

PhD Thesis

# **Investigation of complex liquid-gas turbulent interfacial flows**

**A Numerical Study**

Sagar Pal

April 29, 2020

Institut Jean le Rond  $\partial$ 'Alembert  
Sorbonne Université

### **Disclaimer**

You can edit this page to suit your needs. For instance, here we have a no copyright statement, a colophon and some other information. This page is based on the corresponding page of Ken Arroyo Ohori's thesis, with minimal changes.

### **No copyright**

© This book is released into the public domain using the CC0 code. To the extent possible under law, I waive all copyright and related or neighbouring rights to this work. To view a copy of the CC0 code, visit:

<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>

### **Colophon**

This document was typeset with the help of KOMA-Script and L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X using the kaobook class.

The source code of this book is available at:

<https://github.com/fmarotta/kaobook>

(You are welcome to contribute!)

### **Publisher**

First printed in May 2019 by Institut Jean le Rond d'Alembert  
Sorbonne Université

The harmony of the world is made manifest in Form and  
Number, and the heart and soul and all the poetry of  
Natural Philosophy are embodied in the concept of  
mathematical beauty.

– D'Arcy Wentworth Thompson



# Contents

<b>Contents</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>NUMERICAL DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2 Methodology</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Governing Equations . . . . .	4
2.2 Interface Tracking . . . . .	5
2.3 Time Marching . . . . .	5
<b>3 Artificial Atomization : The Falling Raindrop</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1 Computational Setup . . . . .	6
3.2 Exploration of Blowups . . . . .	6
3.3 Origin of Numerical Instabilities . . . . .	6
<b>4 Consistent Mass-Momentum Transport</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1 Principles of Momentum Consistent Schemes . . . . .	7
4.2 Consistent Flux Computation . . . . .	7
4.3 Reconstruction on Staggered Cells . . . . .	8
4.4 Sub-Grid Strategy . . . . .	8
4.5 Summary of Methods . . . . .	9
<b>5 Numerical Benchmarks</b>	<b>10</b>
5.1 Static Droplet . . . . .	10
5.2 Moving Droplet . . . . .	15
5.3 Capillary Wave . . . . .	20
5.4 Falling Raindrop . . . . .	21
<b>PHYSICS OF FRAGMENTATION</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>6 Ligament Mediated Paradigm</b>	<b>25</b>
6.1 Mechanism of Drop Formation . . . . .	25
6.2 Theories of Fragmentation . . . . .	26
<b>7 Droplet Generation in Corrugated Ligaments</b>	<b>27</b>
7.1 Numerical Setup . . . . .	27

7.2	Ligament Breakup . . . . .	28
<b>8</b>	<b>Statistics of Drop Sizes</b>	<b>30</b>
8.1	Monte Carlo Approach to DNS . . . . .	30
8.2	Millimeter Scale Ensembles . . . . .	30
8.3	Exploration of Parameter Space $\Phi$ . . . . .	31
	<b>CONCLUSIONS &amp; PERSPECTIVES</b>	<b>32</b>
	<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Heading on Level 0 (chapter)</b>	<b>35</b>
A.1	Heading on Level 1 (section) . . . . .	35
	Heading on Level 2 (subsection) . . . . .	35
A.2	Lists . . . . .	36
	Example for list (itemize) . . . . .	36
	Example for list (enumerate) . . . . .	36
	Example for list (description) . . . . .	37
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>38</b>
	<b>Notation</b>	<b>39</b>

# List of Figures

5.1	Schematic of the static droplet of dense fluid surrounded by a quiescent medium of lighter fluid. A $40 \times 40$ grid is employed to spatially discretize the domain. . . . .	11
5.2	<b>M1</b> Decay of normalized spurious currents as a function of viscous dissipation time-scales for different density-ratios and Laplace numbers. The currents seem to initially decay quickly for all higher density-ratios, and relax to the numerical equilibrium curvature even within $0.2 \cdot T_\mu$ . For combinations of large $\rho_l/\rho_g$ and large $La$ , the spurious currents seem to grow back to an order of magnitude ( $10^{-8}$ ) which is quite far from that of machine precision ( $10^{-14}$ ). . . . .	13
5.3	<b>M2</b> Decay of normalized spurious currents as a function of viscous dissipation time-scales for different density-ratios and Laplace numbers. The currents seem to initially decay quickly for all higher density-ratios, and relax to the numerical equilibrium curvature even within $0.2 \cdot T_\mu$ . For combinations of large $\rho_l/\rho_g$ and large $La$ , the spurious currents seem to grow back to an order of magnitude ( $10^{-8}$ ) which is quite far from that of machine precision ( $10^{-14}$ ). No considerable improvement is observed with respect to <b>M1</b> . . . . .	13
5.4	<b>M3</b> Decay of normalized spurious currents as a function of viscous dissipation time-scales for different density-ratios and Laplace numbers. The currents seem to decay very quickly in the case of higher density-ratios, and relax to the numerical equilibrium curvature even within $0.2 \cdot T_\mu$ . For all combinations of $\rho_l/\rho_g$ and $La$ numbers, the decayed spurious currents are not observed to grow back as in the cases of <b>M1</b> and <b>M2</b> , and hover around values close to machine precision ( $10^{-14}$ ). . . . .	14
5.5	Second-order spatial convergence for the spurious current error norms corresponding to the most stringent parameter combination ( $\rho_l/\rho_g = 1000$ , $La = 12000$ ). Both of the norms ( $L_\infty$ and $L_2$ ) seem to demonstrate a roughly second order rate of spatial convergence with each of the methods tested. However, <b>M3</b> has a marginally lower $L_2$ error compared to both <b>M1</b> and <b>M2</b> for all resolutions tested. There is negligible difference observed in the shape errors between <b>M1</b> and <b>M2</b> in both of the norm definitions. . . . .	15
5.6	Schematic of the droplet of dense fluid advected in a surrounding medium of lighter fluid. A $50 \times 50$ grid is employed to spatially discretize the domain, which is spatially periodic in the direction of droplet advection. . . . .	16
5.7	<b>M1</b> Time evolution of normalized spurious currents as a function of advection time-scales ( $T_u$ ) for different combinations of density-ratio and Laplace numbers. The currents seem to hover around $10^{-3}$ , with a larger Laplace number corresponding to a higher error for all density-ratios. $We = 0.4$ for all the cases presented. . . . .	17
5.8	<b>M2</b> Time evolution of normalized spurious currents as a function of advection time-scales ( $T_u$ ) for different combinations of density-ratio and Laplace numbers. There seems to be no appreciable difference from the evolution seen in the case of <b>M1</b> (fig. 5.7). The currents seem to hover around $10^{-3}$ , with a larger Laplace number corresponding to a higher error for all density-ratios. $We = 0.4$ for all the cases presented. . . . .	18

