



Contents



1 Autoinducer-antibiotic conjugates	7
1.1 Introduction	7
1.1.1 Azido autoinducer derivatives	7
1.1.2 Alkynyl antibiotic derivatives	8
1.1.3 Autoinducer-antibiotic conjugates	9
1.2 Azido autoinducer derivatives	9
1.2.1 Synthesis of 6-N ₃ -HHQ 40	9
1.2.2 Synthesis of 6-N ₃ -PQS 51	10
1.2.3 Synthesis of the azido C ₄ -HSL derivatives 57 , 60 and 63	11
1.3 Alkynyl antibiotic derivatives	12
1.3.1 Synthesis of the alkynyl ciprofloxacin derivative 70	12
1.3.2 Synthesis of the alkynyl trimethoprim derivative 73	14
1.4 Triazole-linked autoinducer-antibiotic conjugates	15
1.4.1 Optimisation of the click reaction	15
1.4.2 Synthesis of the autoinducer-ciprofloxacin and autoinducer-trimethoprim triazole conjugates	16
1.4.3 Synthesis of homoserine lactone-ciprofloxacin triazole conjugates with cleavable linkers . .	21
2 Autoinducer analogue-ciprofloxacin conjugates	22
2.1 Introduction	22
2.1.1 Inspiration	22
2.1.2 Head groups	23
2.1.3 Linkers	25
2.2 Homocysteine thiolactone derivatives	26
2.2.1 Synthesis of methyl ciprofloxacin 102	26
2.2.2 Synthesis of Br-C ₄ -HCTL 104	26
2.2.3 Synthesis of the HCTL-CipMe conjugate 105	26
2.2.4 Synthesis of the HCTL-Cip triazole conjugate 107	27
2.2.5 Synthesis of the cleavable HCTL-Cip triazole conjugate 108	28
2.3 2-Methoxybenzene derivatives	28
2.3.1 Synthesis of Br-C ₄ -2-methoxybenzene 110	28
2.3.2 Synthesis of the 2-methoxybenzene-CipMe conjugate 111	28
2.3.3 Synthesis of the 2-methoxybenzene-Cip triazole conjugate 113	29
2.4 3-Methoxybenzene derivatives	30
2.4.1 Synthesis of Br-C ₄ -3-methoxybenzene 115	30
2.4.2 Synthesis of the 3-methoxybenzene-CipMe conjugate 116	30
2.4.3 Synthesis of the 3-methoxybenzene-Cip triazole conjugate 118	31
2.5 Cyclopentanol derivatives	32
2.5.1 Synthesis of the 2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol head groups 123 and 124	32
2.5.2 Initial branching route	33
2.5.3 TBDMS protection route	35
2.5.3.1 Synthesis of TBDMS-protected (1S,2S)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol 123	36
2.5.3.2 Synthesis of Br-C ₄ -cyclopentanol-TBDSMS-(SS) 136	37

2.5.3.3	Synthesis of N ₃ -C ₄ -cyclopentanol-TBDMS-(SS) 138 by one-pot reaction	38
2.5.3.4	Synthesis of the (SS)-TBDMS-cyclopentanol-Cip triazole conjugate 142	38
2.5.4	Synthesis of the cyclopentanol-CipMe conjugates 129 and 130 by peptide coupling	39
2.5.5	Synthesis of the cyclopentanol-Cip triazole conjugates 132 and 133 via chloride intermediates	41
2.6	Cyclohexanol derivatives	44
2.6.1	Synthesis of the <i>trans</i> -2-aminocyclohexan-1-ol head group 151	44
2.6.2	Synthesis of the <i>trans</i> -cyclohexanol- and cyclohexanone-CipMe conjugates 152 and 153 .	45
2.6.3	Synthesis of the <i>trans</i> -cyclohexanol- and cyclohexanone-Cip triazole conjugates 156 and 157	45
3	Experimental	47
3.1	General	47
3.2	Methyl 3-oxodecanoate 35	48
3.3	Methyl (<i>E</i>)-3-((4-((<i>tert</i> -butoxycarbonyl)amino)phenyl)amino)dec-2-enoate 38	49
3.4	6-Amino-2-heptylquinolin-4-ol 39	49
3.5	6-Azido-2-heptylquinolin-4-ol 40	50
3.6	Heptyl magnesium bromide 42	51
3.7	2-Chloro-N-methoxy-N-methylacetamide 45	51
3.8	1-Chlorononan-2-one 46	51
3.9	2-Oxononyl 2-amino-5-nitrobenzoate 48	52
3.10	6-Nitro-2-heptyl-3-hydroxyquinolin-4(1 <i>H</i>)-one 49	53
3.11	6-Amino-2-heptyl-3-hydroxyquinolin-4(1 <i>H</i>)-one 50	53
3.12	6-Azido-2-heptyl-3-hydroxyquinolin-4(1 <i>H</i>)-one 51	54
3.13	(<i>S</i>)-3-Aminodihydrofuran-2(3 <i>H</i>)-one hydrobromide 54	55
3.14	(<i>S</i>)-2-Bromo-N-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)acetamide 56	55
3.15	(<i>S</i>)-2-Azido-N-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)acetamide 57	56
3.16	(<i>S</i>)-4-Bromo-N-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)butanamide 59	57
3.17	(<i>S</i>)-6-Bromo-N-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide 62	57
3.18	(<i>S</i>)-6-Azido-N-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide 63	58
3.19	Hex-5-ynal 65	59
3.20	<i>tert</i> -Butyl 4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate 67	59
3.21	1-(Hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazine 68	60
3.22	1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 70	61
3.23	4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenol 71	62
3.24	5-(4-(Hex-5-yn-1-yloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine 73	62
3.25	Optimised general procedure for the click reaction	63
3.26	(<i>S</i>)-1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(2-oxo-2-((2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)amino)ethyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 74	63
3.27	(<i>S</i>)-1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)amino)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 79	65
3.28	(<i>S</i>)-1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(6-oxo-6-((2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)amino)hexyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 80	66
3.29	1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(2-heptyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolin-6-yl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 82	67

3.30 (<i>S</i>)-4-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)- <i>N</i> -(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)butanamide 86	68
3.31 (<i>S</i>)-6-(4-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)- <i>N</i> -(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide 87	69
3.32 6-(4-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-2-heptylquinolin-4(1 <i>H</i>)-one 89	70
3.33 2-(6-(4-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)hexyl)-3-hydroxyquinolin-4(1 <i>H</i>)-one 91	71
3.34 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 102	72
3.35 4-Bromo- <i>N</i> -(2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)butanamide 104	73
3.36 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)amino)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 105	73
3.37 4-Azido- <i>N</i> -(2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)butanamide 106	74
3.38 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)amino)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 107	75
3.39 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4((((4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)amino)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butanoyl)oxy)carbonyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 108	76
3.40 4-Bromo- <i>N</i> -(2-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 110	77
3.41 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-((2-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 111	78
3.42 4-Azido- <i>N</i> -(2-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 112	79
3.43 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((2-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 113	80
3.44 4-Bromo- <i>N</i> -(3-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 115	81
3.45 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 116	82
3.46 4-Azido- <i>N</i> -(3-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 117	83
3.47 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 118	83
3.48 (1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-(((<i>S</i>)-1-Phenylethyl)amino)cyclopentan-1-ol 121 and (1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>R</i>)-2-(((<i>S</i>)-1-phenylethyl)amino)cyclopentan-1-ol 122	85
3.49 (1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-Aminocyclopentan-1-ol 123	86
3.50 (1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>R</i>)-2-Aminocyclopentan-1-ol 124	87
3.51 4-Azido- <i>N</i> -((1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 127	87
3.52 4-Azido- <i>N</i> -((1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>R</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 128	88
3.53 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(((1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 129	89
3.54 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(((1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>R</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 130	90
3.55 Methyl (<i>S</i>)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxocyclopentyl)amino)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 131	91
3.56 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 132	92
3.57 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>R</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 133	93
3.58 (1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-((<i>tert</i> -Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclopentan-1-amine 134	94

3.59 4-Azido- <i>N</i> -((1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-((<i>tert</i> -butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclopentyl)butanamide 138	95
3.60 7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-((<i>tert</i> -butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 142	96
3.61 Methyl 7-(4-(4-(<i>tert</i> -butoxy)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 145	97
3.62 4-(4-(1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-3-(methoxycarbonyl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)piperazin-1-yl)butanoic acid trifluoroacetate 146	98
3.63 4-Chloro- <i>N</i> -((1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 148	98
3.64 4-Chloro- <i>N</i> -((1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>R</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 149	99
3.65 (<i>trans</i>)-2-Aminocyclohexan-1-ol 151	100
3.66 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-((<i>trans</i>)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 152	101
3.67 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxocyclohexyl)amino)-butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 153	102
3.68 4-Chloro- <i>N</i> -((<i>trans</i>)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)butanamide 154	103
3.69 4-Azido- <i>N</i> -((<i>trans</i>)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)butanamide 155	103
3.70 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((<i>trans</i>)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 156	104
3.71 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxocyclohexyl)amino)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 157	105
4 NMR spectra	107
4.1 (<i>S</i>)-4-Bromo- <i>N</i> -(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)butanamide 59	107
4.2 (<i>S</i>)-6-Bromo- <i>N</i> -(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide 62	108
4.3 (<i>S</i>)-6-Azido- <i>N</i> -(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide 63	109
4.4 <i>tert</i> -Butyl 4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate 67	110
4.5 1-(Hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazine 68	111
4.6 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 70	112
4.7 5-(4-(Hex-5-yn-1-yloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine 73	113
4.8 (<i>S</i>)-1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(2-oxo-2-((2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)amino)ethyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 74	114
4.9 (<i>S</i>)-1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)amino)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 79	115
4.10 (<i>S</i>)-1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(6-oxo-6-((2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)amino)hexyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 80	116
4.11 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(2-heptyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolin-6-yl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 82	117
4.12 (<i>S</i>)-4-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)- <i>N</i> -(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)butanamide 86	118
4.13 (<i>S</i>)-6-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)- <i>N</i> -(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide 87	119
4.14 6-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-2-heptylquinolin-4(1 <i>H</i>)-one 89	120
4.15 2-(6-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)hexyl)-3-hydroxyquinolin-4(1 <i>H</i>)-one 91	121

4.16	4-Bromo- <i>N</i> -(2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)butanamide 104	122
4.17	Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)amino)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 105	123
4.18	4-Azido- <i>N</i> -(2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)butanamide 106	124
4.19	1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)amino)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 107	125
4.20	1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(((4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)amino)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butanoyl)oxy)methoxy)carbonyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 108	126
4.21	4-Bromo- <i>N</i> -(2-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 110	127
4.22	Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-((2-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 111	128
4.23	1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((2-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 113	129
4.24	4-Bromo- <i>N</i> -(3-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 115	130
4.25	Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 116	131
4.26	4-Azido- <i>N</i> -(3-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 117	132
4.27	1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 118	133
4.28	4-Azido- <i>N</i> -((1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 127	134
4.29	4-Azido- <i>N</i> -((1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>R</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 128	135
4.30	Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-((1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 129	136
4.31	Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-((1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>R</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 130	137
4.32	1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 132	138
4.33	1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>R</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 133	139
4.34	4-Azido- <i>N</i> -((1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-((<i>tert</i> -butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclopentyl)butanamide 138	140
4.35	7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-((<i>tert</i> -butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 142	141
4.36	Methyl 7-(4-(4-(<i>tert</i> -butoxy)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 145	142
4.37	4-(4-(1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-3-(methoxycarbonyl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)piperazin-1-yl)butoanoic acid, trifluoroacetic acid salt 146	143
4.38	4-Chloro- <i>N</i> -((1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>S</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 148	144
4.39	4-Chloro- <i>N</i> -((1 <i>R</i> ,2 <i>R</i>)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 149	145
4.40	Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-((<i>trans</i>)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 152	146
4.41	Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxocyclohexyl)amino)-butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 153	147
4.42	4-Chloro- <i>N</i> -((<i>trans</i>)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)butanamide 154	148
4.43	4-Azido- <i>N</i> -((<i>trans</i>)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)butanamide 155	149

4.44 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((<i>trans</i>)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 156	150
4.45 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxocyclohexyl)amino)butyl)-1 <i>H</i> -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 157	151
5 References	152

1 Autoinducer-antibiotic conjugates

1.1 Introduction



The first part of this project was focused on producing a library of autoinducer-antibiotic conjugates with the hope of increasing the potency of the antibiotics and possibly restoring their action against resistant strains of bacteria. *P. aeruginosa* autoinducers were used, in particular C₄-HSL **2**, HHQ **5** and PQS **4** (see Figure 1). Azido derivatives of these compounds were coupled to alkynyl dervitatives of antibiotics, specifically ciprofloxacin and trimethoprim (see Figure 2), using a copper(I)-catalysed azide-alkyne cycloaddition.^{1,2}




Figure 1: The main *P. aeruginosa* autoinducers.




Figure 2: The antibiotics used in this project.

1.1.1 Azido autoinducer derivatives

The structure-activity relationships in HHQ **5** and PQS **4** have been previously studied,^{3–5} and it was shown various substitutions on the benzene ring could be made without significantly decreasing activity. Positioning of the azide group at C6 was therefore chosen for synthetic reasons (see Figure 3).






Figure 3: The azido derivatives of HHQ **5** and PQS **4**: **40** and **51**.

Alteration of the lactone group of C₄-HSL and other HSL derivatives is known to significantly decrease activity, especially where the number of H-bond donors or acceptors is altered.⁶ Hence, the azide group was included on the tail of C₄-HSL. Acyl tail length is known to play an important role in affinity, so three derivatives of C₄-HSL were synthesised: N₃-C₂-HSL **57**, N₃-C₄-HSL **60** and N₃-C₆-HSL **63** (see Figure 4).




Figure 4: The azido derivatives of C₄-HSL **2**: **57**, **60** and **63**.

1.1.2 Alkynyl antibiotic derivatives

Ciprofloxacin **28** (see Figure 2) is second-generation fluoroquinolone antibiotic used to treat both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial infections including *P. aeruginosa*.⁷ The structure-activity relationships for ciprofloxacin have been investigated⁸ and modifications at the cyclopropane and piperazine groups were found not to cause loss of activity. It was decided an alkyne tail would be added onto the free NH of the piperazine ring, as this position is more synthetically accessible. Alkynyl ciprofloxacin derivative **70** (see Figure 5) was synthesised herein (see 1.3.1), and two cleavable alkynyl ciprofloxacin derivatives **92** and **93** were synthesised by Dr Eddy Sotelo-Perez and combined with some of the azido HSL derivatives made in this study (see 1.2.3 and 1.4.3).




Figure 5: The alkynyl ciprofloxacin derivatives **70**, **92** and **93** used in this study.

Trimethoprim (see Figure 2) is a dihydrofolate reductase inhibitor used primarily to treat bladder infections.⁹ It is active against several significant human pathogens including *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenzae*. The choice to of alkyne tail attachment point (see Figure 6) is based on the use of that same point in a fluorogenic trimethoprim tag synthesised by Jing *et al.*¹⁰

It
doesn't
kill
Staph
A...





Figure 6: The alkynyl trimethoprim derivative **73** used in this study.

1.1.3 Autoinducer-antibiotic conjugates

A copper(I)-catalysed azide-alkyne cycloaddition,^{1,2} commonly referred to as a click reaction although this is a more general term³ was used to join each combination of autoinducer and antibiotic together (see Scheme 1).




Scheme 1: The construction of the triazole-linked autoinducer-antibiotic conjugate library using a copper(I)-catalysed azide-alkyne cycloaddition.

1.2 Azido autoinducer derivatives

1.2.1 Synthesis of 6-N₃-HHQ **40**

The synthesis of 6-N₃-HHQ **40** is shown in Scheme 2 and follows a route devised by Baker.¹¹ Octanoyl chloride **34** was converted to β -Ketoester **35** via a Meldrum's acid adduct.^{12,13} The β -ketoester **35** condensed with *N*-Boc-*p*-phenylenediamine **37** to form enamine **38**. The disappointing yield of this step was in part due to the reaction proceeding to an equilibrium state rather than to completion, and hence not all of the starting material being consumed¹⁴. Starting materials can be recycled to improve the yield. Alternatively, Baker later found a higher-yielding reaction using a ZrCl₄ catalyst.

The enamine **38** was cyclised with polyphosphoric acid to form amino-HHQ **39** in good yield. The amine group of amino-HHQ **39** was converted to a diazo group by reaction with NaNO₂ and HCl, followed by displacement with NaN₃ to form the final azido-HHQ product **40**.¹⁴



Scheme 2: The synthesis of **40**. a) i) Pyridine, DCM, 0 °C. ii) MeOH, reflux, 66 % over two steps. b) MeOH, reflux, 19 %. c) Polyphosphoric acid, 120 °C, 72 %. d) i) NaNO₂, HCl, H₂O, 0 °C. ii) NaN₃, H₂O, r.t., 46.5 %.

1.2.2 Synthesis of 6-N₃-PQS **51**

The synthesis of 6-N₃-PQS **51** is shown in Scheme 3, and also follows a route devised by Baker.¹¹ The Weinreb amide **45**¹⁵ was prepared from chloroacetyl chloride, followed by attack with heptyl magnesium bromide **42** to form 1-chlorononan-2-one **46** following a procedure described by Hodgkinson *et al.*¹⁶

The synthesis of PQS described by Hodgkinson *et al.*¹⁶ uses a microwave reaction of 1-chlorononan-2-one **46** with anthranilic acid. It was hoped that the azide group could be installed by using 5-nitroanthranilic acid **47** in the place of anthranilic acid in this microwave reaction, so that the nitro group could then be converted to an azide group via an amine. However, the microwave-catalysed reaction fails when 5-nitroanthranilic acid **47** is used.¹¹ Therefore, a two step process was employed instead.

5-Nitroanthranilic acid **47** was heated with K₂CO₃ to deprotonate the carboxylic acid, followed by addition of 1-chlorononan-2-one **46** to form the ester **48** by S_N2 displacement of the chlorine atom in a procedure adapted from Hlaváč *et al.*¹⁷ Cyclisation with polyphosphoric acid produced nitro-PQS **49** cleanly.^{17,18}


Conditions for the reduction of the nitro group were then compared (see Table 1). Baker initially used Zn and HCl, however this gave a yield over 100 % suggesting coordination of Zn to the amino-PQS **50**¹¹ (this product was taken through and purified after the next step). She also attempted reduction with Pd/C and H₂ or ammonium formate, but no reaction was observed.

Further conditions were tested in this work in order to obtain a clean sample of amino-PQS **50**. An initial test of reduction with SnCl₂ produced no detectable product by LCMS. Catalytic hydrogenation using harsher conditions was then attempted, and it was determined that increasing the pressure to 3 atm using a Paar hydrogenator causes full conversion in 4 h using Pd/C and H₂. Good yields (80 %) were also achieved using PtO₂ as a catalyst, with the advantage that the reaction proceeds more quickly, and at atmospheric pressure and temperature.¹⁹

Finally, amino-PQS **50** was converted to azido-PQS **51** by reaction with NaNO₂ and HCl to form diazo-PQS, followed by displacement of the diazo group using NaN₃ to give the azido-PQS **51**.¹⁴ The yield of this reaction was rather disappointing (28 %), and is probably due to loss of product in the supernatant following precipitation.¹¹

Conditions	Outcome
H ₂ , Pd/C, 1 atm, r.t., 18 h	No reaction ¹¹
NH ₄ HCO ₂ , Pd/C, 1 atm, r.t., 18 h	No reaction ¹¹
Zn, HCl (aq), r.t., 5 min h	Product 50 + Zn, assumed quantitative yield ¹¹
SnCl ₂ .2H ₂ O, MeOH, r.t., 18 h	No reaction
H ₂ , Pd/C, MeOH, 3 atm, r.t., 4 h.	Product 50 , 100 % yield
H ₂ , PtO ₂ , MeOH, 1 atm, r.t., 45 min	Product 50 , 80 % yield

Table 1: Conditions attempted for the synthesis of **50**.




Scheme 3: The synthesis of **51**. a) Mg turnings, THF, r.t., 2 h then reflux, 2 h. b) *N*,*O*-dimethylhydroxyl amine hydrochloride, K₂CO₃, toluene, H₂O, -5 °C to r.t., 30 min, 71 %. c) THF, 0 °C to r.t., 15 h, 96 %. d) **47**, K₂CO₃, DMF, 90 °C, 1 h, then **46**, r.t., 18 h, 100 %. e) Polyphosphoric acid, 90 °C, 5.5 h, 40 %. f) H₂, PtO₂, MeOH, 1 atm, r.t., 45 min, 80 %. g) i) NaNO₂, HCl, H₂O, 0 °C, 50 min. ii) NaN₃, H₂O, r.t., 4 h, 28 % over two steps.

1.2.3 Synthesis of the azido C₄-HSL derivatives **57**, **60** and **63**

N₃-C₂-HSL **57** (the azido derivative of C₄-HSL with a C₂ chain, see Scheme 4) has previously been prepared by Stacy *et al.*²⁰ Their synthesis was followed, starting with the cyclisation of L-methionine **52** using bromoacetic


acid to form the homoserine lactone HBr salt **54**. The disappointing yield can be attributed to difficulties in precipitating the final product. The homoserine lactone HBr salt **54** was then converted by a biphasic one-pot process to N₃-C₂-HSL **57** using bromoacetyl bromide **55** and NaN₃.



Scheme 4: The synthesis of **57**. a) Bromoacetic acid, *i*-PrOH:H₂O:AcOH (5:5:2), r.t., 18 h, 41 %. b) NaN₃, NaHCO₃, H₂O/CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 18 h, 41 %.

It was hoped that this procedure could also be used to produce the C₄ and C₆ derivatives, however, attempts to convert homoserine lactone **52** to N₃-C₄-HSL **60** using 4-bromobutyryl chloride **58** produced a complex mixture of products. This is likely to be because the S_N2 reaction in which the azide anion displaces bromine is slower for the C₄ derivative as the bromine atom being displaced is no longer adjacent to a carbonyl group. In addition, the longer chain length allows intramolecular cyclisation of the bromide with the secondary amide. The conversion was therefore carried out as a two-step process, where a bromoacyl chain was initially installed, followed by the S_N2 reaction with NaN₃ (see Scheme 5).

Reaction of the homoserine lactone HBr salt **54** with 4-bromobutyryl chloride **58** or 6-bromohexanoyl chloride **61** produced Br-C₄-HSL **59** or Br-C₆-HSL **62** respectively, in good yields. Heating with NaN₃ in DMF converted Br-C₆-HSL **62** to N₃-C₆-HSL **63**. Similar conditions were used by Dr. Bin Yu, a visiting PhD student in the Spring group, to convert the bromo-C₄ derivative **59** to the azido-C₄ derivative **60**, and this compound was kindly donated to complete the set. Yields for the S_N2 reaction could probably be improved by decreasing the temperature (see Scheme 14, for example).



Scheme 5: The synthesis of **60** and **63**. a) Bromoacetic acid, *i*-PrOH:H₂O:AcOH (5:5:2), r.t, 18 h, 41 %. b) NaHCO₃, H₂O/CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 18 h, **59**: 80 %, **62**: 66 %. c) NaN₃, DMF, 100 °C, 5 h, **63**: 27 % (donated by Dr. Bin Yu), **60**: 56 %.

1.3 Alkynyl antibiotic derivatives


1.3.1 Synthesis of the alkynyl ciprofloxacin derivative **70**

The retrosynthesis of ciprofloxacin derivative **70** is shown in Scheme 6. The disconnection to an alkynyl piperazine **70** and a commercially available ciprofloxacin precursor **69** was chosen based on a study by Renau *et al.*, who found this route to be "...superior to previous reports which involved alkylation of piperazine with an appropriate alkyl halide."^{8,21}

put
intro in
intro

It was envisaged that the alkynyl piperazine **70** could be prepared from mono-Boc-protected piperazine **66** and hex-5-ynal **65** using conditions similar to those used by Renau *et al.*⁸

Unlike the aldehydes and ketones used by Renau *et al.*,⁸ hex-5-ynal **65** is not commercially available and so it was hoped that this could be prepared by oxidation of hex-5-yanol **64**.



Scheme 6: The retrosynthesis of **70**.

The synthesis of ciprofloxacin derivative **70** is shown in Scheme 7. Hex-5-ynal **65** was prepared by PCC oxidation of hex-5-yanol **64** in good yield according to the procedure described by Kocsis *et al.*²²

Renau *et al.*⁸ used sodium cyanoborohydride to facilitate the reductive amination of hex-5-ynal **65** and 1-Boc-piperazine **66**. However, it was decided to attempt this transformation using the less toxic sodium triacetoxyborohydride following a procedure reported by Abdel-Magid *et al.*²³ This reaction yielded compound **67** in excellent yield, which was deprotected using TFA using the procedure described by Renau *et al.*⁸ to give the alkynyl piperazine **68** quantitatively.


The alkynyl piperazine **68** was refluxed in MeCN with the ciprofloxacin precursor **69** according to the procedure described by Renau *et al.*,⁸ however the reaction did not proceed. Addition of 2 eq. of NEt₃ did not lead to reaction, however it was found that refluxing in neat NEt₃ led to conversion to the final ciprofloxacin derivative **70**.

With a small sample of the final product in hand, less harsh conditions were sought for a larger-scale version of the final reaction. Mircowave irradiation at 115 °C was used, following a procedure by Reddy *et al.*²⁴ DMSO and NMP were tested as solvents, with or without the addition of TEA. The reactions were monitored using LCMS, and NMP without TEA was found to give the highest conversion.

Work-up of this reaction proved difficult, with an unknown dark brown viscous liquid being formed which was difficult to separate from the white solid product. A pure sample was obtained by recrystallisation from EtOAc, but the yield was rather poor (11.8 %). The reaction was observed to stall after a certain point, while still having some of the ciprofloxacin precursor **69** present. The alkynyl piperazine **68** was not observed by TLC despite having been added in two-fold excess, suggesting that it degraded to a by-product before having chance to react.

Further attempts to refine this reaction might involve lower temperatures, higher ratios of the alkynyl piperazine **68** or improvement of the purification, e.g. by finding better precipitation conditions or by using

reverse-phase chromatography. A Buchwald-Hartwig coupling or Ullmann reaction could also be attempted, but, as seen later, coordination of ciprofloxacin to Cu can hinder catalysis.




Scheme 7: The synthesis of **70**. a) Pyridinium chlorochromate, CH_2Cl_2 , r.t., 5 h, 72 %. b) $\text{NaBH}(\text{AcO})_3$, 1,2-dichloroethane, r.t., 10.5 h, 99 %. c) TFA, r.t., 1 h, 100 %. d) NMP, microwave, 115°C 24 h, 11.8 %.

1.3.2 Synthesis of the alkynyl trimethoprim derivative **73**

The synthesis of trimethoprim derivative **73** is shown in Scheme 8. Trimethoprim was selectively deprotected using HBr (aq.) using a procedure described by Jing *et al.*¹⁰ to form **71**. A slightly longer reaction time (40 min vs 20 min) probably led to the yield being slightly somewhat lower than that obtained by Jing *et al.* The main impurity was asymmetrically di-demethylated trimethoprim, which could be identified by the presence of two aryl peaks at 6.41 (d, $J=2.0\text{ Hz}$, 1 H) and 6.34 (d, $J=2.0\text{ Hz}$, 1 H) and a corresponding methyl peak at 3.82 (s, 3 H) in the crude NMR.

The alkynyl trimethoprim derivative **73** was synthesised from the demethylated trimethoprim **71** and 6-chloro-1-hexyne **72** using a Cs_2CO_3 -catalysed $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$ reaction similar to that used by Jing *et al.*.

weigh
Y4Tri
then
discuss



Scheme 8: The synthesis of **73**. a) HBr (aq.), 100 °C, 40 min, 43.4 %. b) Cs₂CO₃, DMF, 70 °C, 7 h, 19.6 %.


1.4 Triazole-linked autoinducer-antibiotic conjugates

1.4.1 Optimisation of the click reaction

Test reactions using N₃-C₂-HSL **57** and the alkynyl ciprofloxacin derivative **70** were performed to find conditions for the click reactions between the azido autoinducers and the alkynyl antibiotics (see Table 2 and Scheme 9). Stirring at r.t. had no effect even with an extended reaction time. Heating to 50 °C did lead to slow formation of the product, but a mixture of the 1,4 **74** and 1,5 **75** isomers was observed in an approximately 4:1 ratio by LCMS (see Figure 8). Use of the ligand tris(3-hydroxypropyltriazolylmethyl)amine (THPTA) **76** (see Figure 7) led to some conversion at room temperature, however the reaction stopped before completion, probably due to oxidation of the Cu(I) catalytic species. When degassed solvent and an argon atmosphere were used the reaction proceeded to completion at room temperature in around 3 h.

Conditions	Outcome
CuSO ₄ ·H ₂ O, sodium ascorbate, H ₂ O, <i>t</i> -BuOH, air, r.t., 7 d.	No reaction
CuSO ₄ ·H ₂ O, sodium ascorbate, H ₂ O, <i>t</i> -BuOH, air, 50 °C, 5 d.	1,3-Triazole product 74 and 1,5 triazole impurity 75 4:1
CuSO ₄ ·H ₂ O, sodium ascorbate, THPTA 76 , H ₂ O, <i>t</i> -BuOH, air, r.t., 3 h.	1,3-Triazole product 74 and starting materials 57 and 70
CuSO ₄ ·H ₂ O, sodium ascorbate, THPTA 76 , H ₂ O, <i>t</i> -BuOH, Ar, r.t., 3 h.	1,3-Triazole product 74

Table 2: Conditions attempted for the synthesis of **74** (see Scheme 9).



Scheme 9: Synthesis of **74**. For conditions see Table 2.




Figure 7: Tris[(1-benzyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl]amine (THPTA) **76**.






Figure 8: 1,4 (left) and 1,5 (right) triazoles.

1.4.2 Synthesis of the autoinducer-ciprofloxacin and autoinducer-trimethoprim triazole conjugates

Once conditions had been found for the click reaction, the synthesis of other conjugates was attempted. Two additional azides were kindly donated by members of the Spring group: the azido derivative of 3-oxo-C₁₂-HSL **77** was synthesised by Ryan Howard, a master's student under my supervision²⁵ and the tail azide derivative of PQS **78** was synthesised by Ysobel Baker¹¹ (see Figure 9).



77




78

Figure 9: Further azido autoinducer derivatives synthesised by Howard²⁵ **77** and Baker¹¹ **78**.

Synthesis of the conjugates proved more difficult than expected: some compounds did not dissolve in the reaction solvent (50 % water/*t*-BuOH) requiring addition of co-solvents such as CH₂Cl₂, HSL derivatives hydrolysed upon attempted HPLC purification, the 3-oxo-C₁₂-HSL conjugates degraded, and the reaction was highly air-sensitive which led to stalling. The most reliable procedure was determined over the course of several reactions, and is shown in 3.25.

Nonetheless, several conjugates were produced for testing. The results of the reactions are shown in Table 3, Table 4, Table 5 and Table 6. It was intended that the failed reactions would be repeated, but as preliminary biological testing proved unpromising it was decided that attention should be focused elsewhere.

ref



Scheme 10: General scheme for the click reaction, where R₁-N₃ is an azido autoinducer derivative and R₂-≡ is an alkynyl antibiotic derivative a)CuSO₄, sodium ascorbate, THPTA, H₂O, *t*-BuOH.





Starting materials	Product	Outcome	Yield
57 and 70	 74	✓ Reaction complete by LCMS in 3 h. Purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , 0 - 20 % MeOH/ CH_2Cl_2).	29.6 %
60 and 70	 79	✓ Reaction complete by LCMS in 3 h. Purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , 0 - 20 % MeOH/ CH_2Cl_2).	46.8 %
63 and 70	 80	✓ Reaction complete by LCMS in 3 h. Purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , 0 - 20 % MeOH/ CH_2Cl_2).	38.0 %
77 and 70	 81	✗ Reaction complete by LCMS in 3.5 h, but product degraded when subjected to column chromatography (SiO_2 , 20 % MeOH/ CH_2Cl_2).	

Table 3: Click reactions attempted.






Starting materials	Product	Outcome	Yield
40 and 70	 <p style="text-align: center;">82</p>	✓ Reaction complete by LCMS in 1.5 h. Purified by prep. HPLC.	27.0 %
51 and 70	 <p style="text-align: center;">83</p>	✗ Reaction did not go to completion by LCMS. Attempted purification by prep. HPLC but unsuccessful.	
78 and 70	 <p style="text-align: center;">84</p>	✗ No reaction seen by LCMS.	

Table 4: Click reactions attempted.





