General Player Analysis

So far, we have analyzed the data for 50 individual players, but we would like to be able to draw broader conclusions about the overall effect the first free throw has on the probability of making the second free throw generally for all players.

To do this, we will define a logistic regression model with mixed effects.

This will allow us to model the probability of a player making the second free throw, given the result of the first free throw, while accounting for the baseline free throw probabilities of individual players.

We will then be able to look at the p-value for the shot1 coefficient to see if knowing the result of free throw 1 improves our ability to predict the probability of making free throw 2. If the p-value is statistically significant (< 0.05), it would indicate that the result of the first free throw (whether made or missed) has a significant effect on the likelihood of making the second free throw, beyond individual player baseline differences.

```
[9]: import pandas as pd
from pymer4.models import Lmer

#Create a DataFrame by reading CSV
df = pd.read_csv(r'free_throw_pairs.csv')

df.head(5)
```

```
[9]:
                                    shot2
                   player
                            shot1
             Andrew Bynum
     0
                                 1
                                         1
     1
             Andrew Bynum
                                 1
                                         0
     2
       Amare Stoudemire
                                         1
                                 1
     3
         Leandro Barbosa
                                 0
                                         1
     4
               Lamar Odom
                                 1
                                         1
```

```
[]: #Define the model that contains the fixed effect, shot1, and the random effect,
    # the unique baseline free throw ability of each player
    model = Lmer('shot2 ~ shot1 + (1 | player)', data=df, family='binomial')

# Fit the model
    model.fit()

# View model summary
    print(model.summary())
```

```
Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood ['lmerMod'] Formula: shot2~shot1+(1|player)
```

```
Family: binomial Inference: parametric
```

Number of observations: 263300 Groups: {'player': 1091.0}

Log-likelihood: -134317.664 AIC: 268641.329

Random effects:

Name Var Std player (Intercept) 0.271 0.521

No random effect correlations specified

Fixed effects:

Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood ['lmerMod'] Formula: shot2~shot1+(1|player)

Family: binomial Inference: parametric

Number of observations: 263300 Groups: {'player': 1091.0}

Log-likelihood: -134317.664 AIC: 268641.329

Random effects:

Name Var Std player (Intercept) 0.271 0.521

No random effect correlations specified

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	2.5_ci	97.5_ci	SE	OR	OR_2.5_ci	OR_97.5_ci	\
(Intercept)	1.09	1.050	1.130	0.020	2.974	2.857	3.096	
shot1	0.14	0.119	0.161	0.011	1.151	1.127	1.175	

```
Prob Prob_2.5_ci Prob_97.5_ci Z-stat P-val Sig (Intercept) 0.748 0.741 0.756 53.314 0.0 *** shot1 0.535 0.530 0.540 13.093 0.0 ***
```

Looking at our model summary, we see that shot 1 is highly statistically significant in the model.

Let's see how it effects our estimated free throw 2 probabilities to see if the difference is practically significant.

Estimated second free throw probabilities:

Ben Wallace missed first free throw: 0.4507 Ben Wallace made first free throw: 0.4857 Difference: 0.0350

Shaquille O'Neal missed first free throw: 0.5590 Shaquille O'Neal made first free throw: 0.5933

Difference: 0.0343

LeBron James missed first free throw: 0.7611 LeBron James made first free throw: 0.7857

Difference: 0.0246

Stephen Curry missed first free throw: 0.9041 Stephen Curry made first free throw: 0.9156

Difference: 0.0115

From our model predictions, we can see that making the first free throw increases the probability of making the second free throw by roughly 1.2-3.5% depending on the player's average free throw percentage.

We can conclude that the result of the second free throw is not independent of the result of the first. However, while the statistical significance of the effect is clear, whether or not a difference of 1.2-3.5% is practically significant is less clear.