

Monads and Comonads

Joe Isaacs

Churchill CompSci talks

November 16, 2016

Type classes

```
class Eq a where  
    (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

```
instance Eq Integer where  
    (==) a b = intEq a b
```

```
triEq :: (Eq a) => a -> a -> a -> Bool  
triEq a b c = (a==b) && (a==c) && (b==c)
```

Category theory background

A category contains:

- Objects (A, B, C, \dots)
- Morphisms. $(f : B \rightarrow C)$
- Each object must have an identity morphism. (id_A for an object A)
- Morphisms compose. $(f \circ g : A \rightarrow C, \text{ if } g : A \rightarrow B)$

Categories must also follow three laws:

- $f \circ (g \circ h) = (f \circ g) \circ h$
- There must be a morphism $h : A \rightarrow C$ such that $h = f \circ g$

Each object A must contain an identity morphism $id_A : A \rightarrow A$.

Hask

- We take objects A, B to be types \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B}
- We take morphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$ to be functions of type
 $f :: a \rightarrow b$
- Composition of morphisms \circ will be function composition (written $(.)$ in Haskell).
- $f \circ g$ goes to $(f \ . \ g) \ x$ which in haskell is the same as
 $f \ (g \ (x))$.

Functor

- Functors F will map from a category C to a category D written as

$$F : C \rightarrow D$$

- An object A in C will be mapped to $F(A)$ in D
- (Covariant) functors map morphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$ to $F(f) : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$
- $F(id_A) = id_{F(A)}$ where $id_A : A \rightarrow A$ and $id_{F(A)} : F(A) \rightarrow F(A)$
- $F(f \circ g) = F(f) \circ F(g)$ (functors distribute over morphism composition).

Functor in Haskell

- A functor F will map $f : A \rightarrow B$ to $F(f) : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$
- `class Functor f where`
`fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b`
- $id_A = id :: a \rightarrow a$
- $id_{F(A)} = fmap\ id :: f\ a \rightarrow f\ a$
- $F(h \circ g) = fmap\ (h.g) :: f\ a \rightarrow f\ c$
- $F(h) \circ F(g) = fmap\ h\ .\ fmap\ g :: f\ a \rightarrow f\ c$

where $\circ = (.)$, $h = h :: b \rightarrow c$, $g = g :: a \rightarrow b$ and
 $F = Functor\ f$

Functor example Maybe

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
instance Functor Maybe where
  fmap f (Just x) = Just (f x)
  fmap _ Nothing  = Nothing
```

- Must check $F(id_A) = id_{F(A)}$

```
fmap id x
case (x == Just a)
  fmap id (Just a) a ==> Just (id a) ==> Just a
case (x == Nothing)
  fmap id Nothing ==> Nothing

==> fmap id = id
```

Functor example Maybe

Check $F(f \circ g) = F(f) \circ F(g)$

```
case (Just a)
    fmap (f.g) (Just a)
==> Just ((f.g) a)
==> Just (f (g a))

    (fmap f . fmap g) (Just a)
==> fmap f (fmap g (Just a))
==> fmap f (Just (g a))
==> Just (f(g a))
case (Nothing)
    fmap (f.g) Nothing == Nothing
    fmap f . fmap g Nothing
==> fmap f Nothing
==> Nothing
```


Monad

- Defined by $(M, join, unit)$
- M is also a functor
- $join$ is the transformation $M(Ma) \rightarrow Ma$ which satisfies

$$join \circ M(join) = join \circ join$$

and

$$join \circ M(M(f)) = M(f) \circ join$$

- $unit$ is the transformation $a \rightarrow Ma$ which satisfies

$$join \circ Munit = join \circ unit = id_M$$

from $M \rightarrow M$ and

$$unit \circ f = M(f) \circ unit$$

Monad in Haskell

We have seen the categorical definition of monads above, this could be a Haskell implementation.

```
class Functor m => Monad m where
  unit  :: a -> m a
  join  :: m (m a) -> m a
```

- $unit = \text{unit}$
- $join = \text{join}$
- The monad laws can now be written in Haskell as:

```
join . fmap join      = join . join
join . fmap unit      = join . unit      = id
unit . f              = fmap f . unit
join . fmap (fmap f) = fmap f . join
```

What do the laws mean?

