# Weighted essentially non-oscillatory schemes

Matthew Emmett

October 16, 2009

## 1 Introduction

We follow C.W. Shu in "Essentially Non-oscillatory and Weighted Essentially Non-oscillatory Schemes for Hyperbolic Conservation Laws" (NASA/CR-97-206253, ICASE report no. 97-65).

We consider a one-dimensional hyperbolic conservation law of the form

$$q_t + \left(f(q)\right)_x = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

For finite-volume schemes we do not solve (1.1) directly, but its integrated version instead. Integrating (1.1) over the interval [a, b] we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\overline{q}(t) + \frac{1}{b-a}\Big(f\big(q(b,t)\big) - f\big(q(a,t)\big)\Big) = 0$$

where

$$\overline{q}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b q(\xi,t) \; d\xi$$

is the average value of q over [a, b]. This leads us to one of the central problems in implementing a numerical scheme to solve (1.1): obtaining the values of q at the boundaries a and b based on the average  $\overline{q}$  of q. This is the reconstruction problem.

It is our intention here to study the reconstruction problem.

### 2 Grid

We consider a grid over the interval [a, b] with N cells. We denote the N + 1 cell boundaries by

$$x_{i-1/2}$$
 for  $i = 1, \dots, N+1$  (2.1)

so that

$$a = x_{1/2} < x_{3/2} < \dots < x_{N-1/2} < x_{N+1/2} = b.$$
 (2.2)

Subsequently, we denote the N cells by

$$C_i = [x_{i-1/2}, x_{i+1/2}]$$
 for  $i = 1, \dots, N;$  (2.3)

the N cell centres by

$$x_i = \frac{x_{i-1/2} + x_{i+1/2}}{2}$$
 for  $i = 1, \dots, N;$  (2.4)

the N cell sizes by

$$\Delta x_i = x_{i+1/2} - x_{i-1/2}$$
 for  $i = 1, \dots, N;$  (2.5)

and the maximum cell size by

$$\Delta x = \max_{i=1,\dots,N} \Delta x_i. \tag{2.6}$$

We denote the contiguous stencil around the cell  $C_i$ , containing k cells shifted to the left by r cells, by

$$S_i^{r,k} = C_{i-r} \cup \dots \cup C_{i-r+k-1}.$$
 (2.7)

Note that  $S_i^{r,k}$  spans k cells and contains k+1 cell boundaries.

#### 3 One dimensional reconstruction for smooth functions

Given the cell averages  $\overline{v}_j$  of a function v where

$$\overline{v}_j = \frac{1}{\Delta x_j} \int_{x_{j-1/2}}^{x_{j+1/2}} v(\xi) d\xi$$
 (3.1)

we wish to find approximations  $v_{i+1/2}$  to the function v at the cell boundaries  $x_{i+1/2}$ , based on k cell averages, that are k-order accruate. The remainder of this section will be devoted to finding these approximations and showing that they are k-order accuarate. As it turns out, we will show that there are constants  $c_{ij}^r$  (hereafter called reconstruction coefficients) such that the reconstructed values  $v_{i+1/2}$  at the cell boundaries  $x_{i+1/2}$  are given by

$$v_{i+1/2} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_{ij}^r \ \overline{v}_{i-r+j}.$$

That is, given a stencil  $S_i^{r,k}$  that spans the k cells  $C_{i-r}, \ldots, C_{i-r+k-1}$ , the reconstructed value  $v_{i+1/2}$  of the original function v at the cell boundary  $x_{i+1/2}$  can be obtained using the cell averages  $\overline{v}_j$  over the cells  $C_j$  in the stencil  $S_i^{r,k}$ . In general, the reconstruction coefficients depend on the order k, left shift r, and cell i.

