

E.B.1.2 (FOL: Studenti ansiosi, inferenza)

$\mathcal{P} = \{ \text{Studente}/1, \text{Corso}/1, \text{HaStudiato}/2, \text{Ansioso}/1, \text{Supera}/2 \}$

$\forall X \forall C$
(
 $\text{Studente}(X) \wedge$
 $\text{Corso}(C) \wedge$
 $(\text{Ansioso}(X) \vee \neg \text{HaStudiato}(X, C))$
)
 \rightarrow
 $\neg \text{Supera}(X, C)$

Di seguito la riduzione a CNF della formula sopra

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{Studente}(X) \wedge \text{Corso}(C) \wedge (\text{Ansioso}(X) \vee \neg \text{HaStudiato}(X, C))) \rightarrow \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) = \\ & \quad \{A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B\} \\ & \neg(\text{Studente}(X) \wedge \text{Corso}(C) \wedge (\text{Ansioso}(X) \vee \neg \text{HaStudiato}(X, C))) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) = \\ & \quad \{\text{De Morgan}\} \\ & \neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \neg \text{Corso}(C) \vee \neg(\text{Ansioso}(X) \vee \neg \text{HaStudiato}(X, C)) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) = \\ & \quad \{\text{De Morgan}\} \\ & \neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \neg \text{Corso}(C) \vee (\neg \text{Ansioso}(X) \wedge \text{HaStudiato}(X, C)) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) = \\ & \quad \{\text{Associatività di } \vee\} \\ & \neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \neg \text{Corso}(C) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) \vee (\neg \text{Ansioso}(X) \wedge \text{HaStudiato}(X, C)) = \\ & \quad \{\text{Distributività di } \vee\} \\ & (\neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \neg \text{Corso}(C) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) \vee \neg \text{Ansioso}(X)) \wedge \\ & (\neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \neg \text{Corso}(C) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) \vee \text{HaStudiato}(X, C)) \end{aligned}$$

1.1 Inferenza A

1.1.1 KB di partenza

Data la knowledge-base di partenza KB t.c.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{KB} = \{ & \\ & \exists X \text{ Studente}(X) \wedge \text{Ansioso}(X), \\ & \exists X \exists C \text{ Studente}(X) \wedge \text{Corso}(C) \wedge \text{HaStudiato}(X, C), \\ & \forall X \forall C \\ & (\\ & \quad \text{Studente}(X) \wedge \\ & \quad \text{Corso}(C) \wedge \\ & \quad (\text{Ansioso}(X) \vee \neg \text{HaStudiato}(X, C)) \\ &) \rightarrow \\ & \quad \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) \\ & \} \end{aligned}$$

È vero che $\text{KB} \models (\forall X \forall C \text{ Studente}(X) \wedge \text{Corso}(C) \rightarrow \neg \text{Supera}(X, C))$?

1.1.2 CNF

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = & \\ (\forall X \forall C \text{ Studente}(X) \wedge \text{Corso}(C) \rightarrow \neg \text{Supera}(X, C)) = & \\ \{ \text{Semplificazione} \} & \\ (\text{Studente}(X) \wedge \text{Corso}(C)) \rightarrow \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) = & \\ \{ A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B \} & \\ \neg (\text{Studente}(X) \wedge \text{Corso}(C)) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) = & \\ \{ \text{De Morgan} \} & \\ \neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \neg \text{Corso}(C) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) & \\ \{ \text{La negazione si ottiene con De Morgan} \} & \\ \neg \alpha = \text{Studente}(X) \wedge \text{Corso}(C) \wedge \text{Supera}(X, C) & \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \text{KB}_{\text{CNF}} = \{ & \\ & \text{Studente}(S_1), \\ & \text{Ansioso}(S_1), \\ & \\ & \text{Studente}(S_2), \\ & \text{Corso}(C_1), \\ & \text{HaStudiato}(S_2, C_1), \\ & \\ & (\neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \neg \text{Corso}(C) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) \vee \neg \text{Ansioso}(X)), \\ & (\neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \neg \text{Corso}(C) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) \vee \text{HaStudiato}(X, C)) \\ & \} \end{aligned}$$