5.9	<b>M2</b> Time evolution of normalized spurious currents as a function of advection time-scales ( $T_u$ ) for different combinations of density-ratio and Laplace numbers. In terms of the errors observed in <b>M1</b> and <b>M2</b> , we observe a decrease of roughly one order of magnitude. Although an upward trend is observed for large Laplace numbers, the growth rate is quite low. The currents seem to hover slightly above $10^{-4}$ , with larger Laplace numbers corresponding to larger errors for all density-ratios. $We = 0.4$ for all the cases presented. . . . .	19
5.10	First-order spatial convergence for the spurious current error norms in the moving frame of reference. $\rho_l/\rho_g = 1000$ , $La = 12000$ , $We = 0.4$ . . . . .	19
5.11	Schematic of the initially perturbed planar interface separating two immiscible fluids of different densities and viscosities. A spatial resolution of $32 \times 96$ is used for spatial discretization (compared to $64 \times 192$ in Popinet [5]), with the width of the box corresponding to the size of the perturbed wavelength. . . . .	20
5.12	A 2D schematic of the numerical setup for the falling raindrop. We apply a uniform inflow velocity condition with $U_0(t)$ and an outflow velocity condition at the top which corresponds to zero gradient of the velocity at the boundary. Boundary conditions on the side walls correspond to those of free slip (no shear stress). . . . .	23

## List of Tables

5.1	Parameter values used in the simulation of a falling water droplet in air. . . . .	22
-----	--	----



## Multiphase Flows

**Brief description of multiphase flows in nature** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Surface tension dominated flows** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## Fragmentation

**Brief description of atomization** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Importance of drop size distributions** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written

and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

### **Numerical Platforms**

**PARIS Simulator** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Basilisk** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

# NUMERICAL DEVELOPMENT

## 2.1 Governing Equations

2.1 Governing Equations . . . . .	4
2.2 Interface Tracking . . . . .	5
2.3 Time Marching . . . . .	5

**Conservative versus Non-Conservative Formulations** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Description of Operators** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Evolution of phase-characteristic function** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Material Properties** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## 2.2 Interface Tracking

**Volume-of-Fluid : PLIC Methodology** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Flux Computation : CIAM , WY** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## 2.3 Time Marching

**Spatio-Temporal Discretization** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Pressure-Projection Algorithm** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

# Artificial Atomization : The Falling Raindrop

# 3

## 3.1 Computational Setup

**Parameterization : Reynolds, Weber, Bond** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## 3.2 Exploration of Blowups

**Combinations of Advection Scheme & Flux Limiters** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## 3.3 Origin of Numerical Instabilities

**Un-physical Stagnation Pressures** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

3.1 Computational Setup . . . . .	6
3.2 Exploration of Blowups . . . . .	6
3.3 Origin of Numerical Instabilities . . . . .	6

# Consistent Mass-Momentum Transport

# 4

## 4.1 Principles of Momentum Consistent Schemes

**Major Iterations in Literature** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Overview of Methods** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Our Strategies** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## 4.2 Consistent Flux Computation

**Schematic** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written

4.1 Principles of Momentum Consistent Schemes . . . . .	7
4.2 Consistent Flux Computation . . . . .	7
4.3 Reconstruction on Staggered Cells . . . . .	8
4.4 Sub-Grid Strategy . . . . .	8
4.5 Summary of Methods . . . . .	9

in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Numerical Stencils** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

### 4.3 Reconstruction on Staggered Cells

**Half-Fractions Method** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Sub-Grid Method** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

### 4.4 Sub-Grid Strategy

**Consistency and Conservation** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.



**Restriction and Prolongation Operators** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## 4.5 Summary of Methods

**Flowchart : Half-Fractions Method** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Flowchart : Sub-Grid Method** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

In the upcoming sections, we demonstrate the robustness and accuracy of our class of mass-momentum consistent numerical methods when applied to challenging high density-ratio flow configurations, primarily in comparison to the version of our method which does not maintain consistency between the mass and momentum advection. Most of the standard tests that exist in the current literature concerning numerical methods to tackle liquid-gas flows such as the decay of spurious currents in static and moving droplets, viscous damping of capillary waves etc., are carried out in the absence of any density jump (or viscosity jump) across the interface separating the fluids. In this chapter, we shall take a closer look in detail at the behavior of our methods when dealing with difficulties that arise due to the non-linear coupling between interfacial deformation/propagation, capillary and viscous forces, especially in the regime where the material properties across the interface are separated by orders of magnitude, particularly in which the flow features in question are poorly resolved.

