the
3	various pieces of the puzzle. And it's probably
4	most salient when you think about FINTRAC and
5	the way that FINTRAC was set up. In some ways
6	it's I guess what you consider to be its ace in
7	the hole is that it has these international wire
8	transfers that as a reporting requirement that
9	very few countries in the world actually have,
10	but because of that FINTRAC is
11	capturing millions and millions of reports
12	within in reports on Canadian transactions that
13	really have no there's nothing that they're
14	doing that's illicit, but it's within their
15	repository so there's privacy issues there. So
16	the way that we've set up our FIU, FINTRAC and
17	our legislation supporting that is that
18	FINTRAC's financial data really has to be
19	it's held within FINTRAC, no RCMP analyst or
20	investigator can reach into it and grab it out
21	like they can in the United States. FinCEN is
22	able to provide their financial platform to law
23	enforcement and other agencies to look at their
24	financial data. But the only way for FINTRAC to
25	actually relay the information within its

1	repository is to provide a disclosure, proactive
2	or otherwise. So the information held within
3	its repository is so highly protected. So it
4	creates challenges when you want to look at the
5	various pieces that would contribute to
6	identifying a TBML scheme or a sector or
7	commodity that's vulnerable to TBML because the
8	way our system is created, especially financial
9	data must be hived off because of privacy
10	concerns. And so that information will always
11	in some ways be very case-by-case manual. We
12	might be able to share it aggregately, but it
13	would be certainly sanitized where you might not
14	have like, you know, you might have broad
15	trends but not individual names that would help
16	you to identify a threat group that's operating.
17	And there's certainly software challenges as
18	well between sharing data and information just
19	by virtue of we're all different agencies who
20	have procured different software that don't
21	necessarily speak to each other very well so
22	there's a lot of manual cleaning and data
23	manipulation that we have to do just so that we
24	can all be looking at the same pieces of the
25	puzzle in the same way essentially.

1	(JG) If I might, I'd like to just add in
2	that I would echo all of Bryanna's comments with
3	respect to the customs information that runs
4	alongside of FINTRAC information or criminal
5	intelligence being provided from the RCMP.
6	There's a reason why we don't have one
7	integrated system and that's to ensure that
8	we're only communicating about cases where at a
9	minimum we have those grounds to suspect that
10	there's money laundering taking place, and
11	that's a safeguard that's built into FINTRAC
12	legislation, RCMP legislation, CBSA legislation
13	in terms of the Customs Act to ensure that the
14	information for individuals and entities that
15	are not germane to trade-based money laundering
16	are kept protected. And, you know, there's
17	potential privacy implications under the charter
18	there and we're very mindful of that. But we do
19	have protocols in place under the information
20	sharing components of our legislation, whether
21	we're talking about the Customs Act or the
22	Proceeds of Crime (Money Laundering) and
23	Terrorist Financing Act that establish that
24	puts safeguards in place but that at the same
25	time when justified and when thresholds are met

1	allow for the right information to be provided.
2	(BG) Great. If there's no additional
3	questions there then my counterpart Sushile will
4	be able to pick up on the investigative and
5	prosecutorial challenges.
6	(SS) Thank you, Bryanna. So I'm just going
7	to pick up where Bryanna left off with the
8	second and third pillars there, the
9	investigative and prosecutorial. And some of
10	the concerns we've already alluded to in some of
11	the previous slides. So if we move to the
12	middle pillar there in that slide, and we're
13	talking about the operational challenges
14	specifically in relation to investigative
15	concerns, by this time if we haven't conveyed it
16	let me just reiterate one more time that these
17	are complex schemes that we're trying to unravel
18	as investigators and they do require subject
19	matter expertise. I believe Mr. Cassara
20	probably I think he was up on the testimony
21	schedule yesterday and having sat through some
22	of his presentations previously as well as
23	presented with him with this panel as well, I
24	know that he's talked at least for decades about
0.5	

emphasizing the fact that we're missing the

25

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

telltale signs of TBML by focusing on one half 1 2 of the problem and not on the other. And what I 3 mean by that that we're focusing on the product 4 and people but not, quote/unquote, following the goods or following the money. There needs to be 5 6 an emphasize shift towards that so we'll be able 7 to articulate the fact that how that value transfer is moving from, if I can be colloquial 8 9 for a second, from bad guy to bad guy through the use of value transfer through the trade 10 mechanism. 11