We can also reconstruct the values  $v_{i-1/2}$  using the reconstruction coefficients  $\tilde{c}_{ij}^r$  so that

$$v_{i-1/2} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \tilde{c}_{ij}^r \, \overline{v}_{i-r+j} \tag{3.2}$$

As it turns out, the reconstruction coefficients  $c_{ij}^r$  and  $\tilde{c}_{ij}^r$  are related. This can be seen by considering the cell  $C_{i-1}$  and the stencil  $S_{i-1}^{r-1,k}$ . Then

$$v_{i-1/2} = v_{(i-1)+1/2} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_{(i-1)j}^{r-1} \, \overline{v}_{(i-1)-(r-1)+j} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_{(i-1)j}^{r-1} \, \overline{v}_{i-r+j}$$
(3.3)

Comparing (3.2) and (3.3), we see that

$$\tilde{c}_{ij}^r = c_{(i-1)j}^{r-1}$$
.

In order to obtain the reconstruction coefficients  $c_{ij}^r$  and prove accuracy, we first consider the following approximation problem: Given the cell averages  $\overline{v}_j$  of a function v, find the polynomials  $p_i^r$  of degree at most k-1 such that each  $p_i^r$  is a k-order accurate approximation to v inside  $C_i$ . That is, given the cell averages  $\overline{v}_j$ , find polynomials  $p_i^r$  such that

$$p_i^r(x) = v(x) + O(\Delta x^k)$$
 for  $x \in C_i$ .

In order to find these polynomials, we consider the function

$$V(x) = \int_{a}^{x} v(\xi) d\xi. \tag{3.4}$$

Using the cell averages  $\overline{v}_j$  we can compute V at the cell boundaries  $x_{i+1/2}$  through

$$V(x_{i+1/2}) = \int_{a}^{x_{i+1/2}} v(\xi) d\xi$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{i} \int_{x_{j-1/2}}^{x_{j+1/2}} v(\xi) d\xi$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{i} \overline{v}_{j} \Delta x_{j}.$$
(3.5)

Focusing on a particular cell  $C_i$  and stencil  $S_i^{r,k}$ , the unique polynomial  $P_i^r$  of order k which interpolates V at the k+1 points

$$x_{i-r-1/2}, \ldots, x_{i-r+k-1/2}$$

is given by

$$P_i^r(x) = \sum_{l=0}^k \left( V(x_{i-r+l-1/2}) \prod_{m=0, m \neq l}^k \frac{(x - x_{i-r+m-1/2})}{(x_{i-r+l-1/2} - x_{i-r+m-1/2})} \right).$$
(3.6)

(This is the interpolating polynomial of V in Lagrange form.) We denote the derivative of  $P_i^r$  by  $p_i^r$ , so that

$$p_i^r(x) = \frac{d}{dx} P_i^r(x).$$

It can be shown (see Appendix A) that

$$P_i^r(x) = V(x) + O(\Delta x^{k+1})$$
 for  $x \in S_i^{r,k}$ .

Therefore

$$p_i^r(x) = v(x) + O(\Delta x^k)$$
 for  $x \in S_i^{r,k}$ 

and  $p_i^r(x)$  is of order k-1.

Furthermore, the cell averages of  $p_i^r$  over the cells  $C_j$  that comprise the stencil  $S_i^{r,k}$  satisfy

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\Delta x_j} \int_{x_{j-1/2}}^{x_{j+1/2}} p_i^r(\xi) \ d\xi &= \frac{1}{\Delta x_j} \int_{x_{j-1/2}}^{x_{j+1/2}} P_i'(\xi) \ d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta x_j} \left( P_i^r(x_{j+1/2}) - P_i^r(x_{j-1/2}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta x_j} \left( V(x_{j+1/2}) - V(x_{j-1/2}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta x_j} \left( \int_a^{x_{j+1/2}} v(\xi) \ d\xi - \int_a^{x_{j-1/2}} v(\xi) \ d\xi \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta x_j} \left( \int_{x_{j-1/2}}^{x_{j+1/2}} v(\xi) \ d\xi \right) \\ &= \overline{v}_j \quad \text{for} \quad j = i-r, \dots, i-r+k-1. \end{split}$$

That is, the cell averages of the approximating polynomials  $p_i^r$  match the cell averages the original function v in each of the cells  $C_j$  which comprise the stencil  $S_i^{r,k}$ .