We can explain all the laws with commutative diagrams, here is the first:

$$\text{join} \circ M(\text{join}) = \text{join} \circ \text{join}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M^3 & \xrightarrow{\text{join}} & M^2 \\
 M(\text{join}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{join} \\
 M^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{join}} & M
 \end{array}$$

When written in Haskell it looks like this.

```
join . fmap join = join . join
```

How Monads are really implemented in Haskell

- Haskell implements monad in different but equivalent way:

```
class Functor m => Monad m where
  return :: a -> m a
  (>>=)  :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
  fail   :: String -> m a
```

- ($\gg=$) (pronounced bind)
- `return = unit`

Joining bind

- `join :: m m a -> m a`
- `>>= :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b`
- `(>>=)` **can be derived from** `unit` **and** `fmap`:

```
join x = x >>= id    (id :: m a -> m a)
```

```
f :: a -> b
```

```
x :: m a
```

```
x >>= f = join (fmap f x)    (fmap f :: m m b)
```

Monad laws using ($>>=$)

- $m >>= \text{return} = m$
 $\text{return } m >>= f = f \ m$
 $(m >>= f) >>= g = m >>= (\lambda x \rightarrow f \ x >>= g)$

These are left identity, right identity and associativity

- It can also be show that these three monad laws are equivalent to the four monad laws stated previously.

Example: Maybe

```
instance Monad Maybe where
  return x      = Just x
  fail _        = Nothing
  Just x  >>= f = f x
  Nothing >>= _ = Nothing
```

The Maybe instance of Monad must also follow the monad laws stated previously.

```
m >>= return = m
```

```
Just x >>= return ==> return x ==> Just x
```

```
Nothing >>= return ==> Nothing
```

Computations with possible errors

```
computeB :: a -> Maybe b
```

```
computeC :: b -> Maybe c
```

```
compute  :: a -> Maybe c
```

```
compute a = case computeB of  
    Just b  -> computeC b  
    Nothing -> Nothing
```


Computations with possible errors Cont.

```
computeB :: a -> Maybe b
```

```
computeC :: b -> Maybe c
```

```
compute  :: a -> Maybe c
```

```
compute a = computeB a >>= computeC
```

do-notation

`do x` \Rightarrow `x`

`do let y = z`
`x` \Rightarrow `let y = z in do x`

`do y <- z`
`x` \Rightarrow `z >>= \y -> do x`

`do y`
`x` \Rightarrow `y >>= _ -> do x`

Using do-notation

```
compute :: a -> Maybe c
compute a =
  do b <- computeB a
     c <- computeC b
     return c
```

Looks a lot like imperative code

IO with monads

- IO monad, with two operations
 - `putStr :: String -> IO ()` builds a monad that when run will print the string passed into `putStr` and return
 - `getLine :: IO String`, build a monad that when run will read a stream of characters for the keyboard up to a newline.
- This means the IO monad represents a sequence of computations, which are order in the same order as the binds.
- The IO monad will then be evaluated once it is returned to the Haskell runtime or `unsafePerformIO :: IO a -> a` is performed.

Example IO

```
main :: IO ()  
main = do x <- getLine  
          putStr ("return: " ++ x)
```

>>= for IO

```
instance Monad IO a where
  return a      = IO (\s -> (s,a))
  (IO m) (>>=) f = IO
    (\s -> case MUTABLE(m s) of (m',_) -> f m')
```

Comonad

- Dual of a monad
- Remember Monad has two morphisms
 - $unit : a \rightarrow Ma$
 - $join : M(Ma) \rightarrow Ma$
- A comonad C defines two transformations:
 - $extract : Ca \rightarrow a$
 - $duplicate : Ca \rightarrow C(Ca)$
- Satisfying three laws:
 - $extend \circ duplicate = I_C$
 - $C(extract) \circ duplicate = I_C$
 - $C(duplicate) \circ duplicate = duplicate \circ duplicate$
- In the triple $(C, extract, duplicate)$, C is a functor.