So what I'm trying to say here is that these are complex schemes. There's a lot of layering involved. We've seen it already with a number of slides we've presented here today, not just the geographical layering but also the process layering that occurs from different financial institutions and different entities handling those commodities, whether it's under-invoicing, over-invoicing, phantom shipments. Going through different financial institutions in different parts of the world in various other forms of informal value transfer as well, whether hawalas or different other remittance forms. Long story short we're simply picking up

1	on these things very recently. I'll use myself
2	and an example from that case study that I talked
3	about in slides 19, 20 and 21. I will call it
4	perspective bias and say while we were looking
5	at a drug trafficking investigation we were not
6	alive to the other horizontal initiatives, we'll
7	call them, the criminality that was occurring
8	with that same crime group. I think had we been
9	a little bit more alive to that and perhaps more
10	communicate with our law enforcement agency
11	partners as well as being able to use our
12	analysts in a more effective manner we would
13	have been perhaps better suited to unravel these
14	complex schemes and leaned upon subject matter
15	expertise such as people like Mr. Cassara who
16	have had familiarity with these sorts of models
17	for a number of years. But all that to say is
18	that we are still in the process of picking up
19	on these anomalies, learning from them and being
20	able to articulate them as part and parcel of a
21	money laundering investigation or a drug
22	trafficking investigation that has components
23	that then become enmeshed within money
24	laundering investigation. Which leads me to the
25	next point there, which is that more often than

1	not because of the difficulties of associating
2	knowledge and control to the transfer and value
3	we often end up falling back to the predicate
4	offence of drug trafficking alone as we cannot
5	directly tie in the money laundering to the drug
6	trafficking offence for that matter.
7	I'll just skip over one point here and come
8	back to it. The other difficulty we have from
9	the investigative standpoint is and I talked
10	about this in that case study, was international
11	request for information. I called them
12	geopolitical concerns but, Ms. Latimer, I think
13	when you had your clarifying question we nailed
14	down one factor of that which is there's issues
15	of corruption, there's issues of ambivalence
16	sometimes; sometimes there's just blind
17	ignorance of the fact this is happening for the
18	same reasons that our agency and our
19	investigators are there's something that they
20	have not seen before, so they're not alive to
21	the signs and indicators. So international
22	requests for information go through the spectrum
23	of difficulty of dated information being
24	received and then when we do have the process in
25	place for an investigation where we're reliant

1	upon foreign law enforcement agency partners,
2	the process of an MLAT, a mutual legal
3	assistance treaty, can sometimes take many, many
4	months, if not years, depending on the
5	complexity of the ask. Where we have found some
6	successes when we have a parallel investigation,
7	and if I can use the colloquial term is when we
8	have a parallel investigation with a foreign law
9	enforcement agency, say for the sake of example
10	in a hypothetical with our American counterparts
11	with the department of Homeland Security, the
12	walls come down, so to speak, and we're able to
13	have that exchange of information because of the
14	commonality of effort looking after looking
15	towards suspects that may be acting both in
16	America and Canada. So that provides a little
17	bit of a respite from the usual struggles and
18	concerns of having to deal with the dated
19	information and the difficulties and
20	communication with law enforcement agencies, but
21	overall those are the main challenges from the
22	investigative standpoint. The bullet point that
23	I skipped over was talking about more of a
24	revised approach, and I'll lean a little bit on
25	my friend Joel here just to add into it, where

we're leveraging customs and tax designated 1 2 offences as basis for charges and working 3 together to be able to build up a TBML 4 investigation. And, Joel, I'll just get you to assist me here in just talking a little bit of 5 the articulation about the tax fraud as a 6 7 predicate offence from which we can step well over it to more of a built up TBML 8 9 investigation. (JG) Yeah, absolutely. So one of the 10 approaches that we are contemplating and 11 discussing and trying to put into practice 12 1.3 wherever possible in terms of that whole 14 government collaboration comes into play when 15 we're looking at particularly at suspected 16 professional money launderers, and again 17 individuals, groups of individuals, networks 18 that do not appear to be engaged in your typical 19 predicate crimes, your typical proceeds 20 generating crimes; they just appear to be 21 laundering money, whether it's an as accountant 22 or a lawyer or whether it's through the 23 import/export companies that they have under 24 their control. Investigating and contemplating 25 money laundering investigations in this context