Starting materials	Product	Outcome	Yield
57 and 73	 85	✗ Reaction complete by LCMS in 2 h, but lactone hydrolysed on prep. HPLC column.	
60 and 73	 86	✓ Reaction complete by LCMS in 2 weeks (stalled). Purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , 20 % $\text{MeOH}/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$).	16.8 %
63 and 73	 87	✓ Reaction complete by LCMS in 2 weeks (stalled). Purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , 20 % $\text{MeOH}/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$).	26.8 %
77 and 73	 88	✗ Degraded during reaction.	

Table 5: Click reactions attempted.

Starting materials	Product	Outcome	Yield
40 and 73		✓ Reaction complete by LCMS in 1.5 h. Purified by prep. HPLC.	41.0 %
51 and 73		✗ Reaction did not go to completion by LCMS. Attempted purification by prep. HPLC but unsuccessful.	
78 and 73		✓ Reaction complete by LCMS in 3 h. Purified by column chromatography (SiO2, 20 % MeOH/CH2Cl2).	18.3 %

Table 6: Click reactions attempted.

1.4.3 Synthesis of homoserine lactone-ciprofloxacin triazole conjugates with cleavable linkers

In addition to the conjugates shown in the previous section, a further collection was synthesised in collaboration with Prof. Eddy Sotelo-Perez, a visiting researcher in the Spring group. Prof. Sotelo-Perez synthesised two alkyne-linked ciprofloxacin derivatives **92** and **93** (see Figure 10), both with cleavable linkers (see ??).

link
this up




Figure 10: The cleavable alkyne-Cip derivatives synthesised by Prof. Eddy Sotelo-Perez.

Prof. Sotelo-Perez then performed click reactions using the AHL azide derivatives **57**, **60** and **63** shown in 1.2.3 to form a library of conjugates (see Figure 11). It was hoped that these conjugates would enter the cell and then be cleaved by esterases to release ciprofloxacin (see ??).

link
this up
should
I show
the
syn-
thesis?




Figure 11: The cleavable HSL-Cip triazole conjugates synthesised by Prof. Eddy Sotelo-Perez.

In addition, two control compounds **100** and **101** with benzyl head groups were produced by Prof. Sotelo-Perez (see Figure 12). It was hoped that these would show whether the AHL head group is required for activity.




Figure 12: The cleavable Bn-Cip triazole conjugates **100** and **101** synthesised by Prof. Eddy Sotelo-Perez.

2 Autoinducer analogue-ciprofloxacin conjugates

2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 Inspiration

Following on from the library of compounds based on *P. aeruginosa* autoinducers, a series of conjugates based on *analogues* of C₄-HSL were planned. This strategy was inspired by a paper²⁶ and patent²⁷ by Ganguly *et al.*, who synthesised and characterised a conjugate **105** of methyl ciprofloxacin with homocysteine thiolactone (see Figure 13). Homocysteine thiolactone is an analogue of homoserine lactone with the ring oxygen replaced by sulfur. It has been used as the head group in several other known quorum sensing modulators.²⁸⁻³⁵

show
com-
pounds
it's in
and de-
scribe?




Figure 13: The HCTL-CipMe conjugate **105** studied by Ganguly *et al.*^{26,27}



As part of their characterisation of the HCTL-CipMe conjugate **105**, Ganguly *et al.* found the MIC of the conjugate in *P. aeruginosa* under standard planktonic conditions. The MIC was found to be ten times higher for the conjugate vs. ciprofloxacin (50 vs. 5 μm), indicating that the conjugate was less effective than ciprofloxacin under planktonic conditions.

Ganguly *et al.* then investigated the effect of the conjugate on biofilms. The conjugate and ciprofloxacin were first added to dilute *P. aeruginosa* liquid culture at 25 μm . As expected, the culture failed to grow and form biofilm in the presence of ciprofloxacin, but did grow in the presence of the conjugate **105**. They then incubated cultures for 24 h, to allow biofilms to grow, before adding the compounds. In contrast, they found that the conjugate **105** disrupted the biofilm more effectively than ciprofloxacin. When the biofilm was grown for 48 or 72 hours the conjugate had similarly disruptive effects, whereas ciprofloxacin did not show any significant antibacterial activity²⁸.

These results are exciting as they hint that an autoinducer conjugate might be able to combat an established *P. aeruginosa* infection more effectively than the unmodified antibiotic. Ganguly *et al.* suggest that their conjugate is more effective than ciprofloxacin in penetrating biofilms, and/or better at avoiding being pumped out by multidrug efflux pumps. They posit that this could be due to the thiolactone head, as they also showed that unconjugated C₄-HCTL **158** (see Figure 14) has either enhanced uptake or functional activity²⁹ when compared with C₄-HSL **2**.




Figure 14: C₄-HSL **2** and C₄-HCTL **158**. Note that Ganguly *et al.* tested the *S* enantiomer of C₄-HCTL **158**, but used a racemic mixture in their HCTL-CipMe conjugate.

While the results found by Ganguly *et al.* show promise, they only test one conjugate, and do not include controls to show that the HCTL group specifically is necessary for the enhanced effect. It was therefore decided to build on this work by synthesising a series of ciprofloxacin conjugates with head groups known as part of quorum modulators.^{6,36}

2.1.2 Head groups

The activity of the chosen head groups against *P. aeruginosa* receptors when coupled with the native C₄ and 3-oxo-C₁₂ tails is summarised in Table 7. It is speculated that high activity of these molecules should correlate with high activity of their ciprofloxacin conjugates. This is not a comprehensive list of active head groups, and other possible choices are covered in ??.

read
these
again,
put
ones I
didn't
do in
further
work

The exact head groups studied herein are shown in Figure 15. The cyclohexanol derivatives were synthesised as a diastereomerically pure racemate, whereas the cyclopentanol derivatives were synthesised as separate enantiomers. Unfortunately, cyclopentanone derivatives were not synthesised, and would be an obvious future addition to the library. The 2-methoxybenzene derivatives do not have precedents as quorum sensing modulators in the literature, but they were included so as to be compared with the 3-methoxybenzene derivatives.

check
Bour-sier2018
for
print
publica-tion
details

Head group		
	Partial agonist and antiagonist against LasR. ³³ Shown to increase biofilm formation in <i>P. aeruginosa</i> . ²⁶	Strong agonist against LasR, with comparable activity to the native ligand. ^{30,31,33,37}
	Partial agonist against LasR. ³⁶	Strong antagonist against LasR. ³⁶
	Poor agonist and antagonist against RhlR. ^{38,39}	Strong antagonist against LasR ³⁸
	Strong agonist against RhlR. ³⁸ <i>SS</i> enantiomer is more potent. ³⁹	Partial agonist against LasR. ³⁸
	Strong agonist against RhlR. ³⁸ <i>SS</i> enantiomer is more potent, with comparable activity to the native ligand. ³⁹	Strong agonist against LasR. ^{31,38} <i>SS</i> enantiomer is more potent, with comparable activity to the native ligand. ³⁹
	Strong agonist against RhlR. ³⁸ <i>SS</i> enantiomer is more potent. ³⁹	Partial antagonist against LasR. ³⁸ Shown to reduce biofilm formation in <i>P. aeruginosa</i> . ³⁸

Table 7: Activities of autoinducers containing the chosen head groups when coupled with C₄ or 3-oxo-C₁₂ tails.





Figure 15: The head groups used in this section.

2.1.3 Linkers

As Ganguly *et al.*⁷ synthesised their conjugate from Br-C₄-HCTL, it was envisaged that a branching strategy could be used to produce two sets of conjugates (see Scheme 11). The first set would be formed by the S_N2 reaction of the relevant bromide with methyl ciprofloxacin. The second set would be made by displacing the bromide with azide, then performing a click reaction with the alkynyl ciprofloxacin derivative **70** made previously to form the triazole-linked product. Ketone conjugates would be formed by oxidation of the alcohols.




Scheme 11

2.2 Homocysteine thiolactone derivatives

2.2.1 Synthesis of methyl ciprofloxacin 102


The synthesis of the analogue conjugates began with the synthesis of methyl ciprofloxacin **102**, which would then be attached to the various head groups. Methyl ciprofloxacin **102** was synthesised from ciprofloxacin **28** and MeOH in very good yield using *para*-toluenesulfonic acid as a catalyst.⁴⁰



Scheme 12: Synthesis of methyl ciprofloxacin **102**. a) *p*-TSA, MeOH, 72 h, reflux, 83.3 %.

2.2.2 Synthesis of Br-C₄-HCTL **104**

The HCTL head group was then attached to the linker to form Br-C₄-HCTL **104**, in preparation for coupling to methyl ciprofloxacin **102**. Br-C₄-HCTL **104** was synthesised using the Schotten-Baumann conditions employed previously for the HSL derivatives **59** and **62**. Br-C₄-HCTL **104** was isolated in markedly higher yield than that achieved by Ganguly *et al.*²⁶ (87.9 % vs. 25.0 %). It is possible that this was due to CH₂Cl₂ being used for the extraction, whereas Ganguly *et al.* used EtOAc.




Scheme 13: Synthesis of Br-C₄-HCTL **104**. a) NaHCO₃, CH₂Cl₂, H₂O, 0 °C, 1 h, 87.9 %.

2.2.3 Synthesis of the HCTL-CipMe conjugate **105**

The HCTL-CipMe conjugate **105** was synthesised using the procedure outlined by Ganguly *et al.*²⁶ Monitoring by LCMS showed slow conversion to the product. Br-C₄-HCTL **104** was presumably consumed by side reactions as 4 eq. were required to reach full conversion. Ganguly *et al.* do not quote a yield for comparison^{26,27} but it is hoped that the 12.2 % achieved herein could be improved upon. The side reactions led to the production of an unidentified brown, viscous contaminant which made purification by flash column chromatography (as was used by Ganguly *et al.*) challenging. Preparatory HPLC on a partially purified sample gave enough pure HCTL-CipMe conjugate **105** for biological testing.

Future optimisation of the synthesis could focus on different routes to the product, e.g. the peptide coupling described in 2.5.4, or different purification methods, e.g. using just preparatory HPLC, or reverse phase flash column chromatography.




Scheme 14: Synthesis of the HCTL-CipMe conjugate **105**, N₃-C₄-HCTL **106**, and the HCTL-Cip triazole conjugate **107**. a) K₂CO₃, acetonitrile, reflux, 24 h, 12.2 %.

2.2.4 Synthesis of the HCTL-Cip triazole conjugate **107**

Br-C₄-HCTL **104** was converted into N₃-C₄-HCTL **106** (see Scheme 14), by an S_N2 reaction with sodium azide which proceeded in excellent yield.


N₃-C₄-HCTL **106** was then subjected to the click reaction conditions optimised previously (see 3.25). The reaction proceeded very slowly at first, until it was realised that the azide did not dissolve in the reaction solvent and formed a single solid clump. DMSO was added as a co-solvent, and the reaction began to proceed, albeit still slowly. It is possible that the sulfur atom coordinates to the copper, thus inhibiting its catalytic ability. Nonetheless the HCTL-Cip triazole conjugate **107** was eventually isolated in good yield (see Scheme 15).



Scheme 15: Synthesis of the HCTL-Cip triazole conjugate **107**. a) NaN₃, acetonitrile, reflux, 1.5 h, 89.3 %. b) CuSO₄, THPTA, sodium ascorbate, H₂O, t-BuOH, DMSO, r.t., 7 d, 70.6 %.

2.2.5 Synthesis of the cleavable HCTL-Cip triazole conjugate 108

A cleavable conjugate **108** was also synthesised from N₃-C₄-HCTL **106** by reaction with a cleavable alkyne-Cip derivative **92** synthesised previously by Prof. Eddy Sotelo-Perez (see 1.4.3). Conditions developed by Prof. Sotelo-Perez were used, but again the reaction proceeded very slowly. The disappointing yield is, however, most likely due to losses during purification.




Scheme 16: Synthesis of the cleavable HCTL-Cip triazole conjugate **108**. a) CuI, DIPEA, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 3 h, 5.0 %.

2.3 2-Methoxybenzene derivatives

2.3.1 Synthesis of Br-C₆-2-methoxybenzene 110

Br-C₄-2-methoxybenzene **110** was synthesised from 2-methoxyaniline **109** and 4-bromobutyryl chloride **58** using Schotten-Baumann conditions in 50.0 % yield (see Scheme 17). The compound is air and/or light sensitive, turning from an initially colourless liquid to blue then black if left out on the bench. It is likely that the mediocre yield is due degradation during columning, and it is suggested that in future the compound should be used in its crude form to minimise exposure to air and light, as it was fairly pure by ¹H NMR before columning.




Scheme 17: Synthesis of Br-C₄-2-methoxybenzene **110**. a) NaHCO₃, CH₂Cl₂, H₂O, 0 °C, 1 h, 50.0 %.

2.3.2 Synthesis of the 2-methoxybenzene-CipMe conjugate 111

The procedure outlined by Ganguly *et al.*²⁶ was initially attempted in order to synthesise the 2-methoxybenzene-CipMe conjugate **111**, but the reaction was very slow and did not go to completion, presumably due to degra-

dation of Br-C₄-2-methoxybenzene **110**. New conditions, employing a microwave reactor and 2 eq. of Br-C₄-2-methoxybenzene **110** were then attempted, with a much greater conversion observed by LCMS after 4 h (see ??). However, a poor yield was obtained, potentially due to degradation during column chromatography, which took longer than for Br-C₄-2-methoxybenzene **110** because the 2-methoxybenzene-CipMe conjugate **111** is more polar.



Scheme 18: Synthesis of the 2-methoxybenzene-CipMe conjugate **111** and N₃-C₄-2-methoxybenzene **112**. a) NaI, DIPEA, acetonitrile, microwave reactor, 100 °C, 4 h, 10.2 %.

2.3.3 Synthesis of the 2-methoxybenzene-Cip triazole conjugate **113**

N₃-C₄-2-methoxybenzene **112** was synthesised from Br-C₄-2-methoxybenzene **110** by an S_N2 reaction with sodium azide (see ??). The yield of N₃-C₄-2-methoxybenzene **112** (26.7 %) was a lot lower than for N₃-C₄-HCTL **106** (89.3 %). The colour of N₃-C₄-2-methoxybenzene **112**, like its precursor, changed from clear to blue then black, suggesting that it is also air/light sensitive and may have degraded during columning. However, in this case it may not be better to use this product crude as several impurities could be observed by LCMS (see Figure 16).





Figure 16: Impurities formed during the synthesis of N₃-C₄-2-methoxybenzene **112**.

The 2-methoxybenzene-Cip triazole conjugate **113** was synthesised using the standard click conditions (see 3.25), with the addition of CH₂Cl₂ as a co-solvent to aid the dissolution of N₃-C₄-2-methoxybenzene **112** (see Scheme 19). Again, the yield was somewhat low, probably due to air/light sensitivity of the starting material and/or product.




Scheme 19: Synthesis of the 2-methoxybenzene-Cip triazole conjugate **113**. a) NaN₃, acetonitrile, reflux, 2 h, 26.7 %. b) CuSO₄, THPTA, sodium ascorbate, H₂O, *t*-BuOH, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 16 h, 39.0 %.

2.4 3-Methoxybenzene derivatives

2.4.1 Synthesis of Br-C₄-3-methoxybenzene **115**


Br-C₄-3-methoxybenzene **115** was synthesised from 3-methoxyaniline **114** and 4-bromobutyryl chloride **58** using Schotten-Baumann conditions as above in almost identical (49.6 %) yield (see Scheme 20). The compound is probably also air and/or light sensitive, turning from a pale pink amorphous solid to a pale brown liquid.



Scheme 20: Synthesis of Br-C₄-3-methoxybenzene **110**. a) NaHCO₃, CH₂Cl₂, H₂O, 0 °C, 1 h, 49.6 %.

2.4.2 Synthesis of the 3-methoxybenzene-CipMe conjugate **116**


The 3-methoxybenzene-CipMe conjugate **116**, was synthesised as above, in similar yield (see Scheme 21).



Scheme 21: Synthesis of the 3-methoxybenzene-CipMe conjugate **116** and N₃-C₄-3-methoxybenzene **117**. a) NaI, DIPEA, acetonitrile, microwave reactor, 100 °C, 4 h, 10.5 %.

2.4.3 Synthesis of the 3-methoxybenzene-Cip triazole conjugate **118**

N₃-C₄-2-methoxybenzene **112** and the 3-methoxybenzene-Cip triazole conjugate **118** were synthesised as above, in similar yields (see Scheme 21 and Scheme 22).




Scheme 22: Synthesis of the 3-methoxybenzene-Cip triazole conjugate **118**. a) NaN₃, acetonitrile, reflux, 7 h, 16.7 %. b) CuSO₄, THPTA, sodium ascorbate, H₂O, t-BuOH, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 2 h, 5.0 %.

2.5 Cyclopentanol derivatives

2.5.1 Synthesis of the 2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol head groups **123** and **124**

Synthesis of the cyclopentanol derivatives began with the synthesis of (*1S,2S*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **123** and (*1R,2R*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **124** (see Scheme 23), using a procedure reported by Overman and Sugai.^{41–43} These precursors were synthesised by opening cyclopentene oxide **119** using (*S*)-1-phenylethan-1-amine **120** to give approximately equal amounts of two diastereomers, **121** and **122**, which were separated using column chromatography. The removal of the methylbenzyl groups proved more difficult than expected, with the conditions reported by Overman and Sugai⁴² yielding only a salt of the starting material. After several attempts under various conditions (including using the free amine vs. the salt, varying the temperature, ensuring the dryness of the reagents and adding acetic acid), an approach using H₂ gas was attempted (see Table 8). This proceeded smoothly at 5 atm to give the two enantiomers of 2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol, **123** and **124**, both in quantitative yield.




Scheme 23: Synthesis of (*1S,2S*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **123** and (*1R,2R*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **124**. a) AlMe₃, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, **121** (*SSS*): 35.2 %, **122** (*RRS*): 32.1 %. b) See Table 8. c) Pd(OH)₂, MeOH, H₂, 5 atm, r.t., 1 d, 100 %.

Conditions	Temperature and pressure	Time	Result
121 · HCl, ammonium formate, 10 % Pd/C, DMF	r.t., 1 atm	2 d	121 salt
121 , ammonium formate, 10 % Pd/C, DMF	r.t., 1 atm	2 d	121 salt
121 · HCl, ammonium formate, 10 % Pd/C, dry DMF	r.t., 1 atm	2 d	121 salt
122 , ammonium formate, 10 % Pd/C, dry DMF	r.t., 1 atm	2 d	122 salt
121 , ammonium formate, 10 % Pd/C, dry DMF	70 °C, 1 atm	1 d	121 salt
121 , ammonium formate, 10 % Pd/C, dry DMF, AcOH	70 °C, 1 atm	1 d	Complex mixture
121 · HCl, dry ammonium formate, 10 % Pd/C, dry DMF	120 °C, 1 atm	7 d	Complex mixture
121 · HCl, Pd(OH) ₂ , MeOH, H ₂	r.t., 1 atm	1 d	121 salt
121 · HCl, Pd(OH) ₂ , MeOH, H ₂	r.t., 3.4 atm	1 d	123 salt, 121 salt, and an unidentified compound (approx. 7:2:10 by ¹ H NMR)
121 , Pd(OH) ₂ , MeOH, H ₂	r.t., 5 atm	1 d	123 , 100 % yield

Table 8: Conditions attempted for the synthesis of (*1S,2S*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **123** and (*1R,2R*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **124** (see Scheme 23).


2.5.2 Initial branching route

An initial retrosynthesis of the conjugates is shown in Scheme 24, and follows a similar path to previous conjugates.



Scheme 24: Retrosynthesis of the cyclopentanol-CipMe conjugates **129** (*SS*) and **130** (*RR*), and the cyclopentanol-Cip triazole conjugates **132** (*SS*) and **133** (*RR*). *SS* enantiomers are shown, but both will be synthesised.

Synthesis of Br-C₄-cyclopentanol-(*SS*) **125** from (1*S*,2*S*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **123** and 4-bromobutyryl chloride **58** was attempted using Schotten-Baumann conditions (see Scheme 25). However, a large number of impurities were observed by LCMS (see Figure 17), and so three new strategies were attempted: protection of the alcohol (see 2.5.3), installing the linker on methyl ciprofloxacin **102** and then attaching the head group by peptide coupling (see 2.5.4), and using 4-chlorobutyryl chloride **147** as the linker instead of 4-bromobutyryl chloride **58** (see 2.6.3).



Scheme 25: Attempted synthesis of Br-C₄-cyclopentanol-(*SS*) **125**. a) NaHCO₃, CH₂Cl₂, H₂O, 0 °C, 2 h.





Figure 17: Impurities observed by LCMS during the synthesis of Br-C₄-cyclopentanol-(SS) **125**. Regiochemistry is speculative.

2.5.3 TBDMS protection route

The first attempt at an alternative strategy for the synthesis of the conjugates involved TBDMS protection of the alcohol (see Scheme 26). It was envisaged that protection would eliminate enough of the side reactions with products shown in Figure 17 that intermediates Br-C₄-cyclopentanol-(SS) **125** and N₃-C₄-cyclopentanol-(SS) **127** could be purified. The TBDMS group could be removed later in the synthesis using TBAF or acid.




Scheme 26: Retrosynthesis of the cyclopentanol-CipMe conjugates **129** (*SS*) and **130** (*RR*), and the cyclopentanol-Cip triazole conjugates **132** (*SS*) and **133** (*RR*) using a TBDMS protection strategy. *SS* enantiomers are shown, but both will be synthesised.

2.5.3.1 Synthesis of TBDMS-protected (1*S*,2*S*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **123**

The synthesis began with the optimisation of the protection of (1*S*,2*S*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **123** with a TBDMS group on the alcohol (see Scheme 28). This reaction proved more problematic than expected, possibly

due to the amine group interfering with the reaction at the alcohol and/or the high polarity of the starting material causing problems with solubility in the reaction mixture and extraction during the work-up. Conditions attempted are summarised in Table 9. Protection attempts using TBDMSCl were generally unsuccessful, but eventually a method employed by Wu et. al⁴⁴ using TBDMSOTf was found to produce the desired product in excellent yield. Water was used for the work-up rather than NH₄Cl (sat. aq.), as the acidic work-up protonated the product. The TEA was removed during column chromatography instead.




Scheme 27: Synthesis of TBDMS protected (1*S*,2*S*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **134**. a) See Table 9.

Conditions	Temperature	Time	Result
TBDMSCl, DMAP, TEA, CH ₂ Cl ₂ ⁴⁵	r.t.	18 h	Trace of 134 , mostly 123
TBDMSCl, imidazole, CH ₂ Cl ₂ ⁴⁶	0 °C	1 h	123
TBDMSCl, DBU, MeCN ⁴⁷	0 °C	1 d	123
TBDMSOTf, TEA, CH ₂ Cl ₂ , ⁴⁴ aq. workup then column	0 °C	6 h	134 , 97.7 % yield

Table 9: Conditions attempted for the synthesis of (1*S*,2*S*)-2-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclopentan-1-amine **134** (see Scheme 28).

2.5.3.2 Synthesis of Br-C₄-cyclopentanol-TBDMS-(SS) **136**


The TBDMS protected (1*S*,2*S*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **134** was reacted with 4-bromobutyryl chloride **58** to form Br-C₄-cyclopentanol-TBDMS-(SS) **136**. The reaction was observed to go to completion by TLC, but it became apparent that the product was reacting further during concentration and purification. Adding sodium azide to the mixture obtained after the failed purification attempts was observed to convert the remaining Br-C₄-cyclopentanol-TBDMS-(SS) **136** to N₃-C₄-cyclopentanol-TBDMS-(SS) **138**. A sequential one-pot reaction was therefore used, so that the reactive intermediate did not need to be isolated.



Scheme 28: Attempted synthesis of Br-C₄-cyclopentanol-TBDMS-(SS) **136**. a) NaHCO₃, CH₂Cl₂, H₂O, 0 °C, 2 h.

2.5.3.3 Synthesis of N₃-C₄-cyclopentanol-TBDMS-(SS) 138 by one-pot reaction


N₃-C₄-cyclopentanol-TBDMS-(SS) **138** was finally synthesised by a two-step, one-pot reaction. Schotten-Baumann conditions were used to form the bromide. The water was then removed, and DMF and sodium azide were added. N₃-C₄-cyclopentanol-TBDMS-(SS) **138** was produced in excellent yield.



Scheme 29: Synthesis of N₃-C₄-cyclopentanol-TBDMS-(SS) **138**. a) NaHCO_3 , CH_2Cl_2 , H_2O , 0°C , 3 h. b) NaN_3 , DMF , CH_2Cl_2 , r.t., 3 h. 99.2 % over 2 steps.

2.5.3.4 Synthesis of the (SS)-TBDMS-cyclopentanol-Cip triazole conjugate **142**


N₃-C₄-cyclopentanol-TBDMS-(SS) **138** and the alkynyl ciprofloxacin derivative **70** were subjected to standard click conditions (see 3.25), and the (SS)-TBDMS-cyclopentanol-Cip triazole conjugate **142** was synthesised in very good yield. However, removal of the TBDMS group proved difficult. Deprotection using 1.5 eq. TBAF in THF proceeded slowly, reaching completion in 5 d. Increasing the amount of TBAF to 8 eq. allowed the reaction to proceed overnight. Purification of the final conjugate **132** by column chromatography was not successful due to streaking and poor separation. Purification using DOWEX resin and CaCO_3 ⁴⁸ was attempted, but the product could not be recovered from the resin. The purification method could probably be optimised, e.g. by varying the solvent used with the resin, but ultimately this route was abandoned due to the reduction in number of steps afforded by the two methods described below.



Scheme 30: Synthesis of the (*SS*)-TBDMS-cyclopentanol-Cip triazole conjugate **142**. a) CuSO_4 , sodium ascorbate, THPTA, H_2O , *t*-BuOH, r.t., 87.4 %. b) TBAF, THF, r.t., 16 h.

2.5.4 Synthesis of the cyclopentanol-CipMe conjugates **129** and **130** by peptide coupling

Given the side-reactions and low yields associated with the literate synthesis of the $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$ conjugates proposed by Ganguly et. al,²⁶ an alternative synthesis was investigated, involving building up the linker on the ciprofloxacin side before coupling with the head group (see Scheme 31).




Scheme 31: Retrosynthesis of the cyclopentanol-CipMe conjugates **129** (*SS*) and **130** (*RR*). *SS* enantiomers are shown, but both will be synthesised.

The first step of the synthesis was an S_N2 reaction between Boc-protected 4-bromobutyric acid **144** methyl ciprofloxacin **102** (see Scheme 32). This reaction used fairly harsh conditions (16 h at 100 °C), but early on in the synthesis before the head group was installed. Hence the possibility of side reactions between the bromide and the amine was removed. Intermediate **145** was obtained in acceptable yield after column chromatography (49.9 %). Intermediate **145** was deprotected in excellent yield using TFA in CH_2Cl_2 to give carboxylic acid **146**. Scale-up of this reaction allowed the easy synthesis of 600 mg of this useful intermediate, which can be coupled with various amine head-groups to create a library. Carboxylic acid **146** was first coupled with (*1R,2R*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **124** using standard peptide coupling conditions to give cyclopentanol-CipMe conjugate **130**. Purification by column chromatography was attempted twice with poor results, before moving on to using preparative HPLC, which gave **130** cleanly in 38.7 % yield. Coupling was also performed with (*1S,2S*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **123** to give the enantiomer **129** in 54.7 % yield.

Direct comparisons of routes are not possible without repeating syntheses using this new method, but if it is assumed that peptide coupling of homocysteine thiolactone hydrochloride **103** to carboxylic acid **146** would have a similar yield to the coupling with (*1R,2R*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **124**, approximate comparisons can be made. The synthesis described in 2.2 has an overall yield of 10.7 %, whereas the route shown in Scheme 32

for **129** has an overall yield of 26.1 %. Moreover, if the yield starting from the head group (which may be expensive, difficult to synthesise and/or unstable) is considered, the yield is 54.7 % vs. 10.7 %. Therefore, this route is recommended for further investigation if the library is to be expanded.


A downside to this route is that it cannot branch towards the triazole-coupled library in the same way that the route in 2.2. A carboxylic acid intermediate with a triazole in the chain could presumably be synthesised, but this would be rather pointless given that the triazole library was initially proposed so that the two sides could be joined by the 'click' reaction. Therefore, an alternative route to the azide was proposed, via a more stable chloride intermediate (see 2.6.3).



Scheme 32: Synthesis of the cyclopentanol-CipMe conjugates **129** (*SS*) and **130** (*RR*) by peptide coupling. *SS* enantiomers are shown, but both were synthesised. a) NaI, TEA, acetonitrile, 100 °C, 16 h, 49.9 %. b) TFA, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 18 h, 95.6 %. c) EDC, HOEt, DIPEA, DMF, r.t., 16 h, **129** (*SS*): 54.7 %, **130** (*RR*): 38.7 %.

2.5.5 Synthesis of the cyclopentanol-Cip triazole conjugates **132** and **133** via chloride intermediates

A final attempt at a branching strategy was attempted, via a chloride rather than a bromide intermediate (see Scheme 33 and Scheme 24 for comparison). The bromide intermediate was initially chosen as it was used by Ganguly et. al.²⁶ but it was hoped that using a chloride would cut out some of the side reactions seen with the more reactive bromide.




Scheme 33: Retrosynthesis of the cyclopentanol-CipMe conjugates **129** (*SS*) and **130** (*RR*), and the cyclopentanol-Cip triazole conjugates **132** (*SS*) and **133** (*RR*) via Cl-C₄-cyclopentanol intermediates **148** (*SS*) and **149** (*RR*). *SS* enantiomers are shown, but both will be synthesised.

Attempts at this route began with the synthesis of Cl-C₄-cyclopentanol-(*RR*) **149**. Standard Schotten-Baumann conditions failed to produce significant amounts of product. If prolonged reaction times were allowed, degradation of the acid chloride to the carboxylic acid was observed. The reason for this is unclear, but it is possible that bromide ions present in small amounts in previous reactions were helping to catalyse the reaction of the acid chloride. Archer *et al.*⁴⁹ propose that bromide ions can react with acid chlorides to form acid bromides, which are then more susceptible to nucleophilic attack. As no bromide ions are present in this reaction, different conditions were sought in order to increase the rate.

As (1*R*,2*R*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **124** is fairly polar, it is likely that it was staying in the aqueous layer to some extent even when deprotonated, thus keeping the two reactants apart. Therefore, the solvent system and base were changed to neat CH₂Cl₂ and TEA. This produced Cl-C₄-cyclopentanol-(*RR*) **149** in good yield (64.1 %). Unlike the bromide **125**, the chloride **149** was stable when concentrated.


Cl-C₄-cyclopentanol-(*RR*) **149** was converted to N₃-C₄-cyclopentanol-(*RR*) **128** by reaction with sodium azide. The reaction was slower than with previous bromides (~16 h vs. ~2 h), but much cleaner than with Br-C₄-cyclopentanol-(*SS*) **125** (see 2.5.2).

The enantiomers Cl-C₄-cyclopentanol-(SS) **148** and N₃-C₄-cyclopentanol-(SS) **127** were synthesised in lower yields, in part because of the smaller amounts being used.




Scheme 34: Synthesis of N₃-C₄-cyclopentanol-(*SS*) **127** and N₃-C₄-cyclopentanol-(*RR*) **128**. *SS* enantiomers are shown, but both were synthesised. a) TEA, CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C , 2 h, **148** (*SS*): 24.2 %, **149** (*RR*): 64.1 %. b) NaN_3 , acetonitrile, 50°C , 16 h, **127** (*SS*): 45.0 %, **128** (*RR*): 87.6 %.

The cyclopentanol-Cip triazole conjugates **132** (*SS*) and **133** (*RR*) were synthesised using standard click conditions (see 3.25). Yields were poor primarily due to problems with purification, including losses on the preparative HPLC column and high polarity leading to losses during extraction from aqueous solvents. However, as enough of the compounds was obtained for biological testing the purification was not optimised further.



Scheme 35: Synthesis of the cyclopentanol-Cip triazole conjugates **132** (*SS*) and **133** (*RR*). *SS* enantiomers are shown, but both were synthesised. a) CuSO_4 , THPTA, sodium ascorbate, H_2O , *t*-BuOH, r.t., 16 h, **132** (*SS*): 22.2 %, **133** (*RR*): 27.1 %.

The S_N2 reaction of Cl-C₄-cyclopentanol-(*RR*) **149** and methyl ciprofloxacin **102** was attempted (see Scheme 36) using the microwave conditions described previously (see 2.3), to see if the chloride produced better results compared with the bromide. However, as was seen with the other microwave reactions, a substantial amount of the disubstituted product **159** was seen by LCMS (in an approx 1:1 ratio with the desired product **160**). As a higher-yielding route had already been found (see 2.5.4), this route was abandoned.



