



# Network Layer: Data Plane

# Network layer: our goals


- understand principles behind network layer services, focusing on data plane:
  - network layer service models
  - forwarding versus routing
  - how a router works
  - addressing
  - generalized forwarding
  - Internet architecture
- instantiation, implementation on the Internet
  - IP protocol
  - NAT, middleboxes

# Network layer: “data plane” roadmap

- Network layer: overview
  - data plane
  - control plane
- What’s inside a router
  - input ports, switching, output ports
  - buffer management, scheduling
- IP: the Internet Protocol
  - datagram format
  - addressing
  - network address translation
  - IPv6
- Generalized Forwarding, SDN
  - Match+action
  - OpenFlow: match+action in action
- Middleboxes

# Network-layer services and protocols

- transport segment from sending to receiving host
  - **sender**: encapsulates segments into datagrams, passes to link layer
  - **receiver**: delivers segments to transport layer protocol
- network layer protocols in *every Internet device*: hosts, routers
- **routers**:
  - examines header fields in all IP datagrams passing through it
  - moves datagrams from input ports to output ports to transfer datagrams along end-end path



# Two key network-layer functions

## network-layer functions:

- *forwarding*: move packets from a router's input link to appropriate router output link
- *routing*: determine route taken by packets from source to destination
  - *routing algorithms*

## analogy: taking a trip

- *forwarding*: process of getting through single interchange
- *routing*: process of planning trip from source to destination



forwarding




routing

# Network layer: data plane, control plane

## Data plane:

- *local*, per-router function
- determines how datagram arriving on router input port is forwarded to router output port




## Control plane

- *network-wide* logic
- determines how datagram is routed among routers along end-end path from source host to destination host
- two control-plane approaches:
  - *traditional routing algorithms*: implemented in routers
  - *software-defined networking (SDN)*: implemented in (remote) servers


# Per-router control plane

Individual routing algorithm components *in each and every router* interact in the control plane



# Software-Defined Networking (SDN) control plane

Remote controller computes, installs forwarding tables in routers





# Network service model

*Q:* What *service model* for “channel” transporting datagrams from sender to receiver?

example services for  
*individual* datagrams:

- guaranteed delivery
- guaranteed delivery with less than 40 msec delay

example services for a *flow* of  
datagrams:

- in-order datagram delivery
- guaranteed minimum bandwidth to flow
- restrictions on changes in inter-packet spacing

# Network-layer service model

| Network Architecture | Service Model | Quality of Service (QoS) Guarantees ? |      |       |        |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|------|-------|--------|
|                      |               | Bandwidth                             | Loss | Order | Timing |
| Internet             | best effort   | none                                  | no   | no    | no     |

Internet “best effort” service model

**No** guarantees on:

- i. successful datagram delivery to destination
- ii. timing or order of delivery
- iii. bandwidth available to end-end flow

# Network-layer service model

| Network Architecture | Service Model                 | Quality of Service (QoS) Guarantees ? |          |          |        |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------|
|                      |                               | Bandwidth                             | Loss     | Order    | Timing |
| Internet             | best effort                   | none                                  | no       | no       | no     |
| ATM                  | Constant Bit Rate             | Constant rate                         | yes      | yes      | yes    |
| ATM                  | Available Bit Rate            | Guaranteed min                        | no       | yes      | no     |
| Internet             | Intserv Guaranteed (RFC 1633) | yes                                   | yes      | yes      | yes    |
| Internet             | Diffserv (RFC 2475)           | possible                              | possibly | possibly | no     |

# Reflections on best-effort service:

- **simplicity of mechanism** has allowed Internet to be widely deployed adopted
- sufficient **provisioning of bandwidth** allows performance of real-time applications (e.g., interactive voice, video) to be “good enough” for “most of the time”
- **replicated, application-layer distributed services** (datacenters, content distribution networks) connecting close to clients’ networks, allow services to be provided from multiple locations
- congestion control of “elastic” services helps