Si applica l'algoritmo di risoluzione su

$$KB_{CNF} \wedge \text{Studente}(Y) \wedge \text{Corso}(D) \wedge \text{Supera}(Y, D)$$

1. $(\neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \neg \text{Corso}(C) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) \vee \text{HaStudiato}(X, C)) \wedge$
 $(\text{Studente}(S_2))$
 $\models (\neg \text{Corso}(C) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(S_2, C) \vee \text{HaStudiato}(S_2, C))$
2. $(\neg \text{Corso}(C) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(S_2, C) \vee \text{HaStudiato}(S_2, C)) \wedge$
 $(\text{HaStudiato}(S_2, C_1))$
 $\models (\neg \text{Corso}(C_1) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(S_2, C_1))$
3. $(\neg \text{Corso}(C_1) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(S_2, C_1)) \wedge$
 $(\text{Corso}(C_1))$
 $\models \neg \text{Supera}(S_2, C_1)$
4. $(\neg \text{Supera}(S_2, C_1)) \wedge$
 $(\text{Supera}(Y, D))$
 $\models ()$

Avendo trovato la clausola vuota, si ha che $KB_{CNF} \wedge \neg \alpha$ non è soddisfacibile,
quindi $KB_{CNF} \models \alpha$

1.2 Inferenza B

1.2.1 KB di partenza

Data la knowledge-base di partenza KB t.c.

$$\begin{aligned} KB = \{ & \\ & \exists X \text{ Studente}(X) \wedge \neg \text{Ansioso}(X), \\ & \forall X \exists C \text{ Studente}(X) \rightarrow (\text{Corso}(C) \wedge \text{HaStudiato}(X, C)), \\ & \forall X \forall C \\ & \quad (\\ & \quad \quad \text{Studente}(X) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad \text{Corso}(C) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad (\text{Ansioso}(X) \vee \neg \text{HaStudiato}(X, C)) \\ & \quad) \rightarrow \\ & \quad \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) \\ & \} \end{aligned}$$

È vero che $KB \models (\forall X \exists C \text{ Studente}(X) \rightarrow (\text{Corso}(C) \wedge \text{Supera}(X, C)))$?

1.2.2 CNF

$$\begin{aligned} \forall X \exists C \text{ Studente}(X) \rightarrow (\text{Corso}(C) \wedge \text{HaStudiato}(X, C)) &= \\ &\quad \{\text{Semplificazione}\} \\ \text{Studente}(X) \rightarrow (\text{Corso}(C_1(X)) \wedge \text{HaStudiato}(X, C_1(X))) &= \\ &\quad \{A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B\} \\ \neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee (\text{Corso}(C_1(X)) \wedge \text{HaStudiato}(X, C_1(X))) &= \\ &\quad \{\text{Distributività di } \vee\} \\ (\neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \text{Corso}(C_1(X))) \wedge & \\ (\neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \text{HaStudiato}(X, C_1(X))) & \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \\ \forall X \exists C \text{ Studente}(X) \rightarrow (\text{Corso}(C) \wedge \text{Supera}(X, C)) &= \\ &\quad \{\text{Semplificazione}\} \\ \text{Studente}(X) \rightarrow (\text{Corso}(C_2(X)) \wedge \text{Supera}(X, C_2(X))) &= \\ &\quad \{A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B\} \\ \neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee (\text{Corso}(C_2(X)) \wedge \text{Supera}(X, C_2(X))) &= \\ &\quad \{\text{Distributività di } \vee\} \\ (\neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \text{Corso}(C_2(X))) \wedge & \\ (\neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \text{Supera}(X, C_2(X))) & \\ \{\text{La negazione si ottiene con De Morgan}\} & \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \neg \alpha &= \\ \neg (\neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \text{Corso}(C_2(X))) \vee & \\ \neg (\neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \text{Supera}(X, C_2(X))) &= \\ &\quad \{\text{De Morgan}\} \\ (\text{Studente}(X) \wedge \neg \text{Corso}(C_2(X))) \vee & \\ (\text{Studente}(X) \wedge \neg \text{Supera}(X, C_2(X))) &= \\ &\quad \{\text{Distributività}\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((\text{Studente}(X) \wedge \neg \text{Corso}(C_2(X))) \vee \text{Studente}(X)) \wedge \\
& ((\text{Studente}(X) \wedge \neg \text{Corso}(C_2(X))) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C_2(X))) = \\
& \quad \{\text{Distributività}\} \\
& \quad (\text{Studente}(X) \vee \text{Studente}(X)) \wedge \\
& \quad (\neg \text{Corso}(C_2(X)) \vee \text{Studente}(X)) \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{Studente}(X) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C_2(X))) \wedge \\
& \quad (\neg \text{Corso}(C_2(X)) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C_2(X))) \\
& \quad \{\text{Semplificazione + ridenominazione}\} \\
& \quad \text{Studente}(Y) \wedge \\
& \quad (\neg \text{Corso}(C_2(Y)) \vee \text{Studente}(Y)) \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{Studente}(Y) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(Y, C_2(Y))) \wedge \\
& \quad (\neg \text{Corso}(C_2(Y)) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(Y, C_2(Y)))
\end{aligned}$$