1	is extremely challenging because there is no
2	clear traditional predicate crime to make as the
3	basis for subsequent money laundering
4	investigation. So one of the things that we've
5	been discussing and between the organizations
6	and are looking I suppose for the right
7	opportunities in some of these files to actually
8	test them out in practice is to leverage Customs
9	Act offences and potentially Income Tax Act
10	offences. I won't speak to the income tax
11	offences, it's not my area of knowledge or
12	expertise, but with respect to the Customs Act,
13	using those potentially as where we have grounds
14	to suspect or perhaps even grounds to believe
15	that there are offences occurring under the
16	Customs Act, use the example of trade fraud,
17	there are provisions, there are offence
18	provisions within the Customs Act where those
19	offences are indictable, and indictable offences
20	meet that threshold under the criminal code to
21	be considered as a designated offence. So the
22	thinking is that it may be possible in cases of
23	professional money laundering in particular to
24	try to leverage those other acts of parliament,
25	the Customs Act, the Income Tax Act, other acts

1		of parliament where it meets that threshold of
2		being considered a designated or an offence that
3		can be prosecuted by indictment as your basis
4		for money laundering. And that could be a
5		potential to reduce some of the challenges that
6		we're experiencing on the investigative front.
7		So using, for example, section 153 of the
8		Customs Act which can be prosecuted as an
9		indictable offence and that's really for willful
10		evasion or non-compliance with the Customs Act,
11		so failing to truly and accurately describe your
12		goods, whether it's on import or export. If
13		that is proven to be willful it is an offence
14		under the Customs Act and that could potentially
15		open the door to working closely with our RCMP
16		partners and allowing them to contemplate
17		initiating a money laundering investigation.
18	Q	This is being contemplated and discussed at the
19		moment, but has this ever occurred, a Customs
20		Act offence being used to ground a money
21		laundering charge?
22	A	(JG) To the point of going through and being
23		accepted for prosecution and having charges
24		laid, no. That has not occurred to this point.
25		Keeping in mind, though, that so much of this

25

1		collaborative effort around trade-based money
2		laundering is very, very new within the
3		Government of Canada and really having this
4		awareness even of trade-based money laundering
5		is so new. It's very early days with respect to
6		investigative strategy in that regard when we're
7		looking at professional money launderers.
8	Q	I wanted to just return to some of the
9		challenges that you were discussing, Acting
10		Staff Sergeant Sharma, about the well, you
11		mentioned some of the difficulties in how
12		complex these investigations are, and because
13		you've mentioned Mr. Cassara a couple of times I
14		want to put some his ideas to you to see what
15		you thought about them. One thing he urged this
16		commission to do was find out how many
17		trade-based money laundering charges had ever
18		been pursued in British Columbia, and I'm
19		wondering given the two examples you gave to us
20		didn't result in a money laundering charge is
21		there any trade-based money laundering charges
22		that have ever been laid in British Columbia?
23	А	(SS) Short answer is no.
24	Q	Another idea that Mr. Cassara had was that there

should be a specialized unit within the RCMP to

1		investigate money laundering, including
2		trade-based money laundering. Do you agree that
3		that would be a beneficial development to get
4		some of that expertise so recognize these
5		complex schemes?
6	A	(SS) Again, I'll present my opinion, again it's
7		based upon experiential knowledge, and I'll say
8		I don't believe that we need to reinvent the
9		wheel, so to speak. I believe let me
10		rephrase that. We do have money laundering
11		investigators and the concept of TBML is just
12		now being underscored and realized that it needs
13		to be examined a little more closely. As we
14		said right at the very top of the presentation
15		that TBML doesn't need to be looked at as some
16		sort of alien entity. It is just a form of the
17		overall money laundering process in part of that
18		layering, three-part process of placement,
19		layering and integration. It's just a more
20		specialized manner of layering that
21		investigators now need to be alive to and
22		perhaps more familiarized with. So I understand
23		what Mr. Cassara is saying and I'm not
24		disagreeing with him that we shouldn't have more
25		of an emphasis and an awareness point about it,