*It's hard to argue with success of best-effort service model*

# Network layer: “data plane” roadmap


- Network layer: overview
  - data plane
  - control plane
- What’s inside a router
  - input ports, switching, output ports
  - buffer management, scheduling
- IP: the Internet Protocol
  - datagram format
  - addressing
  - network address translation
  - IPv6
- Generalized Forwarding, SDN
  - Match+action
  - OpenFlow: match+action in action
- Middleboxes

# Router architecture overview


high-level view of generic router architecture:



# Input port functions



# Input port functions





# Destination-based forwarding

| <i>forwarding table</i>   |                |
|---|----------------|
| Destination Address Range   | Link Interface |
| 11001000 00010111 00010000 00000000<br>through<br>11001000 00010111 00010000 00000100 | 0              |
| 11001000 00010111 00010000 00000111   |                |
| 11001000 00010111 00011000 11111111   |                |
| 11001000 00010111 00011001 00000000<br>through<br>11001000 00010111 00011111 11111111 | 2              |
| otherwise   | 3              |

**Q:** but what happens if ranges don't divide up so nicely?

# Longest prefix matching

## longest prefix match

when looking for forwarding table entry for given destination address, use *longest* address prefix that matches destination address.

| Destination Address Range                 | Link interface |
|---|----------------|
| 11001000    00010111    00010***    ***** | 0              |
| 11001000    00010111    00011000    ***** | 1              |
| 11001000    00010111    00011***    ***** | 2              |
| otherwise                                 | 3              |

examples:

|          |          |          |          |                  |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------|
| 11001000 | 00010111 | 00010110 | 10100001 | which interface? |
| 11001000 | 00010111 | 00011000 | 10101010 | which interface? |

# Longest prefix matching

## longest prefix match

when looking for forwarding table entry for given destination address, use *longest* address prefix that matches destination address.

| Destination Address Range |          |          |       | Link interface |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|-------|----------------|
| 11001000                  | 00010111 | 00010*** | ***** | 0              |
| 11001000                  | 00010111 | 00011000 | ***** | 1              |
| 11001000                  | 1        | 00011*** | ***** | 2              |
| otherwise                 |          |          |       | 3              |

match!

examples:

|          |          |          |          |                  |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------|
| 11001000 | 00010111 | 00010110 | 10100001 | which interface? |
| 11001000 | 00010111 | 00011000 | 10101010 | which interface? |

# Longest prefix matching

## longest prefix match

when looking for forwarding table entry for given destination address, use *longest* address prefix that matches destination address.

| Destination Address Range |          |          |       | Link interface |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|-------|----------------|
| 11001000                  | 00010111 | 00010*** | ***** | 0              |
| 11001000                  | 00010111 | 00011000 | ***** | 1              |
| 11001000                  | 00010111 | 00011*** | ***** | 2              |
| otherwise                 |          |          |       | 3              |

match!

examples:

|          |          |          |          |                  |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------|
| 11001000 | 00010111 | 00010110 | 10100001 | which interface? |
| 11001000 | 00010111 | 00011000 | 10101010 | which interface? |

# Longest prefix matching

## longest prefix match

when looking for forwarding table entry for given destination address, use *longest* address prefix that matches destination address.

| Destination Address Range |          |          |       | Link interface |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|-------|----------------|
| 11001000                  | 00010111 | 00010*** | ***** | 0              |
| 11001000                  | 00010111 | 00011000 | ***** | 1              |
| 11001000                  | 00010111 | 00011*** | ***** | 2              |
| otherwise                 |          |          |       | 3              |

match!

examples:


|          |          |          |          |                  |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------|
| 11001000 | 00010111 | 00010110 | 10100001 | which interface? |
| 11001000 | 00010111 | 00011000 | 10101010 | which interface? |

# Longest prefix matching

- we'll see *why* longest prefix matching is used shortly, when we study addressing
- longest prefix matching: often performed using ternary content addressable memories (TCAMs)
  - *content addressable*: present address to TCAM: retrieve address in one clock cycle, regardless of table size
  - Cisco Catalyst: ~1M routing table entries in TCAM