In order to assess the performance of the different methods, we shall use an easier nomenclature to describe the different methods, which are as follows :

- **M1** Method with non-consistent momentum-mass transport.
- **M2** Method with consistent momentum-mass transport, but not conservative. Uses half-fractions strategy.
- **M3** Method with consistent and conservative momentum-mass transport. Uses sub-grid strategy.

## 5.1 Static Droplet

A popular numerical benchmark in the existing literature relevant to surface tension dominated flows is the case of a spherical droplet of the denser fluid immersed in a quiescent surrounding medium of the lighter fluid. In the hydrostatic limit of the Navier-Stokes equations, the droplet should stay in equilibrium, with a curvature induced pressure jump across the interface corresponding to Laplace's equilibrium. In practice however, numerically reproducing such a trivial equilibrium condition is not as straightforward, as there exists a slight difference between the initial numerical interface and the exact analytical shape of the sphere, thereby resulting in the generation of the well documented 'spurious' or 'parasitic' currents of varying intensity in the velocity field [1–3] . A lot of progress has been made since in the context of *well-balanced* surface tension formulations, that ensure consistency between the numerical stencils used for the discretization of the pressure gradient and the Heaviside approximation ( $n\delta_s$ ) that projects the the surface force distribution onto the control volumes [4, 5]. A significant contribution to the interpretation of these parasitic currents within the well-balanced

5.1 Static Droplet . . . . .	10
5.2 Moving Droplet . . . . .	15
5.3 Capillary Wave . . . . .	20
5.4 Falling Raindrop . . . . .	21


[1]: Lafaurie et al. (1994), 'Modelling merging and fragmentation in multiphase flows with SURFER'

[2]: Harvie et al. (2006), 'An analysis of parasitic current generation in volume of fluid simulations'

[3]: Popinet et al. (1999), 'A front-tracking algorithm for accurate representation of surface tension'

framework was made by Popinet [5] which demonstrated that given sufficient time (of the order of viscous dissipation time-scales), a well-balanced method will relax to the ‘*numerical*’ equilibrium shape through the damping of the ‘physically consistent’ numerical capillary waves, therefore allowing us to recover the exact (to machine precision) Laplace equilibrium condition.

## Setup



**Figure 5.1:** Schematic of the static droplet of dense fluid surrounded by a quiescent medium of lighter fluid. A  $40 \times 40$  grid is employed to spatially discretize the domain.

The key difference in our implementation of this classic test case from that of Popinet [5] is that we consider the effect of density contrast across the interface separating the fluids. As we have previously discussed, a sharp density jump across the interface may have an amplification effect on the numerical errors incurred as a result of interfacial reconstructions, curvature estimation and various other truncations, thereby rendering the method unstable. We demonstrate that in our framework of mass consistent momentum transport coupled with a well-balanced surface tension discretization, density-ratios as large as 1000 : 1 can be simulated without loss of numerical stability, in conjunction with the ability to recover the exact numerical equilibrium through the dissipation of spurious currents within relevant time-scales<sup>1</sup>.

We consider a circular droplet of size  $D$  placed at the centre of a square domain of side  $L$ . The densities of the heavier and lighter phases are  $\rho_l$  and  $\rho_g$  respectively, likewise for the viscosities  $\mu_l$  and  $\mu_g$ , and  $\sigma$  being the surface tension coefficient (fig. 5.1). The ratio of the droplet size to the box is chosen as  $D/L = 0.4$ , coupled with a numerical resolution of  $D/\Delta x = 16$  (where  $\Delta x$  is the grid size). As for boundary conditions, we use symmetry conditions on all sides of the square domain.

[5]: Popinet (2009), ‘An accurate adaptive solver for surface-tension-driven interfacial flows’

1: The viscous time-scale corresponding to the droplet length-scale is the most commonly used in literature.

The problem incorporates two natural time-scales, the capillary oscillation scale and the viscous dissipation scale, which are defined below :

$$T_\sigma = \left( \frac{\rho_l D^3}{\sigma} \right)^{1/2}, \quad T_\mu = \frac{\rho_l D^2}{\mu_l} \quad (5.1)$$

The ratio of these time-scales give us -

$$\frac{T_\mu}{T_\sigma} = \sqrt{\rho_l \sigma D} / \mu_l = \sqrt{La} \quad (5.2)$$

where  $La$  is the Laplace number based upon the heavier fluid. In the present study, we introduce the density-ratio  $\rho_l / \rho_g$  as another important parameter. In order to rescale our 'parasitic' velocity field, we define a velocity scale based on capillary oscillations as -

$$U_\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma / \rho_l D} \quad (5.3)$$


Additionally, the time-step in our numerical simulation must be smaller than the oscillation period corresponding to the grid wavenumber (fastest capillary wave with a time period  $\sim (\rho_l \Delta x^3 / \sigma)^{1/2}$ ) as a stability criterion<sup>2</sup>, as our surface tension model is explicit in time. For the scope of the present study, we shall not consider any viscosity contrast between the two fluids while varying the density-ratio, therefore  $\mu_l / \mu_g = 1$  for all the cases under study.