Scheme 36: Attempted synthesis of the cyclopentanol-CipMe-(*RR*) conjugate **130**. a) NaI , DIPEA , acetonitrile, microwave reactor, $100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.


With (unfortunately not branching) routes to the $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$ and click conjugates established (see 2.5.4 and 2.6.3 respectively), attention was turned to the cyclohexanol derivatives.

2.6 Cyclohexanol derivatives

2.6.1 Synthesis of the *trans*-2-aminocyclohexan-1-ol head group **151**

It was decided to produce the cyclohexanol conjugates racemically, with the option of re-synthesising enantiomerically pure versions via the route shown in 2.5.1 if the compounds showed biological activity.

Production of the cyclohexanol conjugates began with the synthesis of *trans*-2-aminocyclohexan-1-ol **151** (see Scheme 37), using a procedure reported by Xue *et al.*⁵⁰ Cyclohexene oxide **150** was opened using ammonia in water and methanol. Initially the reaction was carried out at $85\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ in a microwave reactor for 30 min, but a large amount of the disubstituted amine could be seen by LCMS (in a ratio of 4:3 product to impurity by NMR). The reaction was therefore attempted at room temperature, and proceeded overnight in high yield and with minimal side reaction.




Scheme 37: Synthesis of *trans*-2-aminocyclohexan-1-ol **151**. a) NH_3 , water, MeOH , r.t., 72 h, 86.2 %.

2.6.2 Synthesis of the *trans*-cyclohexanol- and cyclohexanone-CipMe conjugates 152 and 153

Carboxylic acid **146** was coupled with *trans*-2-aminocyclohexan-1-ol **151** using standard peptide coupling conditions to give *trans*-cyclohexanol-CipMe conjugate **152** in 31.7 % yield. Again, losses during purification appear to be the main cause of this poor yield.


A portion of the *trans*-cyclohexanol-CipMe conjugate **152** was then oxidised to the ketone using Dess-Martin periodinane. The product was isolated in good yield, perhaps due to the compound being less polar and hence easier to purify.



Scheme 38: Synthesis of the cyclohexanol-CipMe conjugate **152** and the cyclohexanone-CipMe conjugate **153**.
a) EDC, HOBr, DIPEA, DMF, r.t., 16 h, 31.7 %. b) DMP, CH_2Cl_2 , r.t., 6 h, 69.1 %.


2.6.3 Synthesis of the *trans*-cyclohexanol- and cyclohexanone-Cip triazole conjugates 156 and 157

The triazole conjugates were synthesised using the route described in . Cl-C₄-*trans*-cyclohexanol **154** was synthesised in good yield from *trans*-2-aminocyclohexan-1-ol **151** and 4-chlorobutyryl chloride **147**. Cl-C₄-*trans*-cyclohexanol **154** was then converted to N₃-C₄-*trans*-cyclohexanol **155** by reaction with sodium azide in excellent yield.



Scheme 39: Synthesis of *N*₃-C₄-*trans*-cyclohexanol **155**. a) TEA, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 30 min, 76.1 %. b) NaN₃, acetonitrile, 50 °C, 16 h, 97.5 %.

The *trans*-cyclohexanol-Cip triazole conjugate **156** was synthesised using standard click conditions (see 3.2.5) in 48.9 % yield. A portion of the *trans*-cyclohexanol-Cip conjugate **156** was then oxidised to the ketone using the same conditions used for the cyclohexanone-CipMe conjugate (see 2.6.2) in very good yield.



Scheme 40: Synthesis of the *trans*-cyclohexanol-Cip triazole conjugate **156** and the cyclohexanone-Cip triazole conjugate **157**. a) CuSO₄, THPTA, sodium ascorbate, H₂O, *t*-BuOH, r.t., 16 h, 48.9 %. b) DMP, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 4 h, 78.0 %.

3 Experimental

3.1 General

Unless otherwise stated, reactions were performed in air-dried glassware under argon with dry, freshly distilled solvents. THF was distilled from LiAlH₄ in the presence of triphenyl methane indicator. CH₂Cl₂, hexane, MeOH and MeCN were distilled from calcium hydride. All other chemicals were used as obtained from commercial sources.

Reactions using microwave heating were performed in sealed vials using a CEM Discover SP microwave reactor.

Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) was performed using Merck pre-coated 0.23 mm thick plates of Keisel-gel 60 F254 and visualised using UV ($\lambda = 254$ or 366 nm) or by staining with KMnO₄ or ninhydrin. All retention factors (R_f) are given to 0.01. All column chromatography was carried out using Merck 9385 Keisel-gel 60 silica gel (230-400 mesh) or using a CombiFlash® EZ Prep with RediSep® normal-phase silica flash columns. Preparative High Pressure Liquid Chromatography was run on an Agilent 1260 Infinity machine, using a Supelcosil™ ABZ+PLUS column (250 mm × 21.2 mm, 5 μm) with a linear gradient system (solvent A: 0.1 % (v/v) TFA/water, solvent B: 0.05 % (v/v) TFA/acetonitrile) at a flow rate of 20 mL min⁻¹, visualised by UV absorbance ($\lambda_{max} = 254$ nm)

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded using an internal deuterium lock at ambient probe temperatures on Bruker DPX-400, Bruker Avance DRX-400, Bruker Avance 500 BB-ATM or Bruker Avance 500 Cryo Ultrashield spectrometers. Data were processed using NMR Processor Academic Edition version 12 (ADC Labs) or TopSpin version 3.5 (Bruker). ¹H and ¹³C spectra were assigned using DEPT, COSY, HMQC and HMQC spectra where necessary, or by analogy to fully interpreted spectra of related compounds. The following abbreviations are used to indicate the multiplicity of signals: s singlet, d doublet, t triplet, q quartet, quin quintet, m multiplet and br broad.

¹H chemical shifts (δ) are quoted to the nearest 0.01 ppm and are referenced relative to the residual solvent peak.⁵¹ Coupling constants (J) are given to the nearest 0.1 Hz. Diastereotopic protons are assigned as CHH and CH_H, where the latter designates the lower-field proton. Data are reported as follows: <chemical shift> (<multiplicity>, <coupling constant(s) (if any)>, <integration>, <assignment>).

¹³C chemical shifts (δ) are quoted to the nearest 0.1 ppm and are referenced relative to the deuterated solvent peak.⁵¹ Data are reported as follows: <chemical shift> (<multiplicity (if not s)>, <coupling constant(s) (if any)>, <assignment>).

¹⁹F chemical shifts (δ) are quoted to the nearest 0.1 ppm. Data are reported as follows: <chemical shift> (<assignment>).


High Resolution Mass Spectra (HRMS) were recorded using a Micromass LCT Premier spectrometer or a Waters Vion IMS-QTOF spectrometer and reported mass values are within ± 5 ppm mass units. Low Resolution Mass Spectra (LRMS) were recorded on an Agilent 1200 series LC with an ESCi Multi-Mode Ionisation Waters ZQ spectrometer or a Waters ACQUITY H-Class UPLC with an ESCi Multi-Mode Ionisation Waters SQD2 mass spectrometer.

Infra Red (IR) spectra were recorded using neat sample on a PerkinElmer 1600 FT IR spectrometer. Selected absorption maxima (ν_{max}) are reported in wavenumbers (cm⁻¹). Broad peaks are marked br.

Melting points (m.p.) were measured using a Buchi B-545 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.

Optical rotations ([α]_D^T) were recorded on a PerkinElmer 343 polarimeter or an Anton-Paar MCP 100 polarimeter. [α]_D^T values are reported in °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ at 589 nm and concentration (c) is given in g (100 mL)⁻¹.

3.2 Methyl 3-oxodecanoate 35



Meldrum's acid **33** (9.0 g, 63 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (150 ml) in an oven-dried flask and cooled to 0 °C. Pyridine (10.2 ml, 126 mmol, 2 eq.) was added dropwise over 20 min. Octanoyl chloride **34** (11.7 ml, 69 mmol, 1.1 eq.) was then added and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for a further 4 h. The mixture was allowed to warm to r.t., diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (20 ml) and poured into a mixture of ice (~30 g) and HCl (2 N, 90 ml). The solution was washed with NaCl (sat., aq., 150 ml) and dried over MgSO_4 . The solvent was removed under vacuum to give an orange-brown oil. The oil was refluxed in anhydrous MeOH (150 ml) for 5 h and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , 5 % Et₂O/40-60 P.E.). A tautomeric mixture of **35** and **36** was obtained as a colourless oil (8.34 g, 41.6 mmol, 66 %, 92 % **35** as determined by ¹H NMR).

Keto form 35

TLC $R_f = 0.12$ (5 % EtO₂/PE)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2927.8 (C-H), 2856.3 (C-H), 1746.9 (ester C=O), 1716.7 (ketone C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 3.74 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.45 (s, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂C(=O)), 2.53 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.60 (quin, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.39 - 1.19 (m, 8 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.88 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 202.3 (CH₃OC(=O)CH₂C(=O)), 167.3 (CH₃OC(=O)CH₂C(=O)), 51.7 (OCH₃), 48.5 (CH₃OC(=O)CH₂C(=O)), 42.5 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 31.3 (CH₂), 28.7 (CH₂), 28.6 (CH₂), 23.1 (CH₂), 22.2 (CH₂), 13.6 (CH₂CH₃)

Enol form 36

TLC $R_f = 0.12$ (5 % EtO₂/PE)


IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2927.8 (C-H), 2856.3 (C-H), 1653.8 (C=C), 1629.2 (α,β unsaturated C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 12.02 (s, 1 H, COH), 4.99 (s, 1 H, C(=O)CH=COH), 3.73 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 2.20 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2 H, COHCH₂), 1.76 - 1.72 (m, 2 H, COHCH₂CH₂), 1.39 - 1.19 (m, 8 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.88 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 178.7 (CH₃OC(=O)CH=COH), 172.7 (CH₃OC(=O)CH=COH), 88.2 (CH₃OC(=O)CH=COH), 50.5 (OCH₃), 37.9 (COHCH₂CH₂), 34.6 (CH₂), 31.2 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 25.9 (CH₂), 22.3 (CH₂), 13.6 (CH₂CH₃)

Spectroscopic data are consistent with the literature.^{12,13}

3.3 Methyl (*E*)-3-((4-((*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)amino)phenyl)amino)dec-2-enoate **38**



Methyl 3-oxodecanoate **35** (500 mg, 2.50 mmol, 1.00 eq.) and *O*-*tert*-butyl *N*-(4-aminophenyl)carbamate **37** (520 mg, 2.50 mmol, 1.00 eq.) were dissolved in MeOH (10 ml) and refluxed for 18 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, gradient of 0 to 20 % Et₂O/40-60 P.E.). **38** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (0.169 mg, 0.480 mmol, 19 %).

TLC R_f = 0.30 (30 % Et₂O/40-60 P.E.)

mp T / °C = 78.8 (Et₂O/40-60 P.E.)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3337.0 (N-H), 2927.7 (C-H), 2857.1 (C-H), 1723.7 (carbamate C=O), 1634.5 (α,β unsaturated C=O), 1610.7 (C=C), 1580.9 (N-H bend)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 10.16 (s, 1 H, NHC(C₇H₁₅)=C), 7.35 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, *meta* to NHBOC), 7.02 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H, *meta* to enamine), 6.60 (br s, 1 H, NHBoc), 4.71 (s, 1 H, C=uH), 3.70 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 2.23 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.54 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.40 (quin, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.33 - 1.16 (m, 8 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.86 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH3)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 171.1 (C(=O)CH=C), 164.3 (C(=O)CH=C), 152.7 (OC(=O)NH), 136.0 (*para* to NHBOC), 134.1 (CNHBoc), 126.3 (*meta* to NHBOC), 119.1 (*ortho* to NHBOC), 83.8 (C(=O)CH=C), 80.7 (C(CH₃)₃), 50.2 (OCH₃), 32.2 (CH₂), 31.6 (CH₂), 29.1 (CH₂), 28.8 (CH₂), 28.3 (C(CH₃)₃), 28.0 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂), 14.0 (CH₃)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 391.2589, [M+H]⁺, [C₂₂H₃₅N₂O₄]⁺ requires 391.2591

Spectroscopic data are consistent with the literature.¹¹

3.4 6-Amino-2-heptylquinolin-4-ol **39**



Methyl (*E*)-3-((4-((*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)amino)phenyl)amino)dec-2-enoate **38** (168 mg, 0.649 mmol, 1 eq.) and polyphosphoric acid (5 g) were heated to 90 °C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was then poured into NaHCO₃ (sat., aq., 50 ml) cooled with ice. The precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with water (50 ml) and dried under high vacuum. **39** was obtained as a pale yellow amorphous solid (121 mg, 0.468 mmol, 72 %).

mp T / °C = 249 (H₂O)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3336.5 (N-H), 2926.5 (C-H), 2856.9 (C-H), 1634.5 (C=O),


¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ / ppm = 7.26 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to NH₂), 7.15 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)), 6.95 (dd, J = 2.7, 8.8 Hz, 1 H, *para* to C(=O)), 5.74 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to CH₂), 5.16 (s, 2 H, NH₂), 2.52 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, CCH₂), 1.64 (quin, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, CCH₂CH₂), 1.36 - 1.19 (m, 8 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.86 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, H₃)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ / ppm = 176.7 (C(=O)), 151.7 (CCH₂), 145.1 (*para* to NH₂ or *ipso* to C(=O)), 132.4 (*ipso* to NH₂), 126.6 (*para* to NH₂ or *ipso* to C(=O)), 121.1 (*para* to C(=O)), 119.0 (*meta* to NH₂ and *meta* to C(=O)), 106.2 (CH=CCH₂), 105.9 (*ortho* to NH₂ and *ortho* to C(=O)), 33.6 (CCH₂), 31.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 29.0 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 28.9 (CH₂), 22.5 (CH₂CH₃), 14.4 (CH₃)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 259.1810, [M+H]⁺, [C₁₆H₂₃N₂O]⁺ requires 259.1803

Spectroscopic data are consistent with the literature.¹¹

3.5 6-Azido-2-heptylquinolin-4-ol 40



6-Amino-2-heptylquinolin-4-ol **39** (50 mg, 0.194 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved in HCl (conc., aq., 1.20 ml), water (1.80 ml) and MeOH (2.00 ml) and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of NaNO₂ (16.0 mg, 0.232 mmol, 1.2 eq.) in water (0.300 ml) was added dropwise over 10 min and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. A solution of NaN₃ (15.1 mg, 0.232 mmol, 1.2 eq.) in water (0.300 ml) was then added. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for a further 4 h. The resultant precipitate was filtered off and dried under reduced pressure. **40** was obtained as a pale cream amorphous solid (25.6 mg, 0.0900 mmol, 46.5 %).

TLC R_f = 0.40 (5 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3249.3 (N-H), 3065.1 (N-H), 2916.6 (C-H), 2852.6 (C-H), 2728.1 (C-H), 2106.8 (azide), 1634.5 (C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 7.73 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to NH), 7.71 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to N₃ and *ortho* to C(=O)), 7.47 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.7 Hz, 1 H, *para* to C(=O)), 6.24 (s, 1 H, C(=O)CH), 2.69 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 H, CCH₂), 1.68 (quin, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, CCH₂CH₂), 1.28 - 1.39 (m, 4 H, CCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.18 - 1.28 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.85 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CH₃)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 172.3 (C(=O)), 155.5 (NH₂CCH₂), 137.4 (CN₃), 135.6 (*para* to N₃), 124.6 (*para* to C(=O)), 124.1 (*ipso* to C(=O)), 120.7 (*meta* to N₃ and *meta* to C(=O)), 112.8 (*ortho* to N₃ and *ortho* to C(=O)), 107.0 (C(=O)CH), 33.3 (NH₂CCH₂), 31.2 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 28.3 - 28.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 22.1 (CH₂CH₃), 14.0 (CH₃)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 285.1728, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₁₆H₂₁N₄O]⁺ requires 285.1715

Spectroscopic data are not consistent with the literature.¹¹


????

3.6 Heptyl magnesium bromide 42



Magnesium turnings (352 mg, 14.5 mmol, 1 eq.) were added to an oven-dried flask under argon. THF (15 ml) was added, followed by bromoheptane **41** (2.40 ml, 14.5 mmol, 1 eq.) dropwise. The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h followed by heating to reflux for 2 h. Heptyl magnesium bromide **42** was obtained as a pale grey suspension (15 ml, ~ 1 M) which was used without further purification.

3.7 2-Chloro-*N*-methoxy-*N*-methylacetamide 45



N,O-Dimethylhydroxyl amine hydrochloride **43** (6.00 g, 61.5 mmol, 1 eq.) and toluene (75 ml) were added successively to a stirred solution of potassium carbonate (22.4 g, 162 mmol, 2.63 eq.) in water (75 ml) at 0 °C under argon. The mixture was cooled to - 5 °C and chloroacetyl chloride **44** (5.88 ml, 73.8 mmol, 1.20 eq.) was added dropwise over 5 min. The mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. over 30 min, then the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with toluene (3×20 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried with MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation followed by high vacuum. **45** was obtained as white, prism-like crystals (7.24 g, 52.6 mmol, 71 %).

mp T / °C = 38.8 (toluene)


IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3016.7 (C-H), 2966.4 (C-H), 2946.7 (C-H), 2827.7 (C-H), 1666.2 (C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 4.20 (s, 2 H, ClCH₂C=O), 3.71 (m, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.18 (s, 3 H, NCH₃)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 167.4 (C=O), 61.6 (OCH₃), 40.9 (ClCH₂C=O), 32.6 (NCH₃)

Spectroscopic data are consistent with the literature.¹⁵

3.8 1-Chorononan-2-one 46



2-Chloro-*N*-methoxy-*N*-methylacetamide **45** (1.00 g, 7.26 mmol, 1 eq.) was added to a dry flask under argon. THF (20 ml) was added and the flask cooled to 0 °C. Heptyl magnesium bromide **42** (~ 1 M, 15.0 ml, 15.0

mmol, 2.07 eq.) was added dropwise over 5 min, then the mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred for 15 h. The reaction mixture was then poured into HCl (aq., 2 N, 60 ml) at 0 °C and stirred for 10 min. The mixture was extracted with toluene (30 ml) and the aqueous layer discarded. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried with MgSO₄, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. **46** was obtained as a colourless oil (1.23 g, 6.96 mmol, 96 %).


IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2951.7 (C-H), 2925.0 (C-H), 2855.5 (C-H), 1720.4 (C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 4.05 (s, 2 H, ClCH₂C(=O)), 2.54 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.59 (quin, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.34 - 1.21 (m, 8 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CH₃)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 202.6 (C(=O)), 48.1 (CH₂Cl), 39.6 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 31.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 28.9 (CH₂), 28.9 (CH₂), 23.5 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 22.5 (CH₂CH₃), 13.9 (CH₃)

Spectroscopic data are consistent with the literature.¹⁵

3.9 2-Oxononyl 2-amino-5-nitrobenzoate 48



5-Nitroanthranilic acid **47** (500 mg, 2.75 mmol, 1.38 eq.) and potassium carbonate (270 mg, 2.00 mmol, 1 eq.) were dissolved in DMF (5 ml). The mixture was heated under argon to 90 °C and stirred for 1 h then cooled to r.t.. 1-Chlorononan-2-one **46** (353 mg, 2.00 mmol, 1 eq.) was added and the mixture was stirred for 15 h. The solution was poured into Na₂HCO₃ (aq., 10 %, 50 ml) and ice (~ 20 g). The precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with water and dried under high vacuum. **48** was obtained as a yellow amorphous solid (0.674 g, 2.00 mmol, 100 %).

mp *T* / °C = 135 (H₂O)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3453.3 (N-H), 3350.5 (N-H), 2924.9 (C-H), 2853.9 (C-H), 1720.1 (ester C=O) 1703.9 (ketone C=O) 1626.1 (N-H bend) 1602.7 (aromatic) 1572.5 (N-O) 1506.6 (N-O)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ / ppm = 8.66 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)), 8.12 (dd, *J* = 2.8, 9.4 Hz, 1 H, *para* to C(=O)), 6.93 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to C(=O)), 5.05 (s, 2 H, OCH₂C(=O)), 2.49 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.52 (quin, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.32 - 1.20 (m, 8 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.86 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CH₃)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ / ppm = 204.4 (OCH₂C(=O)), 165.6 (C(=O)O), 156.3 (*ipso* to NH₂), 135.7 (*ipso* to NO₂), 129.6 (*para* to C(=O)), 128.9 (*ortho* to C(=O)), 117.4 (*meta* to C(=O)), 107.5 (*ipso* to C(=O)), 68.8 (OCH₂C(=O)), 38.3 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 31.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 28.9 (CH₂), 28.9 (CH₂), 23.2 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 22.5 (CH₂CH₃), 14.4 (CH₃)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 323.1610, [M+H]⁺, [C₁₆H₂₃N₂O₅]⁺ requires 323.1607

Spectroscopic data are consistent with the literature.¹¹

3.10 6-Nitro-2-heptyl-3-hydroxyquinolin-4(1H)-one 49



2-Oxononyl 2-amino-5-nitrobenzoate **48** (100 mg, 0.340 mmol, 1 eq.) and polyphosphoric acid (300 mg) were stirred for 5.5 h at 90 °C under argon. The mixture was then poured into NaHCO₃ (sat., aq., 50 ml) cooled on ice. The precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with water (50 ml) and dried under high vacuum. **49** was obtained as a yellow-brown amorphous solid (44 mg, 0.145 mmol, 43 %).

mp *T* / °C = 223 (H₂O, EtOAc)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3436.0 (N-H), 3000.0 (O-H, br), 2955.4 (C-H), 2925.8 (C-H), 2850.9 (C-H), 1648.2 (C=O), 1570.7 (N-O), 1536.4 (N-O)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ / ppm = 12.00 (s, 1 H, NH), 8.91 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to C=O), 8.29 (dd, *J* = 2.7, 9.2 Hz, 1 H, *para* to C=O), 7.70 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to C=O), 2.75 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2 H, CCH₂), 1.67 (quin, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, CCH₂CH₂), 1.36 - 1.23 (m, 8 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.85 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₃)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ / ppm = 169.7 (C=O), 141.9 (*para* to NO₂), 140.7 (*ipso* to NO₂), 139.6 (*ipso* to OH), 137.3 (C=COH), 124.3 (*para* to C=O), 122.3 (*ortho* to NO₂ and *ortho* to C=O), 121.5 (*ipso* to C=O), 120.0 (*meta* to NO₂ and *meta* to C=O), 31.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 29.2 (CH₂), 28.9 (CH₂), 28.5 (CCH₂), 28.1 (CCH₂CH₂), 22.5 (CH₂CH₃), 14.4 (CH₃)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 305.1501, [M+H]⁺, [C₁₆H₂₁N₂O₄]⁺ requires 305.1500

Spectroscopic data are consistent with the literature.¹¹

3.11 6-Amino-2-heptyl-3-hydroxyquinolin-4(1H)-one 50



6-Nitro-2-heptyl-3-hydroxyquinolin-4(1H)-one **49** (20 mg, 0.0658 mmol, 1 eq.) and PtO₂ (2 mg, 10 weight %) were stirred in MeOH (1 ml) under a H₂ atmosphere for 45 min at room temperature and pressure. The reaction mixture was then filtered through celite and the solvent was removed under vacuum. **50** was obtained as a yellow-brown amorphous solid (14.5 mg, 0.0529 mmol, 80 %).

mp (MeOH) T / °C = 176


IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3000.00 (O-H, br) 2925.41 (C-H), 2854.09 (C-H), 1613.43 (C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 11.12 (s, 1 H, NH), 7.47 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to C=O), 7.40 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to C=O), 7.16 (dd, J = 2.6, 9.0 Hz, 1 H, *para* to C=O), 2.86 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CCH₂), 1.75 (quin, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, CCH₂CH₂), 1.48 - 1.22 (m, J = 5.4 Hz, 8 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.89 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H, CH₃)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 166.8 (C(=O)), 144.8 (*para* to NH₂ or *ipso* to C(=O)), 140.5 (*ipso* to COH), 138.6 (C=COH), 132.6 (*ipso* to NH₂), 124.8 (*para* to NH₂ or *ipso* to C(=O)), 123.8 (*para* to C(=O)), 107.7 (*meta* to NH₂ and *meta* to C(=O)), 106.4 (*ortho* to NH₂ and *ortho* to C(=O)), 33.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 29.5 - 31.0 (CCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 23.8 (CH₂CH₃), 14.5 (CH₃)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 275.1760, [M+H]⁺, [C₁₆H₂₃N₂O₂]⁺ requires 275.1762 Spectroscopic data are not consistent with the literature.¹¹ It is possible that Baker's product is a Zn adduct.

3.12 6-Azido-2-heptyl-3-hydroxyquinolin-4(1H)-one 51



6-Amino-2-heptyl-3-hydroxyquinolin-4(1H)-one **50** (18.2 mg, 0.0664 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in HCl (conc., aq., 0.8 ml) and MeOH (0.5 ml) at 0 °C. NaNO₂ (5.0 mg, 0.0725 mmol, 1.09 eq.) in H₂O (0.2 ml) was added dropwise over 2 min and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 50 min, during which time the solution turned from yellow to orange. NaN₃ (4.9 mg, 0.0754 mmol, 1.14 eq.) in H₂O (0.2 ml) was then added and the mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred for 4 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered and the solid was dried under reduced pressure. **51** was obtained as a brown amorphous solid (5.5 mg, 0.0183 mmol, 28 %).

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = pending

don't have?

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ / ppm = 7.74 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C=O), 7.65 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to C=O), 7.32 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H, *para* to C=O), 2.75 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CCH₂), 1.67 (quin, J = 6.4 Hz, 2 H, CCH₂CH₂), 1.43 - 1.13 (m, 8 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.85 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CH₃)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ / ppm = pending


don't have?

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = pending, [M+H]⁺, [C₁₆H₂₁N₄O₂]⁺ requires 301.1659

try?

Spectroscopic data are consistent with the literature.¹¹

3.13 (*S*)-3-Aminodihydrofuran-2(3*H*)-one hydrobromide 54



L-Methionine **52** (3.04 g, 20.4 mmol, 1 eq.) and bromoacetic acid **53** (3.08 g, 22.2 mmol, 1.09 eq.) were dissolved in *i*-PrOH (12.5 ml), H₂O (12.5 ml) and AcOH (5 ml). The reaction was refluxed for 15 h then concentrated under vacuum. The resulting brown oil was added to a mixture of *i*-PrOH (16 ml) and HBr (33 % in AcOH, 4 ml), causing the precipitation of a pale pink amorphous solid. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with *i*-PrOH (20 ml). The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum and precipitated again using the same procedure. The two crops of precipitate were combined. **54** was obtained as a pale pink amorphous solid (1.73 g, 9.50 mmol, 41 % yield).

mp *T* / °C = 242 (*i*-PrOH/AcOH, gas evolved)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2972.1 (N-H), 2877.5 (N-H), 1771.8 (C=O), 1585.1 (N-H bend), 1572.2 (N-H bend)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ / ppm = 8.59 (br s, 3 H, NH₃⁺), 4.46 (dt, *J* = 1.3, 8.9 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 4.37 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 11.4 Hz, 1 H, CHNH₃⁺), 4.29 (ddd, *J* = 6.1, 8.8, 10.9 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 2.57 (dddd, *J* = 1.2, 6.1, 8.9, 12.3 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CHH), 2.26 (dtd, *J* = 9.0, 11.2, 12.2 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CHH)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ / ppm = 173.3 (C=O), 66.2 (OCH₂), 47.8 (CHNH₃⁺), 27.0 (OCH₂CH₂)

[α]_D²⁰ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = -30.0, lit. = -25.0 (*c* / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.0200, DMSO)

The data are consistent with the literature.²⁰

3.14 (*S*)-2-Bromo-N-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)acetamide 56



(*S*)-3-Aminodihydrofuran-2(3*H*)-one hydrobromide **54** (100 mg, 0.549 mmol, 1.08 eq.) and NaHCO₃ (84.9 mg, 1.01 mmol, 2.00 eq.) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (2 ml) and H₂O (2 ml). Bromoacetyl bromide **55** (44.0 μL, 102 mg, 0.505 mmol, 1.00 eq.) was then added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h, after which the CH₂Cl₂ was removed under vacuum. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (4×10 ml). The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. **56** was obtained as white, needle-like crystals (88.0 mg, 0.396 mmol, 74 %).

mp *T* / °C = 132 (EtOAc)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3255.7 (N-H), 3066.6 (C-H), 1763.0 (lactone C=O), 1658.0 (amide C=O), 1552.7 (N-H bend)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 6.94 (br s, 1 H, NH), 4.57 (ddd, J = 11.7, 8.6, 5.9 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 4.51 (td, J = 9.2, 1.0 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 4.32 (ddd, J = 11.3, 9.4, 5.9 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 3.93 (s, 1 H, CHHBr), 3.93 (s, 1 H, CHHBr), 2.87 (dddd, J = 12.6, 8.6, 5.9, 1.3 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CHH), 2.22 (dtd, J = 12.6, 11.5, 11.5, 8.9 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CHH)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 174.6 (OC=O), 166.4 (C(=O)NH), 66.1 (OCH₂), 49.8 (CHNHC=O), 29.9 (OCH₂CH₂), 28.2 (O=CCH₂Br)

HRMS The compound does not ionise.

[α]_D²⁰ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = 27.0, lit. = 20.5 (c / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.00740, CHCl₃)

The data are consistent with the literature.^{20,52}

3.15 (S)-2-Azido-N-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)acetamide 57



(3*S*)-2-Oxotetrahydrofuran-3-aminium bromide **54** (100 mg, 0.552 mmol, 1.08 eq.), NaN₃ (85.7 mg, 1.32 mmol, 2.61 eq.) and NaHCO₃ (84.9 mg, 1.01 mmol, 2.00 eq.) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (2 ml) and H₂O (2 ml). Bromoacetyl bromide **55** (44.0 μL, 102 mg, 0.505 mmol, 1.00 eq.) was then added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h, after which the CH₂Cl₂ was removed under vacuum. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (4×10 ml). The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. **57** was obtained as white, needle-like crystals (38.4 mg, 0.209 mmol, 41 %).

mp T / °C = 87 (EtOAc)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3283.5 (N-H), 2923.3 (C-H), 2853.0 (C-H), 2129.7 (N₃), 1782.9 (lactone C=O), 1661.4 (amide C=O), 1536.8 (N-H bend)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 7.05 (br d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 4.64 (ddd, J = 11.6, 8.7, 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 4.48 (td, J = 9.1, 1.3 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 4.30 (ddd, J = 11.2, 9.2, 6.0 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 4.04 (s, 2 H, CH₂N₃), 2.76 (dddd, J = 12.5, 8.8, 6.0, 1.4 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CHH), 2.25 (dtd, J = 12.5, 11.4, 11.4, 8.9 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CHH)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 174.9 (OC=O), 167.5 (C=ONH), 66.0 (OCH₂), 52.2 (O=CCH₂N₃), 48.9 (CHNHC=O), 29.7 (OCH₂CH₂)

HRMS The compound does not ionise.

[α]_D²⁰ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = -32.6, lit. = -24.4 (c / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.0430, DMSO)

The data are consistent with the literature.²⁰

3.16 (*S*)-4-Bromo-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)butanamide 59



(*S*)-3-Aminodihydrofuran-2(3*H*)-one hydrobromide **54** (200 mg, 1.10 mmol, 1.00 eq.) and NaHCO₃ (170 mg, 2.02 mmol, 1.84 eq.) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (2 ml) and H₂O (2 ml). Bromobutyryl chloride **58** (140 µL, 224 mg, 1.21 mmol, 1.10 eq.) was then added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h, after which the CH₂Cl₂ was removed under vacuum. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (7×5 ml) and the combined organic layers were dried with MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under vacuum to give white crystals which were recrystallised from EtOAc. **59** was obtained as white, needle-like crystals (219 mg, 0.878 mmol, 80 %).

mp *T* / °C = 105 (EtOAc)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3307.9 (N-H), 3073.9 (C-H), 2948.9 (C-H), 1773.7 (lactone C=O), 1643.5 (amide C=O), 1541.4 (N-H bend)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 6.31 (br d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 4.59 (ddd, *J* = 6.2, 8.7, 11.5 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 4.48 (dt, *J* = 1.2, 8.9 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 4.30 (ddd, *J* = 5.8, 9.3, 11.3 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 3.49 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2 H, CH₂Br), 2.82 (dddd, *J* = 1.3, 5.9, 8.7, 12.5 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CHH), 2.47 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂), 2.26 - 2.15 (m, 3 H, OCH₂CHH and CH₂CH₂Br)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 175.4 (OC=O), 172.3 (C(=O)NH), 66.1 (OCH₂), 49.3 (CHNHC=O), 33.9 (C(=O)CH₂), 33.1 (CH₂Br), 30.3 (OCH₂CH₂), 27.9 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂)

HRMS The compound does not ionise.