# Switching fabrics

- transfer packet from input link to appropriate output link
- **switching rate**: rate at which packets can be transfer from inputs to outputs
  - often measured as multiple of input/output line rate
  - N inputs: switching rate N times line rate desirable



# Switching fabrics

- transfer packet from input link to appropriate output link
- **switching rate**: rate at which packets can be transfer from inputs to outputs
  - often measured as multiple of input/output line rate
  - N inputs: switching rate N times line rate desirable
- three major types of switching fabrics:






# Switching via memory


## first generation routers:

- traditional computers with switching under direct control of CPU
- packet copied to system's memory
- speed limited by memory bandwidth (2 bus crossings per datagram)





# Switching via a bus

- datagram from input port memory to output port memory via a shared bus
- *bus contention*: switching speed limited by bus bandwidth
- 32 Gbps bus, Cisco 5600: sufficient speed for access routers




# Switching via interconnection network

- Crossbar, Clos networks, other interconnection nets initially developed to connect processors in multiprocessor
- **multistage switch**:  $n \times n$  switch from multiple stages of smaller switches
- **exploiting parallelism**:
  - fragment datagram into fixed length cells on entry
  - switch cells through the fabric, reassemble datagram at exit




# Switching via interconnection network

- scaling, using multiple switching “planes” in parallel:
  - speedup, scaleup via parallelism
- Cisco CRS router:
  - basic unit: 8 switching planes
  - each plane: 3-stage interconnection network
  - up to 100's Tbps switching capacity




# Input port queuing

- If switch fabric slower than input ports combined -> queueing may occur at input queues
  - queueing delay and loss due to input buffer overflow!
- **Head-of-the-Line (HOL) blocking:** queued datagram at front of queue prevents others in queue from moving forward




output port contention: only one red datagram can be transferred. lower red packet is *blocked*



one packet time later: green packet experiences HOL blocking

# Output port queuing



This is a really important slide

- **Buffering** required when datagrams arrive from fabric faster than link transmission rate. **Drop policy:** which datagrams to drop if no free buffers?




Datagrams can be lost due to congestion, lack of buffers

- **Scheduling discipline** chooses among queued datagrams for transmission



Priority scheduling – who gets best performance, network neutrality

# Output port queuing



- buffering when arrival rate via switch exceeds output line speed
- *queueing (delay) and loss due to output port buffer overflow!*

# How much buffering?


- RFC 3439 rule of thumb: average buffering equal to “typical” RTT (say 250 msec) times link capacity  $C$ 
  - e.g.,  $C = 10$  Gbps link: 2.5 Gbit buffer
- more recent recommendation: with  $N$  flows, buffering equal to

$$\frac{RTT \cdot C}{\sqrt{N}}$$


- but *too* much buffering can increase delays (particularly in home routers)
  - long RTTs: poor performance for realtime apps, sluggish TCP response
  - recall delay-based congestion control: “keep bottleneck link just full enough (busy) but no fuller”



# Buffer Management



## Abstraction: queue



## buffer management:

- **drop**: which packet to add, drop when buffers are full
  - **tail drop**: drop arriving packet
  - **priority**: drop/remove on priority basis
- **marking**: which packets to mark to signal congestion (ECN, RED)

# Packet Scheduling: FCFS


**packet scheduling:** deciding which packet to send next on link

- first come, first served
- priority
- round robin
- weighted fair queueing

**FCFS:** packets transmitted in order of arrival to output port

- also known as: First-in-first-out (FIFO)
- real world examples?