1		but insofar as having a specialized unit or
2		investigators solely dedicated to it, I would
3		say that respectfully to the panel and with
4		respect to my agency as a whole, and quick
5		caveat this is one individual investigator's
6		submission here, I think that would be
7		redundant. I think we have investigators that
8		are already involved in the money laundering
9		portfolio that need to perhaps be more alive to
10		the recency and the typologies and the
11		techniques that are happening and become better
12		equipped as subject matter experts through
13		familiarity and exposure to this and they would
14		be well on their way towards progressing and
15		making effective inroads towards TBML as an
16		aspect of money laundering in general.
17	Q	Is this an area that you think requires more
18		training from the law enforcement perspective?
19	А	(SS) Yes, I do. I definitely think that it
20		requires training, but not just from the law
21		enforcement perspective but with all of our
22		agency partners as well as the participants in
23		the overall regulatory business. Basically
24		anybody that has a stake in the trade and the
25		economic sector, whether we're talking about

1		compliance, financial institutions, regulatory
2		agencies, law enforcement, customs border,
3		et cetera, I think we all need to have an
4		awareness point of it and see what the
5		difference nodes and the exits and entrance
6		points are so we can have a bit more of a
7		combined comprehensive assessment of where we
8		can use our agencies' strengths, our skill sets
9		to be able to adapt and effectively address the
10		issue. So I don't think it's a specific law
11		enforcement lift. I do think that it's
12		definitely a law enforcement involvement in
13		participating [indiscernible] and significantly
14		we do have a large say in it in terms of the
15		affecting of the recommendation of charges, but
16		it's a fairly comprehensive involvement piece.
17	Q	You've described some of the investigations that
18		you've been involved in that have looked at
19		trade-based money laundering. Are you aware of
20		any investigations that have looked at
21		service-based money laundering originating in
22		British Columbia?
23	А	No, I have not. I know of the concept. I know
24		just in professional discourse with my colleague
25		Bryanna we discussed how the fact that if you

look at the horizon of issues and concerns with 1 2 TBML service-based, it poses such an 3 extraordinary challenge because you can't even 4 quantify or even have a tangible link to what is attributed to the value transfer. So the short 5 6 answer is no. I haven't had any exposure to it, 7 but definitely it's out there. We just need to be alive as to how we can address it and be able 8 9 to attend to it. 10 Q Thank you. (SS) If there's no further questions I'll just 11 Α turn to the last pillar, which is kind of more 12 1.3 of a seque to the hand-off of the investigative 14 to the prosecutorial. So we've already talked 15 about the dearth of subject matter expert 16 experience at the investigative level. Well, 17 it's no shock that the fruits of the efforts that are handed off to the prosecutors for 18 19 charge approval from the recommendation stage 20 are highly reliant upon us as investigators 21 articulating the grounds of belief, the 22 confirmation of identity and the attribution of 23 knowledge and control, whether it's assets or 24 the handling of the different pieces of the 25 elements of the offence. And so just as we are

limited in our experience as investigators to 1 2 TBML and our articulation of it, on the 3 receiving end there's very limited -- we found through articulation in some of the feedback 4 that we've gotten as investigators that there's 5 limited financial crime prosecutorial expertise 6 7 as well in the area of TBML. 8 Just from past experience I can tell you 9 that you when you try to unravel the different layering sometimes it's just -- the usual 10 question is well, this seems as if it's 11 legitimate trade, but the explanation of it 12 1.3 requires a far more solidified understanding 14 from the investigators relayed to the 15 prosecutors as well and their awareness of all 16 the elemental pieces of what TBML consists of, 17 whether it's the invoicing, the actual value 18 transfer system, the connection of where the bad 19 actors translate their wealth into goods and 20 commodities and then translate that wealth back 21 from the foreign country back into or repatriate back into Canada. That's a very complex and 22 23 convoluted sort of sequential process. So as 24 difficult as it is for investigators to 25 investigate and articulate it, I can only