2: Similar criteria are defined on the basis of the viscous and advection operators as well, with the smallest amongst the three selecting the numerical time-step


## Decay of Spurious Currents

In figures 5.2 to 5.4, we illustrate the decay of the root-mean-square of the spurious currents as a function of time, in the case of four different density-ratios, with three different Laplace numbers for each ratio. The first figure (5.2) refers to simulations carried out without consistency between the momentum-mass transport (**M1**), the second (5.3) corresponds to that of the consistent but not conservative method (**M2**), and final one (5.4) refers to that of the consistent and conservative method (**M3**). The time is rescaled by the viscous dissipation scale, and the spurious currents by the capillary velocity scale. We have two main observations, the rapid decay of the rescaled spurious currents for all combinations of density-ratios and Laplace numbers within approximately  $0.2T_\mu$ , and the slower re-growth of the currents in question for combinations of non-unity density-ratios and large Laplace numbers, in all simulations except those carried out with **M3**. With method **M3**, the decayed currents keep hovering around levels of machine precision for remainder of time. Although there is a re-growth of the currents using the consistent method (**M2**) after  $0.2T_\mu$ , the behavior is not quite alarming as the rate of this re-growth is quite low. Therefore, out of all the methods tested, the consistent and conservative method (**M3**) does seem to demonstrate the


desired performance, especially when it comes to combinations of large density contrasts coupled with large Laplace numbers.



**Figure 5.2: M1** Decay of normalized spurious currents as a function of viscous dissipation time-scales for different density-ratios and Laplace numbers. The currents seem to initially decay quickly for all higher density-ratios, and relax to the numerical equilibrium curvature even within  $0.2 \cdot T_\mu$ . For combinations of large  $\rho_l/\rho_g$  and large  $La$ , the spurious currents seem to grow back to an order of magnitude ( $10^{-8}$ ) which is quite far from that of machine precision ( $10^{-14}$ ).



**Figure 5.3: M2** Decay of normalized spurious currents as a function of viscous dissipation time-scales for different density-ratios and Laplace numbers. The currents seem to initially decay quickly for all higher density-ratios, and relax to the numerical equilibrium curvature even within  $0.2 \cdot T_\mu$ . For combinations of large  $\rho_l/\rho_g$  and large  $La$ , the spurious currents seem to grow back to an order of magnitude ( $10^{-8}$ ) which is quite far from that of machine precision ( $10^{-14}$ ). No considerable improvement is observed with respect to **M1**.



**Figure 5.4: M3** Decay of normalized spurious currents as a function of viscous dissipation time-scales for different density-ratios and Laplace numbers. The currents seem to decay very quickly in the case of higher density-ratios, and relax to the numerical equilibrium curvature even within  $0.2 \cdot T_\mu$ . For all combinations of  $\rho_l/\rho_g$  and  $La$  numbers, the decayed spurious currents are not observed to grow back as in the cases of **M1** and **M2**, and hover around values close to machine precision ( $10^{-14}$ ).

## Spatial Convergence


Once the solution relaxes to a numerical equilibrium curvature (spurious currents are approximately at the order of machine precision), there still exists a difference between the numerical curvature and the exact analytical curvature corresponding to the spherical (circular) shape. We use the definitions of the shape errors as introduced in the seminal work of Popinet [5] to assess the convergence of our class of methods to the exact (analytical) curvature as we increase spatial resolution. The norms are defined as follows :

$$L_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i (C_i - C_i^{\text{exact}})^2}{\sum_i}} \quad , \quad L_\infty = \max_i (|C_i - C_i^{\text{exact}}|) \quad (5.4)$$

where  $C_i$  is the volume fraction of a cell after the solution has relaxed to the numerical equilibrium curvature, and  $C_i^{\text{exact}}$  is the volume fraction corresponding to the exact circular shape which was initialized at the start of the simulation.

Fig. 5.5 demonstrates the behavior of the shape errors defined in eqn. 5.4 for the case of the most stringent parameter combination ( $\rho_l/\rho_g = 1000$ ,  $La = 12000$ ) as a function of the droplet resolution. As one can clearly observe, all the methods tested display a roughly second-order convergence in space for both the error norms. In terms of the  $L_2$  norm, the consistent and conservative method (**M3**) does indeed achieve smaller errors as compared to both **M1** and **M2** for all spatial resolutions. As a

minor remark, there is not much to discern in terms of shape error when it comes to comparing the performances of the consistent (**M2**) method with the non-consistent one (**M1**).



**Figure 5.5:** Second-order spatial convergence for the spurious current error norms corresponding to the most stringent parameter combination ( $\rho_l/\rho_g = 1000$ ,  $La = 12000$ ). Both of the norms ( $L_\infty$  and  $L_2$ ) seem to demonstrate a roughly second order rate of spatial convergence with each of the methods tested. However, **M3** has a marginally lower  $L_2$  error compared to both **M1** and **M2** for all resolutions tested. There is negligible difference observed in the shape errors between **M1** and **M2** in both of the norm definitions.