[α]_D^{26.6} / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = -78 (*c* / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.0833, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.17 (*S*)-6-Bromo-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide 62



(*S*)-3-Aminodihydrofuran-2(3*H*)-one hydrobromide **54** (100 mg, 0.549 mmol, 1.00 eq.) and NaHCO₃ (84.9 mg, 1.01 mmol, 1.84 eq.) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (2 ml) and H₂O (2 ml) at r.t.. Bromohexanoyl chloride **61** (93.0 µL, 130 mg, 0.608 mmol, 1.11 eq.) was then added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h, after which the CH₂Cl₂ was removed under vacuum. The mixture was then filtered, washed with H₂O (10 ml) and dried under high vacuum. **62** was obtained as white, needle-like crystals (101 mg, 0.362 mmol, 66 %).

mp *T* / °C = 106 (CH₂Cl₂/H₂O)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3300.3 (N-H), 3067.6 (C-H), 2937.4 (C-H), 2856.7 (C-H), 1784.8 (lactone C=O), 1639.3 (amide C=O), 1539.9 (N-H bend)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 6.09 (br d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1 H, NH), 4.57 (ddd, J = 5.9, 8.6, 11.6 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 4.50 (dt, J = 1.3, 9.1 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 4.31 (ddd, J = 5.9, 9.3, 11.3 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 3.43 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 H, CH₂Br), 2.88 (dddd, J = 1.3, 5.9, 8.6, 12.6 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CHH), 2.30 (dt, J = 1.8, 7.5 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂), 2.16 (dtd, J = 8.9, 11.5, 12.5 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CHH), 1.90 (quin, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂Br), 1.71 (quin, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.59 - 1.46 (m, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 175.5 (OC=O), 173.3 (C(=O)NH), 66.1 (OCH₂), 49.3 (CHNHC=O), 35.8 (CH₂Br), 33.5 (C(=O)CH₂), 32.3 (CH₂CH₂Br), 30.5 (OCH₂CH₂), 27.6 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 24.4 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 278.0381, [M+H]⁺, [C₁₀H₁₇BrNO₃]⁺ requires 278.0386

$[\alpha]_D^{26.6}$ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = -16 (c / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.208, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.18 (*S*)-6-Azido-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide 63



(*S*)-6-Bromo-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide **62** (80 mg, 0.320 mmol, 1.00 eq.) and NaN₃ (26.3 mg, 0.405 mmol, 1.27 eq.) were heated in DMF (0.5 ml) for 5 h at 100 °C. The reaction mixture was then partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml) and H₂O (5 ml). The aqueous phase was extracted twice more with CH₂Cl₂ (2×5 ml) and the organic layers were combined and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation followed by high vacuum. **63** was obtained as white, needle-like crystals (42.7 mg, 0.178 mmol, 56 %).

mp T / °C = 90.0 (CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3314.0 (N-H), 2931.6 (C-H), 2862.9 (C-H), 2095.1 (N₃), 1775.4 (lactone C=O), 1643.1 (amide C=O), 1547.9 (N-H bend)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 5.96 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1 H, NH), 4.54 (ddd, J = 11.7, 8.6, 5.7 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 4.49 (td, J = 9.1, 1.0 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 4.30 (ddd, J = 11.3, 9.4, 5.8 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 3.29 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2 H, CH₂N₃), 2.88 (dddd, J = 12.5, 8.6, 5.8, 1.1 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CHH), 2.28 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, C(=O)CHH), 2.28 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H, C(=O)CHH), 2.14 (dtd, J = 12.3, 11.5, 11.5, 8.8 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CHH), 1.70 (quin, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂N₃), 1.63 (quin, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.38 - 1.49 (m, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 175.4 (OC=O), 172.2 (C(=O)NH), 66.1 (OCH₂), 51.2 (CH₂N₃), 49.4 (CHNHC=O), 35.9 (C(=O)CH₂), 30.7 (OCH₂CH₂), 28.6 (CH₂CH₂N₃), 26.3 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 24.8 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 241.1289, [M+H]⁺, [C₁₀H₁₇N₄O₃]⁺ requires 241.1295

$[\alpha]_D^{26.6} / {}^\circ 10^{-1} \text{cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1} = -16$ ($c / \text{g(100 ml)}^{-1} = 0.208$, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.19 Hex-5-ynal 65



Pyridinium chlorochromate (14.6 g, 68.1 mmol, 1.50 eq) and DCM (500 ml) were stirred at r.t. under argon. 5-Hexyn-1-ol **64** (5.00 ml, 45.4 mmol, 1 eq.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h followed by addition of Et₂O (125 ml) and silica gel (62.5 g). The suspension was stirred for 1 h then filtered through a pad of silica (100 g) and washed with Et₂O. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. **65** was obtained as a pale yellow-green oil (4.72 g, 49.1 mmol, 72 %).


IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 3292.7$ (alkyne C-H), 2943.3 (alkane C-H), 2830.9 (aldehyde C-H), 2728.6 (aldehyde C-H), 1720.3 (aldehyde C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 9.80$ (s, 1 H, C(=O)H), 2.60 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂C(=O)H), 2.26 (dt, $J = 2.6, 6.8$ Hz, 2 H, HC≡CCH₂), 1.98 (t, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1 H, HC≡C), 1.85 (quin, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2 H, HC≡CCH₂CH₂)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 201.6$ (C(=O)), 83.1 (HC≡C), 69.3 (HC≡C), 42.4 (CH₂C(=O)), 20.7 (CH₂CH₂C(=O)), 17.6 (HC≡CCH₂)

Spectroscopic data are consistent with the literature.²²

3.20 *tert*-Butyl 4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate 67



Hex-5-ynal **65** (0.407 g, 4.24 mmol, 1.00 eq.) and *tert*-butyl piperazine-1-carboxylate **66** (0.791 g, 4.24 mmol, 1.00 eq.) were stirred under a N₂ atmosphere in 1,2-dichloroethane (20 ml) for 2.5 h followed by addition of sodium triacetoxyborohydride (6.25 g, 29.5 mmol, 7 eq.) in four portions over 4 d. The mixture was stirred for a further day then NaHCO₃ (sat., aq., 120 ml) was added and the product extracted with EtOAc (2×100 ml). The solvent was dried over MgSO₄ and removed by rotary evaporation. **67** was obtained as a colourless liquid (1.12 g, 4.21 mmol, 99 %).

TLC R_f (10 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂) = 0.55

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 3303.6$ (alkyne C-H), 2940.0 (alkane C-H), 2865.2 (C-H), 2810.4 (C-H), 1691.3

(carbamate C=O)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 3.44 (t, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.39 (t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂), 2.37 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.23 (dt, *J* = 2.7, 6.8 Hz, 2 H, HC≡CCH₂), 1.96 (t, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1 H, HC≡C), 1.65 - 1.53 (m, 4 H, HC≡CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.47 (s, 9 H, CH₃)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 154.7 (N(C(=O)O), 84.2 (HC≡C), 79.6 (C(CH₃)₃), 68.5 (HC≡C), 60.4 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 58.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 53.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 28.4 (C(CH₃)₃), 26.3 (CH₂CH₂N), 25.7 (HC≡CCH₂CH₂), 18.3 (HC≡CCH₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 267.2073, [M+H]⁺, [C₁₅H₂₇N₂O₂]⁺ requires 267.2064

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.21 1-(Hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazine 68



tert-Butyl 4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate **67** (763 mg, 2.86 mmol) was stirred in TFA (10 ml) at r.t. for 2 h. The TFA was removed under vacuum followed by co-evaporation with CH₂Cl₂ (2×20 ml). The oil was diluted with H₂O (10 ml) and the pH adjusted to 14 with NaOH (10 % aq.). This mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2×20 ml) and the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under vacuum and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂ MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 3:7). **68** was obtained as a colourless liquid (476 mg, 2.86 mmol, 100 %).

TLC *R_f* (30 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂) = 0.20

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3295.9 (alkyne C-H), 2941.1 (alkane C-H), 2810.6 (alkane C-H), 1637.2 (N-H bend)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 2.88 (t, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.39 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.31 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, HC≡CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.20 (dt, *J* = 2.7, 6.8 Hz, 2 H, HC≡CCH₂), 2.05 (br s, 1 H, NH), 1.93 (t, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1 H, HC≡C), 1.65 - 1.48 (m, 4 H, HC≡CCH₂CH₂CH₂N)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 84.3 (HC≡C), 68.4 (HC≡C), 58.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 54.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 46.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 26.4 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 25.7 (HC≡CCH₂CH₂), 18.3 (HC≡CCH₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 167.1548, [M+H]⁺, [C₁₀H₁₉N₂]⁺ requires 167.1548

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.22 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydro-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid 70



7-Chloro-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquino-line-3-carboxylic acid **69** (1.27 g, 4.51 mmol, 1 eq.), 1-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazine **68** (1.5 g, 9.02 mmol, 2 eq.) and *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (10 ml) were stirred in a microwave reactor at 115 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to r.t. and water (80 ml) was added. The mixture was stirred for 3 h and then filtered, and residue was washed with MeOH (50 ml). The resulting solid (0.571 g) was further purified by recrystallisation from EtOAc (50 ml). **70** was obtained as off-white crystals (0.219 g, 0.531 mmol, 11.8 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.02$ (10 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

mp $T / ^\circ\text{C} = 220$ (MeOH, decomposes)

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 3212.0$ (alkyne C-H), 2459.3 (O-H), 1722.6 (carboxylic acid C=O), 1626.8 (quinolone C=O)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 15.12$ (br s, 1 H, C(=O)OH), 8.69 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OH), 7.96 (d, $J = 13.0$ Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.61 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 3.82 - 3.92 (m, 3 H, NCH(CH₂)₂) and CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 3.54 - 3.68 (br m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂), 3.45 (br. t, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 3.21 - 3.29 (br m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 3.11 - 3.20 (br m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)), 2.84 (t, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1 H, HC≡C), 2.24 (td, $J = 7.0, 2.7$ Hz, 2 H, HC≡CCH₂), 1.83 (br. quin, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2 H, HC≡CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.52 (quin, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2 H, HC≡CCH₂CH₂), 1.29 - 1.36 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.16 - 1.23 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)


¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 176.4$ (C(=O)CC(=O)OH), 165.8 (C(=O)OH), 152.8 (d, $J = 248.5$ Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.2 (CHCC(=O)OH), 143.7 (d, $J = 11.1$ Hz, *para* to C(=O)), 139.1 (*para* to F), 119.4 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, *ipso* to C(=O)), 111.2 (d, $J = 22.5$ Hz, *ortho* to F and *ortho* to C(=O)), 106.9 (*meta* to F and *meta* to C(=O)), 106.9 (C(=O)CC(=O)OH), 83.9 (HC≡C), 71.8 (HC≡C), 55.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 50.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)), 46.3 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 36.0 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 25.2 (HC≡CCH₂CH₂), 22.3 (HC≡CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 17.4 (HC≡CCH₂), 7.6 (NCH(CH₂)₂)

¹⁹F NMR (376.45 MHz, MeOD) $\delta / \text{ppm} = -121.8$ (s, ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI⁺) $m/z / \text{Da} = 412.2036$, [M+H]⁺, [C₂₃H₂₇N₃O₃F]⁺ requires 412.2030

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.23 4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenol **71**



Hydrobromic acid (48 % w/w, aq., 50 ml) was heated to 100 °C. Trimethoprim **30** (5.00 g, 17.2 mmol) was added, and the suspension was stirred for 40 min under Ar. The mixture was removed from the heat, and NaOH (50 % w/w, aq., 15 ml) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was then cooled slowly to 0 °C, and the resulting crystals were filtered out and washed with cold water. The crystals were then dissolved in hot water (80 ml), neutralized with NH₄OH (sat., aq.) and cooled slowly to 0 °C. The resulting crystals were filtered out, washed with cold water and dried under vacuum. **71** was obtained as pale pink prisms (2.06 g, 7.46 mmol, 43.4 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.04$ (5 % MeOH/CHCl₂)

mp $T / ^\circ\text{C} = 238$ (H₂O, decomposes)

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 3314.0$ (N-H), 3137.4 (N-H), 3045.3 (C-H), 3000.9 (C-H), 2938.1 (C-H), 2838.7 (C-H), 1662.9 (pyrimidine), 1645.2 (pyrimidine), 1626.6 (pyrimidine)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 7.21$ (s, 1 H, CHN), 6.54 (s, 2 H, *meta* to OCH₂), 4.87 (br s, 5 H, OH, NH₂ × 2), 3.82 (s, 6 H, OCH₃), 3.63 (s, 2 H, CCH₂C)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 166.4$ (CH₂CCNH₂), 162.0 (CHNCNH₂), 156.2 (CHNCNH₂), 149.8 (*ipso* to OCH₃), 135.9 (*ipso* to OH), 128.2 (*para* to OH), 111.7 (CH₂CCNH₂), 107.5 (*meta* to OH), 57.0 (OCH₃), 33.9 (CCH₂C)

HRMS (ESI⁺) $m/z / \text{Da} = 277.1295$, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₁₃H₁₇N₄O₃]⁺ requires 277.1301

The data are consistent with the literature.¹⁰

3.24 5-(4-(Hex-5-yn-1-yloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine **73**



4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenol **71** (1.00 g, 3.62 mmol, 1 eq.), 6-chloro-1-hexyne **72** (0.524 ml, 0.420 g, 4.34 mmol, 1.2 eq.), Cs₂CO₃ (2.36 g, 7.24 mmol, 2 eq.) and anhydrous DMF (30 ml) were stirred at 70 °C for 7 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, then CH₂Cl₂ (30 ml) was

added and the mixture filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography using a Combiflash (SiO_2 , 5 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂). **73** was obtained as a pale cream amorphous solid (0.327 g, 0.917 mmol, 25.3 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.14$ (5 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3451.4 (alkyne C-H), 3313.4 (N-H), 3136.7 (N-H), 3113.9 (N-H), 2944.2 (C-H), 2839.0 (C-H), 1635.1 (pyrimidine)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 7.77 (s, 1 H, CHN), 6.37 (s, 2 H, *meta* to OCH₂), 4.83 (br s, 2 H, CHNCNH₂), 4.63 (br s, 2 H, CH₂CCNH₂), 3.95 (t, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂O), 3.79 (s, 6 H, OCH₃), 3.65 (s, 2 H, CCH₂C), 2.28 (td, $J = 7.1, 2.6$ Hz, 2 H, HC≡CCH₂), 1.94 (t, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1 H, HC≡C), 1.81 - 1.90 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂O), 1.71 - 1.80 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂O)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 162.7 (CH₂CCNH₂), 162.0 (CHNCNH₂), 156.4 (CHNCNH₂), 153.8 (*ipso* to OCH₃), 136.0 (*ipso* to OCH₂), 133.6 (*para* to OCH₂), 106.5 (CH₂CCNH₂), 105.0 (*meta* to OCH₂), 84.5 (HC≡C), 72.6 (CH₂O), 68.3 (HC≡C), 56.1 (OCH₃), 34.7 (CCH₂C), 29.1 (CH₂CH₂O), 24.9 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 18.0 (HC≡CCH₂)


HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 357.1920, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₁₉H₂₅N₄O₃]⁺ requires 357.1927

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.25 Optimised general procedure for the click reaction

Azide (1 eq.) and alkyne (1 eq.) were dissolved in 50 % *t*-BuOH/water in a round-bottomed flask with a stirrer bar, closed with a *new* septum. The mixture was degassed by bubbling through N₂. The mixture was placed under positive pressure of Ar using a balloon. Equimolar amounts of CuSO₄ · 5 H₂O and THPTA **76** were dissolved in similar water to make a 50 mM solution and similarly degassed. Sodium ascorbate was dissolved in water to make a 100 mM solution and similarly degassed. The Cu/THPTA solution (0.05 eq.) was added to the reaction mixture, followed by the sodium ascorbate solution (0.1 eq.). The mixture was stirred for 2 h and monitored using LCMS. HL derivative conjugates were dry-loaded onto SiO₂ and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, 0-20 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂). Other conjugates were purified by preparative HPLC (5-95 % acetonitrile (0.1 % TFA)/water (0.05 % TFA) over 20 min).

3.26 (*S*)-1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(2-oxo-2-((2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)amino)ethyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **74**



50 % water/*t*-BuOH (2 ml) was degassed by bubbling N₂ through it. This was then added to a mixture of 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **70** (20.6 mg, 50.0 μ mol, 1 eq.) and (*S*)-2-azido-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)acetamide **57** (9.2 mg, 50.0 μ mol, 1 eq.). A similarly degassed solution of CuSO₄ · 5 H₂O (624 μ g, 2.5 μ mol, 0.05 eq. 50 mM), THPTA (1.09 mg, 2.5 μ mol, 0.05 eq. 50 mM) and sodium ascorbate (991 μ g, 5 μ mol, 0.1 eq., 100 mM) in 50 % water/*t*-BuOH (50 μ l) was then added. The mixture was stirred at r.t. under argon for 3 h. On observation that the reaction had stalled, the reaction was degassed again, and a further portion of catalyst solution (50 μ l) was added. After a further 3 h the reaction mixture was dry-loaded onto SiO₂ and purified by column chromatography using a Combiflash (SiO₂, 0-20 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ over 15 min). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **74** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (8.8 mg, 14.8 μ mol, 29.6 %).

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3266.3 (N-H), 2949.0 (C-H), 2934.8 (C-H), 2827.2 (C-H), 1778.0 (lactone C=O), 1724.9 (carboxylic acid C=O), 1665.0 (amide C=O), 1625.5 (quinolone C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 15.23 (s, 1 H, C(=O)OH), 8.84 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, NH), 8.66 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OH), 7.90 (d, *J* = 13.3 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.82 (s, 1 H, CH=CCH₂), 7.57 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 5.13 (s, 1 H, C(=O)CHHN), 5.12 (s, 1 H, C(=O)CHHN), 4.64 (ddd, *J* = 10.9, 9.0, 7.8 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 4.36 (td, *J* = 8.9, 1.7 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 4.23 (ddd, *J* = 10.6, 8.8, 6.4 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 3.83 (tt, *J* = 7.0, 4.0 Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.32 (br s, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.67 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂), 2.58 (br t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.42 - 2.49 (m, 1 H, OCH₂CHH), 2.40 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 2.17 (dtd, *J* = 11.7, 10.8, 9.0 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CHH), 1.66 (quin, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂), 1.53 (quin, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.28 - 1.35 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.16 - 1.21 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 176.4 (C(=O)CC(=O)OH), 174.9 (OC(=O)), 166.0 (C(=O)OH), 165.9 (NHC(=O)), 153.1 (d, *J* = 250.8 Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.0 (CH=CC(=O)OH), 146.6 (CH=CCH₂), 145.3 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.2 (*para* to F), 123.4 (CH=CCH₂), 118.5 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 110.9 (d, *J* = 23.5 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 106.7 (CC(=O)OH), 106.4 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, *meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 65.4 (OCH₂), 57.3 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 52.4 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 51.2 (C(=O)CH₂N), 49.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 49.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 48.2 (CHNH), 35.9 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 28.2 (CH₂CHNH), 26.8 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 25.7 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 24.9 (CH=CCH₂), 7.6 (NCH(CH₂)₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 596.2627, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₂₉H₃₅FN₇O₆]⁺ requires 596.2633

[α]_D²⁰ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = -3.5 (*c* / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.0575, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.27 (*S*)-1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)amino)butyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 79



50 % water/*t*-BuOH (2 ml) was degassed by bubbling N₂ through it. This was then added to a mixture of 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **70** (20.6 mg, 50.0 μ mol, 1 eq.) and (*S*)-4-azido-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)butanamide **60** (10.6 mg, 50.0 μ mol, 1 eq.). A similarly degassed solution of CuSO₄ · 5 H₂O (624 μ g, 2.5 μ mol, 0.05 eq. 50 mM), THPTA (1.09 mg, 2.5 μ mol, 0.05 eq. 50 mM) and sodium ascorbate (991 μ g, 5 μ mol, 0.1 eq., 100 mM) in 50 % water/*t*-BuOH (50 μ l) was then added. The mixture was stirred at r.t. under argon for 3 h, then dry-loaded onto SiO₂ and purified by column chromatography using a CombiFlash (SiO₂, 0-20 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ over 15 min). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **79** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (14.6 mg, 23.4 μ mol, 46.8 %).

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3286.7 (N-H), 2949.7 (C-H), 2820.6 (C-H), 2778.0 (C-H), 1778.1 (lactone C=O), 1725.6 (carboxylic acid C=O), 1663.7 (amide C=O), 1625.8 (quinolone C=O)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 15.22 (br s, 1 H, C(=O)OH), 8.65 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OH), 8.40 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.88 (d, *J* = 13.4 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.85 (s, 1 H, CH=CCH₂), 7.55 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 4.53 (ddd, *J* = 10.9, 9.0, 8.1 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 4.33 (td, *J* = 8.9, 1.8 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 4.31 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, CH₂NCH=C), 4.20 (ddd, *J* = 10.5, 8.8, 6.5 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 3.82 (tt, *J* = 6.9, 4.0 Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.32 (br. t, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.64 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂), 2.57 (br. t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.34 - 2.42 (m, 3 H, OCH₂CHH and CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 2.09 - 2.19 (m, 3 H, OCH₂CHH and C(=O)CH₂), 2.02 (quin, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.64 (quin, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂), 1.52 (quin, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.29 - 1.34 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.15 - 1.21 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)


¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 176.3 (C(=O)CC(=O)OH), 175.4 (OC(=O)), 171.2 (NHC(=O)), 166.0 (C(=O)OH), 153.0 (d, *J* = 248.6 Hz, *ortho* to F), 148.0 (CH=CC(=O)OH), 146.8 (CH=CCH₂), 145.2 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.2 (*para* to F), 121.7 (CH=CCH₂), 118.5 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 110.9 (d, *J* = 22.4 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 106.7 (CC(=O)OH), 106.3 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, *meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 65.3 (OCH₂), 57.3 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 52.4 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 49.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 49.4 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 48.6 (CH₂NCH=C), 47.9 (OC(=O)CHNH), 35.9 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 31.7 (NHC(=O)CH₂), 28.2 (CH₂CHNH), 26.9 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 25.8 (NHC(=O)CH₂CH₂ and CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 24.9 (CH=CCH₂), 7.6 (NCH(CH₂)₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 624.2928, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₃₁H₃₉FN₇O₆]⁺ requires 624.2946

[α]_D²⁰ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = -10.6 (c / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.094, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.28 (*S*)-1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(6-oxo-6-((2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)amino)hexyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 80



50 % water/*t*-BuOH (2 ml) was degassed by bubbling N₂ through it. This was then added to a mixture of 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **70** (20.6 mg, 50.0 μ mol, 1 eq.) and (*S*)-6-azido-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide **63** (12.0 mg, 50.0 μ mol, 1 eq.). A similarly degassed solution of CuSO₄ · 5 H₂O (624 μ g, 2.5 μ mol, 0.05 eq. 50 mM), THPTA (1.09 mg, 2.5 μ mol, 0.05 eq. 50 mM) and sodium ascorbate (991 μ g, 5 μ mol, 0.1 eq., 100 mM) in 50 % water/*t*-BuOH (50 μ l) was then added. The mixture was stirred at r.t. under argon for 3 h, then dry-loaded onto SiO₂ and purified by column chromatography using a CombiFlash (SiO₂, 0-20 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ over 15 min). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **80** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (12.4 mg, 19.0 μ mol, 38.0 %).

TLC R_f = 0.30 (30 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3301.8 (N-H), 2939.7 (C-H), 2857.5 (C-H), 1784.6 (lactone C=O), 1728.5 (carboxylic acid C=O), 1658.2 (amide C=O), 1625.5 (quinolone C=O)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 15.22 (br s, 1 H, C(=O)OH), 8.65 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OH), 8.32 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.89 (d, *J* = 13.3 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.84 (s, 1 H, CH=CCH₂), 7.55 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 4.51 (ddd, *J* = 10.9, 9.1, 7.9 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 4.33 (td, *J* = 8.8, 1.8 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 4.28 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, CH₂NCH=C), 4.19 (ddd, *J* = 10.5, 8.7, 6.6 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 3.82 (tt, *J* = 7.0, 4.0 Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.32 (br t, *J* = 4.5, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.63 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂), 2.57 (br t, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.33 - 2.41 (m, 3 H, OCH₂CHH and CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 2.06 - 2.16 (m, 3 H, OCH₂CHH and C(=O)CH₂), 1.79 (quin, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.63 (quin, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂), 1.45 - 1.56 (m, 4 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂ and CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.29 - 1.34 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.19 - 1.25 (m, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.15 - 1.19 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)

¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 176.4 (C(=O)CC(=O)OH), 175.4 (OC(=O)), 172.1 (NHC(=O)), 166.0 (C(=O)OH), 153.0 (d, *J* = 250.2 Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.0 (CH=CC(=O)OH), 146.8 (CH=CCH₂), 145.2 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.2 (*para* to F), 121.6 (CH=CCH₂), 118.5 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 110.9 (d, *J* = 23.5 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 106.7 (CC(=O)OH), 106.3 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, *meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 65.3 (OCH₂), 57.4 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 52.4 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 49.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 49.0 (CH₂NCH=C), 47.8 (CHNH), 35.9 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 34.8 (NHC(=O)CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂CH₂NCH=C), 28.3 (CH₂CHNH), 26.9 (CH=C


CH_2CH_2), 25.7 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 25.4 ($\text{NHC}(=\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 24.9 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2$), 24.5 ($\text{NHC}(=\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 7.6 ($\text{NCH}(\text{CH}_2)_2$)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 652.3254, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₃₃H₄₃FN₇O₆]⁺ requires 652.3248

$[\alpha]_D^{20} / {}^\circ\text{10}^{-1}\text{cm}^2\text{g}^{-1} = -8.5$ ($c / \text{g(100 ml)}^{-1} = 0.106$, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.29 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(2-heptyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolin-6-yl)-1-H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 82



50 % water/*t*-BuOH (1 ml) was degassed by bubbling N₂ through it. This was then added to a mixture of 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **70** (4.1 mg, 10.0 μmol , 1 eq.) and 6-azido-2-heptylquinolin-4(1*H*)-one **40** (2.8 mg, 10.0 μmol , 1 eq.). A similarly degassed solution of CuSO₄ · 5 H₂O (125 μg , 0.5 μmol , 0.05 eq. 50 mM), THPTA (218 μg , 0.5 μmol , 0.05 eq. 50 mM) and sodium ascorbate (198 μg , 1 μmol , 0.1 eq., 100 mM) in 50 % water/*t*-BuOH (10 μl) was then added. The mixture was stirred at r.t. under argon for 1.5 h, then the reaction mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (50-100 % acetonitrile/water over 20 min). The combined pure fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure and then partitioned between NaHCO₃ (aq., sat., 10 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (10 ml). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **82** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (8.6 mg, 2.7 μmol , 27.0 %).

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 2927.0$ (C-H), 2865.5 (C-H), 1715.5 (carboxylic acid C=O), 1631.0 (ciprofloxacin quinolone C=O and HHQ C=O)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO d₆) 15.12 (br s, 1 H, $\underline{\text{C}}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 11.79 (s, 1 H, NH), 8.75 (s, 1 H, $\text{NCH}=\text{CCH}_2$), 8.71 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OH), 8.40 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O) and *ortho* to N), 8.18 (dd, $J = 8.9, 2.6$ Hz, 1 H, *para* to C(=O) and *ortho* to N), 7.99 (d, $J = 13.0$ Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.75 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H, *meta* to C(=O) and *meta* to N), 7.62 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 6.02 (s, 1 H, $\text{NHC}=\text{CHC}(=\text{O})$), 3.85 (tt, $J = 7.0, 4.0$ Hz, 1 H, $\text{NCH}(\text{CH}_2)_2$), 3.23 - 3.30 (m, 10 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 2.82 (t, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 2 H, $\text{NCH}=\text{CCH}_2$), 2.63 (t, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{C}=\text{CHC}(=\text{O})$), 1.76 - 1.81 (m, 4 H, $\text{NCH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 1.70 (quin, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}=\text{CHC}(=\text{O})$), 1.15 - 1.38 (m, 12 H, $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$, $\text{NCH}(\text{CHH})_2$ and $\text{NCH}(\text{CHH})_2$), 0.87 (t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 3 H, CH₃)


¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 176.4 ($\underline{\text{C}}(=\text{O})\text{CC}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 176.3 ($\text{CH}\underline{\text{C}}(=\text{O})$), 165.8 ($\underline{\text{C}}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 154.3 ($\text{CCH}\underline{\text{C}}(=\text{O})$), 152.9 (d, $J = 240.1$ Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.3 ($\underline{\text{CH}}=\text{CC}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 147.5 ($\text{NCH}\underline{\text{CCH}}_2$), 143.0 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, *ortho* to F and *ipso* to N), 139.6 (*ipso* to NH), 139.0 (*para* to F), 132.0 (*para* to NH), 124.9 (*ipso*

to C(=O) and *ortho* to NH), 123.6 (*para* to C(=O) and *meta* to NH), 120.5 (NCH=CCH₂), 120.0 (*meta* to C(=O) and *meta* to N), 119.6 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, *ipso* to C(=O) and *para* to N), 115.1 (*ortho* to C(=O) and *ortho* to N), 111.3 (d, *J* = 28.8 Hz, *ortho* to F and *ortho* to C(=O)), 107.9 (*meta* to F and *meta* to C(=O)), 107.2 (CHC(=O)), 106.9 (CC(=O)OH), 55.4 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 50.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 46.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 46.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 36.0 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 33.2 (CH₂CNH), 31.2 (CH₃CH₂CH₂), 28.3 - 28.5 (CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 25.6 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 24.4 (CH=CCH₂), 22.7 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 22.0 (CH₃CH₂), 13.9 (CH₃), 7.6 (NCH(CH₂)₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 696.3667, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₃₉H₄₇FN₇O₄]⁺ requires 696.3668

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.30 (*S*)-4-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)butanamide **86**



50 % water/*t*-BuOH (2 ml) was degassed by bubbling N₂ through it. This was then added to a mixture of 5-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine **73** (20.6 mg, 50.0 μmol, 1 eq.) and (*S*)-4-azido-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)butanamide **60** (15.9 mg, 75.0 μmol, 1.5 eq.). Similarly degassed solutions of CuSO₄ · 5 H₂O (624 μg, 2.5 μmol, 0.05 eq. 50 mM), THPTA (1.09 mg, 2.5 μmol, 0.05 eq. 50 mM) and sodium ascorbate (991 μg, 5 μmol, 0.1 eq., 100 mM) in water (50 μl) were then added. An extra portion of **60** (10.6 mg, 50.0 μmol, 1 eq.) was added after 4 d. Extra portions of the catalysts were added after 9 d. After 2 weeks, the reaction mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (6×10 ml) then dry-loaded onto SiO₂ and purified by column chromatography using a CombiFlash (SiO₂, 0-20 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **86** was obtained as a pale brown gum (4.8 mg, 8.4 μmol, 16.8 %).

