



API3

# API3 Airnode Protocol

Software and architectural audit by



Version: **1**

Authors:

**Christian Veselinov**

CTO, Co-Founder & Blockchain Solutions Architect @ LimeChain

Date Created: **January 25, 2021**

Last Edit: **February 5, 2021**

# Table of contents

[Table of contents](#)

[Overview](#)

[Methodology](#)

[Scope](#)

[Findings](#)

[#1 Not needed payable modifier and funds forwarding in createProvider \(Low Impact, Medium Probability\)](#)

[#2 Smart Contracts Layout \(Low Impact, High Probability\)](#)

[#3 Only one admin address \(Low Impact, Low Probability\)](#)

[#4 Authorizers per request \(Medium Impact, Medium Probability\)](#)

[Recommendations](#)

[Helpers into the unit tests](#)

[Newer solidity version](#)

## Overview

The Software and Security Audit was performed on API3's airnode request-response protocol smart contracts. The first protocol implemented for Airnode is request-response. An Airnode serving the request-response protocol listens for requests, makes the API call specified by the request, and fulfills the request as soon as possible.

The code is very well documented, commented and easy to read.

The existing documentation in github is nice and clear, allowing everyone to quickly understand what is the purpose of each component.

Functions are grouped together according to their functionality.

## Methodology

The used methodology for the security audit consists of:

1. Understanding the purpose and specification of the project.
2. Make sure that all tests and coverage report are passing without errors or unexpected behaviour
3. Manual analysis on API3's airnode request-response protocol, covering the functional and security aspects of the smart contracts.
4. Producing Audit Report containing all findings, potential problems and providing recommendations on how to resolve them.

## Scope

GitHub Repository - package: <https://github.com/api3dao/airnode/tree/master/packages/protocol>

Git Commit: [128305033da1e2d0dd2e9ebe9a6c98dec7092e63](https://github.com/api3dao/airnode/commit/128305033da1e2d0dd2e9ebe9a6c98dec7092e63)

| File Name  | SHA-1                                    |
|--|--|
| README.md  | 8453f16ff4ac30e6c46ce1186909734a3878ad93 |
| contracts/Airnode.sol                                      | 30521b109911a1b466cd1330d22e6f939e799eb3 |
| contracts/AirnodeClient.sol                                | d6f0c0584795eba55b0d06589178dc6801815fbc |
| contracts/Convenience.sol                                  | 9f154581e81681af155a9754dd21d21687d7137d |
| contracts/EndpointStore.sol                                | 96b33f4b9d94f8b8394ffb91186f223b2a412a70 |
| contracts/ProviderStore.sol                                | d1e323ed628e46e6873eea41ac95b64d7b1dc8db |
| contracts/RequesterStore.sol                               | 30de7b3bc9b2e6416d37a8aa8b0323122c810d29 |
| contracts/TemplateStore.sol                                | 6daf42a3197365b15fe8dfe2654954f810902f5a |
| contracts/authorizers/MinBalanceAuthorizer.sol             | 6245681abb00c3167ee58c4a9f34311583148842 |
| contracts/authorizers/interfaces/IAuthorizer.sol           | 4dc4eb0754f1681205644075032794fdd5db1a78 |
| contracts/authorizers/interfaces/IMinBalanceAuthorizer.sol | d8e9f271ba8ffb126ee83232a800653755ca5d52 |
| contracts/interfaces/IAirnode.sol                          | fd48727d5f7624e2947ae6bcf4230ab4e3b8a1d3 |
| contracts/interfaces/IAirnodeClient.sol                    | b21952f1a5c05dd5040f3d9ca99c5bd50f7e2c37 |
| contracts/interfaces/IConvenience.sol                      | b9051e6440396b57627cb5d38d57a095694e5cec |
| contracts/interfaces/IEndpointStore.sol                    | f95a9f004d82438be206ad036d4dd95deae889c8 |
| contracts/interfaces/IProviderStore.sol                    | a92596cad7d818956a42f1fc8cbf6b83448a8644 |
| contracts/interfaces/IRequesterStore.sol                   | 10a120b69a75cfb4925a4586159d9e8be2a49b2a |
| contracts/interfaces/ITemplateStore.sol                    | b47df7ce44cd7767201038339b65433343adfd2b |
| contracts/mock/MockAirnodeClient.sol                       | e35cfe41b893f4f53b176e0e034b8c32f6426b33 |
| credentials.example.json                                   | ef4b61c8c583266bd984380046bcdcd67330b719 |
| deploy/1_deploy.js   | 089c1044df81dd0a1398eec2971ff8a3904765c7 |