imagine how it is for prosecutors to receive 1 2 that, and so from their end of it at least I can 3 say that I've received feedback that they need to be a little bit more educated as well. 4 The second point there in that pillar for 5 6 prosecutorial is that it really falls from hand 7 and glove with what I've been saying so far is 8 when we can't articulate the connectivity to the 9 money laundering aspect of it, the TBML aspect, 10 that we fall and regress back to what we can. 11 In the case study that I gave we were able to have tangible connectivity to the heroin 12 1.3 trafficking and the mass marketing fraud but not 14 so much with the TBML, so we fell back to the 15 favouring of the predicate crimes because they 16 were -- I hesitate to say easier but the 17 connectivity was there. We were able to articulate that. So it's not as if that they're 18 19 easier, as if it was just less effort to do so. 20 It's just the connectivity, the articulation was 21 far clearer in comparison to what we could even 22 allude to for TBML and the reasonable and 23 probable grounds for the predicate offence were 24 far more evident than what we could allude to 25 for TBML. So I'll leave it at that point for

that. And the last thing I'll say is something 1 2 that when we presented this presentation the 3 last couple of times was something that our 4 Canada Revenue Agency colleagues want to assert a point of, that in their investigations, and we 5 found from time to time in some of ours 6 7 involving trusts with legal representatives, that blanket assertions of solicitor/client 8 9 privilege do have a tendency to bog down the investigator's cadence and progress of their 10 investigation. We have to -- the costs and the 11 12 process of having independent counsel intervene 1.3 and referee and examine the situation puts sort 14 of a wrench in the works, so to speak, of being 15 able to have that investigative cadence proceed 16 in a way that we can make a formalized and 17 timely presentation to prosecutors. And that's my summary for that slide. Unless there's any 18 19 further questions. 20 (BG) So I can pick up the next slide. It's slide number 25. I know in the interests of 21 22 time I'll go through this one fairly quickly 23 because a lot of it has already been touched on. 24 But essentially what we wanted to highlight here 25 is that there are these huge structural

1	challenges associated to trade-based money
2	laundering that have to be unpacked and
3	addressed and a number of them we've discussed
4	in quite a bit more detail, but just to really
5	hammer home some of the key key key structural
6	challenges that come up again and again are that
7	we have multiple stakeholders both domestically
8	and internationally that are absolutely required
9	to work together so that we can effectively
10	tackle a trade-based money laundering case, and
11	this presents significant coordination,
12	information sharing logistical challenges. As
13	well there's the challenges associated to
14	tackling trade-based money laundering when you
15	have invoices coming in from multiple countries
16	with very different governance and legal
17	regimes. But a key point I think that could be
18	fleshed out a little bit more is that within
19	Canada we have multiple agencies that have very
20	differing but overlapping mandates and this
21	presents a unique challenge. So we have our
22	information consumers, so such as my own
23	organization, the RCMP, that are involved in
24	investigating a specific part of trade-based
25	money laundering, but we've got other

1	information consumers who are consuming the
2	information and intelligence that's being put
3	out there in that fora, and we're connecting the
4	cookie crumb, so to say, or the pieces to try to
5	form the bigger puzzle. So that's CBSA, as well
6	as ourselves and FINTRAC, CRA, and to some
7	extent even CSIS if it pertains to terrorist
8	financing. But there's also information
9	providers out there who hold information that
10	may be pertinent to a TBML investigation that
11	really aren't traditionally leveraged for the
12	information that they provide. And we've
13	touched on a few, but others that we haven't yet
14	touched on would be potentially Export
15	Development Canada, Global Affairs Canada,
16	Industry Canada, or provincial agencies such as
17	BC Lottery Corporation. All these different
18	agencies have bits of information that could
19	totally be relevant to a trade-based money
20	laundering investigation and to understand what
21	the scheme is and who the players are that are
22	involved. But one thing that I guess could be
23	highlighted when you think about these
24	information providers, so folks with information
25	to provide that haven't traditionally been