## 5.2 Moving Droplet

An incisive numerical setup that enables us to evaluate the accuracy of the coupling between interfacial propagation and surface tension discretization was first proposed by Popinet [5], and subsequently employed in the comparative study of Abadie et al. [6]. The manner in which this test differs from that of the static droplet is the addition of a uniform background velocity field, therefore serving as a better representation of droplets in complex surface tension dominated flows where they might be advected by the mean flow. In terms of the Laplace equilibrium, the hydrostatic solution is still valid in the frame of reference of the moving droplet. The point at which the solution in the moving reference frame diverges from that of the static droplet (5.1) is through the continuous injection of noise at the scale of the grid size. This ‘numerical’ noise emanates from the perturbations to the curvature estimates, which are in turn induced by the interfacial reconstructions carried out to propagate the interface (temporal integration). These fluctuating errors act as source terms for the momentum, thereby transforming the problem into that of viscous dissipation in the presence of continuous forcing (in the reference frame of the moving drop).

### Setup

In the present study, we evaluate our class of methods using the advection of a droplet in a spatially periodic domain using an identical setup as [5], but with the important difference of including sharp density jumps across the interface as well as using lower spatial resolutions.

[6]: Abadie et al. (2015), ‘On the combined effects of surface tension force calculation and interface advection on spurious currents within Volume of Fluid and Level Set frameworks’



**Figure 5.6:** Schematic of the droplet of dense fluid advected in a surrounding medium of lighter fluid. A  $50 \times 50$  grid is employed to spatially discretize the domain, which is spatially periodic in the direction of droplet advection.

As previously discussed (5.1), high density-ratios tend to amplify the fluctuations induced by the myriad numerical approximations (interface reconstruction, curvature estimation etc) involved in the algorithm.

We consider a circular droplet of diameter  $D$  placed at the centre of a square domain of side  $L$ . The densities of the heavier and lighter phases are  $\rho_l$  and  $\rho_g$  respectively, likewise for the viscosities  $\mu_l$  and  $\mu_g$ , and  $\sigma$  being the surface tension coefficient (fig. 5.6). A uniform velocity field  $\mathbf{U}$  is initialized on the entire domain (only a horizontal component). The ratio of the droplet size to the box is  $D/L = 0.4$ , with  $D/\Delta x = 20$  ( $\Delta x$  being the grid size.<sup>3</sup>). As for boundary conditions, we use symmetry conditions on the top and bottom sides, and periodic boundary conditions on the horizontal direction (along which advection by  $U$  takes place). We characterize by problem by introducing the following adimensional parameters (based on the heavier fluid) :

$$La = \frac{\rho_l \sigma D}{\mu_l^2} \quad , \quad We = \frac{\rho_l U^2 D}{\sigma} \quad (5.5)$$

In addition to the capillary and viscous time-scales for the static case (eqns. 5.1), we have an additional scale defined as :


$$T_u = D/U \quad (5.6)$$

which is the time-scale of advection. In our subsequent analysis, we shall use  $T_u$  and  $U$  as the time and velocity scales, respectively.

3: In Popinet [5], a resolution of  $D/\Delta x = 25.6$  corresponding to a grid of  $64 \times 64$  is used




## Evolution of Spurious Currents



**Figure 5.7: M1** Time evolution of normalized spurious currents as a function of advection time-scales ( $T_u$ ) for different combinations of density-ratio and Laplace numbers. The currents seem to hover around  $10^{-3}$ , with a larger Laplace number corresponding to a higher error for all density-ratios.  $We = 0.4$  for all the cases presented.

Figures 5.7 to 5.9 depict the evolution of the root-mean-square (RMS) error of the velocity field in the moving frame of reference, as a function of different Laplace numbers, spanning over density-ratios separated by orders of magnitude. The first figure (5.7) refers to simulations carried out without consistency between the momentum-mass transport (**M1**), the second (5.8) corresponds to that of the consistent but not conservative method (**M2**), and final one (5.9) refers to that of the consistent and conservative method (**M3**). We again have a couple of important observations, the first being that spurious currents do not decay to machine precision as in static droplet case for all of the combinations and methods tested, instead they oscillate around a mean value of the order of  $0.1 - 0.01\%$  of the constant field  $U$ . The second observation is regarding the significantly smaller error (almost by one order of magnitude) in the case of the consistent and conservative method (**M3**) when compared to that of **M1** and **M2**. As a minor remark, in case of large Laplace numbers, the **M3** method displays a slight upward trend in the error evolution, which is not the case in either **M1** or **M2**. This is not too worrisome as the growth is over a time-scale much larger than  $T_u$ , with the oscillations corresponding to a time-scale of the order  $U/\Delta x$ . All of the plots in figures 5.7 to 5.9 correspond to  $We = 0.4$ , alongside an additional simplification of equal viscosities across the interface i.e  $\mu_l/\mu_g = 1$ .