Abstraction: queue



# Scheduling policies: priority

## *Priority scheduling:*


- arriving traffic classified, queued by class
  - any header fields can be used for classification
- send packet from highest priority queue that has buffered packets
  - FCFS within priority class



# Scheduling policies: round robin

## *Round Robin (RR) scheduling:*

- arriving traffic classified, queued by class
  - any header fields can be used for classification
- server cyclically, repeatedly scans class queues, sending one complete packet from each class (if available) in turn




# Scheduling policies: weighted fair queueing

## *Weighted Fair Queuing (WFQ):*

- generalized Round Robin
- each class,  $i$ , has weight,  $w_i$ , and gets weighted amount of service in each cycle:

$$\frac{w_i}{\sum_j w_j}$$

- minimum bandwidth guarantee (per-traffic-class)



## Sidebar: Network Neutrality

What is network neutrality?

- *technical*: how an ISP should share/allocation its resources
  - packet scheduling, buffer management are the *mechanisms*
- *social, economic* principles
  - protecting free speech
  - encouraging innovation, competition
- enforced *legal* rules and policies

*Different countries have different “takes” on network neutrality*

## Sidebar: Network Neutrality

2015 US FCC *Order on Protecting and Promoting an Open Internet*: three “clear, bright line” rules:

- **no blocking** ... “shall not block lawful content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices, subject to reasonable network management.”
- **no throttling** ... “shall not impair or degrade lawful Internet traffic on the basis of Internet content, application, or service, or use of a non-harmful device, subject to reasonable network management.”
- **no paid prioritization.** ... “shall not engage in paid prioritization”

# ISP: telecommunications or information service?

Is an ISP a “telecommunications service” or an “information service” provider?

- the answer *really* matters from a regulatory standpoint!

US Telecommunication Act of 1934 and 1996:

- *Title II*: imposes “common carrier duties” on *telecommunications services*: reasonable rates, non-discrimination and *requires regulation*
- *Title I*: applies to *information services*:
  - no common carrier duties (*not regulated*)
  - but grants FCC authority “... as may be necessary in the execution of its functions”<sub>4</sub>




# Network layer: “data plane” roadmap


- Network layer: overview
  - data plane
  - control plane
- What’s inside a router
  - input ports, switching, output ports
  - buffer management, scheduling
- IP: the Internet Protocol
  - datagram format
  - addressing
  - network address translation
  - IPv6
- Generalized Forwarding, SDN
  - match+action
  - OpenFlow: match+action in action
- Middleboxes

# Network Layer: Internet

host, router network layer functions:




# IP Datagram format



# IP addressing: introduction

- **IP address:** 32-bit identifier associated with each host or router *interface*
- **interface:** connection between host/router and physical link
  - router's typically have multiple interfaces
  - host typically has one or two interfaces (e.g., wired Ethernet, wireless 802.11)




dotted-decimal IP address notation:

223.1.1.1 =  $\underbrace{11011111}_{223} \underbrace{00000001}_{1} \underbrace{00000001}_{1} \underbrace{00000001}_{1}$

Network Layer: 4-44

# IP addressing: introduction

- **IP address:** 32-bit identifier associated with each host or router *interface*
- **interface:** connection between host/router and physical link
  - router's typically have multiple interfaces
  - host typically has one or two interfaces (e.g., wired Ethernet, wireless 802.11)



dotted-decimal IP address notation:

223.1.1.1 = 11011111 00000001 00000001 00000001

223                      1                      1                      1

Network Layer: 4-45


# IP addressing: introduction

**Q:** how are interfaces  
actually connected?

**A:** we'll learn about that  
in Next chapters

*For now:* don't need to worry  
about how one interface is  
connected to another (with no  
intervening router)

**A:** wired  
Ethernet interfaces  
connected by  
Ethernet switches



**A:** wireless WiFi interfaces  
connected by WiFi base station


# Subnets

## ■ *What's a subnet ?*

- device interfaces that can physically reach each other **without passing through an intervening router**

## ■ IP addresses have structure:

- **subnet part:** devices in same subnet have common high order bits
- **host part: remaining** low order bits




network consisting of 3 subnets

# Subnets

## *Recipe for defining subnets:*

- detach each interface from its host or router, creating “islands” of isolated networks
- each isolated network is called a *subnet*




subnet mask: /24

(high-order 24 bits: subnet part of IP address)



# Subnets


- where are the subnets?
- what are the /24 subnet addresses?