leveraged, is that they tend to be focused on 1 2 trade promotion and making markets work and are 3 often less concerned about problems associated 4 or pathologies with the international trade system such as those posed by trade-based money 5 6 laundering. So sometimes practically getting 7 information it might be that there's just no 8 information sharing mechanism in place, but it 9 might be a challenge to get information from 10 some of these organizations because they don't traditionally think of the issues associated 11 with trade. They're looking to promote trade. 12 1.3 So that can be a challenge as well. And then 14 just finally something that we've touched on to 15 a great extent is that there are certainly 16 stakeholders within the private sector who have 17 information to provide as well but aren't 18 included in our current anti-money laundering 19 regime, and that the key ones there just being 20 entities involved in the trade chain, so our 21 importers, exporters, customs brokers, freight 22 forwarders and shippers. At this point we can 23 move on to the next slide. And in fact this is 24 one, Joel, if you have some comments on you 25 can -- he was going to present it, but in the

25

1	interest of time we can probably move on to the
2	next one. It was just kind of hammering home
3	the same point about all of these intersecting
4	mandates and that there really needs to be a
5	collective effort to try to effectively tackle a
6	TBML investigation.
7	Did you have any additional comments on
8	that, Joel?
9	(JG) No, I think you summarized it very well
10	Bryanna. Nothing to add there.
11	(BG) Okay. Sounds good. So we can turn to
12	the next slide. That will be slide 27.
13	MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commission, I wonder if I might
14	just interject. I apologize for interrupting
15	the panel, but I wonder if we've reached a stage
16	where it might be appropriate to canvass timing
17	and options. I know one of the panelists has an
18	engagement that they have to deal with at least
19	briefly in a few minutes. I'll just say for
20	looking at how much is left in the PowerPoint
21	presentation and I know Ms. Latimer has some
22	questions, I anticipate it would be at least an
23	hour, perhaps 90 minutes more that would be
24	required. So I think the options are carry on

to 2:30, take a brief break and come back, break

1	at 2:30 with a view to coming back or break at
2	2:30 with a view to reassembling the panel on a
3	different day, which of course presents its own
4	challenges.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Ms. Latimer, without
6	wanting to pin you down can you give us a bit of
7	an estimate as to how much longer you think we
8	are going to be with respect to the panelists.
9	You know, I don't in any way want to inhibit a
10	full canvassing of their evidence because it's a
11	very important piece of the puzzle. So let me
12	know what you think.
13	MS. LATIMER: Mr. Commission, I think if we had for
14	both myself and the TI coalition if we had two
15	hours that would get that done. I know they
16	have 20 minutes and I will not be more than an
17	hour and a half. I will ensure that.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. In terms of tomorrow,
19	if we were to adjourn to tomorrow would we be able
20	to conclude matters with is it Mr. Zdanowicz
21	tomorrow?
22	MR. McGOWAN: Yes, I do have a concern about
23	overloading you with a PowerPoint presentation.
24	He has quite a lengthy PowerPoint presentation

to give on the topic of trade-based money

25

1	laundering but really more on some statistical
2	analysis that he does that relates to that. I
3	would expect his presentation will take two
4	hours. I have some questions for him but they
5	will be completed in addition to the
6	PowerPoint, but they will be less an half an
7	hour. And I believe we have Transparency
8	International seeking to question him for
9	20 minutes. So that will occupy approximately
10	three hours, maybe a little bit more. The
11	challenge of course that comes with bringing the
12	panel back tomorrow is that we have special
13	measures in place that have to be addressed,
14	technological measures flowing from you ruling
15	and what's displayed on the live stream. But we
16	can't transitioning from the Zoom where
17	everybody is in to the special limited
18	invitation Zoom is something that we would have
19	to accommodate.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, right. So what we're
21	looking for, then, is either the ability to
22	accommodate tomorrow or a separate date.
23	MR. McGOWAN: Yes, unless there's an appetite to
24	carry on after a break today, and I don't have a
25	preference of any of those three options.