Comonad in Haskell

```
class Functor w => Comonad w where
  extract :: w a -> a
  extend  :: (w a -> b) -> w a -> w b
```

since

```
duplicate :: m a    -> m m a
fmap f    :: m m a -> m b
```

We can define `extend` as `extend f = (fmap f) . duplicate`
 A Comonad must also obey these laws:

```
extend extract      = id
extract . extend f  = f
extend f . extend g = extend (f. extend g)
```


CArray and associated functions

```
data CArray i a = CA (Array i a) i
```

```
indices :: Array i a -> [i]
```

```
bounds :: Array i a -> [i]
```

```
array :: [i] -> [a] -> Array i a
```

```
(!) :: Array i a -> a
```

```
(?) :: CArray i a -> a    (a safe ! with an offset)
```

Array filters are comonads

```
instance Comonad (CArray i) where
  extract (CA arr c) = arr!c
  extend f (CA x c) =
    let es' = map (\i -> (i, f(CA x i))) (indices x)
    in CA (array (bounds x) es') c
```

```
laplace1D :: Num a => CArray Integer a -> a
laplace1D a = (a ? (-1)) + (a ? 1) - 2 * (a ? 0)
```

(?) will try to get the value at $i+i'$ of the value a where $(CA\ a\ i)$

Example from 'A Notation for Comonads' by Dominic Orchard and Alan Mycroft

Questions?

Mixing Monads

- What happens if we want to have both IO and logging in the same functions?
- Use monad transformers.
- Monad transformers require another function to be defined

```
lift :: m a -> t m a.
```

- This must satisfy the laws:

- `lift . return = return`

- `lift (m >>= f) = lift m >>= (lift . f)`

- This is captured in Haskell by:

```
class MonadTrans t where
  lift :: m a -> t m a
```

Logging with IO

```
type LoggingIO l a = WriterT l IO a

log :: String -> LoggingIO [String] ()
log s = tell [s]

example :: LoggingIO [String] ()
example = do log "Print 1"
            lift (print 1)

main = do str <- execWriterT example
         (print str)
```

We cannot just compose any Monad

- Remember the type of `join` :: $M(M\ a) \rightarrow M\ a$
- If we extend this to monad transformers we get

$$\text{join} :: M(N(M(N\ a))) \rightarrow M(N\ a)$$

- We cannot infer the `join` method for transformers just from the `join` functions for the transformer and the monad being transformed.
- To allow composition we must also have a function

$$\text{distrib} :: t\ (m\ a) \rightarrow m\ (t\ a)$$

Monoid

A monoid is an object M with two morphisms $\oplus : M \times M \rightarrow M$ and $unit : M$.

Where both

$$unit \oplus M = M = M \oplus unit \text{ (left and right identity)}$$

and

$$M \oplus (M \oplus M) = (M \oplus M) \oplus M \text{ (associativity)}$$

```
class Monoid a where
  mzero    :: a
  mappend  :: a -> a -> a

unit = mzero and  $\oplus$  = mappend
```

Writer Monad Motivation: Logging

- Want to store order set of stages throughout a computation.

```
addOne :: Int -> Int -> [String]
```

```
addOne x = (x+1, ["Added 1 to " ++ show x])
```

```
applyLogger :: Monoid m =>
```

```
    (v,m) -> (v -> (o, m)) -> (o,m)
```

```
applyLogger (input,log) f = (o, log `mappend` l)
```

```
    where (o,l) = f input
```

```
addOne 0 `applyLogger` addOne
```

```
`applyLogger` addone
```

```
> (3, ["Added 1 to 0"
```

```
    , "Added 1 to 1", "Added 1 to 2"])
```


Write Monad

```
newtype Writer w a = Writer { runWriter :: (a,w) }

instance (Monoid w) => Monad (Writer w) where
  return x = writer (x,mempty)
  (Writer (x,v)) >>= f = let (Writer (y,v')) = f x
                        in Writer (y,v `mappend` v')
```

Logging with the Write Monad

```
logNumber :: Int -> Writer [String] Int
logNumber x = Writer (x, ["Recorded " ++ (show x)])

runWriter
  (do a <- logNumber 10
      b <- logNumber 11
      return (a*b))
> (110, ["Recorded 10", "Recorded 11"])
```