$KB_{\text{CNF}} = \{$
 $\text{Studente}(S_1),$
 $\neg \text{Ansioso}(S_1),$
 $(\neg \text{Studente}(Z) \vee \text{Corso}(C_1(Z))),$
 $(\neg \text{Studente}(Z) \vee \text{HaStudiato}(Z, C_1(Z))),$
 $(\neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \neg \text{Corso}(C) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) \vee \neg \text{Ansioso}(X)),$
 $(\neg \text{Studente}(X) \vee \neg \text{Corso}(C) \vee \neg \text{Supera}(X, C) \vee \text{HaStudiato}(X, C))$
 $\}$

Si applica l'algoritmo di risoluzione su

$$KB_{\text{CNF}} \wedge \neg \alpha$$

1. La ricerca fallisce con il solver, quindi dovrei provare tutte le clausole finché non se ne possono aggiungere altre.

1.3 Prover9 & Mace4

1.3.1 Inferenza A

```
formulas(sos).
  (exists x Studente(x) & Ansioso(x)).
  (all x (exists c Studente(x) & Corso(c) & HaStudiato(x, c))).
  (all x all c ((Studente(x) & Corso(c) & (Ansioso(x) | -HaStudiato(x, c))) → -Supera(x, c))).
end_of_list.

formulas(goals).
  (all x all c (Studente(x) & Corso(c)) → -Supera(x, c)).
end_of_list.
```

Il solver è in grado di dimostrare il goal

```
1 (exists x Studente(x) & Ansioso(x) # label(non_clause). [assumption].
2 (all x ((exists c Studente(x) & Corso(c) & HaStudiato(x,c))) # label(non_clause).
[assumption].
3 (all x all c (Studente(x) & Corso(c) & (Ansioso(x) | -HaStudiato(x,c)) → -Supera(x,c))) #
label(non_clause). [assumption].
4 (all x all c (Studente(x) & Corso(c))) → -Supera(x,c) # label(non_clause) # label(goal).
[goal].
5 -Studente(x) | -Corso(y) | -Ansioso(x) | -Supera(x,y). [clausify(3)].
7 Studente(x). [clausify(2)].
11 Ansioso(x). [clausify(1)].
12 -Corso(x) | -Ansioso(y) | -Supera(y,x). [resolve(5,a,7,a)].
14 Corso(c). [clausify(2)].
18 -Corso(x) | -Supera(y,x). [resolve(12,b,11,a)].
20 Supera(c1,c). [deny(4)].
22 -Supera(x,c). [resolve(18,a,14,a)].
24 $F. [resolve(22,a,20,a)].
```

1.3.2 Inferenza B

```
formulas(sos).
  (exists x Studente(x) & -Ansioso(x)).
  (all x Studente(x) → (exists c Corso(c) & HaStudiato(x, c))).
  (all x all c ((Studente(x) & Corso(c) & (Ansioso(x) | -HaStudiato(x, c))) → -Supera(x, c))).
end_of_list.

formulas(goals).
  (all x (exists c Studente(x) → (Corso(c) & Supera(x, c)))).
end_of_list.
```

La ricerca fallisce.