25

1	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
2	MR. McGOWAN: I wonder if Madam Registrar can
3	indicate whether it would be possible to split
4	tomorrow and re-engage all of the special
5	precautions we have in place today.
6	TECHNICAL COORDINATOR: Yes, from a technical
7	standpoint it is possible.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: And are the panelists available
9	tomorrow? It would be 9:30 Pacific time or we
10	could start a little earlier. Mr. Gibbons?
11	MR. McGOWAN: It would make sense to canvass the
12	panelists for their preference and maybe
13	Ms. Davis who is counsel for Canada.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
15	THE WITNESS: (JG) Mr. Commissioner, I am available
16	to meet at the commission's discretion tomorrow.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much. Ms. Gateley.
18	THE WITNESS: (BG) Yes, flexible to whatever is
19	decided. I can continue on today or tomorrow
20	would be fine as well.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Staff Sergeant Sharma.
22	THE WITNESS: (SS) Mr. Commissioner, panel, I'm
23	absolutely flexible for whatever we need.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Well, I think given

the amount of time we have consumed today it

25

1	might be better to adjourn until tomorrow. I
2	think we should start at 9:00 tomorrow rather
3	than 9:30 just to give a little extra lead time
4	to be able to conclude with matters that are
5	also scheduled for tomorrow in a relatively
6	timely way. I think this is important evidence
7	and I think I'm hesitant to have it rushed to a
8	conclusion simply to fit a time frame. So I
9	think rather than carrying on at this stage the
10	better course of action is to adjourn until
11	tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock. Is there any
12	issue with any of counsel for either the
13	panelists or alternatively any other counsel at
14	starting at 9:00 tomorrow?
15	MS. DAVIS: Mr. Commissioner, it's Hanna Davis here,
16	counsel for Canada. I can advise that that is
17	appropriate from our perspective and we have no
18	concerns about continuing tomorrow starting at
19	9:00 a.m.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. That is
21	helpful. All right. I think what we'll do,
22	then, is maybe carry on for another ten minutes,
23	Ms. Latimer, and then adjourn until tomorrow
24	morning at 9:00. Thank you.
0.5	NO TARTURE EL L

MS. LATIMER: Thank you.

25

EXAMINATION BY MS. LATIMER (continuing): 1 2 Ms. Gateley, I believe you were about to tell us Q 3 about this next slide. 4 (BG) Sure. Okay. So this slide is a little bit Α different. It's a change of pace, but it 5 6 demonstrates how a hypothetical trade-based 7 money laundering case would be built. So when 8 we're looking at that very first pillar there of 9 targeting identification, within law enforcement 10 intelligence analysts are the conduit for 11 incoming intelligence and information related to trade-based money laundering from a variety of 12 1.3 sources. So this could include incoming 14 intelligence, tips, referrals from our current 15 investigations, from our domestic and 16 international partners such as maybe proactives 17 on trade-based money laundering as well as 18 information that's coming in that my colleague 19 Sushile has mentioned from liaison officers that we 20 have posted abroad and analysts as well that we 21 also have posted abroad. 22 So from all of these various pieces of 23 information and intelligence we get those and we 24 analyze them to identify potential trade-based

money laundering schemes or look at indicators

25

of where something just doesn't seem right there 1 2 and there's certainly the potential for a scheme 3 and possible targets associated to that. We would then when we have kind of something to 4 spring off from or something to work with we 5 would engage in an intelligence gathering phase, 6 7 and I would consider this to be like an 8 analytical intelligence probe. And we would do 9 additional queries to augment, confirm or refute what were the set of facts that we're starting 10 11 to look at and kind of build our puzzle of what 12 really could be going on there. So we would do some open source queries, query close sources, 1.3 14 police databases to reconcile our remaining 15 intelligence gaps and start to put together a 16 package on a viable target and a TBML scheme 17 that's involved, that this target is involved 18 in. For the investigative team we'd do a 19 briefing and then they would consider if that's 20 something that they would have the capacity to 21 pursue. 22 So this phase could include sending kind of 23 secondary analytical intel probe intelligence 24 gathering phase. Could include sending a