As evidenced by the persistence of these spurious currents due to the



**Figure 5.8: M2** Time evolution of normalized spurious currents as a function of advection time-scales ( $T_u$ ) for different combinations of density-ratio and Laplace numbers. There seems to be no appreciable difference from the evolution seen in the case of **M1** (fig. 5.7). The currents seem to hover around  $10^{-3}$ , with a larger Laplace number corresponding to a higher error for all density-ratios.  $We = 0.4$  for all the cases presented.


addition of grid-level noise emanating from interfacial reconstructions, further advancements should be made with respect to the combined performance of the interfacial transport, curvature computation and the surface tension model. Nonetheless, all the methods tested do seem to be quite numerically stable when dealing with the high density-ratios, and are not subject to rapid uncontrollable amplifications of the interfacial perturbations even for high Laplace numbers.

## Spatial Convergence


In order to evaluate the performance of our class of methods at different resolutions, we define the errors as the maximum values of the norms  $L_\infty$  and  $L_2$  of the rescaled field  $U_{rms}/U$  over time (5 times  $T_u$ ). In fig. 5.10, we show the scaling of the error as a function of spatial resolution for the most stringent case of  $\rho_l/\rho_g = 1000$ ,  $La = 12000$ . The error seems to converge at somewhat less than first-order for the  $L_\infty$  norm, and slightly faster than a first-order rate for the  $L_2$  norm. This seems to be consistent with earlier studies ([5]) which were carried out for equal density fluids.

## Error Dependence : Laplace & Weber numbers

Fig. demonstrates the behavior of the error as a function of different  $We$  and  $La$  numbers, all carried out for the largest density-ratio ( $\rho_l/\rho_g$ ). The



**Figure 5.9: M2** Time evolution of normalized spurious currents as a function of advection time-scales ( $T_u$ ) for different combinations of density-ratio and Laplace numbers. In terms of the errors observed in **M1** and **M2**, we observe a decrease of roughly one order of magnitude. Although an upward trend is observed for large Laplace numbers, the growth rate is quite low. The currents seem to hover slightly above  $10^{-4}$ , with larger Laplace numbers corresponding to larger errors for all density-ratios.  $We = 0.4$  for all the cases presented.



**Figure 5.10:** First-order spatial convergence for the spurious current error norms in the moving frame of reference.  $\rho_l/\rho_g = 1000$ ,  $La = 12000$ ,  $We = 0.4$


error (both  $L_\infty$  and  $L_2$ ) scales as  $We^{-1/3}$ , which is marginally different from the  $We^{-1/2}$  scaling observed by Popinet [5] (although [5] had equal densities ( $\rho_l/\rho_g = 1$ )). In terms of Laplace numbers, the errors scale as  $La^{1/6}$ , which is the same as that observed in [5] (again, for equal densities).

### 5.3 Capillary Wave

One of fundamental features of immiscible multiphase flows involving interfaces are the presense and propagation of capillary waves. Therefore, a robust and accurate numerical method should not only be able to adequately resolve, but also accurately emulate the spatio-temporal evolution of such surface tension induced oscillations. A brief outline on the numerical implementations of capillary waves in existing literature is provided by Popinet in the comprehensive review [7] .

[7]: Popinet (2018), ‘Numerical models of surface tension’

#### Setup



**Figure 5.11:** Schematic of the initially perturbed planar interface separating two immiscible fluids of different densities and viscosities. A spatial resolution of  $32 \times 96$  is used for spatial discretization (compared to  $64 \times 192$  in Popinet [5]), with the width of the box corresponding to the size of the perturbed wavelength.

Ideally, we would like to evaluate the accuracy of our method compared to the analytical solution of damped capillary oscillations. Analytical solutions exist for the case of extremely small initial perturbations, either in the inviscid limit (Lamb [8] ) or the asymptotic limit of vanishing viscosity (Prosperetti [9, 10] ). In the present study, we use the configuration of the viscosity-damped capillary oscillations of a planar interface, as was first implemented and popularized by Popinet & Zaleski [3].

We consider a rectangular domain of dimensions  $L \times 3L$ , where  $L$  corresponds to the wavelength of our initial perturbation. The densities of the heavier and lighter phases are  $\rho_l$  and  $\rho_g$  respectively, likewise for the viscosities  $\mu_l$  and  $\mu_g$ , and  $\sigma$  being the surface tension coefficient (fig. 5.11). An initial perturbation amplitude of  $L/100$  is used, coupled with a numerical resolution given by  $L/\Delta x = 32$  ( $\Delta x$  being the grid size). Symmetry conditions are applied on the top and bottom sides, with periodic conditions along the horizontal direction. We use the following adimensional parameters to characterize our problem :

[8]: Lamb (1993), *Hydrodynamics*

[9]: Prosperetti (1980), ‘Free oscillations of drops and bubbles: the initial-value problem’

[10]: Prosperetti (1981), ‘Motion of two superposed viscous fluids’

$$T_0 = T\omega_0 \quad , \quad La = \frac{\rho_l \sigma L}{\mu_l^2} \quad (5.7)$$

where  $La$  is the Laplace number based on the heavier fluid, and  $\omega_0$  is defined using the dispersion relation [5] given as :

$$\omega_0^2 = \frac{\sigma k^3}{2\rho_l} \quad , \quad \text{where} \quad k = \frac{2\pi}{L} \quad (5.8)$$

The dispersion relation is obtained via linear stability analysis at the inviscid limit [8]. In order to evaluate the influence of density-ratio on the performance of our method, we use 3 different numerical setups keeping the same Laplace number ( $La = 3000$ ) as follows :

- ▶  $\rho_l/\rho_g = 1$  ,  $\mu_l/\mu_g = 1$  (Popinet [5])
- ▶  $\rho_l/\rho_g = 10$  ,  $\mu_l/\mu_g = 1$
- ▶  $\rho_l/\rho_g = 1000.0/1.2$  ,  $\mu_l/\mu_g = 1.003 \cdot 10^{-3}/1.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$  (Air-Water)

## Comparison with Prosperetti Solution

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## Spatial Convergence

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## 5.4 Falling Raindrop

A flow configuration that combines the complexities of high density-ratios with the interaction between capillary, viscous and inertial stresses is that of a water droplet falling in air under the influence of gravitational acceleration.