|                            |  |
|----------------------------|--|
| hardhat.config.js          | a0a29cd74b565df17c1a410192e1761ef2afec0a |
| index.js                   | 7b4f070a52e2208979ece5aa2e6e408042379849 |
| package.json               | e9ac9f6b1fbaa7385062b3d81c00e2a89db0ce76 |
| package.publish.json       | 8e53155e1ecfb2e053fe048aec3d947d44a638e4 |
| publish.sh                 | 59e6c706c6e5183568c5947de4aaa633b847d6dd |
| test/Airnode.sol.js        | 3e4f9f7770b5653dc94c77f393ced25398bf8af4 |
| test/Convenience.sol.js    | 06bed740c7e4cd98f0ad9e80db0247fa785f79e8 |
| test/EndpointStore.sol.js  | c465466dc4088b3dd49870f4ea3620cd123a9651 |
| test/ProviderStore.sol.js  | 5e5f8ef0b11eb5edd7a70630fa56a4b315d99ae6 |
| test/RequesterStore.sol.js | ea32dff9c09d3d66604713d2bf1a64c1a28acf84 |
| test/TemplateStore.sol.js  | b8260a5feb2c1d499f8d052ac610d6a88d122c24 |
| test/UserFlow.js           | c4ed25441cb24cb5b0e6b50a9ca9f0176b0d410d |
| test/helpers/provider.js   | f240f1ee09b2ff62afe558119827e8b4db7f2cfe |
| test/helpers/request.js    | eaad2313d9049c8e7fc90b93d281554083d55589 |
| test/helpers/requester.js  | 39fddf6152b543de52c16890477e4888f3284f22 |
| test/helpers/template.js   | aef36a845d6fc574283b675078a40a3b83d91a38 |
| test/util.js               | 7032e5661bf128dd058769953a09317f31aaedaf |

# Findings

## #1 Not needed payable modifier and funds forwarding in `createProvider` (Low Impact, Medium Probability)

The function `createProvider` in `ProviderStore.sol` file should just create a new provider. Having the option to also send ETH and use `msg.value` brings not needed complexity in a function whose purpose is to create a new provider.

### **Suggestion:**

Consider removing the `if` statement on line 50. And if the provider wants to transfer funds to the admin wallet, broadcast a second transaction only for the transfer.

## #2 Smart Contracts Layout (Low Impact, High Probability)

Consider following the Solidity Style guide(<https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.1/style-guide.html#>) for the order and formatting of the smart contracts

### **Suggestion:**

In `ProviderStore.sol` modifier on line 172, move the declaration of this modifier before other function declarations and after the state variables;

In `Airnode.sol` modifier on line 314, move the declaration of this modifier before other function declarations and after the state variables;

### #3 Only one admin address (Low Impact, Low Probability)

Having only one address as **Provider admin** could potentially be a bottleneck in the future when a single provider could be working with a large number of requests, authorizers and in general provider administration that requires admin. At the moment the provider admin is not used for a lot of transactions, however if for example in the future there is a more complex authorizer which requires regular admin updates the admin could become a bottleneck.

Potentially the same approach could be used for the **Requester Admin**.

#### **Suggestion:**

Change `address admin;` on line 11 in `ProviderStore.sol` to be a mapping (`address => bool`) and use the new mapping for checking if it is one of the admins calling the contracts. In future the provider would have the flexibility to add more than one admin address.

### #4 Authorizers per request (Medium Impact, Medium Probability)

The current architecture allows a list of authorizers per `providerId` and `endpointId`.

Potentially this can lead to unpredicted and unwanted behaviour if there are currently requests which are going to be fulfilled but the provider updates the authorizers list at the same time.

**Note:** Following the suggestion below, would solve the potential issue described above but would introduce new complexities and gas costs.

#### **Suggestion:**

Consider having a `authorizersSets` each set could have the same logic behind it as in the current `authorizers`. When recording a new request in `Airnode.sol` the ID of the current active set could be used for generating the hash recorded in

`requestIdToFulfillmentParameters[requestId]`

If this approach is chosen there won't be an update function for authorizers, but just adding a new set and make it the active one.

# Recommendations

## Helpers into the unit tests

Unit tests helper functions are doing actually the main work in some tests. Would be good to keep the helpers only for some smaller pieces of logic. For example, the **ProviderStore.sol.js** is checked if the parameters are recorded correctly, but the **expect** statements about the value sent are into the helpers. Try to cover all expect statements into the test file itself.

## Newer solidity version

Consider using the latest official solidity version - **0.8.1**. Upgrade guidance for each of the breaking changes could be found here:

- To 0.7.0 -  
<https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.1/070-breaking-changes.html#how-to-update-your-code>
- To 0.8.0 -  
<https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.1/080-breaking-changes.html#how-to-update-your-code>