# IP addressing: CIDR

**CIDR: Classless InterDomain Routing** (pronounced “cider”)

- subnet portion of address of arbitrary length
- address format: **a.b.c.d/x**, where x is # bits in subnet portion of address



# IP addresses: how to get one?

That's actually **two** questions:

1. Q: How does a *host* get IP address within its network (host part of address)?
2. Q: How does a *network* get IP address for itself (network part of address)?

How does *host* get IP address?

- hard-coded by sysadmin in config file (e.g., /etc/rc.config in UNIX)
- **DHCP**: **D**ynamic **H**ost **C**onfiguration **P**rotocol: dynamically get address from as server
  - “plug-and-play”

# DHCP: Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol


**goal:** host *dynamically* obtains IP address from network server when it “joins” network

- can renew its lease on address in use
- allows reuse of addresses (only hold address while connected/on)
- support for mobile users who join/leave network

## DHCP overview:

- host broadcasts **DHCP discover** msg [optional]
- DHCP server responds with **DHCP offer** msg [optional]
- host requests IP address: **DHCP request** msg
- DHCP server sends address: **DHCP ack** msg

# DHCP client-server scenario




Typically, DHCP server will be co-located in router, serving all subnets to which router is attached



arriving **DHCP client** needs address in this network

# DHCP client-server scenario




## DHCP: more than IP addresses

DHCP can return more than just allocated IP address on subnet:

- address of first-hop router for client
- name and IP address of DNS sever
- network mask (indicating network versus host portion of address)


# DHCP: example



- Connecting laptop will use DHCP to get IP address, address of first-hop router, address of DNS server.
- DHCP REQUEST message encapsulated in UDP, encapsulated in IP, encapsulated in Ethernet
- Ethernet frame broadcast (dest: FFFFFFFFFF) on LAN, received at router running DHCP server
- Ethernet demux'ed to IP demux'ed, UDP demux'ed to DHCP



# DHCP: example



- DCP server formulates DHCP ACK containing client's IP address, IP address of first-hop router for client, name & IP address of DNS server
- encapsulated DHCP server reply forwarded to client, demuxing up to DHCP at client
- client now knows its IP address, name and IP address of DNS server, IP address of its first-hop router

# IP addresses: how to get one?

**Q:** how does *network* get subnet part of IP address?

**A:** gets allocated portion of its provider ISP's address space


ISP's block      11001000 00010111 00010000 00000000    200.23.16.0/20

ISP can then allocate out its address space in 8 blocks:

|                |                                   |          |                |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| Organization 0 | <u>11001000 00010111 00010000</u> | 00000000 | 200.23.16.0/23 |
| Organization 1 | <u>11001000 00010111 00010010</u> | 00000000 | 200.23.18.0/23 |
| Organization 2 | <u>11001000 00010111 00010100</u> | 00000000 | 200.23.20.0/23 |
| ...            | .....                             | ....     | ....           |
| Organization 7 | <u>11001000 00010111 00011110</u> | 00000000 | 200.23.30.0/23 |


# Hierarchical addressing: route aggregation

hierarchical addressing allows efficient advertisement of routing information:




# Hierarchical addressing: more specific routes

- Organization 1 moves from Fly-By-Night-ISP to ISPs-R-Us
- ISPs-R-Us now advertises a more specific route to Organization 1



# Hierarchical addressing: more specific routes

- Organization 1 moves from Fly-By-Night-ISP to ISPs-R-Us
- ISPs-R-Us now advertises a more specific route to Organization 1



# IP addressing: last words ...

**Q:** how does an ISP get block of addresses?

**A:** ICANN: Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers  
<http://www.icann.org/>

- allocates IP addresses, through 5 regional registries (RRs) (who may then allocate to local registries)
- manages DNS root zone, including delegation of individual TLD (.com, .edu , ...) management