## Setup

The problem is characterized by a combination of Reynolds, Weber and Bond numbers, the definitions of which are as follows :

$$We = \frac{\rho_g U^2 d}{\sigma} \quad , \quad Re = \frac{\rho_g U d}{\mu_g} \quad , \quad Bo = \frac{(\rho_l - \rho_g) g d^2}{\sigma} \quad (5.9)$$

where the subscripts  $l$  and  $g$  refer to the liquid phase (water) and the gas phase (air), respectively. In our particular numerical setup,  $We \simeq 3.2$ ,  $Re \simeq 1455$  and  $Bo \simeq 1.2$ , thus corresponding to that of a  $3mm$  diameter raindrop (a relatively large one) falling in air at an approximate terminal velocity of 8 m/s (interpolated from empirical data, refer to [11]). The parameters in the problem setup are given in Table 5.1, and the schematic diagram given by Fig. 5.12. The droplet is initially placed at the center of a cubic domain (3D), whose side is 4 times the diameter of the drop.


**Table 5.1:** Parameter values used in the simulation of a falling water droplet in air.

$\rho_g$ ( $kg/m^3$ )	$\rho_l$ ( $kg/m^3$ )	$\mu_g$ ( $Pa \cdot s$ )	$\mu_l$ ( $Pa \cdot s$ )	$\sigma$ ( $N/m$ )	$d$ ( $m$ )	$g$ ( $m/s^2$ )
1.2	$0.9982 \times 10^3$	$1.98 \times 10^{-5}$	$8.9 \times 10^{-4}$	0.0728	$3 \times 10^{-3}$	9.81

In order to properly reproduce and analyse the dynamics of a relatively large drop (high Reynolds flow) such as in our case, the numerical method has to accurately resolve the thin boundary layers, the interaction of such layers with the capillary forces and finally the non-linear feedback of the complex 3D vortical structures present in the wake behind the droplet. Therefore, our objective behind the demonstration of this particular test case is not to develop a high fidelity model of a raindrop, but rather carry out a stringent evaluation of the robustness of our numerical method compared to the standard version of our solver which is not momentum consistent. For such a low Weber number the capillary forces dominate and the droplet should remain intact, and definitely not undergo any subsequent atomization.

## Temporal Evolution : Kinetic Energy, Mass, Moment of Inertia

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.



**Figure 5.12:** A 2D schematic of the numerical setup for the falling raindrop. We apply a uniform inflow velocity condition with  $U_0(t)$  and an outflow velocity condition at the top which corresponds to zero gradient of the velocity at the boundary. Boundary conditions on the side walls correspond to those of free slip (no shear stress).

### Convergence of Velocity & Acceleration

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

# PHYSICS OF FRAGMENTATION



## 6.1 Mechanism of Drop Formation

6.1 Mechanism of Drop Formation . . . . .	25
6.2 Theories of Fragmentation . . . . .	26

**Disintegration of Jets & Shear Layers** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Expansion of Sheets** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Effervescent Atomization** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Drop Impacts** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## 6.2 Theories of Fragmentation

**Cascade Mechanism : Log-Normal Distribution** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Corrugation-Coalescence Mechanism : Gamma Distribution** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

# Droplet Generation in Corrugated Ligaments

# 7

## 7.1 Numerical Setup

7.1 Numerical Setup . . . . . 27

7.2 Ligament Breakup . . . . . 28

**Platform : Basilisk** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Computational Schematic** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Random Surface Generation** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Parameterization** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## 7.2 Ligament Breakup

**3D vs. 2D Simulations** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Effect of Spatial Resolution** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Effect of Droplet Removal** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Effect of Corrugation Amplitude** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Effect of Ohnesorge Number** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet

and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Effect of Cut-Off Wavenumber** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Effect of Aspect Ratio** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Quantization of Waves** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## 8.1 Monte Carlo Approach to DNS

**Characterization of Ligament Ensembles** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

8.1 Monte Carlo Approach to DNS	30
8.2 Millimeter Scale Ensembles	30
8.3 Exploration of Parameter Space	31
$\Phi$ .....	31

## 8.2 Millimeter Scale Ensembles

**Diameter Distributions** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Mass Distributions** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Equivalent Diameters** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet

and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Local Distribution of Large Drop Sizes** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

### 8.3 Exploration of Parameter Space $\Phi$

**Bifurcation Parameter : Corrugation Amplitude** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Scaling of  $D/W$  : Function of Parameter Space** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**To be added** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## CONCLUSIONS & PERSPECTIVES



Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

This is the second paragraph. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

And after the second paragraph follows the third paragraph. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

After this fourth paragraph, we start a new paragraph sequence. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

# APPENDIX



---

## Heading on Level 0 (chapter)

---

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

### A.1 Heading on Level 1 (section)

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

### Heading on Level 2 (subsection)

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

### Heading on Level 3 (subsubsection)

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift –

not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Heading on Level 4 (paragraph)** Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