TLC *R_f* = 0.30 (30 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3340.5 (N-H), 3303.3 (N-H), 3182.5 (N-H), 2933.8 (C-H), 1774.2 (lactone C=O), 1659.7 (amide C=O and pyrimidine)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 8.43 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.80 (s, 1 H, NCH=CCH₂), 7.46 (s, 1 H, CHN=CNH₂), 6.68 (br s, 2 H, CH₂CCNH₂), 6.53 (s, 2 H, *meta* to CH₂), 6.21 (br s, 2 H, CHN=CNH₂), 4.49 (dt, *J* = 10.7, 8.6 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 4.32 (td, *J* = 8.7, 1.6 Hz, 1 H, CHHOC(=O)), 4.29 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2 H, CH₂N), 4.19 (ddd, *J* = 10.6, 8.7, 6.5 Hz, 1 H, CHHOC(=O)), 3.79 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 3.68 (s, 6 H, CH₃), 3.53 (br s, 2 H, CCH₂C), 2.63 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂), 2.37 (dddd, *J* = 12.2, 8.9, 6.7, 1.8 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 2.08 - 2.15 (m, 3 H, CHHCHNH and C(=O)CH₂), 2.00 (quin, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂N), 1.72 (quin, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂), 1.61 (quin, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂O)

¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 175.8 (OC=O), 171.9 (NHC=O), 163.1 (CC(NH₂)N), 159.7


(br s, $\underline{\text{NC}}(\text{NH}_2)\text{N}$), 153.2 (*ipso* to OCH_3), 150.5 (br s, $\underline{\text{CH}}\text{NC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{N}$), 147.3 ($\text{NCH}=\underline{\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2}$), 135.2 (*para* to CH_2O), 135.0 (*ipso* to CH_2O), 122.1 ($\underline{\text{CH}}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 107.3 ($\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CC}}(\text{NH}_2)=\text{N}$), 106.2 (*meta* to CH_2O), 72.3 ($\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CH}_2\text{O}}$), 65.7 ($\underline{\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CHNH}}$), 56.2 ($\underline{\text{OCH}_3}$), 48.9 ($\underline{\text{CH}_2\text{N}}$), 48.3 ($\underline{\text{CHNH}}$), 32.9 ($\underline{\text{CCH}_2\text{C}}$), 32.0 ($\text{C}=(\text{O})\underline{\text{CH}_2}$), 29.3 ($\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O}}$), 28.4 ($\text{OCH}_2\underline{\text{CH}_2\text{CHNH}}$), 26.0 ($\underline{\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}}$), 25.7 ($\text{CH}=\underline{\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2}$), 24.9 ($\text{CH}=\underline{\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2}$)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 569.2834, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₂₇H₃₇N₈O₆]⁺ requires 569.2836

$[\alpha]_D^{20} / {}^\circ\text{10}^{-1}\text{cm}^2\text{g}^{-1} = -4.6$ ($c / \text{g}(100 \text{ ml})^{-1} = 0.0433$, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.31 (*S*)-6-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide 87



50 % water/*t*-BuOH (2 ml) was degassed by bubbling N₂ through it. This was then added to a mixture of 5-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine **73** (20.6 mg, 50.0 μmol , 1 eq.) and (*S*)-6-azido-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide **63** (18.0 mg, 75.0 μmol , 1.5 eq.). Similarly degassed solutions of CuSO₄ · 5 H₂O (624 μg , 2.5 μmol , 0.05 eq. 50 mM), THPTA (1.09 mg, 2.5 μmol , 0.05 eq. 50 mM) and sodium ascorbate (991 μg , 5 μmol , 0.1 eq., 100 mM) in water (50 μl) were then added. An extra portion of **63** (12.0 mg, 50.0 μmol , 1 eq.) was added after was added after 4 d. Extra portions of the catalysts were added after 9 d. After 2 weeks, the reaction mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (6×10 ml) then dry-loaded onto SiO₂ and purified by column chromatography using a CombiFlash (SiO₂, 0-20 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **87** was obtained as a clear gum (8.0 mg, 13.4 μmol , 26.8 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.35$ (30 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 3336.0$ (N-H), 3208.7 (N-H), 2941.1 (C-H), 2869.2 (C-H), 1775.2 (lactone C=O), 1657.3 (amide C=O and pyrimidine)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO d₆) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 8.34$ (d, $J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H, $\underline{\text{NH}}$), 7.83 (s, 1 H, $\text{NCH}=\underline{\text{CCH}_2}$), 7.50 (s, 1 H, $\underline{\text{CHN}}=\text{CNH}_2$), 6.54 (s, 2 H, *meta* to CH_2), 6.17 (br s, 2 H, $\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CCN}}\text{H}_2$), 5.77 (br s, 2 H, $\text{CHN}=\underline{\text{CNH}_2}$), 4.51 (ddd, $J = 11.0, 9.0, 8.1 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H, $\underline{\text{CHNH}}$), 4.33 (td, $J = 8.8, 1.9 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H, $\underline{\text{CHHOC}}(=\text{O})$), 4.27 (t, $J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\underline{\text{CH}_2\text{N}}$), 4.19 (ddd, $J = 10.5, 8.7, 6.5 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H, $\underline{\text{CHHOC}}(=\text{O})$), 3.80 (t, $J = 6.3 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O}$), 3.70 (s, 6 H, $\underline{\text{CH}_3}$), 3.52 (s, 2 H, $\underline{\text{CCH}_2\text{C}}$), 2.64 (t, $J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\text{CH}=\underline{\text{CCH}_2}$), 2.36 (dddd, $J = 12.1, 8.9, 6.7, 1.8 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H, $\underline{\text{CHHCHNH}}$), 2.06 - 2.16 (m, 3 H, $\underline{\text{CHHCHNH}}$ and $\text{C}=(\text{O})\underline{\text{CH}_2}$), 1.78 (quin, $J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\underline{\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}}$), 1.73 (quin, $J = 7.7 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\text{CH}=\underline{\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2}$), 1.63 (quin, $J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\underline{\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O}}$), 1.52 (quin, $J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\text{C}=(\text{O})\underline{\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2}$), 1.17 - 1.27 (m, 2 H, $\text{C}=(\text{O})\underline{\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2}$)


¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 175.4 (OC=O), 172.0 (NHC=O), 162.2 (CC(NH₂)N), 161.8 (NC(NH₂)N), 154.8 (CHNC(NH₂)N), 152.8 (*ipso* to OCH₃), 146.7 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 135.5 (*para* to CH₂O), 134.8 (*ipso* to CH₂O), 121.6 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 105.9 (CH₂CC(NH₂)=N), 105.8 (*meta* to CH₂O), 71.9 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 65.2 (OCH₂CH₂CHNH), 55.8 (OCH₃), 49.0 (CH₂N), 47.8 (CHNH), 34.8 (C(=O)CH₂), 32.9 (CCH₂C), 29.4 (CH₂CH₂N), 29.1 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 28.2 (OCH₂CH₂CHNH), 25.5 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 25.3 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂), 24.7 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 24.4 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 597.3149, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₂₉H₄₁N₈O₆]⁺ requires 597.3144

[α]_D²⁰ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = -3.6 (c / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.11, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.32 6-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-2-heptylquinolin-4(*1H*)-one 89



50 % water/t-BuOH (1 ml) was degassed by bubbling N₂ through it. This was then added to a mixture of 5-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine **73** (3.6 mg, 10.0 μmol, 1 eq.) and 6-azido-2-heptylquinolin-4(*1H*)-one **40** (2.8 mg, 10.0 μmol, 1 eq.). A similarly degassed solution of CuSO₄ · 5 H₂O (125 μg, 0.5 μmol, 0.05 eq. 50 mM), THPTA (218 μg, 0.5 μmol, 0.05 eq. 50 mM) and sodium ascorbate (198 μg, 1 μmol, 0.1 eq., 100 mM) in water (10 μl) was then added. The mixture was stirred at r.t. under argon for 1.5 h, then evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (5-100 % acetonitrile/water over 20 min). The combined pure fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure and then partitioned between NaHCO₃ (aq., sat., 10 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (10 ml). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **89** was obtained as a clear gum (2.6 mg, 4.1 μmol, 41.0 %).

TLC *R_f* = 0.17 (20 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2927.7 (C-H), 2855.5 (C-H), 1664.1 (pyrimidine), 1645.4 (pyrimidine and HHQ C=O),


¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 11.80 (s, 1 H, NH), 8.69 (s, 1 H, NCH=CCH₂), 8.41 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to C=O), 8.17 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 2.6 Hz, 1 H, *para* to C=O), 7.73 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to NH), 7.51 (br s, 4 H, NH₂), 7.41 (s, 1 H, CHN=CNH₂), 6.61 (s, 2 H, *meta* to CH₂), 6.02 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1 H, C(=O)CH), 3.86 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2 H, CH₂O), 3.73 (s, 6 H, OCH₃), 3.57 - 3.62 (m, 2 H, CCH₂C), 2.78 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂), 2.63 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, HNCCH₂), 1.85 (quin, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂), 1.61 - 1.78 (m, 4 H, HNCCH₂CH₂ and CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.31 - 1.40 (m, 4 H, HNCCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.25 - 1.31 (m, 4 H, CH₃CH₂CH₂), 0.86 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₃CH₂)

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 176.4 (C=O), 164.1 (CC(NH₂)N), 154.3 (HNC), 154.2 (NC(NH₂)N), 153.1 (*ipso* to OCH₃), 148.3 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 140.2 (CHNC(NH₂)N), 139.6 (*ipso* to NH), 135.4 (*ipso* to CH₂O), 132.8 (*para* to CH₂O), 132.1 (*para* to NH), 124.9 (*ipso* to C=O), 123.7 (*para* to C=O), 120.3 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 120.0 (*meta* to C=O and *ortho* to NH), 115.1 (*ortho* to C=O and *meta* to NH), 109.0 (CH₂CC(NH₂)=N), 108.0 (C(=O)CH), 106.3 (*meta* to CH₂O), 72.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 56.0 (OCH₃), 33.3 (HNCC₂H₂), 32.1 (CCH₂C), 31.2 (CH₃CH₂CH₂), 29.1 (CH₂CH₂O), 28.3 - 28.6 (CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 25.3 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 24.7 (CH=CCH₂), 22.1 (CH₃CH₂), 14.0 (CH₃CH₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 641.3557, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₃₅H₄₅N₈O₄]⁺ 641.3558

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.33 2-(6-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)hexyl)-3-hydroxyquinolin-4(*1H*)-one **91**



50 % water/*t*-BuOH (1 ml) was degassed by bubbling N₂ through it. This was then added to a mixture of 5-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine **73** (14.2 mg, 39.8 μmol, 1 eq.) and 2-(6-azidohexyl)-3-hydroxyquinolin-4(*1H*)-one **19** (11.4 mg, 39.8 μmol, 1 eq.). A similarly degassed solution of CuSO₄ · 5 H₂O (1.25 mg, 5 μmol, 0.125 eq. 50 mM), THPTA (2.18 mg, 5 μmol, 0.125 eq. 50 mM) and sodium ascorbate (1.98 mg, 10 μmol, 0.25 eq., 100 mM) in water (100 μl) was then added. The mixture was stirred at r.t. under argon for 3 h, then MeOH (1 ml) was added and the reaction mixture was dry-loaded onto SiO₂ and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, 0-20 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **91** was obtained as a pale brown amorphous solid (4.7 mg, 7.3 μmol, 18.3 %).

TLC *R_f* = 0.21 (20 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2924.8 (C-H), 2853.4 (C-H), 1660.0 (pyrimidine), 1638.8 (pyrimidine and PQS C=O),

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 11.53 (br s, 1 H, NH), 8.09 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to C=O), 7.83 (s, 1 H, NCH=CCH₂), 7.48 - 7.57 (m, 3 H, *para* to C=O, *ortho* to NH and CHN=CNH₂), 7.21 (ddd, *J* = 8.0, 6.3, 1.5 Hz, 1 H, *para* to NH), 6.55 (s, 2 H, *meta* to CH₂), 4.28 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, CH₂N), 3.80 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2 H, CH₂O), 3.70 (s, 6 H, CH₃), 3.53 (d, *J* = 0.3 Hz, 2 H, CCH₂C), 2.73 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, HNCC₂H₂), 2.64 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂), 1.80 (quin, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂N), 1.73 (quin, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂), 1.66 (quin, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, HNCC₂CH₂), 1.62 (quin, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂O), 1.33 - 1.40 (m, 2 H, HNCC₂CH₂CH₂), 1.27 - 1.32 (m, 2 H, HNCC₂CH₂CH₂CH₂)


¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 168.9 (C=O), 162.5 (CC(NH₂)N), 162.5 (NC(NH₂)N), 152.9 (CHNC(NH₂)N), 152.8 (*ipso* to OCH₃), 146.8 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 137.7 (COH), 137.3 (*para* to OH), 135.4

(HNC), 135.1 (*para* to CH₂O), 134.8 (*ipso* to CH₂O), 129.9 (*para* to C=O), 124.4 (*ortho* to C=O and *meta* to NH), 122.1 (*ipso* to C=O), 121.5 (*para* to NH), 121.4 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 117.7 (*meta* to C=O and *ortho* to NH), 106.2 (CH₂CC(NH₂)=N), 105.8 (*meta* to CH₂O), 71.9 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 55.8 (OCH₃), 49.0 (CH₂N), 32.8 (CCH₂C), 29.5 (CH₂CH₂N), 29.0 (CH₂CH₂O), 28.1 (HNCCH₂CH₂CH₂), 27.9 (HNCCH₂), 27.6 (HNCCH₂CH₂), 25.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 25.4 (CH₂CH₂CH₂O), 24.6 (CH=CCH₂CH₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 643.3365, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₃₄H₄₃N₈O₅]⁺ requires 643.3351

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.34 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 102



Ciprofloxacin **28** (10.0 g, 30 mmol, 1 eq.) and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (8.60 mg, 44.5 mmol, 1.5 eq.) were refluxed in methanol (500 ml) for 72 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and NaHCO₃ (sat., aq., 100 ml) and water (300 ml) were added. The product was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2×400 ml). The combined organic fractions were dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **102** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (9.16 g, 26.5 mmol, 83.3 %).

TLC *R_f* = 0.13 (5 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2947.9 (C-H), 2834.9 (C-H), 1720.9 (ester C=O), 1616.8 (quinolone C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 8.55 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OCH₃), 7.71 (d, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.41 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 3.83 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.62 (tt, *J* = 7.4, 3.5 Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.24 - 3.29 (m, 4 H, HN(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 3.02 - 3.10 (m, 4 H, HN(CH₂CH₂)CH₂), 1.31 - 1.38 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.12 - 1.20 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 175.2 (C(=O)CC(=O)OCH₃), 166.8 (C(=O)OCH₃), 154.9 (d, *J* = 248.0 Hz, *ipso* to F), 150.1 (C=CC(=O)OCH₃), 146.6 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.9 (*para* to F), 123.3 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 113.0 (d, *J* = 23.4 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 110.1 (C(=O)OCH₃), 107.1 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, *meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 52.3 (CH₃), 51.7 (HN(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 51.6 (HN(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 46.5 (HN(CH₂CH₂)CH₂), 36.4 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 8.7 (NCH(CH₂)₂)

¹⁹F NMR (376.45 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = -124.8 (s, ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 346.1569, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₁₈H₂₁FN₃O₃]⁺ requires 346.1567

The data are consistent with the literature.⁴⁰

3.35 4-Bromo-N-(2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)butanamide 104



3-Aminodihydrothiophen-2(*H*)-one hydrochloride **103** (15.0 g, 97.6 mmol, 1 eq.) and NaHCO₃ (16.4 g, 195 mmol, 2 eq.) were added to CH₂Cl₂ (150 ml) and water (150 ml). 4-Bromobutyryl chloride **58** (11.3 ml, 107 mmol, 1.1 eq.) was added dropwise over 45 min at 0 °C and the mixture was stirred for a further 1 h. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with a second portion of CH₂Cl₂ (150 ml). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **104** was obtained as a white, amorphous solid (22.7 g, 85.8 mmol, 87.9 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.19$ (50 % EtOAc/PE)

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 3265.9$ (amide N-H), 3063.2 (amide N-H), 1694.3 (thiolactone C=O), 1650.5 (amide C=O)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 6.08$ (d, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 1 H, NH), 4.54 (dt, $J = 12.9, 6.5$ Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 3.49 (t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂Br), 3.37 (ddd, $J = 12.2, 11.5, 5.3$ Hz, 1 H, SCHH), 3.26 (ddd, $J = 11.5, 6.9, 1.3$ Hz, 1 H, SCHH), 2.91 (dddd, $J = 12.5, 6.7, 5.3, 1.3$ Hz, 1 H, SCH₂CHH), 2.45 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1 H, C(=O)CHH), 2.45 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 1 H, C(=O)CHH), 2.20 (quin, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 1 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.96 (dddd, $J = 12.7, 12.5, 12.2, 7.0$ Hz, 1 H, SCH₂CHH)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 205.4$ (SC(=O)), 172.1 (NHC(=O)), 59.4 (CHNH), 34.1 (C(=O)CH₂), 33.1 (CH₂Br), 31.8 (SCH₂CH₂), 28.0 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 27.5 (SCH₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) The compound does not ionise.

The compound has been synthesised previously^{26,27} but characterisation was not published.

3.36 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)amino)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 105



Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate **102** (50 mg, 0.145 mmol, 1 eq.), 4-bromo-N-(2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)butanamide **104** (34.5 mg, 0.145 mmol, 1 eq.) and K₂CO₃ (20 mg, 0.145 mmol, 1 eq.) were stirred in acetonitrile (2 ml) at 50 °C under argon. After 24 h a further portion of **104** (34.5 mg, 0.145 mmol, 1 eq.) was added. After another 24 h a further portion was added (69.0

works
in
LCMS
see
Lois283

mg, 0.290 mmol, 2 eq.). After another 24 h the temperature was raised so the mixture was at reflux. After a final 24 h the precipitate was filtered off and the filtrate was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , 5-10 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂) followed by preparative HPLC (5-95 % acetonitrile/water over 20 min). **105** was obtained as a cream-coloured amorphous solid (9.4 mg, 0.018 mmol, 12.2 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.47$ (10 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2944.2 (C-H), 2832.4 (C-H), 1722.4 (ester C=O), 1700.4 (thiolactone C=O), 1669.6 (amide C=O), 1617.3 (quinolone C=O)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 8.53 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OCH₃), 7.68 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.41 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 4.67 (dd, J = 12.9, 6.9 Hz, 1 H, CH₂NH), 3.83 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.61 (tt, J = 6.9, 4.1 Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.39 - 3.49 (m, 1 H, SCH₂H), 3.26 - 3.33 (m, 5 H, SCH₂H and CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.93 - 3.03 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.79 (br. t, J = 7.2, 7.2 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂), 2.59 (dd, J = 12.4, 6.9, 5.4, 1.4 Hz, 1 H, SCH₂CH₂H), 2.39 (t, J = 7.20 Hz, 1 H, C(=O)CH₂H), 2.38 (t, J = 6.94 Hz, 1 H, C(=O)CH₂H), 2.18 (qd, J = 12.4, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, SCH₂CH₂H), 1.97 (quin, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.32 - 1.37 (m, 2 H, NCH(CH₂H)₂), 1.13 - 1.19 (m, 2 H, NCH(CH₂H)₂)


¹³C NMR (126 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 207.0 (SC(=O)), 175.7 (NHC(=O)), 175.1 (C(=O)CC(=O)OCH₃), 166.6 (C(=O)OCH₃), 154.7 (d, J = 249.0 Hz, *ipso* to F), 150.2 (s, CH=CC(=O)OCH₃), 145.6 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.8 (*para* to F), 123.5 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 113.1 (d, J = 23.6 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 110.0 (CC(=O)OCH₃), 107.4 (*meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 60.2 (CH₂NH), 58.5 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂), 53.8 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 52.3 (OCH₃), 50.1 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 50.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 36.5 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 34.5 (C(=O)CH₂), 31.7 (SCH₂CH₂), 28.1 (SCH₂), 22.9 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂), 8.7 (NCH(CH₂)₂)

¹⁹F NMR (376.45 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = -125.4 (s, ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 531.2083, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₂₆H₃₂FN₄O₅S]⁺ requires 531.2077

The compound has been synthesised previously.^{26,27} Only HRMS characterisation was published, and this agrees with the result above.

3.37 4-Azido-N-(2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)butanamide 106



4-Bromo-N-(2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)butanamide **104** (6.00 g, 27.0 mmol, 1 eq.) and Na₃N (3.51 g, 54.1 mmol, 2 eq.) were refluxed in acetonitrile (120 ml) for 1.5 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was partitioned between water (150 ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (150 ml). The aqueous layer was extracted twice more with CH₂Cl₂ (2×150 ml) and the combined organic fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **106** was obtained as a yellow, sticky solid (4.60 g, 20.1 mmol, 89.3 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.19$ (50 % EtOAc/PE)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3285.6 (N-H), 2963.9 (C-H), 2100.2 (azide), 1697.4 (thiolactone C=O), 1647.4 (amide C=O)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 6.71 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H, NH), 4.54 (dt, J = 13.0, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 3.30 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 H, CH₂N₃), 3.31 (td, J = 11.7, 5.3 Hz, 1 H, SCHH), 3.19 (ddd, J = 11.3, 7.0, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, SCHH), 2.70 (dddd, J = 12.4, 6.8, 5.3, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, SCH₂CHH), 2.29 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, C(=O)CHH), 2.28 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, C(=O)CHH), 1.97 (qd, J = 12.4, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, SCH₂CHH), 1.85 (quin, J = 6.9 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 205.4 (SC(=O)), 172.3 (NHC(=O)), 59.4 (CHNH), 50.6 (CH₂N₃), 32.8 (C(=O)CH₂), 31.8 (SCH₂CH₂), 27.5 (SCH₂), 24.6 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 251.0565, [M+Na]⁺ found, [C₈H₁₂N₄NaO₂S]⁺ requires 251.0573

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.38 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)amino)butyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 107



1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **70** (15 mg, 36.7 μ mol, 1 eq.) and 4-azido-N-(2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)butanamide **106** (12.5 mg, 55.1 μ mol, 1.5 eq.) were dissolved in 1:9:10 water/t-BuOH/DMSO (3 ml), and the mixture was degassed by bubbling N₂ through it. A solution of CuSO₄ and THPTA (182 μ l, 18.2 μ mol, 0.5 eq. 100 mM, aq.) was added, followed by a solution of sodium ascorbate (367 μ l, 36.7 μ mol, 1 eq., 100 mM, aq.). The mixture was stirred at r.t. under argon for 4 d. Water (10 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (10 ml) were added, the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted again with 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (2×10 ml). The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (5-95 % acetonitrile/water over 20 min). The combined pure fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure and then partitioned between NaHCO₃ (aq., sat., 50 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (50 ml). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **107** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (16.5 mg, 25.9 μ mol, 70.6 %).

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2918.8 (C-H), 1712.7 (carboxylic acid C=O and thiolactone C=O), 1657.6 (amide C=O), 1626.8 (quinolone C=O), 1616.2 (triazole)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 15.23 (br s, 1 H, C(=O)OH), 8.66 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OH), 8.23 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.90 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.84 (s, 1 H, CH=CCH₂), 7.56 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 4.59 (ddd, J = 12.7, 8.4, 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 4.31 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H,

$\text{CH}_2\text{NCH}=\text{C}$), 3.80 - 3.86 (6.9, 4.0 Hz, 1 H, $\text{NCH}(\text{CH}_2)_2$), 3.34 - 3.37 (m, 1 H, SCHH), 3.32 (br t, $J = 4.1$ Hz, 4 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 3.27 (ddd, $J = 11.1$, 6.9, 1.4 Hz, 1 H, SCHH), 2.64 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2 H, $\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2$), 2.57 (br t, $J = 4.7$ Hz, 4 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2$), 2.34 - 2.44 (m, 3 H, SCH_2CHH and $\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 2.12 (t, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1 H, $\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{CHH}$), 2.12 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 1 H, $\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{CHH}$), 2.04 (m, 3 H, SCH_2CHH and $\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 1.64 (quin, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2 H, $\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 1.51 (quin, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2 H, $\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 1.28 - 1.34 (m, 2 H, $\text{NCH}(\text{CHH})_2$), 1.15 - 1.20 (m, 2 H, $\text{NCH}(\text{CHH})_2$)


^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 205.6 ($\text{SC}(=\text{O})$), 176.4 ($\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{CC}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 171.4 ($\text{NHC}(=\text{O})$), 166.0 ($\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 153.1 (d, $J = 249.3$ Hz, *ortho* to F), 148.0 ($\text{CH}=\text{CC}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 146.9 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2$), 145.3 (d, $J = 10.1$ Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.2 (*para* to F), 121.8 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2$), 118.6 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, *para* to piperazine), 111.0 (d, $J = 23.3$ Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 106.7 ($\text{CC}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 106.4 (d, $J = 2.9$ Hz, *meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 58.2 ($\text{SC}(=\text{O})\text{CHNH}$), 57.4 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 52.4 ($\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2$), 49.5 ($\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 49.5 ($\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 48.6 ($\text{CH}_2\text{NCH}=\text{C}$), 35.9 ($\text{NCH}(\text{CH}_2)_2$), 31.9 ($\text{NHC}(=\text{O})\text{CH}_2$), 30.1 (CH_2CHNH), 26.9 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 26.8 (SCH_2), 25.9 ($\text{NHC}(=\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 25.8 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 25.0 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2$), 7.6 ($\text{NCH}(\text{CH}_2)_2$)

^{19}F NMR (376.45 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = -124.9 (s, ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 640.2739, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₃₁H₃₉FN₇O₅S]⁺ requires 640.2712

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.39 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(((4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)amino)butyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butanoyl)oxy)methoxy)carbonyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 108



1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(((hex-5-ynoyloxy)methoxy)carbonyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **161** (203 mg, 0.407 mmol, 1 eq.), 4-azido-N-(2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)butanamide **106** (92.8 mg, 0.407 mmol, 1 eq.), CuI (40 mg, 0.190 mmol, 0.5 eq.) and DIPEA (0.356 ml, 0.264 mg, 2.04 mmol, 5 eq.) were stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (18.6 ml) at r.t. under Ar for 3 h. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was dry-loaded onto SiO₂ and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, 5-10 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂). **108** was obtained as pale brown/yellow amorphous solid (14.7 mg, 20.2 μmol , 5.0 %).

TLC R_f = 0.40 (5 % CH₂Cl₂/MeOH)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3054.9 (C-H), 1715.8 (carboxylic acid C=O and ester C=O), 1696.2 (carbamate C=O and thiolactone C=O), 1651.2 (amide C=O), 1629.2 (quinolone C=O)

^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 15.16 (br s, 1 H, $\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 8.65 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C=O),


8.21 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.89 (d, $J = 13.1$ Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.85 (s, 1 H, CH=CCH₂), 7.57 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 5.74 (s, 1 H, OCH₂O), 4.58 (ddd, $J = 12.6, 8.1, 7.2$ Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 4.30 (t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂N), 3.80 (tt, $J = 6.9, 3.6$ Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.62 (br t, $J = 5.2$ Hz, 4 H, C(=O)N(CH₂)CH₂), 3.38 (td, $J = 11.4, 5.5$ Hz, 1 H, SCHH), 3.34 (br s, 4 H, C(=O)N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 3.27 (ddd, $J = 11.0, 6.9, 1.6$ Hz, 1 H, SCHH), 2.64 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂), 2.44 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂C(=O)O), 2.40 (dddd, $J = 12.3, 6.8, 5.4, 1.4$ Hz, 1 H, SCH₂CHH), 2.12 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1 H, NHC(=O)CHH), 2.12 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 1 H, NHC(=O)CHH), 1.98 - 2.07 (m, 3 H, SCH₂CHH and NHC(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.86 (quin, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂), 1.29 - 1.36 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.14 - 1.21 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 205.5 (SC(=O)), 176.4 (C(=O)CC(=O)OH), 171.8 (C(=O)OCH₂O), 171.3 (NHC(=O)), 165.9 (C(=O)OH), 152.8 (d, $J = 249.7$ Hz, *ipso* to F), 152.9 (OC(=O)N), 148.1 (CH=CC(=O)OH), 146.0 (CH=CCH₂), 144.9 (d, $J = 9.6$ Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.1 (*para* to F), 122.0 (CH=CCH₂), 118.9 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, *para* to piperazine), 111.0 (d, $J = 23.5$ Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 106.8 (CC(=O)OH, and *meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 80.3 (OCH₂O), 58.2 (CHNH), 49.1 (C(=O)N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 49.1 (C(=O)N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 48.6 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂N), 43.4 (N(CH₂)CH₂), 43.0 (N(CH₂)CH₂), 35.9 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 32.7 (CH=CCH₂CH₂C(=O)), 31.8 (NHC(=O)CH₂), 30.1 (SCH₂CH₂), 26.8 (SCH₂), 25.8 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂N), 24.2 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂C(=O)), 24.0 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂C(=O)), 7.6 (NCH(CH₂)₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 728.2502, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₃₃H₃₉FN₇O₉S]⁺ requires 728.2503

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.40 4-Bromo-N-(2-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 110



2-Methoxyaniline **109** (9.12 ml, 10.0 g, 81.2 mmol, 1 eq.) and NaHCO₃ (8.19 g, 97.4 mmol, 1.2 eq.) were dissolved in water (100 ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (100 ml). The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and 4-bromobutyryl chloride **58** (9.40 ml, 15.1 g, 81.2 mmol, 1 eq.) was added dropwise over 15 min. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1.5 h, then the aqueous layer was removed. The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, 5-25 % EtOAc/P.E.). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **110** was obtained as an initially colourless liquid which slowly turned blue then black if left out on the bench (11.0 g, 40.6 mmol, 50.0 %).

TLC R_f = 0.16 (10 % EtOAc/P.E.)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3410.2 (N-H), 3313.4 (N-H), 2961.6 (C-H), 2939.5 (C-H), 2902.5 (C-H), 1676.4 (amide C=O)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃ d₁) δ / ppm = 8.32 (dd, $J = 8.0, 1.7$ Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to NH), 7.85 (br s, 1 H, NH), 7.02 (td, $J = 7.9, 1.7$ Hz, 1 H, *para* to NH), 6.93 (td, $J = 7.7, 1.4$ Hz, 1 H, *para* to OCH₃), 6.85 (dd, $J = 8.1, 1.5$ Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to OCH₃), 3.85 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.50 (t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂Br), 2.56 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂), 2.25 (quin, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃ d₁) δ / ppm = 169.4 (C(=O)), 147.6 (*ipso* to OCH₃), 127.2 (*ipso* to NH), 123.5 (*para* to NH), 120.7 (*para* to OCH₃), 119.6 (*ortho* to NH and *meta* to OCH₃), 109.8 (*ortho* to OCH₃ and *meta* to NH), 55.5 (CH₃), 35.4 (C(=O)CH₂), 33.1 (CH₂Br), 27.9 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 272.0287, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₁₁H₁₅BrNO₂]⁺ requires 272.0286

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.41 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-((2-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 111



Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate **102** (500 mg, 1.45 mmol, 1 eq.), 4-bromo-N-(2-methoxyphenyl)butanamide **110** (788 mg, 2.90 mmol, 2 eq.), DIPEA (1.28 ml, 950 mg, 7.35 mmol, 5 eq.), NaI (275 mg, 1.83 mmol, 1.3 eq.) and acetonitrile (10 ml) were stirred in a microwave reactor at 100 °C for 4 h. The mixture was dry-loaded onto SiO₂ and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, 4 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **111** was obtained as a bright pink amorphous solid (79.7 mg, 0.149 mmol, 10.2 %).

TLC *R_f* = 0.40 (10 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2947.1 (C-H), 2833.7 (C-H), 1718.9 (ester C=O), 1685.3 (amide C=O), 1617.3 (quinolone C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃ d₁) δ / ppm = 8.48 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OCH₃), 8.36 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to NH), 7.87 - 7.99 (m, 2 H, *ortho* to F and NH), 7.19 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 7.01 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, *para* to NH), 6.93 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1 H, *para* to OCH₃), 6.85 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to OCH₃), 3.88 (s, 3 H, C(=O)CH₃), 3.85 (s, 3 H, aromatic OCH₃), 3.41 (tt, *J* = 6.9, 4.0 Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.25 (br t, *J* = 5.0, 5.0 Hz, 4 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.67 (br t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 4 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)), 2.53 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.47 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 1.97 (quin, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 1.25 - 1.33 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.07 - 1.14 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃ d₁) δ / ppm = 172.9 (C(=O)CC(=O)OCH₃), 170.8 (NHC(=O)), 166.2 (C(=O)OCH₃), 153.3 (d, *J* = 248.0 Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.2 (C=CC(=O)OCH₃), 147.6 (*ipso* to OCH₃), 144.4 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 137.9 (*para* to F), 127.6 (*ipso* to NH), 123.4 (*para* to NH), 122.7 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 121.0 (*para* to OCH₃), 119.7 (*ortho* to NH and *meta* to OCH₃), 113.0 (d, *J* = 22.5 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 109.8 (*ortho* to OCH₃ and *meta* to NH, and CC(=O)OCH₃), 104.7 (*meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 57.2 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 55.6 (aromatic OCH₃), 52.7 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 51.9 (C(=O)OCH₃), 49.8 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 49.8 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 35.5 (CH₂)

$\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 34.5 ($\text{NCH}(\text{CH}_2)_2$), 22.3 ($\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 8.0 ($\text{NCH}(\underline{\text{CH}}_2)_2$)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 537.2523, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₂₉H₃₄FN₄O₅]⁺ requires 537.2513

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.42 4-Azido-*N*-(2-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 112



4-Bromo-*N*-(2-methoxyphenyl)butanamide **110** (2.05 g, 7.51 mmol, 1 eq.) and NaN₃ (1.17 g, 18.0 mmol, 2.4 eq.) were refluxed in acetonitrile (100 ml) for 2 h. The mixture was cooled and filtered, and the filtrate was dry-loaded onto SiO₂ and purified by column chromatography using a Combiflash (SiO₂, 8-14 % then hold at 14 % EtOAc/P.E.). **112** was obtained as an initially colourless liquid which slowly turned blue then black if left out on the bench (0.469 g, 2.00 mmol, 26.7 %).