request, proactive request to FINTRAC for

25

additional information or to our other partners 1 2 such as CBSA for customs trade data. And then 3 we would also leverage our open source 4 intelligence capacity and in some cases open source analysts to query information that they 5 6 have access to and then query information within 7 our databases. So that brings us up to the 8 point where we've identified a possible target 9 and started to put the pieces together so that we can then do a handoff to the investigative 10 team to consider either an investigational 11 intelligence probe, to make sure that intel 12 1.3 package that we're putting out there is 14 accurate. That address that we say this 15 individual is associated to or this business, 16 they can actually go past and do surveillance 17 and see if it checks out and it supports our 18 theory as to who this group is and what they're 19 involved in and what they're doing. So at this 20 point I'll pass the slide over to my colleague 21 Sushile who will walk you through the evidence 22 gathering component of a trade-based money 23 laundering case. 24 (SS) Thank you, Bryanna. So that kind of

ties into what we talked about. I think it was

1	earlier in the first third of this presentation
2	where we talked about the various mandates and I
3	discussed from the RCMP perspective about our
4	reliance on foundational intelligence, and what
5	Bryanna just talked about was indeed that
6	foundational intelligence, which for us is
7	necessary so that we can have support and an
8	informed foundation from which to base our
9	operational decisions on. And I'm talking about
10	operational decisions which meet our scope of
11	service element, and I talked about that earlier
12	about threats to Canada's economic integrity,
13	national security, international scope,
14	et cetera, involving the Canadian/US border.
15	And not to reiterate the same points again, but
16	once we've taken the buildup of the entity, the
17	subject and the MO of this person and it
18	conforms with our foundational expectation of
19	the intelligence as being relevant and confirmed
20	and/or able to be confirmed and it's credible
21	and it's compelling, then we move towards the
22	actual securing of the evidence and our
23	investigative techniques that we would employ,
24	and those can be anywhere from securing our
25	grounds of belief through judicial

1	authorizations, 487 search warrants, production
2	orders, data records. And use other I'm going
3	to call them quote/unquote overt investigative
4	techniques such as interviews, door knocks that
5	would also include some of our more sensitive
6	investigative techniques, because let's call it
7	what it is here. A lot of these activities are
8	clandestine in nature and do involve very, very
9	specific information and the ability to confirm
10	and corroborate that is highly reliant upon
11	very, very detailed and specific information.
12	So it would employ sensitive investigative
13	techniques such as, you know, undercover and/or
14	wire tap. But all of these sorts of
15	investigative techniques in development of the
16	intel that we had received from, you know,
17	analysts from Bryanna's perspective there would
18	help us to build our grounds upon a suspect or
19	an entity or a group working or bad actors, I
20	should say, working towards whether it's
21	predicate offences and attached to potential
22	money laundering and trade-based money
23	laundering. But where we run into the
24	confirming with forensic evidence or forensic
25	evidence confirming is with use of FAMG,

25

1	forensic account management group, and our
2	forensic accountants assisting us as well as our
3	partner agencies from Canada Revenue Agency
4	assisting us with the ability to look at this
5	from a forensic perspective and assisting us
6	with their lens. And then it comes down to not
7	just using the Criminal Code but also using
8	multiple legislation, and Joel kind of talked
9	about this earlier where we talked about that
10	slide for the predicate offences of trade fraud.
11	We're looking at multiple legislations to be
12	able to see how we can most leverage our
13	abilities as law enforcement to make an
14	effective to have the most effective tools to
15	gather the evidence and secure that evidence to
16	be able to articulate in a manner that is
17	acceptable to Crown so that we can take this
18	matter for not just a recommendation of charges
19	but for its progression through the court
20	system. I'm going to stop that articulation on
21	that slide. If there's any further questions.
22	MS. LATIMER: No, I don't have of any further
23	questions on that. Mr. Commissioner, I note the
24	time now.
0.5	

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, all right. Well, we'll

1	\neg	1
\perp	/	\perp

25

Dram by 110: Datimer (Continuing)	
1 adjourn now until tomorro	ow morning at 9 o'clock
2 to resume with this pane.	l of witnesses'
3 evidence. Thank you.	
4 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is	s now adjourned until
5 December 11th, 2020 at 9	:00 a.m. Thank you.
6 (WITNESSES STOOD DOWN)	
7 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 2:	:32 P.M. TO DECEMBER 11, 2020)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	