## A.2 Lists

### Example for list (itemize)

- ▶ First item in a list
- ▶ Second item in a list
- ▶ Third item in a list
- ▶ Fourth item in a list
- ▶ Fifth item in a list

### Example for list (4\*itemize)

- ▶ First item in a list
  - First item in a list
    - \* First item in a list
      - First item in a list
      - Second item in a list
    - \* Second item in a list
  - Second item in a list
- ▶ Second item in a list

### Example for list (enumerate)

1. First item in a list
2. Second item in a list
3. Third item in a list
4. Fourth item in a list
5. Fifth item in a list

**Example for list (4\*enumerate)**

1. First item in a list
  - a) First item in a list
    - i. First item in a list
      - A. First item in a list
      - B. Second item in a list
    - ii. Second item in a list
  - b) Second item in a list
2. Second item in a list

**Example for list (description)**

**First** item in a list  
**Second** item in a list  
**Third** item in a list  
**Fourth** item in a list  
**Fifth** item in a list

**Example for list (4\*description)**

**First** item in a list

- First** item in a list
  - First** item in a list
    - First** item in a list
    - Second** item in a list
  - Second** item in a list
- Second** item in a list

**Second** item in a list

# Bibliography

Here are the references in citation order.

- [1] Bruno Lafaurie et al. 'Modelling merging and fragmentation in multiphase flows with SURFER'. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 113.1 (1994), pp. 134–147 (cited on page 10).
- [2] Dalton JE Harvie, MR Davidson, and Murray Rudman. 'An analysis of parasitic current generation in volume of fluid simulations'. In: *Applied mathematical modelling* 30.10 (2006), pp. 1056–1066 (cited on page 10).
- [3] Stéphane Popinet and Stéphane Zaleski. 'A front-tracking algorithm for accurate representation of surface tension'. In: *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids* 30.6 (1999), pp. 775–793 (cited on pages 10, 20).
- [4] Marianne M Francois et al. 'A balanced-force algorithm for continuous and sharp interfacial surface tension models within a volume tracking framework'. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 213.1 (2006), pp. 141–173 (cited on page 10).
- [5] Stéphane Popinet. 'An accurate adaptive solver for surface-tension-driven interfacial flows'. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 228.16 (2009), pp. 5838–5866 (cited on pages 10, 11, 14–16, 18–21).
- [6] Thomas Abadie, Joelle Aubin, and Dominique Legendre. 'On the combined effects of surface tension force calculation and interface advection on spurious currents within Volume of Fluid and Level Set frameworks'. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 297 (2015), pp. 611–636 (cited on page 15).
- [7] Stéphane Popinet. 'Numerical models of surface tension'. In: *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* 50 (2018), pp. 49–75 (cited on page 20).
- [8] Horace Lamb. *Hydrodynamics*. Cambridge university press, 1993 (cited on pages 20, 21).
- [9] Andrea Prosperetti. 'Free oscillations of drops and bubbles: the initial-value problem'. In: *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 100.2 (1980), pp. 333–347 (cited on page 20).
- [10] Andrea Prosperetti. 'Motion of two superposed viscous fluids'. In: *The Physics of Fluids* 24.7 (1981), pp. 1217–1223 (cited on page 20).
- [11] Ross Gunn and Gilbert D Kinzer. 'The terminal velocity of fall for water droplets in stagnant air'. In: *Journal of Meteorology* 6.4 (1949), pp. 243–248 (cited on page 22).

# Notation

The next list describes several symbols that will be later used within the body of the document.

$c$  Speed of light in a vacuum inertial frame

$h$  Planck constant

## Greek Letters with Pronunciation

Character	Name	Character	Name
$\alpha$	alpha <i>AL-fuh</i>	$\nu$	nu <i>NEW</i>
$\beta$	beta <i>BAY-tuh</i>	$\xi, \Xi$	xi <i>KSIGH</i>
$\gamma, \Gamma$	gamma <i>GAM-muh</i>	$\omicron$	omicron <i>OM-uh-CRON</i>
$\delta, \Delta$	delta <i>DEL-tuh</i>	$\pi, \Pi$	pi <i>PIE</i>
$\epsilon$	epsilon <i>EP-suh-lon</i>	$\rho$	rho <i>ROW</i>
$\zeta$	zeta <i>ZAY-tuh</i>	$\sigma, \Sigma$	sigma <i>SIG-muh</i>
$\eta$	eta <i>AY-tuh</i>	$\tau$	tau <i>TOW (as in cow)</i>
$\theta, \Theta$	theta <i>THAY-tuh</i>	$\upsilon, \Upsilon$	upsilon <i>OOP-suh-LON</i>
$\iota$	iota <i>eye-OH-tuh</i>	$\phi, \Phi$	phi <i>FEE, or FI (as in hi)</i>
$\kappa$	kappa <i>KAP-uh</i>	$\chi$	chi <i>KI (as in hi)</i>
$\lambda, \Lambda$	lambda <i>LAM-duh</i>	$\psi, \Psi$	psi <i>SIGH, or PSIGH</i>
$\mu$	mu <i>MEW</i>	$\omega, \Omega$	omega <i>oh-MAY-guh</i>

Capitals shown are the ones that differ from Roman capitals.