So far we have constructed polynomials  $p_i^r$  that approximate the original function v on the stencils  $S_i^{r,k}$  to k-order using only the cell averages  $\overline{v}_j$  for  $j=i-r,\ldots,i-r+k-1$ .

Now we consider the practical problem of finding the constants  $c_{ij}^r$ . Subtracting  $V(x_{i-r-1/2})$  from  $P_i^r(x)$  and using

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k} \prod_{m=0, m \neq l}^{k} \frac{(x - x_{i-r+m-1/2})}{(x_{i-r+l-1/2} - x_{i-r+m-1/2})} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad V(x_{i-r+l-1/2}) - V(x_{i-r-1/2}) \equiv 0 \text{ for } l = 0$$

we obtain

$$P_i^r(x) - V(x_{i-r-1/2}) = \sum_{l=1}^k \left( \left( V(x_{i-r+l-1/2}) - V(x_{i-r-1/2}) \right) \prod_{m=0, m \neq l}^k \frac{(x - x_{i-r+m-1/2})}{(x_{i-r+l-1/2} - x_{i-r+m-1/2})} \right).$$

Taking the derivative of the above, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dx}P_i^r(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^k \left( \left( V(x_{i-r+l-1/2}) - V(x_{i-r-1/2}) \right) \prod_{m=0, m \neq l}^k \frac{(x - x_{i-r+m-1/2})}{(x_{i-r+l-1/2} - x_{i-r+m-1/2})} \right) \right]$$

and hence

$$p_i^r(x) = \sum_{l=1}^k \left( \left( V(x_{i-r+l-1/2}) - V(x_{i-r-1/2}) \right) \frac{\sum_{m=0, m \neq l}^k \prod_{n=0, m \neq l, m}^k (x - x_{i-r+n-1/2})}{\prod_{m=0, m \neq l}^k (x_{i-r+l-1/2} - x_{i-r+m-1/2})} \right).$$
(3.7)

Evaluing  $p_i^r$  at the cell boundary  $x_{i+1/2}$  and employing (3.5), we obtain

$$p_i^r(x_{i+1/2}) = \sum_{l=1}^k \left( \left( \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \overline{v}_{i-r+j} \Delta x_{i-r+j} \right) \frac{\sum_{m=0, m \neq l}^k \prod_{n=0, m \neq l, m}^k (x_{i+1/2} - x_{i-r+n-1/2})}{\prod_{m=0, m \neq l}^k (x_{i-r+l-1/2} - x_{i-r+m-1/2})} \right).$$
(3.8)

Rearranging, we obtain

$$v_{i+1/2} = p_i^r(x_{i+1/2}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{l=j+1}^k \frac{\sum_{m=0, m \neq l}^k \prod_{n=0, n \neq l, m}^k (x_{i+1/2} - x_{i-r+n-1/2})}{\prod_{m=0, m \neq l}^k (x_{i-r+l-1/2} - x_{i-r+m-1/2})} \Delta x_{i-r+j} \overline{v}_{i-r+j}.$$

Therefore, the reconstruction coefficients  $c_{ij}^r$  are given by

$$c_{ij}^{r} = \sum_{l=i+1}^{k} \frac{\sum_{m=0, m \neq l}^{k} \prod_{n=0, m \neq l, m}^{k} (x_{i+1/2} - x_{i-r+n-1/2})}{\prod_{m=0, m \neq l}^{k} (x_{i-r+l-1/2} - x_{i-r+m-1/2})} \Delta x_{i-r+j}$$
(3.9)

and depend on r and k.

#### 3.1 Summary

In summary, given a stencil  $S_i^{r,k}$  and the cell averages  $\overline{v}_j$  of a function v, the approximation  $v_{i+1/2}$  to the function v at the cell boundary  $x_{i+1/2}$  is given by

$$v_{i+1/2} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_{ij}^r \, \overline{v}_{i-r+j} \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$c_{ij}^{r} = \sum_{l=i+1}^{k} \frac{\sum_{m=0, m \neq l}^{k} \prod_{n=0, m \neq l, m}^{k} (x_{i+1/2} - x_{i-r+n-1/2})}{\prod_{m=0, m \neq l}^{k} (x_{i-r+l-1/2} - x_{i-r+m-1/2})} \Delta x_{i-r+j}.$$
 (3.11)