**Q:** are there enough 32-bit IP addresses?

- ICANN allocated last chunk of IPv4 addresses to RRs in 2011
- NAT (next) helps IPv4 address space exhaustion
- IPv6 has 128-bit address space


"Who the hell knew how much address space we needed?" Vint Cerf (reflecting on decision to make IPv4 address 32 bits long)

# Network layer: “data plane” roadmap

- Network layer: overview
  - data plane
  - control plane
- What’s inside a router
  - input ports, switching, output ports
  - buffer management, scheduling
- IP: the Internet Protocol
  - datagram format
  - addressing
  - network address translation
  - IPv6
- Generalized Forwarding, SDN
  - match+action
  - OpenFlow: match+action in action
- Middleboxes

# NAT: network address translation

**NAT:** all devices in local network share just **one** IPv4 address as far as outside world is concerned



*all* datagrams *leaving* local network have *same* source NAT IP address: 138.76.29.7, but *different* source port numbers

datagrams with source or destination in this network have 10.0.0/24 address for source, destination (as usual)



# NAT: network address translation

- all devices in local network have 32-bit addresses in a “private” IP address space (10/8, 172.16/12, 192.168/16 prefixes) that can only be used in local network
- advantages:
  - just **one** IP address needed from provider ISP for *all* devices
  - can change addresses of host in local network without notifying outside world
  - can change ISP without changing addresses of devices in local network
  - security: devices inside local net not directly addressable, visible by outside world

# NAT: network address translation


**implementation:** NAT router must (transparently):

- **outgoing datagrams: replace** (source IP address, port #) of every outgoing datagram to (NAT IP address, new port #)
  - remote clients/servers will respond using (NAT IP address, new port #) as destination address
- **remember (in NAT translation table)** every (source IP address, port #) to (NAT IP address, new port #) translation pair
- **incoming datagrams: replace** (NAT IP address, new port #) in destination fields of every incoming datagram with corresponding (source IP address, port #) stored in NAT table

# NAT: network address translation

**2:** NAT router changes datagram source address from 10.0.0.1, 3345 to 138.76.29.7, 5001, updates table

**1:** host 10.0.0.1 sends datagram to 128.119.40.186, 80



# NAT: network address translation

- NAT has been controversial:
  - routers “should” only process up to layer 3
  - address “shortage” should be solved by IPv6
  - violates end-to-end argument (port # manipulation by network-layer device)
  - NAT traversal: what if client wants to connect to server behind NAT?
- but NAT is here to stay:
  - extensively used in home and institutional nets, 4G/5G cellular nets


# IPv6: motivation

- **initial motivation:** 32-bit IPv4 address space would be completely allocated
- additional motivation:
  - speed processing/forwarding: 40-byte fixed length header
  - enable different network-layer treatment of “flows”

# IPv6 datagram format

**priority:** identify priority among datagrams in flow

**128-bit** IPv6 addresses




**flow label:** identify datagrams in same "flow." (concept of "flow" not well defined).

What's missing (compared with IPv4):

- no checksum (to speed processing at routers)
- no fragmentation/reassembly
- no options (available as upper-layer, next-header protocol at router)


# Transition from IPv4 to IPv6

- not all routers can be upgraded simultaneously
  - no “flag days”
  - how will network operate with mixed IPv4 and IPv6 routers?
- **tunneling**: IPv6 datagram carried as *payload* in IPv4 datagram among IPv4 routers (“packet within a packet”)
  - tunneling used extensively in other contexts (4G/5G)




# Tunneling and encapsulation

Ethernet connecting two IPv6 routers:




IPv4 network connecting two IPv6 routers






# Tunneling and encapsulation


Ethernet connecting two IPv6 routers:



IPv4 tunnel connecting two IPv6 routers



# Tunneling




# IPv6: adoption

- Google<sup>1</sup>: ~ 30% of clients access services via IPv6
- NIST: 1/3 of all US government domains are IPv6 capable

## IPv6 Adoption

We are continuously measuring the availability of IPv6 connectivity among Google users. The graph shows the percentage of users that access Google over IPv6.