TLC R_f = 0.20 (25 % EtOAc/P.E.)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3419.7 (N-H), 3329.6 (N-H), 2094.8 (azide), 1672.3 (amide C=O)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃ d₁) δ / ppm = 8.32 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.0 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to NH), 7.86 (br s, 1 H, NH), 7.00 (td, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H, *para* to NH), 6.90 (td, J = 7.7, 1.1 Hz, 1 H, *para* to OCH₃), 6.83 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.4 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to OCH₃), 3.81 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.33 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 H, CH₂Br), 2.42 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂), 1.94 (quin, J = 6.9 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃ d₁) δ / ppm = 169.5 ($\underline{\text{C}}(=\text{O})$), 147.6 (*ipso* to OCH₃), 127.1 (*ipso* to NH), 123.4 (*para* to NH), 120.5 (*para* to OCH₃), 119.5 (*ortho* to NH and *meta* to OCH₃), 109.6 (*ortho* to OCH₃ and *meta* to NH), 55.2 ($\underline{\text{CH}}_3$), 50.3 ($\underline{\text{CH}}_2\text{N}_3$), 33.9 (C(=O) $\underline{\text{CH}}_2$), 24.3 (C(=O)CH₂ $\underline{\text{CH}}_2$)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 257.1010, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₁₁H₁₄N₄NaO₂]⁺ requires 257.1014

The data are consistent with the literature.⁵³

3.43 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((2-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 113



1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **70** (24.1 mg, 58.6 μ mol, 1 eq.) and 4-azido-*N*-(2-methoxyphenyl)butanamide **112** (13.7 mg, 58.5 μ mol, 1 eq.) were dissolved in water (3 ml), *t*-BuOH (9 ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (9 ml), and the mixture was degassed by bubbling through N₂. A solution of CuSO₄ and THPTA (117 μ l, 5.85 μ mol, 0.1 eq., 50 mM, aq.) was added, followed by a solution of sodium ascorbate (234 μ l, 11.7 μ mol, 0.2 eq., 50 mM, aq.). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon for 16 h. Water (25 ml), CH₂Cl₂ (25 ml) and MeOH (5 ml) were added and the organic layer was separated off, dry-loaded onto SiO₂ and purified by column chromatography using a CombiFlash (SiO₂, 3-23 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **113** was obtained as a clear amorphous solid (14.7 mg, 22.8 μ mol, 39.0 %).

TLC R_f = 0.28 (10 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2926.5 (C-H), 2846.6 (C-H), 1723.4 (carboxylic acid C=O), 1682.0 (amide C=O), 1625.8 (quinolone C=O), 1612.8 (triazole)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 15.05 (br s, 1 H, C(=O)OH), 8.76 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OH), 8.31 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.7 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to NH), 8.00 (d, *J* = 13.0 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.83 (br s, 1 H, NH), 7.37 (s, 1 H, CH=CCH₂), 7.35 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 7.04 (td, *J* = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1 H, *para* to NH), 6.95 (td, *J* = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H, *para* to OCH₃), 6.88 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 1.4 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to OCH₃), 4.47 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 3.88 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.54 (tt, *J* = 6.9, 4.0 Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.35 (br t, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 4 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.76 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂), 2.66 (t, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 4 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.47 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.44 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.32 (quin, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 1.75 (quin, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 1.61 (quin, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 1.35 - 1.42 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.17 - 1.22 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 177.1 (C(=O)CC(=O)OH), 169.5 (NH₂C(=O)), 167.0 (C(=O)OH), 153.7 (d, *J* = 251.4 Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.1 (CH=CCH₂), 147.8 (*ipso* to OCH₃), 147.3 (C=CC(=O)OH), 145.9 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.1 (*para* to F), 127.3 (*ipso* to NH), 123.9 (*para* to NH), 121.0 (*para* to OCH₃), 120.9 (CH=CCH₂), 119.7 (*para* to piperazine, and *ortho* to NH and *meta* to OCH₃), 112.4 (d, *J* = 23.4 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 109.9 (*ortho* to OCH₃ and *meta* to NH), 108.1 (C(=O)OH), 104.7 (*meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 58.1 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 55.6 (CH₃), 52.8 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂), 49.8 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 49.8 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 49.1 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 35.2 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 33.8 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 27.3 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 26.4 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 26.0 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 25.5 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 8.2 (NCH₂CH₂CH₂N)


$(\underline{\text{CH}_2})_2$

^{19}F NMR (376.45 MHz, CDCl_3) δ / ppm = -120.7 (s, ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI $^+$) m/z / Da = 646.3132, $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ found, $[\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{41}\text{FN}_7\text{O}_5]^+$ requires 646.3153

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.44 4-Bromo-N-(3-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 115



3-Methoxyaniline **114** (3.04 ml, 3.33 g, 27.1 mmol, 1 eq.) and NaHCO_3 (2.73 g, 32.5 mmol, 1.2 eq.) were dissolved in water (30 ml) and CH_2Cl_2 (30 ml). The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and 4-bromobutyryl chloride **58** (3.13 ml, 5.03 g, 27.1 mmol, 1 eq.) was added dropwise over 5 min. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, then the aqueous layer was removed. The organic layer was dry-loaded onto SiO_2 and purified by column chromatography using a CombiFlash (SiO_2 , 0-100 % EtOAc/P.E.). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO_4 and evaporated under reduced pressure. **115** was obtained as a pale pink amorphous solid (3.66 g, 13.5 mmol, 49.6 %).

TLC R_f = 0.18 (25 % EtOAc/P.E.)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm^{-1} = 1670.9 (amide C=O)


^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3 d₁) δ / ppm = 8.45 (s, 1 H, NH), 7.27 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to OCH₃ and *ortho* to NH), 7.14 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to OCH₃ and *meta* to NH), 7.02 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H, *para* to OCH₃), 6.62 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.1 Hz, 1 H, *para* to NH), 3.71 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.42 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H, CH₂Br), 2.51 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂), 2.19 (quin, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂)

^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3 d₁) δ / ppm = 170.3 (C(=O)), 159.9 (*ipso* to OCH₃), 139.0 (*ipso* to NH), 129.5 (*meta* to OCH₃ and *meta* to NH), 112.1 (*para* to OCH₃), 109.9 (*para* to NH), 105.7 (*ortho* to OCH₃ and *ortho* to NH), 55.2 (CH₃), 35.3 (C(=O)CH₂), 33.2 (CH₂Br), 28.0 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂)

HRMS (ESI $^+$) The compound does not ionise.

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.45 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 116



Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate **102** (500 mg, 1.45 mmol, 1 eq.), 4-bromo-N-(3-methoxyphenyl)butanamide **115** (788 mg, 2.90 mmol, 2 eq.), DIPEA (1.28 ml, 950 mg, 7.35 mmol, 5 eq.), NaI (275 mg, 1.83 mmol, 1.3 eq.) and acetonitrile (10 ml) were stirred in a microwave reactor at 100 °C for 4 h. The mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure and partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ (50 ml) and water (50 ml). The organic layer was separated off and the aqueous layer was extracted again with CH₂Cl₂ (50 ml). The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO₄ and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, 0-4 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **116** was obtained as an off-white amorphous solid (81.7 mg, 0.152 mmol, 10.5 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.38$ (10 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3270.8 (amide N-H) 2943.8 (C-H), 2817.0 (C-H), 1729.5 (ester C=O), 1682.0 (amide C=O), 1613.5 (quinolone C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 8.56 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OCH₃), 8.06 (d, *J* = 13.3 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 8.02 (br s, 1 H, NH), 7.34 (t, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to OCH₃ and *ortho* to NH), 7.25 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 7.20 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to OCH₃ and *meta* to NH), 6.98 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.7 Hz, 1 H, *para* to OCH₃), 6.65 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 2.1 Hz, 1 H, *para* to NH), 3.93 (s, 3 H, C(=O)OCH₃), 3.80 (s, 3 H, aromatic OCH₃), 3.42 (tt, *J* = 6.8, 3.7 Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.31 (br t, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 4 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.73 (br t, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 4 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.58 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.48 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.00 (quin, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 1.29 - 1.36 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.11 - 1.17 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 173.1 (C(=O)CC(=O)OCH₃), 170.9 (NHC(=O)), 166.3 (C(=O)OCH₃), 160.1 (*ipso* to OCH₃), 153.3 (d, *J* = 250.1 Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.4 (C=CC(=O)OCH₃), 144.1 (d, *J* = 10.1 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.4 (*ipso* to NH), 138.0 (*para* to F), 129.6 (*meta* to NH and *meta* to OCH₃), 123.3 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 113.4 (d, *J* = 23.3 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 111.8 (*para* to OCH₃), 110.0 (CC(=O)OCH₃), 109.8 (*para* to NH), 105.5 (*ortho* to OCH₃ and *ortho* to NH), 105.0 (*meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 57.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 55.3 (aromatic OCH₃), 52.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 52.1 (C(=O)OCH₃), 49.2 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 35.2 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 34.6 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 21.7 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 8.2 (NCH(CH₂)₂)

¹⁹F NMR (376.45 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = -123.5 (s, ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 537.2500, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₂₉H₃₄FN₄O₅]⁺ requires 537.2513

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.46 4-Azido-*N*-(3-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 117



4-Bromo-*N*-(3-methoxyphenyl)butanamide **115** (2.05 g, 7.51 mmol, 1 eq.) and NaN₃ (1.17 g, 18.0 mmol, 2.4 eq.) were refluxed in acetonitrile (100 ml) for 7 h. The mixture was cooled and filtered, and the filtrate was dry-loaded onto SiO₂ and purified by column chromatography using a Combiflash (SiO₂, 0-100 % EtOAc/P.E.). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **117** was obtained as an straw-coloured liquid (0.294 g, 1.25 mmol, 16.7 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.37$ (50 % EtOAc/P.E.)

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 3298.3$ (N-H), 2094.7 (azide), 1661.7 (amide C=O)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 8.63$ (br s, 1 H, NH), 7.26 (t, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to OCH₃ and *ortho* to NH), 7.15 (t, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1 H, *meta* to OCH₃ and *meta* to NH), 7.01 (dd, $J = 7.8, 1.6$ Hz, 1 H, *para* to OCH₃), 6.63 (dd, $J = 8.2, 1.9$ Hz, 1 H, *para* to NH), 3.69 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.28 (t, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂N₃), 2.39 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂), 1.91 (quin, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 170.8$ (C(=O)), 159.6 (*ipso* to OCH₃), 138.9 (*ipso* to NH), 129.2 (*meta* to OCH₃ and *meta* to NH), 112.3 (*para* to OCH₃), 109.5 (*para* to NH), 106.0 (*ortho* to OCH₃ and *ortho* to NH), 54.8 (CH₃), 50.4 (CH₂N₃), 33.6 (C(=O)CH₂), 24.4 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂)

HRMS (ESI⁺) The compound does not ionise.

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.47 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 118



1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **70** (24.1 mg, 58.6 μmol , 1 eq.) and 4-azido-*N*-(3-methoxyphenyl)butanamide **117** (13.7 mg, 58.5 μmol , 1 eq.) were dissolved in water (1 ml), *t*-BuOH (9 ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml), and the mixture was degassed by bubbling through

N_2 . A solution of CuSO_4 and THPTA ($58.5 \mu\text{l}$, $5.85 \mu\text{mol}$, 0.1 eq. 100 mM , aq.) was added, followed by a solution of sodium ascorbate ($117 \mu\text{l}$, $11.7 \mu\text{mol}$, 0.2 eq., 100 mM , aq.). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon for 2 h, then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between water (15 ml) and CH_2Cl_2 (15 ml), and the aqueous layer was extracted a further four times with CH_2Cl_2 ($4 \times 15 \text{ ml}$). The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO_4 , dry-loaded onto SiO_2 and purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , 0-10 % $\text{MeOH}/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO_4 and evaporated under reduced pressure. **118** was obtained as a clear amorphous solid (1.9 mg, $2.9 \mu\text{mol}$, 5.0 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.22$ (10 % $\text{MeOH}/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$)

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 2922.8$ (C-H), 2849.5 (C-H), 1725.8 (carboxylic acid C=O), 1684.7 (amide C=O), 1624.5 (quinolone C=O), 1612.2 (triazole)

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO d₆) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 15.23$ (br s, 1 H, $\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 9.89 (s, 1 H, NH), 8.66 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to $\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 7.90 (d, $J = 13.4 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.88 (s, 1 H, $\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2$), 7.55 (d, $J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H, *meta* to F), 7.27 (t, $J = 2.1 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 7.16 (t, $J = 8.1 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H, *meta* to OCH_3 and *meta* to NH), 7.08 (d, $J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H, *para* to OCH_3), 6.59 (ddd, $J = 8.1, 2.4, 0.7 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H, *para* to NH), 4.36 (t, $J = 6.9 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 3.81 (tt, $J = 6.7, 4.0 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H, $\text{NCH}(\text{CH}_2)_2$), 3.70 (s, 3 H, CH_3), 3.28 - 3.32 (m, 4 H, $\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 2.64 (t, $J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2$), 2.56 (m, $J = 4.2, 4.2 \text{ Hz}$, 4 H, $\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2$), 2.38 (t, $J = 7.3 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 2.30 (t, $J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 2.10 (quin, $J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 1.64 (quin, $J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 1.51 (quin, $J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 1.27 - 1.33 (m, 2 H, $\text{NCH}(\text{CHH})_2$), 1.15 - 1.20 (m, 2 H, $\text{NCH}(\text{CHH})_2$)


$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (101 MHz, DMSO d₆) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 176.3$ ($\underline{\text{C}}(=\text{O})\text{CC}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 170.1 ($\text{NHC}(=\text{O})$), 165.9 ($\underline{\text{C}}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 159.4 (*ipso* to OCH_3), 153.0 (d, $J = 248.6 \text{ Hz}$, *ipso* to F), 148.0 ($\text{CH}=\underline{\text{CCH}}_2$), 146.9 ($\underline{\text{C}}=\text{CC}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 145.2 (d, $J = 10.7 \text{ Hz}$, *ipso* to piperazine), 140.3 (*para* to F), 139.2 (*ipso* to NH), 129.4 (*meta* to OCH_3 and *meta* to NH), 121.7 ($\underline{\text{CH}}=\text{CCH}_2$), 118.5 (d, $J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}$, *para* to piperazine), 111.3 (*para* to OCH_3), 110.9 (d, $J = 22.4 \text{ Hz}$, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 108.4 (*para* to NH), 106.7 ($\underline{\text{C}}\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{OH}$), 106.3 (*meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 104.8 (*ortho* to OCH_3 and *ortho* to NH), 57.3 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CH}}_2\text{N}$), 54.9 ($\underline{\text{CH}}_3$), 52.4 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\underline{\text{CH}}_2)\text{CH}_2$), 49.5 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 49.4 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 48.7 ($\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CH}}_2\text{N}$), 35.8 ($\text{NCH}(\text{CH}_2)_2$), 32.9 ($\text{C}(=\text{O})\underline{\text{CH}}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 26.8 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\underline{\text{CH}}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 25.7 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CH}}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 25.5 ($\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CH}}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 24.9 ($\text{CH}=\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 7.6 ($\text{NCH}(\underline{\text{CH}}_2)_2$)

$^{19}\text{F NMR}$ (376.45 MHz, DMSO d₆) $\delta / \text{ppm} = -121.5$ (s, ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI⁺) $m/z / \text{Da} = 646.3159$, $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ found, $[\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{41}\text{FN}_7\text{O}_5]^+$ requires 646.3153

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.48 (1*S*,2*S*)-2-(((*S*)-1-Phenylethyl)amino)cyclopentan-1-ol **121 and (1*R*,2*R*)-2-((*S*)-1-phenylethyl)amino)cyclopentan-1-ol **122****



(*S*)-1-Phenylethan-1-amine **120** (7.85 ml, 7.38 g, 60.9 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (50 ml) and stirred rapidly at 0 °C. A solution of AlMe₃ (31 ml, 2.0 M in heptane, 60.9 mmol) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h. A solution of cyclohexene oxide **119** (5.71 ml, 5.50 g, 65.4 mmol, 1.1 eq.) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 ml) was then added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for a further 3 h, followed by 48 h at r.t.. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and NaF (11 g, 262 mmol, 4.3 eq.) was added portionwise, followed by water (7.00 ml, 7.00 g, 389 mmol, 6.4 eq.) and CH₂Cl₂ (50 ml). The suspension was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred for 1 h, then filtered through Celite and washed with CH₂Cl₂ (500 ml). The filtrate was dried with K₂CO₃, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, 20:5:1 hexane:EtOAc:TEA). **122** was obtained as a pale yellow oil (4.08 g, 19.9 mmol, 32.6 %). **121** was obtained as pale yellow crystals (4.48 g, 21.8 mmol, 35.8 %).

(1*S*,2*S*)-2-(((*S*)-1-Phenylethyl)amino)cyclopentan-1-ol **121**

TLC $R_f = 0.36$ (15:5:1 hexane:EtOAc:TEA)

mp $T / ^\circ\text{C} = 66\text{-}71.5$ (hexane, EtOAc, TEA)

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 3150.0$ (br, O-H), 2950.9 (C-H), 2868.2 (C-H)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 7.28\text{ - }7.34$ (m, 4 H, *ortho* and *meta* to CHCH₃), 7.20 - 7.26 (m, 1 H, *para* to CHCH₃), 3.86 (q, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1 H, CHCH₃), 3.85 (q, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 2.83 (td, $J = 7.6, 5.7$ Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 1.85 - 1.97 (m, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.77 (dtd, $J = 12.9, 7.9, 4.9$ Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 1.55 - 1.68 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CHOH), 1.47 - 1.55 (m, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.36 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.12 (dq, $J = 12.7, 8.1$ Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 145.61$ (*ipso* to CHCH₃), 128.08 (*meta* to CHCH₃), 126.61 (*para* to CHCH₃), 126.33 (*ortho* to CHCH₃), 77.43 (CHOH), 64.45 (CHNH), 56.62 (CHCH₃), 32.01 (CH₂CHOH), 30.56 (CH₂CHNH), 23.30 (CH₃), 20.06 (CH₂CH₂CHOH)

HRMS (ESI⁺) $m/z / \text{Da} = 206.1553$, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₁₃H₂₀NO]⁺ requires 206.1545

$[\alpha]_D^{20} / {}^\circ\text{10}^{-1}\text{cm}^2\text{g}^{-1} = -23.9$, lit. = -22.1 ($c / \text{g(100 ml)}^{-1} = 0.96$, MeOH)

(1*R*,2*R*)-2-((*S*)-1-Phenylethyl)amino)cyclopentan-1-ol **122**

TLC $R_f = 0.25$ (15:5:1 hexane:EtOAc:TEA)

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 3300.0$ (br, O-H), 2959.7 (C-H), 2870.1 (C-H)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 7.28 - 7.38 (m, 4 H, *ortho* and *meta* to CHCH₃), 7.21 - 7.28 (m, 1 H, *para* to CHCH₃), 3.83 (q, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1 H, CHCH₃), 3.78 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 2.62 (dt, *J* = 8.2, 7.2 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 1.97 (quin, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1 H, CH₂CHNH), 1.90 (quin, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H, CH₂CHOH), 1.56 - 1.68 (m, CH₂CH₂CHOH), 1.43 (dq, *J* = 12.5, 8.0 Hz, 1 H, CH₂CHOH), 1.37 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.25 - 1.36 (m, 1 H, CH₂CHNH)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 144.75 (*ipso* to CHCH₃), 128.26 (*meta* to CHCH₃), 126.72 (*para* to CHCH₃), 126.30 (*ortho* to CHCH₃), 77.65 (CHOH), 63.38 (CHNH), 56.20 (CHCH₃), 31.74 (CH₂CHOH), 29.22 (CH₂CHNH), 24.58 (CH₃), 19.57 (CH₂CH₂CHOH)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 206.1554, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₁₃H₂₀NO]⁺ requires 206.1545

[α]_D²⁰ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = -92.8, lit. = -76.8 (c / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 1.19, MeOH)

The compounds have been synthesised previously,^{41,42} but NMR data were not published. The enantiomers of both compounds have also been synthesised previously, and the ¹H NMR data for these are consistent with the the above data.⁴³

3.49 (1*S*,2*S*)-2-Aminocyclopentan-1-ol 123



(1*S*,2*S*)-2-((*S*)-1-Phenylethyl)amino)cyclopentan-1-ol **121** (3.00 g, 14.6 mmol, 1 eq.), Pd(OH)₂ (20 wt. % on C, moistened with 50 wt. % water, 0.5 g, 0.356 mmol, 0.025 eq.) and MeOH (50 ml) were stirred in a Paar hydrogenator at r.t. and 2.5 atm for 2 days. The mixture was then filtered through Celite and evaporated under reduced pressure. **123** was obtained as a yellow oil (1.48 g, 14.6 mmol, 100 %).

TLC *R_f* = 0.10 (10 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3300.0 (O-H), 2969.2 (C-H), 2872.7 (C-H)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 3.77 (ddd, *J* = 6.6, 6.2, 5.6, 1 H, CHOH), 3.00 (td, *J* = 7.4, 5.6 Hz, 1 H, CHNH₂), 2.00 (dtd, *J* = 13.0, 7.7, 5.6 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH₂), 1.97 (ddt, *J* = 13.0, 8.7, 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.64 - 1.77 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CHOH), 1.53 (ddt, *J* = 13.0, 9.5, 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.37 (ddt, *J* = 12.8, 8.5, 7.7 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH₂)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 80.6 (CHOH), 60.7 (CHNH₂), 33.2 (CH₂CHOH), 32.2 (CH₂CHNH₂), 21.2 (CH₂CH₂CHOH)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 102.0915, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₅H₁₂NO]⁺ requires 102.0913

[α]_D²⁰ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = 33.4, lit. = 29.7 (c / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.5, EtOH)

The data are consistent with the literature.^{42,54}

3.50 (1*R*,2*R*)-2-Aminocyclopentan-1-ol 124



(1*R*,2*R*)-2-(((*S*)-1-Phenylethyl)amino)cyclopentan-1-ol **122** (3.90 g, 19.0 mmol, 1 eq.), Pd(OH)₂ (20 wt. % on C, moistened with 50 wt. % water, 1 g, 0.712 mmol, 0.04 eq.) and MeOH (50 ml) were stirred in a Paar hydrogenator at r.t. and 3 atm for 2 days. The mixture was then filtered through Celite and evaporated under reduced pressure. **124** was obtained as a yellow oil (1.92 g, 19.0 mmol, 100 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.10$ (10 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3300.0 (br, O-H), 2958.3 (C-H), 2871.5 (C-H)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 3.77 (ddd, J = 6.6, 6.2, 5.6, 1 H, CH_{OH}), 3.00 (td, J = 7.3, 5.6 Hz, 1 H, CH_{NH₂}), 2.00 (dtd, J = 13.0, 7.7, 5.6 Hz, 1 H, CH₂CH_{NH₂}), 1.97 (ddt, J = 13.0, 8.7, 6.6 Hz, 1 H, CH₂CHOH), 1.63 - 1.77 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CHOH), 1.53 (ddt, J = 13.0, 9.5, 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CH₂CHOH), 1.37 (ddt, J = 13.0, 8.3, 7.8 Hz, 1 H, CH₂CH_{NH₂})


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 80.7 (CHOH), 60.8 (CH_{NH₂}), 33.2 (CH₂CHOH), 32.1 (CH₂CH_{NH₂}), 21.2 (CH₂CH₂CHOH)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 102.0917, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₅H₁₂NO]⁺ requires 102.0913

$[\alpha]_D^{20} / {}^\circ 10^{-1} \text{cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1} = -30.9$, lit. = -32.9 (c / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 1.5, EtOH)

The data are consistent with the literature.^{42,54}

3.51 4-Azido-N-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 127



4-Chloro-N-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide **148** (35.0 mg, 0.170 mmol, 1 eq.) and NaN₃ (22.1 mg, 0.340 mmol, 2 eq.) were stirred in acetonitrile (2 ml) at 50 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was then partitioned between water (20 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (5 ml). The aqueous layer was extracted again with 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (2×5 ml) and the combined organic fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **127** was obtained as white needles (16.2 mg, 0.0764 mmol, 45.0 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.35$ (EtOAc)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3286.7 (N-H and O-H), 2957.6 (C-H), 2930.6 (C-H), 2860.7 (C-H), 2094.7 (azide), 1642.2 (amide C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 5.82 (br s, 1 H, NH), 4.45 (br. s., 1 H, OH), 3.96 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 3.83 (tdd, J = 8.5, 6.0, 4.6 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 3.37 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2 H, CH₂N₃), 2.31 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CH₂C=O), 2.09 - 2.19 (m, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 1.99 - 2.06 (m, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.90 - 1.97 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂N₃), 1.60 - 1.85 (m, 3 H, CH₂CHHCHOH), 1.42 (dq, J = 12.8, 8.3 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 173.8 (C=O), 79.7 (CHOH), 61.0 (CHNH), 50.7 (CH₂N₃), 32.8 (CH₂C=O), 32.6 (CH₂CHOH), 30.5 (CH₂CHNH), 24.7 (CH₂CH₂N₃), 21.3 (CH₂CH₂CHOH)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 235.1178, [M+Na]⁺ found, [C₉H₁₆N₄NaO₂]⁺ requires 235.1171

[α]_D²⁰ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = 10.0 (c / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.01, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.52 4-Azido-N-((1*R*,2*R*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 128



4-Chloro-N-((1*R*,2*R*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide **149** (200 mg, 0.972 mmol, 1 eq.) and NaN₃ (126 mg, 1.94 mmol, 2 eq.) were stirred in acetonitrile (4 ml) at 50 °C for 16 h. The solvent was then evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was partitioned between water (20 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (20 ml). The aqueous layer was extracted again with 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (3×20 ml) and the combined organic fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **128** was obtained as white needles (181 mg, 0.852 mmol, 87.6 %).

TLC R_f = 0.35 (EtOAc)

mp T / °C = 56.0-59.5 (*i*-PrOH, CHCl₃)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3279.9 (N-H and O-H), 2965.6 (C-H), 2875.4 (C-H), 2094.6 (azide), 1636.8 (amide C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 6.72 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1 H, NH), 4.82 (br. s., 1 H, OH), 3.88 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 3.75 (tdd, J = 8.4, 6.6, 4.4 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 3.28 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H, CH₂N₃), 2.23 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, CH₂C=O), 2.04 (dtd, J = 13.0, 8.0, 4.9 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 1.92 (dtd, J = 13.0, 7.6, 5.8 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.84 (quin, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂N₃), 1.59 - 1.77 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CHOH), 1.54 (ddt, J = 12.7, 9.0, 6.7 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.39 (dq, J = 12.9, 8.4 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 173.8 (C=O), 78.8 (CHOH), 59.9 (CHNH), 50.5 (CH₂N₃), 32.5 (CH₂C=O), 32.0 (CH₂CHOH), 29.5 (CH₂CHNH), 24.6 (CH₂CH₂N₃), 20.7 (CH₂CH₂CHOH)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 235.1174, [M+Na]⁺ found, [C₉H₁₆N₄NaO₂]⁺ requires 235.1171

[α]_D²⁰ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = -10.2 (c / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.5, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.53 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 129



4-(4-(1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-3-(methoxycarbonyl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)piperazin-1-yl)butanoic acid trifluoroacetate **146** (52.1 mg, 95.5 μ mol, 1 eq.), (1*S*,2*S*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **123** (19.5 mg, 193 μ mol, 2 eq.), 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (29.7 mg, 155 μ mol, 1.6 eq.), 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (25.8 mg, 191 μ mol, 2 eq.) and DIPEA (33.3 μ l, 24.7 mg, 191 μ mol, 2 eq.) were dissolved in DMF (2 ml) and stirred at r.t. for 16 h. The solvent was removed using a stream of N_2 and the residue was purified by preparative HPLC (5-50 % acetonitrile/water over 15 min). The combined pure fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure and then partitioned between $NaHCO_3$ (aq., sat., 5 ml) and CH_2Cl_2 (5 ml). The organic layer was removed and the aqueous layer was extracted twice more with CH_2Cl_2 (2×5 ml). The combined organic fractions were dried with $MgSO_4$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **129** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (26.9 mg, 52.3 μ mol, 54.7 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.38$ (30 % MeOH/ CH_2Cl_2)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm^{-1} = 2937.7 (C-H), 1721.4 (ester C=O), 1620.5 (amide C=O and quinolone C=O)

1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 8.44 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OCH₃), 7.75 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.69 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 7.43 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 4.73 (br s, 1 H, CHO_H), 3.77 - 3.81 (m, 1 H, CHO_H), 3.74 - 3.77 (m, 1 H, CHNH), 3.73 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.65 (tt, J = 6.9, 4.0 Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.24 (br. t, J = 4.2 Hz, 4 H, CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.55 (br t, J = 5.0 Hz, 4 H, CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.32 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.10 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 1.92 (dddd, J = 13.0, 8.7, 7.3, 6.0 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 1.77 (ddt, J = 12.6, 8.9, 6.3 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.68 (quin, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 1.53 - 1.64 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CHOH), 1.42 (ddt, J = 12.9, 8.4, 5.2 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.31 (ddt, J = 13.0, 8.6, 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 1.22 - 1.28 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.06 - 1.12 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)

^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 171.9 (NHC(=O)CH₂), 171.5 (C(=O)CC(=O)OCH₃), 165.0 (C(=O)OCH₃), 152.6 (d, J = 247.4 Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.2 (C=CC(=O)OCH₃), 143.9 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 138.1 (*para* to F), 121.7 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 111.5 (d, J = 23.0 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 109.0 (CC(=O)OCH₃), 106.2 (*meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 76.2 (CHO_H), 57.6 (CHNH), 57.2 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 52.4 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 51.3 (CH₃), 49.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 49.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 34.7 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 33.2 (C(=O)CH₂), 32.2 (CH₂CHOH), 29.5 (CH₂CH NH), 22.5 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 20.6 (CH₂CH₂CHOH), 7.5 (NCH(CH₂)₂)


^{19}F NMR (376.45 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = -125.5

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 515.2667, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₂₇H₃₆FN₄O₅]⁺ requires 515.2670

$[\alpha]_D^{20} / {}^\circ 10^{-1}\text{cm}^2\text{g}^{-1} = 8.0$ ($c / \text{g}(100 \text{ ml})^{-1} = 0.05$, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.54 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-((1*R*,2*R*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amin o)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 130



4-(4-(1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-3-(methoxycarbonyl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)piperazin-1-yl)butanoic acid trifluoroacetate **146** (200 mg, 0.367 mmol, 1 eq.), (1*R*,2*R*)-2-aminocyclopentan-1-ol **124** (80 mg, 0.791 mmol, 2.1 eq.), 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (112 mg, 0.584 mmol, 1.6 eq.), 1-hydroxyben zotriazole (96 mg, 0.710 mmol, 1.9 eq.) and DIPEA (192 μl , 142 mg, 1.10 mmol, 3 eq.) were dissolved in DMF (5 ml) and stirred at r.t. for 16 h. The solvent was removed using a stream of N₂ and the residue was purified by preparative HPLC (5-60 % acetonitrile/water over 12 min). The combined pure fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure and then partitioned between NaHCO₃ (aq., sat., 10 ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml). The organic layer was removed and the aqueous layer was extracted twice more with CH₂Cl₂ (2×10 ml). The combined organic fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **130** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (73.0 mg, 0.142 mmol, 38.7 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.43$ (30 % MeOH/EtOAc)

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 2972.9$ (C-H), 2901.5 (C-H), 1728.4 (ester C=O), 1656.3 (amide C=O), 1612.9 (quinolone C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 8.44 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OCH₃), 7.75 (d, $J = 13.5$ Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.70 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 7.43 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 4.74 (d, $J = 4.0$ Hz, 1 H, CHO_H), 3.78 - 3.82 (m, 1 H, CHO_H), 3.74 - 3.78 (m, 1 H, CHNH), 3.74 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.65 (tt, $J = 7.2, 3.9$ Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.25 (t, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 4 H, CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.57 (br s, 4 H, CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.34 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.11 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 1.92 (dddd, $J = 13.0, 8.7, 7.3, 6.0$ Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 1.78 (dddd, $J = 12.6, 8.9, 6.3, 6.3$ Hz, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.69 (quin, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 1.54 - 1.65 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CHOH), 1.42 (ddt, $J = 13.1, 8.2, 5.3$ Hz, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.32 (dddd, $J = 13.4, 8.5, 6.8, 5.8$ Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 1.21 - 1.29 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.07 - 1.13 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 171.9 (CH₂C(=O)NH), 171.6 (C(=O)CC(=O)OCH₃), 165.0 (C(=O)OCH₃), 152.6 (d, $J = 246.5$ Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.3 (C=CC(=O)OCH₃), 143.9 (d, $J = 10.7$ Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 138.1 (*para* to F), 121.8 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, *para* to piperazine), 111.5 (d, $J = 22.4$ Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 109.0 (CC(=O)OCH₃), 106.2 (*meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 76.3 (CHOH), 57.6 (CHNH),

57.2 ($\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$), 52.4 ($\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\underline{\text{CH}_2})\underline{\text{CH}_2}$), 51.3 ($\underline{\text{CH}_3}$), 49.6 ($\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CH}_2})\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CH}_2}$), 34.8 ($\text{NCH}(\text{CH}_2)_2$), 33.3 ($\text{C}(=\text{O})\underline{\text{CH}_2}$), 32.2 ($\underline{\text{CH}_2}\text{CHOH}$), 29.5 ($\underline{\text{CH}_2}\text{CHNH}$), 22.5 ($\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CH}_2}$), 20.6 ($\underline{\text{CH}_2}\text{CH}_2\text{CHOH}$), 7.6 ($\text{NCH}(\underline{\text{CH}_2})_2$)


$^{19}\text{F NMR}$ (376.45 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = -124.3 (ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 515.2661, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₂₇H₃₆FN₄O₅]⁺ requires 515.2670

$[\alpha]_D^{20} / {}^\circ\text{10}^{-1}\text{cm}^2\text{g}^{-1} = -6.0$ ($c / \text{g}(100 \text{ ml})^{-1} = 0.05$, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.55 Methyl (S)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxocyclopentyl)amino)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 131



Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate **129** (20.0 mg, 38.9 μmol , 1 eq.) and Dess-Martin periodinane (32.8 mg, 77.4 μmol , 2 eq.) were stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (3 ml) for 6 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by preparative HPLC (5-50 % acetonitrile/water over 10 min). The combined pure fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure, then NaHCO₃ (aq., sat., 30 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (30 ml) were added. The organic layer was removed and dried with MgSO₄, then evaporated under reduced pressure. **131** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (11.3 mg, 22.0 μmol , 56.7 %).