Furthermore, the approximation  $v_{i+1/2}$  is accurate to order k so that

$$v_{i+1/2} = v(x_{i+1/2}) + O(\Delta x^k)$$

where

$$\Delta x = \max_{j=i-r,\dots,i-r+k-1} \Delta x_j.$$

Finally, the approximation  $v_{i-1/2}$  to the function v at the cell boundary  $x_{i-1/2}$  is given by

$$v_{i-1/2} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_{(i-1)j}^{r-1} \, \overline{v}_{i-r+j}.$$

The permissable values of left shift parameter r in (3.10) are  $-1, \ldots, k-1$  so that the results of Appendix A hold.

## 4 One dimensional reconstruction for piece-wise smooth functions

The solutions of hyperbolic conservation laws may contain discontinuities, and therefore we are interested in reconstructing piecewise smooth functions. A piecewise smooth function v is smooth except at finitely many isolated points. At these points, v and its derivatives (at least up to the order of the scheme) are assumed to have finite left and right limits.

For such piecewise smooth functions, the order of accuracy herein referred to is formal- that is, it is defined as the accuracy determined by the local error in the smooth regions of the function.

The basic idea of WENO is to use a convex combination of several stencils to form the reconstruction of v at the cell boundaries, and, if a stencil contains a discontinuity, its weight should be close to zero. In smooth regions, using several stencils will also serve to increase the order of accuracy.

Consider the k stencils

$$S_i^{r,k}$$
 for  $r = 0, \dots, k-1$ 

that can be used to reconstruct the value of v at the cell boundaries  $x_{i-1/2}$  and  $x_{i+1/2}$ . These stencils span 2k-1 cells. We denote the k different reconstructions by

$$v_{i+1/2}^r = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_{ij}^r \bar{v}_{i-r+j} \quad \text{for} \quad r = 0, \dots, k-1$$
 (4.1)

where we have added the superscript r to  $v_{i+1/2}$  to make the dependance upon the left shift r explicit.

A WENO reconstruction takes a convex combination of all  $v_{i+1/2}^r$  defined in (4.1) as a new approximation to  $v_{i+1/2}$  according to

$$v_{i+1/2} = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \omega_i^r v_{i+1/2}^r \tag{4.2}$$

where we require

$$\omega_i^r \ge 0$$
 and  $\sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \omega_i^r = 1.$  (4.3)

In smooth regions where all k stencils that can be used to reconstruct  $v_{i+1/2}$  in (4.1) do not contain discontinuities, we could reconstruct  $v_{i+1/2}$  to order 2k-1 using the stencil  $S_i^{k-1,2k-1}$  to obtain

$$v_{i+1/2} = \sum_{j=0}^{2k-2} c_{ij}^* \bar{v}_{i-(k-1)+j}.$$
 (4.4)

Combining (4.1), (4.2), and (4.4), we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2k-2} c_{ij}^* \bar{v}_{i-(k-1)+j} = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \omega_i^r \left( \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} c_{il}^r \bar{v}_{i-r+l} \right). \tag{4.5}$$

Rearranging, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2k-2} c_{ij}^* \bar{v}_{i-(k-1)+j} = \sum_{j=0}^{2k-2} \left( \sum_{l=\max(0,j-k+1)}^{\min(k-1,j)} \omega_i^{k-(j+1)+l} c_{il}^{k-(j+1)+l} \right) \bar{v}_{i-(k-1)+j}$$

and hence we obtain systems of the 2k-1 equations

$$\sum_{l=\max(0,j-k+1)}^{\min(k-1,j)} \omega_i^{k-(j+1)+l} c_{il}^{k-(j+1)+l} = c_{ij}^* \quad \text{for} \quad j=0,\dots,2k-2$$
(4.6)

at each i for the weights  $\omega_i^r$ . For unstructured grids the systems (4.6) are over-determined, and thereefore we must use some kind of optimisation algorithm in order to find the weights  $\omega_i^r$ . For structured grids the systems (4.6) are no longer over-determined, and the weights  $\omega_i^r$  can be found explicity (and are independent of i).