1

<https://www.google.com/intl/en/ipv6/statistics.html>

# IPv6: adoption

- Google<sup>1</sup>: ~ 30% of clients access services via IPv6
- NIST: 1/3 of all US government domains are IPv6 capable
- Long (long!) time for deployment, use
  - 25 years and counting!
  - think of application-level changes in last 25 years: WWW, social media, streaming media, gaming, telepresence, ...
  - *Why?*

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.google.com/intl/en/ipv6/statistics.html>


# Network layer: “data plane” roadmap

- Network layer: overview
  - data plane
  - control plane
- What’s inside a router
  - input ports, switching, output ports
  - buffer management, scheduling
- IP: the Internet Protocol
  - datagram format
  - addressing
  - network address translation
  - IPv6
- Generalized Forwarding, SDN
  - Match+action
  - OpenFlow: match+action in action
- Middleboxes

# Generalized forwarding: match plus action


*Review:* each router contains a **forwarding table** (aka: **flow table**)

- “**match plus action**” abstraction: match bits in arriving packet, take action
  - *destination-based forwarding*: forward based on dest. IP address
  - *generalized forwarding*:
    - many header fields can determine action
    - many action possible: drop/copy/modify/log packet




# Flow table abstraction

- **flow**: defined by header field values (in link-, network-, transport-layer fields)
- **generalized forwarding**: simple packet-handling rules
  - **match**: pattern values in packet header fields
  - **actions**: for matched packet: drop, forward, modify, matched packet or send matched packet to controller
  - **priority**: disambiguate overlapping patterns
  - **counters**: #bytes and #packets




# Flow table abstraction

- **flow**: defined by header fields
- **generalized forwarding: simple** packet-handling rules
  - **match**: pattern values in packet header fields
  - **actions**: for matched packet: drop, forward, modify, matched packet or send matched packet to controller
  - **priority**: disambiguate overlapping patterns
  - **counters**: #bytes and #packets





# OpenFlow: flow table entries



# OpenFlow: examples

## Destination-based forwarding:

| Switch Port | MAC src | MAC dst | Eth type | VLAN ID | VLAN Pri | IP Src | IP Dst   | IP Prot | IP ToS | TCP s-port | TCP d-port | Action |
|-------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|---------|--------|------------|------------|--------|
| *           | *       | *       | *        | *       | *        | *      | 51.6.0.8 | *       | *      | *          | *          | port6  |

IP datagrams destined to IP address 51.6.0.8 should be forwarded to router output port 6

## Firewall:

| Switch Port | MAC src | MAC dst | Eth type | VLAN ID | VLAN Pri | IP Src | IP Dst | IP Prot | IP ToS | TCP s-port | TCP d-port | Action |
|-------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------|------------|--------|
| *           | *       | *       | *        | *       | *        | *      | *      | *       | *      | *          | 22         | drop   |

Block (do not forward) all datagrams destined to TCP port 22 (ssh port #)

| Switch Port | MAC src | MAC dst | Eth type | VLAN ID | VLAN Pri | IP Src      | IP Dst | IP Prot | IP ToS | TCP s-port | TCP d-port | Action |
|-------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------|-------------|--------|---------|--------|------------|------------|--------|
| *           | *       | *       | *        | *       | *        | 128.119.1.1 | *      | *       | *      | *          | *          | drop   |

Block (do not forward) all datagrams sent by host 128.119.1.1

# OpenFlow: examples

Layer 2 destination-based forwarding:

| Switch Port | MAC src | MAC dst               | Eth type | VLAN ID | VLAN Pri | IP Src | IP Dst | IP Prot | IP ToS | TCP s-port | TCP d-port | Action |
|-------------|---------|-----------------------|----------|---------|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------|------------|--------|
| *           | *       | 22:A7:23:<br>11:E1:02 | *        | *       | *        | *      | *      | *       | *      | *          | *          | port3  |

layer 2 frames with destination MAC address 22:A7:23:11:E1:02 should be forwarded to output port 3