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (500 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 8.46 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OCH₃), 7.78 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.45 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 4.02 (dt, J = 11.1, 8.2 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 3.73 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.65 (tt, J = 6.9, 3.9 Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.40 (s, 10 H, CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.05 - 2.29 (m, 5 H, NHC(=O)CH₂, CH₂C(=O)CHNH and CH₂CH₂CHNH), 1.89 - 1.96 (m, 1 H, CH₂CH₂CHNH), 1.69 - 1.80 (m, 3 H, CH₂CH₂CHNH, CH₂CH₂CHNH and NHC(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.24 - 1.29 (m, 2 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 1.07 - 1.12 (m, 2 H, NCH(CH₂)₂)

$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (126 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 215.2 (C(=O)CHNH), 171.7 (NHC(=O)CH₂), 171.7 (C(=O)CC(=O)OCH₃), 165.1 (C(=O)OCH₃), 152.6 (d, J = 246.6 Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.4 (C=CC(=O)OCH₃), 138.1 (*para* to F), 109.1 (CC(=O)OCH₃), 56.3 (CHNH), 51.4 (CH₃), 35.6 (CH₂C(=O)CHNH), 34.8 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 28.8 (CH₂CHNH), 18.1 (CH₂CH₂CHNH), 7.6 (NCH(CH₂)₂)

$^{19}\text{F NMR}$ (376.45 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = -124.3


HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 513.2495, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₂₇H₃₄FN₄O₅]⁺ requires 513.2513

remove unless very active as not fully characterised

$$[\alpha]_D^{20} / \text{cm}^2\text{g}^{-1} = 6.7 \text{ (c / g(100 ml)}^{-1} = 0.075, \text{ MeOH})$$

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.56 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-ylbutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 132



1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **70** (82.0 mg, 199 μmol , 4 eq.) and 4-azido-*N*-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide **127** (11.0 mg, 51.8 μmol , 1 eq.) were dissolved in 10 % water/*t*-BuOH (3 ml), and the mixture was degassed by bubbling N_2 through it. A solution of CuSO_4 and THPTA (156 μl , 15.6 μmol , 0.3 eq. 100 mM, aq.) was added, followed by a solution of sodium ascorbate (312 μl , 31.2 μmol , 0.6 eq., 100 mM, aq.). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon for 3 d. Water (10 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (10 ml) were added, then the organic layer was separated and dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (5-95 % acetonitrile/water over 20 min). The combined pure fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure and then partitioned between NaHCO₃ (aq., sat., 10 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (10 ml). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **132** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (7.2 mg, 11.5 μmol , 22.2 %).

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 2954.9$ (C-H), 2917.9 (C-H), 2850.2 (C-H), 1722.1 (carboxylic acid C=O), 1647.3 (amide C=O), 1626.7 (quinolone C=O) 1611.9 (triazole)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO d₆) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 15.22$ (br s, 1 H, C(=O)OH), 8.67 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OH), 7.91 (d, $J = 13.3$ Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.84 (s, 1 H, CH=CCH₂), 7.74 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 7.56 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 4.71 (d, $J = 3.7$ Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 4.29 (t, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂NCH=C), 3.82 (tt, $J = 6.5, 4.3$ Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.69 - 3.79 (m, 2 H, CH₂OH and CHNH), 3.30 - 3.34 (m, 6 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.64 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂), 1.95 - 2.08 (m, 4 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.89 (dddd, $J = 12.8, 8.9, 7.4, 5.8$ Hz, 1 H, CH₂CH₂CHNH), 1.75 (ddt, $J = 12.7, 9.0, 6.2$ Hz, 1 H, CH₂CH₂CHOH), 1.48 - 1.68 (m, 6 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂ and CH₂CH₂CHOH), 1.40 (ddt, $J = 13.0, 8.3, 5.3$ Hz, 1 H, CH₂CH₂CHOH), 1.28 - 1.35 (m, 2 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 1.24 - 1.31 (m, 1 H, CH₂CH₂CHNH), 1.15 - 1.21 (m, 2 H, NCH(CH₂)₂)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO d₆) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 176.4$ (C(=O)CC(=O)OH), 170.9 (NHC(=O)CH₂), 166.0 (C(=O)OH), 153.0 (d, $J = 249.6$ Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.1 (C=CC(=O)OH), 146.7 (CH=CCH₂), 145.2 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.2 (*para* to F), 121.8 (NCH=CCH₂), 118.7 (*para* to piperazine), 111.0 (d, $J = 23.2$ Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 106.7 (CC(=O)OH), 106.5 (*meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 76.2 (CH₂OH), 57.5 (CHNH), 57.4 (br s, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 52.3 (br s, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 49.3 (br s, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 48.8 (CH₂NCH=CCH₂), 35.9 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 32.2 (CH₂CHOH),

32.0 (C(=O)CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂CHNH), 26.7 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 26.0 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 25.5 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 24.9 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 20.5 (CH₂CH₂CHOH), 7.6 (NCH(CH₂)₂)


¹⁹F NMR (376.45 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = -121.5

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 624.3298, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₃₂H₄₃FN₇O₅]⁺ requires 624.3310

[α]_D²⁰ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = -25.0 (c / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.08, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.57 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-(((1*R*,2*R*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 133



1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **70** (42.9 mg, 104 μmol, 1 eq.) and 4-azido-*N*-((1*R*,2*R*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide **128** (22.0 mg, 104 μmol, 1 eq.) were dissolved in 10 % water/*t*-BuOH (3 ml), and the mixture was degassed by bubbling N₂ through it. A solution of CuSO₄ and THPTA (104 μl, 10.4 μmol, 0.1 eq. 100 mM, aq.) was added, followed by a solution of sodium ascorbate (208 μl, 20.8 μmol, 0.2 eq., 100 mM, aq.). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon for 16 h. Water (30 ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (30 ml) were added, the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted again with CH₂Cl₂ (4×30 ml). The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (5-95 % acetonitrile/water over 20 min). The combined pure fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure and then partitioned between NaHCO₃ (aq., sat., 10 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (10 ml). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **133** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (17.6 mg, 28.2 μmol, 27.1 %).

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2967.0 (C-H), 2902.2 (C-H), 1721.4 (carboxylic acid C=O), 1646.7 (amide C=O), 1627.0 (quinolone C=O), 1613.0 (triazole)

¹H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 8.64 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OH), 7.87 (d, *J* = 13.3 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.84 (s, 1 H, CH=CCH₂), 7.75 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 7.54 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 4.73 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 4.29 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2 H, CH₂NCH=C), 3.78 - 3.83 (m, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.75 - 3.78 (m, 1 H, CHOH), 3.71 - 3.75 (m, 1 H, CHNH), 3.31 (br t, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 4 H, CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.63 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂), 2.56 (br t, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 4 H, CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.37 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.03 - 2.06 (m, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂), 1.97 - 2.02 (m, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.89 (dd, *J* = 13.1, 8.9, 7.4, 5.7 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 1.75 (ddt, *J* = 13.0, 8.9, 6.4, 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.61 - 1.66 (m, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂), 1.57 - 1.61 (m, 1 H, CHHCH₂CHOH), 1.54 - 1.57 (m, 1 H, CHHCH₂CHOH), 1.49 -

1.53 (m, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.40 (ddt, *J* = 13.0, 8.4, 5.3, 5.3 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.29 - 1.32 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.25 - 1.29 (m, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 1.13 - 1.20 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)

¹³C NMR (175 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 176.3 (C(=O)CC(=O)OH), 170.9 (NHC(=O)CH₂), 166.1 (C(=O)OH), 153.0 (d, *J* = 251.4 Hz, *ipso* to F), 147.9 (C=CC(=O)OH), 146.9 (CH=CCH₂), 145.2 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.2 (*para* to F), 121.7 (NCH=CCH₂), 118.7 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 111.0 (d, *J* = 23.3 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 106.3 (*meta* to C=O and *meta* to F and CC(=O)OH), 76.2 (CHOH), 57.6 (CHNH), 57.4 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 52.5 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 49.5 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 48.8 (CH₂NCH=CCH₂), 35.8 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 32.2 (CH₂CHOH), 32.0 (C(=O)CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂CHNH), 26.9 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 26.0 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 25.8 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 25.0 (CH=CCH₂), 20.5 (CH₂CH₂CHOH), 7.6 (NCH(CH₂)₂)


¹⁹F NMR (376.45 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = -122.1 (s, ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 624.3314, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₃₂H₄₃FN₇O₅]⁺ requires 624.3310

[α]_D²⁰ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = -3.6 (c / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.0833, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.58 (1*S*,2*S*)-2-((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclopentan-1-amine 134



(1*S*,2*S*)-2-Aminocyclopentan-1-ol **123** (0.480 g, 4.75 mmol) was stirred in dry CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) under N₂ at 0 °C. TEA (3.14 ml, 2.28 g, 22.5 mmol, 5 eq.) was added dropwise, followed by TBDMsOTf (3 ml, 3.45 g, 13.1 mmol, 3 eq.) dropwise. The reaction was allowed to reach r.t. and stirred for 1 h. The reaction was washed with water (20 ml) and organic phase was dried with Na₂SO₄, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, 4 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂). **134** was obtained as a yellow oil (1.00 g, 4.64 mmol, 97.7 %).

TLC *R_f* = 0.23 (10 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2953.6 (C-H), 2931.1 (C-H), 2888.4 (C-H), 2858.8 (C-H), 1625.2 (N-H bend)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 4.13 (q, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 1 H, CHOSi), 3.31 (td, *J* = 7.1, 5.2 Hz, 1 H, CHNH₂), 2.09 - 2.19 (m, 1 H, CHHCHNH₂), 1.97 (ddq, *J* = 8.8, 7.0, 6.0 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHOSi), 1.74 - 1.86 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CHOSi), 1.64 - 1.74 (m, 1 H, CHHCHOSi), 1.58 (ddt, *J* = 13.2, 9.1, 6.0 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH₂), 0.88 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 0.09 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃), 0.07 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 76.3 (CHOSi), 59.7 (CHNH), 32.2 (CH₂CH₂CHOSi), 26.8 (CH₂CHNH₂), 25.6 (C(CH₃)₃), 19.7 (CH₂CH₂CHOSi), 17.7 (C(CH₃)₃), -4.8 (SiCH₃), -5.2 (SiCH₃)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 216.1785, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₁₁H₂₆NOSi]⁺ requires 216.1784

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.59 4-Azido-N-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclopentyl)butanamide 138



(1*S*,2*S*)-2-((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclopentan-1-amine **134** (50 mg, 0.232 mmol, 1 eq.) and NaHCO₃ (22.0 mg, 0.262 mmol, 1.1 eq.) were added to CH₂Cl₂ (3 ml) and water (3 ml) at 0 °C, and 4-bromobutyryl chloride (25.3 ml, 40.5 mg, 0.219 mmol, 0.95 eq.) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at 0 °C. The aqueous layer was removed and NaN₃ (100 mg, 1.54 mmol, 6.6 eq.) and DMF (3 ml) were added. The mixture was then stirred at 40 °C for 6 h. The solvents were then evaporated using a N₂ stream and the residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, 0.5 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **138** was obtained as a clear liquid (71 mg, 0.217 mmol, 99.2 %).

TLC R_f = 0.84 (1 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3287.9 (N-H), 2953.4 (C-H), 2933.2 (C-H), 2882.7 (C-H), 2857.1 (C-H), 2094.9 (azide), 1639.4 (amide C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 5.35 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1 H, NH), 3.97 - 4.01 (m, 1 H, CHO₂Si), 3.93 - 3.98 (m, 1 H, CHNH), 3.35 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H, CH₂N₃), 2.24 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, CH₂C=O), 2.09 - 2.19 (m, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 1.89 - 1.97 (quin, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂N₃), 1.74 - 1.84 (m, 2 H, CHHCHOSi and CHHCH₂CHOSi), 1.60 - 1.70 (m, 1 H, CHHCH₂CHOSi), 1.51 - 1.61 (m, 1 H, CHHCHOSi), 1.31 - 1.39 (m, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 0.87 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 0.08 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃), 0.06 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 171.17 (C=O), 77.80 (CHOSi), 58.36 (CHNH), 50.77 (CH₂N₃), 33.29 (CH₂C=O), 32.57 (CH₂CHOSi), 29.36 (CH₂CHNH), 25.72 (C(CH₃)₃), 24.77 (CH₂CH₂N₃), 20.40 (CH₂CH₂CHO Si), 17.95 (C(CH₃)₃), -4.75 (SiCH₃)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 327.2221, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₁₅H₃₁N₄O₂Si]⁺ requires 327.2216

$[\alpha]_D^{20} / {}^\circ 10^{-1} \text{cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1} = 12.4$ (c / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.5, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.60 7-(4-(1-(4-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-ylbutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 142



1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **70** (42.9 mg, 104 μ mol, 1 eq.) and 4-azido-*N*-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclopentyl)butanamide **138** (33.9 mg, 104 μ mol, 1 eq.) were dissolved in 10 % water/*t*-BuOH (3 ml), and the mixture was degassed by bubbling N₂ through it. A solution of CuSO₄ and THPTA (104 μ l, 10.4 μ mol, 0.1 eq. 100 mM, aq.) was added, followed by a solution of sodium ascorbate (208 μ l, 20.8 μ mol, 0.2 eq., 100 mM, aq.). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon for 16 h, then solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between water (10 ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml), the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted again with CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml). The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **142** was obtained as a clear amorphous solid (67.1 mg, 90.9 μ mol, 87.4 %).

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2951.3 (C-H), 2929.2 (C-H), 2855.5 (C-H), 1741.0 (carboxylic acid C=O), 1640.3 (amide C=O), 1626.6 (quinolone C=O), 1612.3 (triazole)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 8.67 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OH), 7.87 (d, *J* = 13.1 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.34 (s, 1 H, CH=CCH₂), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 5.92 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 4.35 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2 H, CH₂NCH=C), 3.96 - 4.02 (m, 1 H, CHOSi), 3.90 - 3.96 (m, 1 H, CHNH), 3.55 (tt, *J* = 6.7, 4.0 Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.34 (br t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 4 H, CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.71 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂), 2.66 (br s, 4 H, CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.46 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.03 - 2.22 (m, 5 H, CHHCHNH, C(=O)CH₂ and C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.65 - 1.83 (m, 4 H, CHHCHOSi, CHHCH₂CHOSi and NCH=CCH₂CH₂), 1.47 - 1.65 (m, 4 H, CHHCHOSi, CHHCH₂CHOSi and NCH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.33 - 1.41 (m, 3 H, CHHCHNH and NCH(CHH)₂), 1.14 - 1.20 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 0.82 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 0.03 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃), 0.01 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 176.9 (C(=O)CC(=O)OH), 170.9 (CH₂C(=O)NH), 166.9 (C(=O)OH), 153.5 (d, *J* = 251.4 Hz, *ipso* to F), 147.9 (CH=CCH₂), 147.2 (C=CC(=O)OH), 145.8 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.0 (*para* to F), 120.9 (NCH=CCH₂), 119.4 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 112.0 (d, *J* = 23.4 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 107.7 (CC(=O)OH), 104.7 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, *meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 77.7 (CHOSi), 58.2 (CHNH), 57.9 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 52.6 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 49.5 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 48.9 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, CH₂NCH=CCH₂), 35.3 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 32.6 (C(=O)CH₂), 32.6 (CH₂CHOSi), 29.3 (CH₂CHNH), 27.2 (CH=CCH₂CH₂), 26.0 - 26.3 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂ and CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 25.6 (C(CH₃)₃), 25.4 (CH=CCH₂), 20.4 (CH₂CH₂CHOSi), 17.8 (C(CH₃)₃), 8.1 (NCH(CH₂)₂), -4.8 (SiCH₃)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 738.4164, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₃₈H₅₇FN₇O₅Si]⁺ requires 738.4169

$$[\alpha]_D^{20} / {}^\circ 10^{-1} \text{cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1} = 4.5 \ (c / \text{g}(100 \text{ ml})^{-1} = 0.2, \text{ MeOH})$$

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.61 Methyl 7-(4-(*tert*-butoxy)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 145



Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate **102** (200 mg, 0.579 mmol, 1 eq.), *tert*-butyl 4-bromobutanoate **144** (103 μl , 130 mg, 0.581 mmol, 1 eq.), NaI (86.9 mg, 0.580 mmol, 1 eq.), TEA (316 μl , 229 mg, 2.27 mmol, 4 eq.) and acetonitrile (10 ml) were stirred in a microwave reactor at 100 °C for 8 h. A second portion of *tert*-butyl 4-bromobutanoate **162** (103 μl , 130 mg, 0.581 mmol, 1 eq.) was added, and the mixture was stirred in the microwave reactor at 100 °C for a further 8 h. The mixture was then dry-loaded onto SiO_2 and purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , 0-4 % MeOH/ CH_2Cl_2). **145** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (141 mg, 0.289 mmol, 49.9 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.12$ (4 % MeOH/ CH_2Cl_2)

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 2961.6$ (C-H), 2830.5 (C-H), 1732.2 (*t*-Bu ester C=O) 1717.2 (ciprofloxacin ester C=O), 1620.6 (quinolone C=O)

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 8.39$ (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OCH_3), 7.82 (d, $J = 13.3$ Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.17 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 3.83 (s, 3 H, CH_3), 3.40 (tt, $J = 7.2, 3.6$ Hz, 1 H, $\text{NCH}(\text{CH}_2)_2$), 3.22 (t, $J = 4.3$ Hz, 4 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 2.63 (t, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 4 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2$), 2.41 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2$), 2.25 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2$), 1.78 (quin, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2$), 1.41 (s, 9 H, $\text{C}((\text{CH}_3)_3)_3$), 1.24 (m, 2 H, $\text{NCH}(\text{CHH})_2$), 1.09 (m, 2 H, $\text{NCH}(\text{CHH})_2$)


$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (101 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 172.7$ ($\underline{\text{C}}(\text{=O})\text{CC}(\text{=O})\text{OCH}_3$), 172.6 ($\underline{\text{C}}(\text{=O})\text{OC}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 165.9 ($\underline{\text{C}}(\text{=O})\text{OCH}_3$), 153.1 (d, $J = 249.7$ Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.1 ($\underline{\text{C}}=\text{CC}(\text{=O})\text{OCH}_3$), 144.3 (d, $J = 10.4$ Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 137.7 (*para* to F), 122.5 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, *para* to piperazine) 112.6 (d, $J = 22.5$ Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 109.5 ($\underline{\text{C}}\text{C}(\text{=O})\text{OCH}_3$) 104.7 (*meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 80.0 ($\underline{\text{C}}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 57.4 ($\text{C}(\text{=O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{C}}\text{H}_2\text{N}$), 52.7 ($\text{C}(\text{=O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\underline{\text{CH}}_2)\underline{\text{CH}}_2$), 51.7 ($\underline{\text{CH}}_3$), 49.7 ($\text{C}(\text{=O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CH}}_2)\text{CH}_2$), 49.7 ($\text{C}(\text{=O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2)\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CH}}_2$), 34.4 ($\underline{\text{NCH}}(\text{CH}_2)_2$), 33.2 ($\text{C}(\text{=O})\underline{\text{CH}}_2$), 28.0 ($\underline{\text{C}}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 22.0 ($\text{C}(\text{=O})\text{CH}_2\underline{\text{CH}}_2$), 7.9 ($\text{NCH}(\underline{\text{CH}}_2)_2$)

$^{19}\text{F NMR}$ (376.45 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta / \text{ppm} = -123.5$ (s, ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI $^+$) $m/z / \text{Da} = 488.2562$, $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ found, $[\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{35}\text{FN}_3\text{O}_5]^+$ requires 488.2561

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.62 4-(4-(1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-3-(methoxycarbonyl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)piperazin-1-yl)butanoic acid trifluoroacetate 146



Methyl 7-(4-(4-(*tert*-butoxy)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate **145** (20 mg, 41.0 μ mol) and TFA (0.2 ml) were stirred in CH_2Cl_2 (1.8 ml) at r.t. for 16 h then evaporated under reduced pressure. **146** was obtained as a white solid (21.4 mg, 39.2 μ mol, 95.6 %).

mp T / $^{\circ}\text{C}$ = 225-231 (CH_2Cl_2 , decomposes)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm^{-1} = 1722.7 (ciprofloxacin ester C=O), 1699.0 (alkyl carboxylic acid C=O), 1673.3 (TFA C=O), 1614.6 (quinolone C=O)

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 8.47 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OH), 7.80 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.47 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 3.73 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.66 (tt, J = 7.2, 3.7 Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.30 - 3.54 (br s, 8 H, CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂ and CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂) 3.13 - 3.22 (m, 2 H, CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.36 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 1.87 - 1.98 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 1.22 - 1.30 (m, 2 H, NCH(CH₂CH₂)₂), 1.06 - 1.15 (m, 2 H, NCH(CH₂CH₂)₂)


$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (101 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 173.5 (CH₂C(=O)OH), 171.6 (C(=O)CC(=O)OCH₃), 164.9 (C(=O)OCH₃), 158.2 (q, J = 31.5 Hz, CF₃C(=O)OH), 152.5 (d, J = 247.6 Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.5 (C=CC(=O)OH), 142.3 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 138.0 (*para* to F), 122.6 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 117.2 (q, J = 299.8 Hz, CF₃), 111.9 (d, J = 22.4 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 109.1 (CC(=O)OCH₃), 106.9 (*meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 55.1 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 51.4 (CH₃), 50.8 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 46.7 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 46.7 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 34.9 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 30.6 (C(=O)CH₂), 19.1 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 7.6 (NCH(CH₂)₂)

$^{19}\text{F NMR}$ (376.45 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = -73.6 (s, CF₃), -124.6 (s, ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 432.1921, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₂₂H₂₇FN₃O₅]⁺ requires 432.1935

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.63 4-Chloro-N-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 148



(*1S,2S*)-2-Aminocyclopentan-1-ol **123** (72.3 mg, 716 μmol , 1 eq.), TEA (500 μl , 363 mg, 3.58 mmol, 5 eq.) and CH_2Cl_2 (5 ml) were stirred at 0 °C, and 4-chlorobutyryl chloride **147** (179 μl , 226 mg, 1.60 mmol, 1.1 eq.) was added dropwise over 5 min. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, then water (10 ml) was added. The organic layer was separated off, and the aqueous layer was extracted with 10 % *i*-PrOH/ CHCl_3 (2×10 ml). The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO_4 , concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , Et_2O). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO_4 and evaporated under reduced pressure. **148** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (35.6 mg, 173 μmol , 24.2 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.35$ (EtOAc)

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ / ppm = 6.05 (br s, 1 H, NH), 4.55 (br s, 1 H, OH), 3.95 (q, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 3.82 (tt, $J = 8.4, 5.3$ Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 3.60 (t, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 2 H, CH_2Cl), 2.38 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{C=O}$), 2.05 - 2.17 (m, 3 H, CHHCHNH and $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$), 1.94 - 2.05 (m, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.74 - 1.86 (m, 1 H, CHHCH₂CHOH), 1.58 - 1.74 (m, 2 H, CHHCH₂CHOH and CHHCHOH), 1.42 (dq, $J = 12.5, 8.4$ Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH)


$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ / ppm = 173.8 (C=O), 79.4 (CHOH), 60.6 (CHNH), 44.4 (CH₂Cl), 32.8 (CH₂C=O), 32.4 (CH₂CHOH), 30.2 (CH₂CHNH), 28.0 (CH₂CH₂Cl), 21.2 (CH₂CH₂CHOH)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 206.0939, [M+H]⁺ found, $[\text{C}_9\text{H}_{17}\text{ClNO}_2]^+$ requires 206.0948

$[\alpha]_D^{20} / {}^\circ\text{10}^{-1}\text{cm}^2\text{g}^{-1} = 10.0$ ($c / \text{g}(100 \text{ ml})^{-1} = 0.05$, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.64 4-Chloro-*N*-(*1R,2R*)-2-hydroxycyclopentylbutanamide **149**



(*1R,2R*)-2-Aminocyclopentan-1-ol **124** (500 mg, 4.94 mmol, 1 eq.), TEA (827 μl , 600 mg, 5.93 mmol, 1.2 eq.) and CH_2Cl_2 (20 ml) were stirred at 0 °C and 4-chlorobutyryl chloride **147** (608 μl , 766 mg, 5.43 mmol, 1.1 eq.) was added dropwise over 5 min. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, then water (50 ml) was added. The organic layer was separated off, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (7×50 ml). The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO_4 , concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , Et_2O). The combined pure fractions were dried with MgSO_4 and evaporated under reduced pressure. **149** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (651 mg, 3.16 mmol, 64.1 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.35$ (EtOAc)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / $\text{cm}^{-1} = 3277.6$ (N-H and O-H), 2962.2 (C-H), 2876.0 (C-H), 1636.3 (amide C=O)

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ / ppm = 6.12 (br s, 1 H, NH), 4.42 (br s, 1 H, OH), 3.94 (q, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 3.82 (tt, $J = 8.4, 5.3$ Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 3.60 (t, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 2 H, CH_2Cl), 2.38 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{C=O}$), 2.05 - 2.16 (m, 3 H, CHHCHNH and $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$), 1.96 - 2.04 (m, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.74 - 1.85

(m, 1 H, CH₂CHOH), 1.58 - 1.73 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CHOH and CH₂CHOH), 1.43 (dq, *J* = 12.7, 8.3 Hz, 1 H, CH₂CHNH)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 173.8 (C=O), 79.4 (CHOH), 60.6 (CHNH), 44.4 (CH₂Cl), 32.8 (CH₂C=O), 32.4 (CH₂CHOH), 30.1 (CH₂CHNH), 28.0 (CH₂CH₂Cl), 21.1 (CH₂CH₂CHOH)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 228.0787, [M+Na]⁺ found, [C₉H₁₆ClNNaO₂]⁺ requires 228.0762

[α]_D²⁰ / °10⁻¹cm²g⁻¹ = -13.0 (*c* / g(100 ml)⁻¹ = 0.5, MeOH)

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.65 (*trans*)-2-Aminocyclohexan-1-ol 151



Cyclohexene oxide **150** (10 ml, 9.70 g, 98.8 mmol, 1 eq.), NH₃ (90 ml, 35 % w/w aq., 27.7 g, 791 mmol, 8 eq.) and MeOH (100 ml) were stirred at r.t. for 72 h. The solvent was removed by blowing a stream of N₂ over it, followed by evaporation under high vacuum. **151** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (9.90 g, 85.2 mmol, 86.2 %)

TLC *R_f* = 0.04 (30 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3350.4 (N-H), 3306.2 (br, O-H), 2926.9 (C-H), 2852.6 (C-H)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 3.01 (td, *J* = 9.4, 4.8 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 2.80 - 2.92 (m, 2 H, OH and NH₂), 2.35 (ddd, *J* = 11.1, 9.1, 4.1 Hz, 1 H, CHNH₂), 1.77 - 1.84 (m, 1 H, CH₂CHOH), 1.69 - 1.76 (m, 1 H, CH₂CHNH₂), 1.56 - 1.66 (m, 1 H, CH₂CH₂CHOH), 1.45 - 1.56 (m, 1 H, CH₂CH₂CHNH₂), 1.07 - 1.19 (m, 3 H, CH₂CH₂CHOH, CH₂CH₂CHNH₂ and CH₂CHOH), 0.94 - 1.05 (m, 1 H, CH₂CHNH₂)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm = 75.4 (CHOH), 56.6 (CHN₂), 33.8 (CH₂CHOH and CH₂CHN₂), 24.7 (CH₂CH₂CHNH₂), 24.6 (CH₂CH₂CHOH)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 116.1070, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₆H₁₄NO]⁺ requires 116.1070

The data are consistent with the literature.⁵⁰

3.66 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-((*trans*)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 152



4-(4-(1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-3-(methoxycarbonyl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)piperazin-1-yl)butanoic acid trifluoroacetate **146** (200 mg, 0.367 mmol, 1 eq.), (*trans*)-2-aminocyclohexan-1-ol **151** (91.1 mg, 0.791 mmol, 2.1 eq.), 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (112 mg, 0.584 mmol, 1.6 eq.), 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (96 mg, 0.710 mmol, 1.9 eq.) and DIPEA (192 μ l, 142 mg, 1.10 mmol, 3 eq.) were dissolved in DMF (5 ml) and stirred at r.t. for 16 h. The solvent was removed using a stream of N₂ and the residue was purified by preparative HPLC (5-50 % acetonitrile/water over 10 min). The combined pure fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure and then partitioned between NaHCO₃ (aq., sat., 10 ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **152** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (61.2 mg, 0.116 mmol, 31.7 %).

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3302.5 (N-H), 2929.8 (C-H), 2850.6 (C-H), 2832.9 (C-H), 1698.1 (ester C=O), 1646.4 (amide C=O), 1613.8 (quinolone C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 8.60 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OCH₃), 7.79 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.46 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 3.84 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.62 - 3.68 (m, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.58 (td, J = 10.3, 4.2 Hz, 1 H, CH₂NH), 3.38 (br s, 4 H, CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 3.32 - 3.36 (m, 1 H, CH₂OH), 2.83 (br s, 4 H, CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.60 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.32 (td, J = 7.1, 3.1 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂), 1.96 - 2.04 (m, 1 H, CH₂CHOH), 1.87 - 1.96 (m, 3 H, CH₂CH₂NH and C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.72 - 1.77 (m, 1 H, CH₂CH₂CHOH), 1.66 - 1.72 (m, 1 H, CH₂CH₂CHNH), 1.25 - 1.39 (m, 5 H, CH₂CHOH, CH₂CH₂CHOH, CH₂CH₂CHNH and NCH(CH₂)₂), 1.15 - 1.25 (m, 3 H, CH₂CHOH and NCH(CH₂)₂)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = 175.8 (CH₂C(=O)NH), 175.3 (C(=O)CC(=O)OCH₃), 166.8 (C(=O)OCH₃), 154.9 (d, J = 248.8 Hz, *ipso* to F), 150.2 (C=CC(=O)OCH₃), 146.1 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.9 (*para* to F), 123.5 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 113.2 (d, J = 23.2 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 110.2 (CC(=O)OCH₃), 107.2 (*meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 74.1 (CHOH), 58.9 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 56.4 (CH₂NH), 54.0 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 52.3 (CH₃), 50.5 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂), 36.4 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 35.7 (CH₂CHOH), 35.1 (C(=O)CH₂), 32.8 (CH₂CHNH), 25.9 (CH₂CH₂CHNH), 25.5 (CH₂CH₂CHOH), 23.5 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 8.7 (NCH(CH₂)₂)

¹⁹F NMR (376.45 MHz, MeOD) δ / ppm = -124.7 (ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 529.2827, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₂₈H₃₈FN₄O₅]⁺ requires 529.2826

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.67 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxocyclohexyl)amino)-butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 153



Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-((*trans*)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate **152** (5.2 mg, 9.84 μ mol, 1 eq.) and Dess-Martin periodinane (16.4 mg, 38.7 μ mol, 4 eq.) were stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (3 ml) at r.t. for 6 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by preparative HPLC (5-95 % acetonitrile/water over 20 min). The combined pure fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure to a volume of 20 ml, then NaHCO₃ (aq., sat., 30 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (30 ml) were added. The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **153** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (3.6 mg, 6.8 μ mol, 69.1 %).