The weights  $\omega_i^r$  defined by (4.5) and determined by (4.6) are called *optimal weights* since they can be used to reconstruct  $v_{i+1/2}$  to order 2k-1 in regions where v is smooth. We will henceforth denote the optimal weights by  $\varpi_i^r$ .

A similar procedure can be used to determine the optimal weights for reconstructing  $v_{i-1/2}$  on the stencil  $S_i^{r,k}$ .

We now consider the practical problem of choosing the weights  $\omega_i^r$ . If we choose the weights  $\omega_i^r$  sufficiently close to the optimal weights  $\varpi_i^r$  in regions where v is smooth then we can achieve 2k-1 order accuracy. In order to determine how close to the optimal weights  $\varpi_i^r$  the weights  $\omega_i^r$  must be choosen we consider the reconstruction

$$v_{i+1/2} = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \omega_i^r v_{i+1/2}^r = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \varpi_i^r v_{i+1/2}^r + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (\omega_i^r - \varpi_i^r) v_{i+1/2}^r.$$
(4.7)

If we choose

$$\omega_i^r = \varpi_i^r + O(\Delta x^{k-1}) \tag{4.8}$$

then each term in the last summation of (4.8) becomes  $O(\Delta x^{2k-1})$  hence 2k-1 order accuracy is preserved by the reconstruction.

If we define

$$\omega_i^r = \frac{\alpha_i^r}{\alpha_i^0 + \dots + \alpha_i^{k-1}} \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$\alpha_i^r = \frac{\varpi_i^r}{(\epsilon + \sigma_i^r)^p} \quad \text{for} \quad r = 0, \dots, k - 1;$$
 (4.10)

and  $\epsilon$  is a positive real number used to avoid dividing by zero (usually  $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$ ), p is some power, and  $\sigma_i^r$  is a measure of the smoothness of the function v in the stencil  $S_i^{r,k}$ ; with the smoothnesses  $\sigma_i^r$  chosen appropriately, then (4.8) is satisfied.

## A Error of Lagrange interpolating polynomials

Let  $f(x) \in C^n([a,b])$ , and p(x) be the interpolating polynomial of degree n-1 such that

$$p(x_i) = f(x_i) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$
(A.1)

where

$$a = x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b.$$
 (A.2)

Then

$$p(x) = f(x) + O(\Delta x^n) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in [a, b]$$
(A.3)

where

$$\Delta x = \max_{i=2,...,n} x_i - x_{i-1}. \tag{A.4}$$

**Proof.** Let  $x \in [a, b]$ . If  $x = x_i$  for some i = 1, ..., n then f(x) - p(x) = 0 since p(x) is the interpolating polynomial. Otherwise, let

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{f(x) - p(x)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - x_i)}$$
(A.5)

and

$$g(x,\xi) = f(\xi) - p(\xi) - \Phi(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\xi - x_i).$$
(A.6)

Then  $g(x,\xi)$  is n times differentiable with respect to  $\xi$ ,  $g(x,x_i)=0$  for  $i=1,\ldots,n$ , and g(x,x)=0. Applying Rolle's theorem successively across all interpolation points  $x_i$  and x we obtain

$$\left. \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \xi^n} g(x, \xi) \right|_{\xi = \xi^*} = 0 \tag{A.7}$$

for some  $\xi^* \in (a, b)$ . Futhermore

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial \xi^n} g(x,\xi) = f^n(\xi) - n! \,\Phi(x) \tag{A.8}$$

so that, combining (A.7) and (A.8), we obtain

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{f^n(\xi^*)}{n!} \tag{A.9}$$

and therefore

$$f(x) - p(x) = \frac{f^n(\xi^*)}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i).$$
(A.10)

Finally, we conclude that

$$p(x) = f(x) + O(\Delta x^n). \tag{A.11}$$

That is, if p(x) interpolates f(x) at n points, then it is accurate to  $O(\Delta x^n)$  where  $\Delta x$  is the maximum space between the interpolating points.