# OpenFlow abstraction

- **match+action**: abstraction unifies different kinds of devices

## Router

- *match*: longest destination IP prefix
- *action*: forward out a link

## Switch

- *match*: destination MAC address
- *action*: forward or flood


## Firewall

- *match*: IP addresses and TCP/UDP port numbers
- *action*: permit or deny

## NAT

- *match*: IP address and port
- *action*: rewrite address and port

# OpenFlow example




Orchestrated tables can create *network-wide* behavior, e.g.,:

- datagrams from hosts h5 and h6 should be sent to h3 or h4, via s1 and from there to s2

# OpenFlow example

| match                                  | action     |
|--|------------|
| IP Src = 10.3.*.*<br>IP Dst = 10.2.*.* | forward(3) |



| match  | action     |
|--|------------|
| ingress port = 1<br>IP Src = 10.3.*.*<br>IP Dst = 10.2.*.* | forward(4) |

| match                                 | action     |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
| ingress port = 2<br>IP Dst = 10.2.0.3 | forward(3) |
| ingress port = 2<br>IP Dst = 10.2.0.4 | forward(4) |

Orchestrated tables can create *network-wide* behavior, e.g.,:

- datagrams from hosts h5 and h6 should be sent to h3 or h4, via s1 and from there to s2

# Generalized forwarding: summary

- “match plus action” abstraction: match bits in arriving packet header(s) in any layers, take action
  - matching over many fields (link-, network-, transport-layer)
  - local actions: drop, forward, modify, or send matched packet to controller
  - “program” *network-wide* behaviors
- simple form of “network programmability”
  - programmable, per-packet “processing”
  - *historical roots*: active networking
  - *today*: more generalized programming: P4 (see [p4.org](http://p4.org)).

# Network layer: “data plane” roadmap

- Network layer: overview
- What’s inside a router
- IP: the Internet Protocol
- Generalized Forwarding
- **Middleboxes**
  - middlebox functions
  - evolution, architectural principles of the Internet



# Middleboxes


Middlebox (RFC 3234)

“any intermediary box performing functions apart from normal, standard functions of an IP router on the data path between a source host and destination host”

# Middleboxes everywhere!

**NAT:** home,  
cellular,  
institutional

**Application-  
specific:** service  
providers,  
institutional,  
CDN



**Firewalls, IDS:** corporate,  
institutional, service providers,  
ISPs

**Load balancers:**  
corporate, service  
provider, data center,  
mobile nets

**Caches:** service  
provider, mobile, CDNs


# Middleboxes

- initially: proprietary (closed) hardware solutions
- move towards “whitebox” hardware implementing open API
  - move away from proprietary hardware solutions
  - programmable local actions via match+action
  - move towards innovation/differentiation in software
- SDN: (logically) centralized control and configuration management often in private/public cloud
- network functions virtualization (NFV): programmable services over white box networking, computation, storage

# The IP hourglass

## Internet's "thin waist":

- *one* network layer protocol: IP
- *must* be implemented by every (billions) of Internet-connected devices




*many* protocols  
in physical, link,  
transport, and  
application  
layers

# The IP hourglass, at middle age


Internet's middle age  
"love handles"?

- middleboxes, — operating inside the network



# The end-end argument

- some network functionality (e.g., reliable data transfer, congestion) can be implemented **in network**, or at **network edge**



# Network Layer – Data Plane: done!

- Network layer: overview
- IP: the Internet Protocol
- Generalized Forwarding, SDN
- Middleboxes

*Question:* how are forwarding tables (destination-based forwarding) or flow tables (generalized forwarding) computed?

*Answer:* by the control plane (next chapter)