TLC R_f = 0.74 (30 % MeOH/CH₂Cl₂)

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2921.2 (C-H), 2851.6 (C-H), 1721.4 (ketone C=O), 1698.0 (ester C=O), 1639.3 (amide C=O), 1620.0 (quinolone C=O)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 8.45 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OCH₃), 7.87 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.76 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.44 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 4.42 (dddd, J = 13.0, 7.6, 6.0, 1.0 Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 3.73 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.65 (tt, J = 7.1, 3.9 Hz, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.25 (br s, 4 H, CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.58 (br s, 4 H, CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.45 - 2.53 (m, 1 H, CHHC(=O)CHNH), 2.36 (br s, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.26 (dtt, J = 13.4, 2.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H, CHHC(=O)CHNH), 2.16 - 2.22 (m, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.12 (ddq, J = 12.7, 6.0, 2.8 Hz, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 2.00 (ddquin, J = 13.2, 6.0, 2.9 Hz, 1 H, CHHCH₂C(=O)), 1.65 - 1.83 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CHNH), 1.41 - 1.56 (m, 2 H, CHHCHNH and CHHCH₂C(=O)), 1.20 - 1.30 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.05 - 1.13 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 207.5 (C(=O)CHNH), 171.7 (C(=O)CC(=O)OCH₃), 171.6 (CH₂C(=O)NH), 165.0 (C(=O)OCH₃), 152.6 (d, J = 247.6 Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.3 (C=CC(=O)OCH₃), 143.9 (br s, *ipso* to piperazine), 138.1 (*para* to F), 121.8 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 111.5 (d, J = 22.4 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 109.0 (CC(=O)OCH₃), 106.3 (*meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 57.0 (CHNH and C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 52.3 (br s, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 51.3 (CH₃), 49.5 (br s, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂), 40.6 (CH₂C(=O)CHNH), 34.8 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 33.9 (CH₂CHNH), 32.9 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 27.2 (CH₂CH₂C(=O)CHNH), 23.8 (CH₂CH₂CHNH), 22.4 (br s, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 7.6 (NCH(CH₂)₂)

¹⁹F NMR (376.45 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = -124.3 (ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z / Da = 527.2654, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₂₈H₃₆FN₄O₅]⁺ requires 527.2670

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.68 4-Chloro-*N*-((*trans*)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)butanamide 154



(*trans*)-2-Aminocyclohexan-1-ol **151** (1.04 g, 9.03 mmol, 1 eq.), TEA (1.65 ml, 1.20 g, 11.8 mmol, 1.3 eq.) and CH₂Cl₂ (50 ml) were stirred at 0 °C. 4-Chlorobutyryl chloride **147** (1.22 ml, 1.54 g, 10.9 mmol, 1.2 eq.) was added dropwise over 5 min. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, then water (50 ml) was added. The organic layer was separated off, and the aqueous layer was extracted with 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (2×50 ml). The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO₄, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, 0-100 % EtOAc/Et₂O). The combined organic fractions were dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **154** was obtained as white needles (1.51 g, 6.87 mmol, 76.1 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.19$ (Et₂O)

mp $T / ^\circ\text{C} = 72.5\text{-}75.7$ (*i*-PrOH, CHCl₃)

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 3289.9$ (N-H), 3250.0 (O-H), 2927.6 (C-H), 2857.1 (C-H), 1629.2 (amide C=O)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 3.60$ (t, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂Cl), 3.51 - 3.60 (m, 1 H, CH₂NH), 3.28 - 3.39 (m, 1 H, CHOH), 2.37 (td, $J = 7.4, 2.3$ Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂), 2.06 (quin, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 1.97 - 2.01 (m, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.85 - 1.93 (m, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 1.70 - 1.77 (m, 1 H, CHHCH₂CHOH), 1.64 - 1.70 (m, 1 H, CHHCH₂CHNH), 1.24 - 1.35 (m, 3 H, CHHCH₂CHOH, CHHCH₂CHNH and CHHCHOH), 1.13 - 1.25 (m, 1 H, CHHCHNH₂)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 175.0$ (C(=O)), 74.1 (CHOH), 56.3 (CHNH), 45.3 (CH₂Cl), 35.6 (CH₂CHOH), 34.5 (C(=O)CH₂), 32.7 (CH₂CHNH), 30.1 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 25.8 (CH₂CH₂CHNH), 25.5 (CH₂CH₂CHOH)

HRMS (ESI⁺) $m/z / \text{Da} = 242.0925$, [M+Na]⁺ found, [C₁₀H₁₈ClNNaO₂]⁺ requires 242.0924

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.69 4-Azido-*N*-((*trans*)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)butanamide 155



4-Chloro-*N*-((*trans*)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)butanamide **154** (345 mg, 1.57 mmol, 1 eq.) and NaN₃ (180 mg, 2.77 mmol, 1.75 eq.) were stirred in DMF (12 ml) at 50 °C for 16 h. Water (50 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (50 ml) were added, and the organic layer was removed. The aqueous layer was extracted again with 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (50 ml) and the combined organic fractions were dried with MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and then by using a N₂ stream. **155** was obtained as large white prisms (347 mg, 1.53

mmol, 97.5 %).

TLC $R_f = 0.23$ (EtOAc)

mp $T / ^\circ\text{C} = 74.5\text{-}75.7$ (*i*-PrOH, CHCl₃)

IR (neat) $\nu_{max} / \text{cm}^{-1} = 3299.0$ (N-H), 3207.8 (O-H), 2944.3 (C-H), 2927.9 (C-H), 2859.2 (C-H), 2089.2 (azide), 1624.0 (amide C=O)


¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 7.87$ (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.27 (d, $J = 4.3$ Hz, 1 H, OH), 3.56 (td, $J = 10.5, 4.4$ Hz, 1 H, CHNH), 3.28 - 3.41 (m, 3 H, CHOH and CH₂N₃), 2.30 (td, $J = 7.4, 2.7$ Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂), 1.95 - 2.03 (m, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.87 (m, 3 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂ and CHHCHNH), 1.70 - 1.76 (m, 1 H, CHHCH₂CHOH), 1.63 - 1.70 (m, 1 H, CHHCH₂CHNH), 1.25 - 1.38 (m, 3 H, CHHCH₂CHOH, CHHCH₂CHNH and CHHCHOH), 1.14 - 1.24 (m, 1 H, CHHCHNH₂)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD) $\delta / \text{ppm} = 175.1$ (C(=O)), 74.0 (CHOH), 56.3 (CHNH), 52.0 (CH₂N₃), 35.5 (CH₂CHOH), 34.3 (C(=O)CH₂), 32.7 (CH₂CHNH), 26.3 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂), 25.8 (CH₂CH₂CHNH), 25.5 (CH₂CH₂CHOH)

HRMS (ESI⁺) $m/z / \text{Da} = 249.1331$, [M+Na]⁺ found, [C₁₀H₁₈N₄NaO₂]⁺ requires 249.1327

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.70 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-((trans)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-ylbutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 156



1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **70** (40 mg, 97.2 μmol , 1 eq.) and 4-azido-*N*-((*trans*)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)butanamide **155** (22.0 mg, 97.2 μmol , 1 eq.) were dissolved in 10 % water/*t*-BuOH (3 ml), and the mixture was degassed by bubbling N₂ through it. A solution of CuSO₄ and THPTA (97.2 μl , 9.72 μmol , 0.1 eq. 100 mM, aq.) was added, followed by a solution of sodium ascorbate (194 μl , 19.4 μmol , 0.2 eq., 100 mM, aq.). The mixture was stirred at r.t. under argon for 16 h. Water (50 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (50 ml) were added, then the organic layer was separated, dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (5-70 % acetonitrile/water over 15 min). The combined pure fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure and then partitioned between NaHCO₃ (aq., sat., 50 ml) and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (50 ml). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **156** was obtained as a white amorphous solid (30.3 mg, 47.5 μmol , 48.9 %).

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 3345.4 (N-H), 2927.6 (C-H), 2859.6 (C-H), 2814.7 (C-H), 1727.0 (carboxylic acid C=O), 1641.7 (amide C=O), 1625.8 (quinolone C=O), 1619.0 (triazole)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 8.64 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OH), 7.86 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.84 (s, 1 H, CH=CCH₂), 7.64 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.54 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 4.54 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H, OH), 4.30 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 3.77 - 3.86 (m, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.33 - 3.40 (m, 1 H, CHNH), 3.31 (br t, J = 4.8 Hz, 4 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 3.14 - 3.24 (m, 1 H, CHOH), 2.63 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂), 2.56 (br t, J = 4.6 Hz, 4 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.38 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.04 - 2.08 (m, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 1.96 - 2.04 (m, 2 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 1.78 - 1.87 (m, 1 H, CHHCHOH), 1.69 - 1.78 (m, 1 H, CHHCHNH), 1.63 (quin, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 1.54 - 1.60 (m, 2 H, CHHCH₂OH), 1.51 (quin, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 1.28 - 1.35 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.11 - 1.22 (m, 5 H, NCH(CHH)₂, CHHCHOH, CHHCH₂CHOH and CH₂CH₂CHNH), 1.04 - 1.13 (m, 1 H, CHHCHNH)


¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 176.4 (C(=O)CC(=O)OH), 170.9 (CH₂C(=O)NH), 166.0 (C(=O)OH), 153.1 (d, J = 252.1 Hz, *ipso* to F), 148.0 (C=CC(=O)OH), 146.9 (CH=CCH₂), 145.3 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.2 (*para* to F), 121.8 (NCH=CCH₂), 118.5 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 110.9 (d, J = 23.2 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 106.7 (CC(=O)OH), 106.3 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, *meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 71.4 (CHOH), 57.4 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 54.2 (CHNH), 52.4 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 49.5 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 49.5 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 48.8 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂NCH=C), 35.9 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 34.1 (CH₂CHOH), 32.3 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂NCH=C), 31.1 (CH₂CHNH), 26.9 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 26.1 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂NCH=C), 25.8 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 25.0 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 24.2 (CH₂CH₂CHNH), 23.8 (CH₂CH₂CHOH), 7.6 (NCH(CH₂)₂)

¹⁹F NMR (376.45 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = -121.4 (ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 638.3480, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₃₃H₄₅FN₇O₅]⁺ requires 638.3466

The compound has not been reported previously.

3.71 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxocyclohexyl)amino)butyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 157



1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-((*trans*)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid **156** (15.0 mg, 23.6 mmol, 1 eq.) and Dess-Martin periodinane (35.0 mg, 82.5 mmol, 3.5 eq.) were stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (3 ml) at r.t. for 4 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by preparative HPLC (5-70 % acetonitrile/water over 15 min). The combined pure fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure, then NaHCO₃ (aq., sat., 30 ml)

and 10 % *i*-PrOH/CHCl₃ (30 ml) were added. The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. **157** was obtained as a clear gum (11.7 mg, 18.4 μmol, 78.0 %).

IR (neat) ν_{max} / cm⁻¹ = 2941.2 (C-H), 2859.8 (C-H), 1719.8 (carboxylic acid C=O and ketone C=O), 1656.8 (amide C=O), 1625.6 (quinolone C=O), 1613.5 (triazole)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 8.65 (s, 1 H, *ortho* to C(=O)OH), 7.94 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.88 (d, *J* = 13.4 Hz, 1 H, *ortho* to F), 7.85 (s, 1 H, CH=CCH₂), 7.55 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H, *meta* to F), 4.40 (dd, *J* = 12.8, 7.6, 6.1, 1.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.31 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂NH), 4.31 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 3.74 - 3.84 (m, 1 H, NCH(CH₂)₂), 3.31 (br. s, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂CH₂), 2.64 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂), 2.56 (br t, *J* = 5.0, 5.0 Hz, 4 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 2.45 - 2.52 (m, 1 H, CHHC(=O)), 2.38 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 2.25 (dt, *J* = 13.4, 2.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H, CHHC(=O)), 2.07 - 2.17 (m, 3 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N and CHHCHNH), 1.96 - 2.05 (m, 3 H, C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂N and CHHCH₂C(=O)), 1.68 - 1.81 (m, 2 H, CHHCH₂CHNH), 1.64 (quin, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 1.40 - 1.56 (m, 5 H, CHHCH₂C(=O), CHHCHNH and CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 1.27 - 1.34 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂), 1.13 - 1.20 (m, 2 H, NCH(CHH)₂)

¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = 207.4 (C(=O)CHNH), 176.3 (C(=O)CC(=O)OH), 170.8 (CH₂C(=O)NH), 166.0 (C(=O)OH), 153.0 (d, *J* = 246.4 Hz, *ipso* to F), 147.9 (C=CC(=O)OH), 146.8 (CH=CCH₂), 145.1 (d, *J* = 10.1 Hz, *ipso* to piperazine), 139.1 (*para* to F), 121.7 (NCH=CCH₂), 118.7 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, *para* to piperazine), 110.9 (d, *J* = 23.0 Hz, *ortho* to C=O and *ortho* to F), 106.3 (CC(=O)OH, and *meta* to C=O and *meta* to F), 57.3 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 57.0 (CHNH), 52.4 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂)CH₂), 49.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂), 49.5 (CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₂CH₂)CH₂), 48.7 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂NCH=C), 40.5 (CH₂C(=O)), 35.8 (NCH(CH₂)₂), 33.7 (CH₂CHNH), 31.8 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂NCH=C), 27.1 (CH₂CH₂C(=O)), 26.9 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 26.0 (C(=O)CH₂CH₂CH₂NCH=C), 25.7 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 24.9 (CH=CCH₂CH₂CH₂N), 23.8 (CH₂CH₂CHNH), 7.6 (NCH(CH₂)₂)


¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO d₆) δ / ppm = -121.7 (s, ciprofloxacin F)

HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* / Da = 636.3303, [M+H]⁺ found, [C₃₃H₄₃FN₇O₅]⁺ requires 636.3310


The compound has not been reported previously.

4 NMR spectra


4.1 (*S*)-4-Bromo-N-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)butanamide 59




4.2 (*S*)-6-Bromo-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide 62




4.3 (*S*)-6-Azido-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide 63




4.4 *tert*-Butyl 4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate 67




4.5 1-(Hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazine 68







4.6 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(hex-5-yn-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 70






4.7 5-(4-(Hex-5-yn-1-yloxy)-3,5-dimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-diamine 73







4.8 (*S*)-1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(2-oxo-2-((2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)amino)ethyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 74




4.9 (*S*)-1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)amino)butyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 79




4.10 (*S*)-1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(6-oxo-6-((2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)amino)hexyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 80




4.11 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(2-heptyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolin-6-yl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 82



4.12 (*S*)-4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)butanamide 86




4.13 (*S*)-6-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide 87





4.14 6-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-
 1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-2-heptylquinolin-4(*H*)-one 89


User Notes Some guesses




6HHQT4TRI_H.ESP




6HHQT4TRI_C.ESP




4.15 2-(6-(4-(4-((2,4-Diaminopyrimidin-5-yl)methyl)-2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)butyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)hexyl-3-hydroxyquinolin-4(1*H*)-one 91





4.16 4-Bromo-N-(2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)butanamide 104







4.17 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)amino)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 105







4.18 4-Azido-N-(2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)butanamide 106





4.19 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)amino)butyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 107




4.20 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(((4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxotetrahydrothiophen-3-yl)amino)butyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butanoyl)oxy)methoxy)carbonyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 108







4.21 4-Bromo-N-(2-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 110




4.22 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-((2-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 111





4.23 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((2-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl) piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 113




4.24 4-Bromo-N-(3-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 115







3MEOA4BR_H.ESP







3MEOA4BR_C.ESP



4.25 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 116





4.26 4-Azido-N-(3-methoxyphenyl)butanamide 117




4.27 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((3-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 118




3MEOA4T4CIP_H_ESP





3MEOA4T4CIP_C_ESP




4.28 4-Azido-N-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 127





4.29 4-Azido-N-((1*R*,2*R*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 128




4.30 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 129




4.31 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-((1*R*,2*R*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 130





4.32 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-(((1S,2S)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquino-line-3-carboxylic acid 132




4.33 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-(((1*R*,2*R*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquino-line-3-carboxylic acid 133





HOCY5NH4T4CIP_RR_H_ESP




HOCY5NH4T4CIP_RR_C_ESP




**4.34 4-Azido-N-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclopentyl)butanamide
138**




4.35 7-(4-(1-(4-(((1*S*,2*S*)-2-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclopentyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-ylbutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 142





4.36 Methyl 7-(4-(*tert*-butoxy)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 145





4.37 4-(4-(1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-3-(methoxycarbonyl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)piperazin-1-yl)butanoic acid, trifluoroacetic acid salt 146




4.38 4-Chloro-N-((1*S*,2*S*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 148




4.39 4-Chloro-N-((1*R*,2*R*)-2-hydroxycyclopentyl)butanamide 149




4.40 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-((trans)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 152




4.41 Methyl 1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxocyclohexyl)amino)-butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate 153




4.42 4-Chloro-N-((*trans*)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)butanamide 154





4.43 4-Azido-*N*-((*trans*)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)butanamide 155




4.44 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-((trans)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquino-line-3-carboxylic acid 156




HOCY6NH4T4CIP_H_ESP_30





HOCY6NH4T4CIP_C_ESP




4.45 1-Cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-7-(4-(4-(1-(4-oxo-4-((2-oxocyclohexyl)amino)butyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 157



Ocy6NH4T4Cip_H.esp



Ocy6NH4T4Cip_C.esp



5 References



- [1] C. W. Tornøe, C. Christensen and M. Meldal. Peptidotriazoles on solid phase: [1,2,3]-triazoles by regiospecific copper(I)-catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions of terminal alkynes to azides. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 67(9):3057–3064. 2002.
- [2] V. V. Rostovtsev, L. G. Green, V. V. Fokin and K. B. Sharpless. A stepwise Huisgen cycloaddition process: copper(I)-catalyzed regioselective “ligation” of azides and terminal alkynes. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 41(14):2596–2599. 2002.
- [3] C. Lu, B. Kirsch, C. Zimmer, J. C. De Jong, C. Henn, C. K. Maurer, M. Müsken, S. Häussler, A. Steinbach and R. W. Hartmann. Discovery of antagonists of PqsR, a key player in 2-alkyl-4-quinolone- dependent quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Chemistry and Biology*, 19(3):381–390. 2012.
- [4] C. Lu, C. K. Maurer, B. Kirsch, A. Steinbach and R. W. Hartmann. Overcoming the unexpected functional inversion of a PqsR antagonist in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: An in vivo potent antivirulence agent targeting pqs quorum sensing. *Angewandte Chemie - International Edition*, 53(4):1109–1112. 2014.
- [5] J. Hodgkinson, S. D. Bowden, W. R. J. D. Galloway, D. R. Spring and M. Welch. Structure-activity analysis of the *Pseudomonas* quinolone signal molecule. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 192(14):3833–3837. 2010.
- [6] W. R. J. D. Galloway, J. T. Hodgkinson, S. D. Bowden, M. Welch and D. R. Spring. Quorum sensing in Gram-negative bacteria: small-molecule modulation of AHL and AI-2 quorum sensing pathways. *Chemical Reviews*, 111(1):28–67. 2011.
- [7] C. M. Oliphant and G. M. Green. Quinolones: a comprehensive review. *American Family Physician*, 65(3):455–464. 2002.
- [8] T. E. Renau, J. P. Sanchez, J. W. Gage, J. A. Dever, M. A. Shapiro, S. J. Gracheck and J. M. Domagala. Structure-activity relationships of the quinolone antibiotics against mycobacteria: effect of structural changes at N-1 and C-7. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 39(3):729–735. 1996.
- [9] R. N. Brogden, A. A. Carmine, R. C. Heel, T. M. Speight and G. S. Avery. Trimethoprim: A Review of its Antibacterial Activity, Pharmacokinetics and Therapeutic Use in Urinary Tract Infections. *Drugs*, 23(6):405–430. 1982.
- [10] C. Jing and V. W. Cornish. A fluorogenic TMP-tag for high signal-to-background intracellular live cell imaging. *ACS Chemical Biology*, 8(8):1704–12. 2013.
- [11] Y. R. Baker. Investigating quinolone based quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* using a chemical proteomics approach. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge. 2015.
- [12] Y. R. Baker. Novel Affinity Based Probes for Use in Chemical Proteomic Studies. CPGS thesis. University of Cambridge. 2012.
- [13] J. D. Scribner, D. L. Smith and J. A. McCloskey. Meldrum’s Acid in Organic Synthesis. 2. A General and Versatile Synthesis of β -Keto Esters. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 43(10):2087–2088. 1978.
- [14] S. Xu, X. Zhuang, X. Pan, Z. Zhang, L. Duan, Y. Liu, L. Zhang, X. Ren and K. Ding. 1-Phenyl-4-benzoyl-1H-1,2,3-triazoles as Orally Bioavailable Transcriptional Function Suppressors of Estrogen-Related Receptor α . *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 56:4631–4640. 2013.
- [15] J. T. Hodgkinson. The synthesis of *Pseudomonas* Quinolone Signal analogues and their effects on quinolone signalling in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge. 2011.

- [16] J. T. Hodgkinson, W. R. J. D. Galloway, M. Welch and D. R. Spring. Microwave-assisted preparation of the quorum-sensing molecule 2-heptyl-3-hydroxy-4(1H)-quinolone and structurally related analogs. *Nature Protocols*, 7(6):1184–1192. 2012.
- [17] J. Hlaváč, M. Soral, P. Hradil, I. Frys and J. Slouka. The Cleavage of Heterocyclic Compounds in Organic Synthesis II [1] Use of 5-Nitroisatine for Synthesis of Various Nitrogenous Heterocycles. *Journal of Heterocyclic Chemistry*, 41:633–636. 2004.
- [18] P. Hradil, J. Hlaváč and K. Lemr. Preparation of 1,2-disubstituted-3-hydroxy-4(1H)-quinolinones and the influence of substitution on the course of cyclization. *Journal of Heterocyclic Chemistry*, 36(1):141–144. 1999.
- [19] G. Shen, M. Wang, T. R. Welch and B. S. J. Blagg. Design, synthesis, and structure–activity relationships for chimeric inhibitors of Hsp90. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 71(20):7618–7631. 2006.
- [20] D. M. Stacy, S. T. Le Quement, C. L. Hansen, J. W. Clausen, T. Tolker-Nielsen, J. W. Brummond, M. Givskov, T. E. Nielsen and H. E. Blackwell. Synthesis and biological evaluation of triazole-containing N-acyl homoserine lactones as quorum sensing modulators. *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry*, 11(6):938–954. 2013.
- [21] D. K. Yung, L. G. Chatten and D. P. MacLeod. Potential antiarrhythmic agents I. Synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of some piperazine and ethylenediamine analogs of procaine amide. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 57(12):2073–2080. 1968.
- [22] L. S. Kocsis, E. Benedetti and K. M. Brummond. A Thermal Dehydrogenative Diels-Alder Reaction of Styrenes for the Concise Synthesis of Functionalized Naphthalenes. *Organic Letters*, 14(17):4430–4433. 2012.
- [23] A. F. Abdel-Magid, K. G. Carson, B. D. Harris, C. A. Maryanoff and R. D. Shah. Reductive Amination of Aldehydes and Ketones with Sodium Triacetoxyborohydride. Studies on Direct and Indirect Reductive Amination Procedures(1). *The Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 61(11):3849–3862. 1996.
- [24] P. Reddy and S. Baskaran. Microwave assisted amination of quinolone carboxylic acids: an expeditious synthesis of fluoroquinolone antibacterials. *Tetrahedron Letters*, 42(38):6775–6777. 2001.
- [25] R. Howard. The synthesis of an azido analogue of N-(3-oxododecanoyl)-l-homoserine lactone and an alkynyl analogue of linezolid for use in the synthesis of a library of antibiotic-quorum sensing molecule conjugates. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge. 2015.
- [26] K. Ganguly, R. Wu, M. Ollivault-Shiflett, P. M. Goodwin, L. A. Silks and R. Iyer. Design, synthesis, and a novel application of quorum-sensing agonists as potential drug-delivery vehicles. *Journal of Drug Targeting*, 19(7):528–539. 2011.
- [27] R. Iyer, K. Ganguly and L. A. Silks. Synthetic analogs of bacterial quorum sensors. Los Alamos National Laboratory. 2012.
- [28] A. Eberhard, C. A. Widrig, P. Mcbath and J. B. Schineller. Analogs of the autoinducer of bioluminescence in *Vibrio fischeri*. *Archives of Microbiology*, 146(1):35–40. 1986.
- [29] A. L. Schaefer, B. L. Hanzelka, A. Eberhard and E. P. Greenberg. Quorum sensing in *Vibrio fischeri*: probing autoinducer-LuxR interactions with autoinducer analogs. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 178(10):2897–2901. 1996.

- [30] L. Passador, K. D. Tucker, K. R. Guertin, M. P. Journet, A. S. Kende and B. H. Iglewski. Functional analysis of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* autoinducer PAI. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 178(20):5995–6000. 1996.
- [31] K. M. Smith, Y. Bu and H. Suga. Library Screening for Synthetic Agonists and Antagonists of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Autoinducer. *Chemistry & Biology*, 10(6):563–571. 2003.
- [32] S. R. Chhabra, P. Stead, N. J. Bainton, G. P. Salmond, G. S. Stewart, P. Williams and B. W. Bycroft. Autoregulation of carbapenem biosynthesis in *Erwinia carotovora* by analogues of N-(3-oxohexanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone. *The Journal of Antibiotics*, 46(3):441–454. 1993.
- [33] C. E. McInnis and H. E. Blackwell. Thiolactone modulators of quorum sensing revealed through library design and screening. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry*, 19(16):4820–4828. 2011.
- [34] G. D. Geske, J. C. O. Neill, D. M. Miller, M. E. Mattmann and H. E. Blackwell. Modulation of Bacterial Quorum Sensing with Synthetic Ligands : Systematic Evaluation of N-Acylated Homoserine Lactones in Multiple Species and New Insights into Their Mechanisms of Action. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 129(44):13613–13625. 2007.
- [35] J. C. A. Janssens, K. Metzger, R. Daniels, D. Ptacek, T. Verhoeven, L. W. Habel, J. Vanderleyden, D. E. De Vos and S. C. J. De Keersmaecker. Synthesis of N -Acyl Homoserine Lactone Analogues Reveals Strong Activators of SdiA , the *Salmonella enterica* Serovar. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 73(2):535–544. 2007.
- [36] J. T. Hodgkinson, W. R. J. D. Galloway, M. Wright, I. K. Mati, R. L. Nicholson, M. Welch and D. R. Spring. Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of non-natural modulators of quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry*, 10(30):6032. 2012.
- [37] M. E. Boursier, D. E. Manson, J. B. Combs, E. Helen and H. E. Blackwell. A comparative study of non-native N-acyl L-homoserine lactone analogs in two *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum sensing receptors that share a common native ligand yet inversely regulate virulence. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry*, pages 1–17. 2018.
- [38] K. M. Smith, Y. Bu and H. Suga. Induction and Inhibition of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Quorum Sensing by Synthetic Autoinducer Analogs. *Chemistry & Biology*, 10(1):81–89. 2003.
- [39] G. J. Jog, J. Igarashi and H. Suga. Stereoisomers of *P. aeruginosa* Autoinducer Analog to Probe the Regulator Binding Site. *Chemistry and Biology*. 2006.
- [40] K. Sachin, E.-M. Kim, S.-J. Cheong, H.-J. Jeong, S. T. Lim, M.-H. Sohn and D. W. Kim. Synthesis of N₄-[¹⁸F]fluoroalkylated ciprofloxacin as a potential bacterial infection imaging agent for PET study. *Bioconjugate Chemistry*, 21(12):2282–2288. 2010.
- [41] J. Aubé, Michael S. Wolfe, R. K. Yantiss, S. M. Cook, F. Takusagawa, M. S. Wolfe, R. K. Yantiss, S. M. Cook and F. Takusagawa. Synthesis of Enantiopure N-tert-Butoxycarbonyl-2- aminocycloalkanones. *Synthetic Communications*, 22(20):3003–3012. 1992.
- [42] L. E. Overman and S. Sugai. A Convenient Method for Obtaining trans -2-Aminocyclohexanol and trans -2-Aminocyclopentanol in Enantiomerically Pure Form. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 50:4154–4155. 1985.
- [43] L. E. Overman and S. Sugai. Total Synthesis of (-)-Crinine. Use of Tandem Cationic Aza-Cope Rearrangement/Mannich Cyclizations for the Synthesis of Enantiomerically Pure Amaryllidaceae Alkaloids. *Helvetica Chimica Acta*, 68(3):745–749. 1985.

- [44] X. Wu, P. Ohrngren, A. a. Joshi, A. Trejos, M. Persson, R. K. Arvela, H. Wallberg, L. Vrang, A. Rosenquist, B. B. Samuelsson, J. Unge and M. Larhed. Synthesis, X-ray analysis, and biological evaluation of a new class of stereopure lactam-based HIV-1 protease inhibitors. *Journal of medicinal chemistry*, 55:2724–36. 2012.
- [45] M. T. Robak, M. Trincado and J. A. Ellman. Enantioselective Aza-Henry reaction with an N-sulfinyl urea organocatalyst. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 129(49):15110–15111. 2007.
- [46] A. S. Yim and M. Wills. Asymmetric transfer hydrogenation using amino acid derivatives; further studies and a mechanistic proposal. *Tetrahedron*, 61(33):7994–8004. 2005.
- [47] F. Orsini, F. Pelizzoni, M. Sisti and L. Verotta. A CONVENIENT PROCEDURE FOR THE PREPARATION OF t -BUTYLDIMETHYLSILYL ETHERS OF HYDROXYAMINO ACIDS. *Organic Preparations and Procedures International*, 21(4):505–508. 1989.
- [48] Y. Kaburagi and Y. Kishi. Operationally simple and efficient workup procedure for TBAF-mediated desilylation: Application to halichondrin synthesis. *Organic Letters*, 9(4):723–726. 2007.
- [49] B. L. Archer, R. F. Hudson and J. E. Wardill. The mechanism of hydrolysis of acid chlorides. Part IV. Salt effects. *J. Chem. Soc.*, (0):888–893. 1953.
- [50] F. Xue and C. T. Seto. Structure-activity studies of cyclic ketone inhibitors of the serine protease plasmin: Design, synthesis, and biological activity. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry*, 14:8467–8487. 2006.
- [51] H. E. Gottlieb, V. Kotlyar and A. Nudelman. NMR Chemical Shifts of Common Laboratory Solvents as Trace Impurities. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 62(21):7512–7515. 1997.
- [52] T. Persson, T. H. Hansen, T. B. Rasmussen, M. E. Skindersø, M. Givskov and J. Nielsen. Rational design and synthesis of new quorum-sensing inhibitors derived from acylated homoserine lactones and natural products from garlic. *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry*, 3(2):253–262. 2005.
- [53] R. Srinivasan, L. P. Tan, H. Wu, P.-Y. Yang, K. A. Kalesh and S. Q. Yao. High-throughput synthesis of azide libraries suitable for direct "click" chemistry and in situ screening. *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry*, 7(9):1821. 2009.
- [54] I. Schiffrers, T. Rantanen, F. Schmidt, W. Bergmans, L. Zani and C. Bolm. Resolution of racemic 2-aminocyclohexanol derivatives and their application as ligands in asymmetric catalysis. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 71(1):2320–2331. 2006.

Todo list

It doesn't kill Staph A...	8
put intro in intro	12
weigh Y4Tri then discuss	14
ref	17
link this up	21
link this up	22
should I show the synthesis?	22
show compounds it's in and describe?	22
read these again, put ones I didn't do in further work	23
check Boursier2018 for print publication details	24
????	51

don't have?	54
don't have?	54
try?	54
works in LCMS see Lois283	73
remove unless very active as not fully characterised	91